

# VULCAN MATERIALS CO

## FORM SC 14D9

(Statement of Ownership: Solicitation)

Filed 12/22/11

Address	1200 URBAN CENTER DRIVE BIRMINGHAM, AL 35242
Telephone	2052983000
CIK	0001396009
Symbol	VMC
SIC Code	1400 - Mining & Quarrying of Nonmetallic Minerals (No Fuels)
Industry	Construction - Raw Materials
Sector	Capital Goods
Fiscal Year	12/31

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

---

**SCHEDULE 14D-9**

**SOLICITATION/RECOMMENDATION  
STATEMENT UNDER SECTION 14(d)(4) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

---

**VULCAN MATERIALS COMPANY**

(Name of Subject Company)

---

**VULCAN MATERIALS COMPANY**

(Name of Persons Filing Statement)

---

**Common Stock, \$1.00 par value per share**  
(Title of Class of Securities)

---

**929160109**

(CUSIP Number of Class of Securities)

---

**Robert A. Wason IV, Esq.  
Senior Vice President and General Counsel  
Vulcan Materials Company  
1200 Urban Center Drive  
Birmingham, Alabama 35242  
Telephone: (205) 298-3000**

(Name, address, and telephone number of persons authorized to receive notices and communications on behalf of the person filing statement)

**Copies to:**

**Edward D. Herlihy, Esq.  
Igor Kirman, Esq.  
Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz  
51 West 52nd Street  
New York, New York 10019  
(212) 403-1000**



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
Item 1. Subject Company Information	3
Item 2. Identity and Background of Filing Person	3
Item 3. Past Contacts, Transactions, Negotiations and Agreements	8
Item 4. The Solicitation or Recommendation	16
Item 5. Persons/Assets Retained, Employed, Compensated or Used	31
Item 6. Interest in Securities of the Subject Company	32
Item 7. Purposes of the Transaction and Plans or Proposals	33
Item 8. Additional Information	33
Item 9. Exhibits	42

## **Item 1. Subject Company Information**

### **Name and Address**

The name of the subject company to which this Solicitation/Recommendation Statement on Schedule 14D-9 (together with any exhibits attached hereto, this "Statement") relates is Vulcan Materials Company, a New Jersey corporation ("Vulcan" or the "Company"). Vulcan's principal executive offices are located at 1200 Urban Center Drive, Birmingham, Alabama 35242. Vulcan's telephone number at this address is (205) 298-3000.

### **Securities**

The title of the class of equity securities to which this Statement relates is Vulcan's Common Stock, par value \$1.00 per share ("Vulcan Common Stock"). As of December 12, 2011, there were 129,232,928 shares of Vulcan Common Stock outstanding.

## **Item 2. Identity and Background of Filing Person**

### **Name and Address**

The name, business address, and business telephone number of Vulcan, which is the subject company and the person filing this Statement, are set forth in Item 1 above. Vulcan's website address is [www.vulcanmaterials.com](http://www.vulcanmaterials.com). The information on Vulcan's website should not be considered a part of this Statement.

### **Exchange Offer**

This Statement relates to the unsolicited offer by Martin Marietta Materials, Inc., a North Carolina corporation ("Martin Marietta"), to exchange each issued and outstanding share of Vulcan Common Stock for 0.50 shares of the common stock (the "Exchange Ratio"), par value \$0.01 per share, of Martin Marietta, together with the associated preferred stock purchase rights (the "Martin Marietta Common Stock"), as disclosed in the Tender Offer Statement on Schedule TO (together with the exhibits thereto, the "Schedule TO") filed by Martin Marietta with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") on December 12, 2011. Holders of shares of Vulcan Common Stock whose shares are exchanged in the Offer will receive cash in lieu of any fractional shares of Martin Marietta Common Stock to which they would otherwise be entitled. The exchange offer is being made on the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the Prospectus/Offer to Exchange dated December 12, 2011 and the related Letter of Transmittal. On December 12, 2011, Martin Marietta also filed with the SEC a Registration Statement on Form S-4 (the "Form S-4") in relation to the securities to be issued in connection with the offer. The exchange offer and the value of the consideration offered thereby, together with all of the terms and conditions applicable to the exchange offer, is referred to in this Statement as the "Offer." According to the Schedule TO, the Offer will expire at 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on May 18, 2012, unless Martin Marietta extends or earlier terminates the Offer.

Martin Marietta has stated that the purpose of the Offer is to acquire all of the outstanding shares of Vulcan Common Stock in order to combine the businesses of Martin Marietta and Vulcan. Martin Marietta has indicated that, unless it enters into a merger agreement with Vulcan not involving an exchange offer, it intends, promptly after the completion of the Offer, to consummate a merger of a wholly owned subsidiary of Martin Marietta with and into Vulcan (the "Second-Step Merger"). Martin Marietta has also stated in the Schedule TO that it intends to nominate, and solicit proxies for the election of, a slate of five nominees for election to the Board of Directors of Vulcan (the "Vulcan Board") at Vulcan's 2012 annual meeting of shareholders (the "Vulcan 2012 Annual Meeting"). In addition, the Schedule TO states that Martin Marietta intends to nominate additional candidates for election to the Vulcan Board at Vulcan's 2013 annual meeting of shareholders if a combination of

Martin Marietta and Vulcan has not occurred before such time. The Vulcan Board currently consists of 11 directors divided into three separate classes which are elected in staggered three-year terms. Only one class of directors is elected per year. As a result, if Martin Marietta's nominees are elected to the Vulcan Board, they will not constitute a majority of the Board.

The Offer is subject to numerous conditions, including the following, among others:

The "Merger Agreement Condition"—Vulcan having entered into a definitive merger agreement with Martin Marietta with respect to the proposed transaction that is reasonably satisfactory to Martin Marietta and Vulcan, and which provides, among other things, that the Vulcan Board has (i) approved the proposed transaction and irrevocably exempted the proposed transaction from the restrictions imposed by the New Jersey Shareholder Protection Act, and (ii) removed any other impediment to the consummation of the transaction. Martin Marietta delivered a form of merger agreement to Vulcan on December 12, 2011, which the Schedule TO states Martin Marietta would consider reasonably satisfactory;

The "U.S. Antitrust Condition"—the waiting period under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended (the "HSR Act") applicable to the exchange of Vulcan Common Stock under the Offer having expired or been terminated prior to the expiration of the Offer;

The "Minimum Tender Condition"—there being validly tendered and not withdrawn before the expiration of the Offer at least that number of shares of Vulcan Common Stock that, together with the shares of Vulcan Common Stock then owned by Martin Marietta or its subsidiaries, constitutes at least 80% of the voting power of Vulcan's outstanding capital stock entitled to vote on transactions covered under Article VIII, Section A of Vulcan's restated certificate of incorporation. Martin Marietta also states in the Schedule TO that if they are successful in the litigation they have brought regarding this provision of Vulcan's restated certificate of incorporation, they will amend this condition so as to require the minimum tender (without being withdrawn) of a majority of the voting power of the outstanding shares of Vulcan Common Stock;

The "Registration Statement Condition"—the Form S-4 having been declared effective under the Securities Act of 1933, with no stop order suspending the effectiveness of the Form S-4 having been issued and no proceedings for that purpose having been initiated or threatened by the SEC, and Martin Marietta having received all necessary state securities law or "blue sky" authorizations;

The "Shareholder Approval Condition"—the shareholders of Martin Marietta having approved (i) an amendment to Martin Marietta's articles of incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of Martin Marietta Common Stock and implement any changes to the name of the combined company which would result from the proposed transaction and (ii) the issuance of shares of Martin Marietta Common Stock pursuant to the Offer and the Second-Step Merger as required under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange (the "NYSE") (such approvals, the "Martin Marietta Shareholder Approvals");

The "Listing Condition"—the shares of Martin Marietta Common Stock to be issued pursuant to the Offer and the Second-Step Merger having been approved for listing on the NYSE; and

The "Due Diligence Condition"—Martin Marietta having completed to its reasonable satisfaction customary confirmatory due diligence of non-public information on Vulcan's business, assets and liabilities and having concluded, in its reasonable judgment, that there are no material adverse facts or developments concerning or affecting Vulcan's business, assets and liabilities that have not been publicly disclosed prior to the commencement of the Offer.

In addition to the foregoing conditions, the offer is further conditioned on the non-occurrence of each of the following:

- there is threatened, instituted or pending any litigation, suit, claim, action, proceeding or investigation before any court or governmental, regulatory or administrative authority, agency, instrumentality or commission (i) challenging, or seeking to, or which in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta, is reasonably likely to, make illegal, delay or otherwise, directly or indirectly, restrain or prohibit or make more costly, or alleging any violation of law, rule or regulation with respect to, the Offer; (ii) seeking to obtain material damages in connection with the Offer or the Second-Step Merger; (iii) seeking to, or which in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta is reasonably likely to, individually or in the aggregate, prohibit or limit the full rights of ownership of Vulcan, Martin Marietta or any of their affiliates of all or any of the business or assets of Vulcan, Martin Marietta or any of their affiliates (including in respect of the capital stock or other equity of their respective subs); (iv) seeking to, or which in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta is reasonably likely to, individually or in the aggregate, compel Vulcan, Martin Marietta or any of their subsidiaries to dispose of or hold separate all or any portion of the business or assets of Vulcan, Martin Marietta or any of their affiliates; (v) seeking to, or which in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta is reasonably likely to, impose or confirm any voting, procedural, price or other requirements in addition to those required by federal securities laws and the New Jersey Business Corporation Act (the "NJBCA") in connection with the Offer or the consummation of the Second-Step Merger or other business combination involving Martin Marietta and Vulcan (including any restriction on the right to vote any shares of Vulcan Common Stock acquired by Martin Marietta); (vi) seeking to require divestiture by Martin Marietta or any of its affiliates of any shares of Vulcan Common Stock; (vii) seeking, or which in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta is reasonably likely to result in, any material diminution in the benefits expected to be derived by Martin Marietta or any of its affiliates from the transactions contemplated by the Offer, the Second-Step Merger or any other business combination with Vulcan; (viii) relating to the Offer or Martin Marietta's proxy solicitation which might materially adversely affect Vulcan, Martin Marietta or any of their affiliates or the value of shares of Vulcan Common Stock; or (ix) that, in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta, could otherwise prevent, adversely affect or materially delay consummation of the Offer, the Second-Step Merger or the ability of Martin Marietta to conduct any proxy solicitations referenced in the Form S-4 (the "No Lawsuits or Investigations Condition");
- any material final order, approval, permit, authorization, waiver, determination, favorable review or consent of any governmental authority, including those referred to or described in the Form S-4 section, includes terms that, in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta, result or are reasonably likely to result (individually or in the aggregate) in a significant diminution in the benefits expected to be derived by Martin Marietta and Vulcan, taken as a whole, as a result of the transactions contemplated by the Offer, the Second-Step Merger or any other business combination with Vulcan (the "No Diminution of Benefits Condition");
- any material final order, approval, permit, authorization, waiver, determination, favorable review or consent of any governmental authority, including those referred to or described in the Form S-4, is not obtained, or the applicable waiting period for such approval has not expired, in each case other than in connection with the U.S. Antitrust Condition (the "Regulatory Approvals Condition");
- any action is taken, or any statute, rule, regulation, legislation, order, decree or interpretation is enacted, enforced, promulgated, amended, issued, or deemed or becomes applicable to Martin Marietta, Vulcan, any of their subsidiaries or affiliates, the Offer, the Second-Step Merger or any other business combination with Vulcan, by any legislative body or governmental authority

(other than the routine application of the waiting period provisions of the HSR Act to the Offer) that, in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta, might result, directly or indirectly, individually or in the aggregate, in any of the consequences referred to in the No Lawsuits or Investigations Condition, except for matters addressed in the No Diminution of Benefits Condition or the Regulatory Approvals Condition (the "No New Law or Governmental Action Condition");

- any event, condition, development, circumstance, change or effect occurs or is threatened that is or may be (individually or in the aggregate) materially adverse to the business, properties, condition (financial or otherwise), assets (including leases), liabilities, capitalization, shareholders' equity, licenses, franchises, operations, results of operations or prospects of Vulcan or any of its affiliates, or Martin Marietta shall become aware of any facts that, in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta, have or may have material adverse significance with respect to either the value of Vulcan or any of its affiliates or the shares of Vulcan Common Stock to Martin Marietta or any of its affiliates (the "No Material Adverse Effect Condition");
- there occurs, or in the case of any of the below existing at the time of commencement of the Offer, there is a material acceleration or worsening of, any general suspension of trading in, or limitation on prices for, securities on any national securities exchange or in the over-the-counter market in the United States; declaration of a banking moratorium or any suspension of payments in respect of banks by federal or state authorities in the United States; any limitation (whether or not mandatory) by any governmental authority or agency on, or any other event that, in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta, might materially adversely affect the extension of credit by banks or other lending institutions; the commencement of a war, armed hostilities, or the occurrence of any other international or national calamity directly or indirectly involving the United States or any attack on, outbreak, or act of terrorism involving the United States (the "No War Condition"); any material change in United States dollars or any other currency exchange rates or a suspension of, or a limitation on, the markets therefor; any change in the general political, market, economic, or financial conditions in the United States or other jurisdictions in which Vulcan or its affiliates do business that, in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta, could have a material adverse effect on the business, properties, assets, liabilities, capitalization, shareholders' equity, condition (financial or otherwise), operations, licenses, franchises, results of operations, or prospects of Vulcan or any of its affiliates or the trading in, or the value of the shares of Vulcan Common Stock; any decline in either the Dow Jones Industrial Average, the S&P Index of 500 Industrial Companies or the NASDAQ-100 Index by an amount in excess of 15% measured from the close of business on December 12, 2011, or any material adverse change in the market price of shares of Vulcan Common Stock; (collectively, other than the No War Condition, the "No Significant Change in Markets or the Economy Condition");
- a tender or exchange offer for some or all of the shares of Vulcan Common Stock is publicly proposed to be made or is made or publicly disclosed by another person (including Vulcan or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates); Martin Marietta learns that any person or group has acquired or proposes to acquire beneficial ownership of more than five percent of any class or series of capital stock of Vulcan (including Vulcan Common Stock) other than as disclosed in a Schedule 13D or 13G on file with the SEC on or prior to December 12, 2011; any person that filed a Schedule 13D or 13G with the SEC on or prior to December 12, 2011 acquires or proposes to acquire beneficial ownership of additional shares of any class or series of capital stock of Vulcan constituting one percent or more of any such class or series or is granted an option, right or warrant to do so; any person enters into a definitive agreement or agreement in principle or makes a proposal with respect to a tender or exchange offer of some or all of the shares of Vulcan Common Stock or a merger, consolidation or other business combination with

or involving Vulcan or any of its subsidiaries; or any person other than Martin Marietta files a Notification and Report Form under the HSR Act or makes a public announcement reflecting an intent to acquire Vulcan or any assets, securities or subsidiaries of Vulcan (the "No Competing Offer or Third Party Action Condition");

- Vulcan or any of its subsidiaries has split, combined, or otherwise changed, or authorized or proposed the split, combination, or other change of, the shares of Vulcan Common Stock or its capitalization; acquired or otherwise caused a reduction in the number of, or authorized or proposed the acquisition or other reduction in the number of, outstanding shares of Vulcan Common Stock or other securities; issued, distributed or sold, or authorized or proposed the issuance, distribution or sale of, any additional shares of Vulcan Common Stock or of any other class or series of capital stock, other voting securities or any securities convertible into, or options, rights or warrants, conditional or otherwise, to acquire, any of the foregoing (other than the issuance of shares of Vulcan Common Stock pursuant to and in accordance with the publicly disclosed terms in effect on December 12, 2011, of employee stock options or other equity awards publicly disclosed by Vulcan as outstanding prior to such date or of shares of Vulcan Common Stock or convertible subordinated debentures in exchange for outstanding shares of Vulcan preferred stock pursuant to the terms and conditions of Vulcan's restated certificate of incorporation in existence as of December 12, 2011), or any other securities or rights in respect of, in lieu of, or in substitution or exchange for any shares of its capital stock; permitted the issuance or sale of any shares of any class of capital stock or other securities of any subsidiary of Vulcan; declared or paid, or proposed to declare or pay, any dividends (other than cash dividends required to be paid on the shares of Vulcan preferred stock that have been publicly disclosed by Vulcan as outstanding prior to December 12, 2011 and regular quarterly cash dividends on shares of Vulcan Common Stock) or other distribution on any shares of capital stock of Vulcan, including by way of adoption of a shareholder rights plan; altered or proposed to alter any material term of any outstanding security, issued or sold, or authorized or proposed the issuance or sale of, any debt security or otherwise incurred or authorized or proposed the incurrence of any debt other than in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice or any debt containing, in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta, burdensome covenants or security provisions;
- Vulcan has amended or proposed any amendment to the certificate of incorporation or bylaws of Vulcan (the conditions in this bullet along with the conditions in the immediately preceding bullet, the "No Change in Vulcan Capitalization, Indebtedness or Constitutive Documents Condition");
- Vulcan has authorized, recommended, proposed or announced its intent to enter into, or has entered into, an agreement with respect to or effected any merger, consolidation, recapitalization, liquidation, dissolution, business combination, acquisition or disposition of assets or release or relinquishment of any material contract or other rights of Vulcan or any of its subsidiaries or any comparable event not in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice, or enters into (or authorizes, recommends, proposes or announces its intent to enter into) any agreement or arrangement with any person that, in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta, has or may have material adverse significance with respect to either the value of Vulcan or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates or the value of the shares of Vulcan Common Stock to Martin Marietta or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates;
- Vulcan has entered into or amended any employment, severance or similar agreement, arrangement or plan with any of its employees other than in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice, or entered into or amended any such agreements, arrangements or plans so as to provide for increased benefits to employees as a result of or in connection with

the Offer or Martin Marietta's consummation of any merger or other similar business combination involving Vulcan;

- Vulcan has taken any action (except as required by law) to terminate or amend any employee benefit plan of Vulcan or any of its subsidiaries (along with the immediately preceding bullet, the "No Change in Employee Benefits Condition");
- Martin Marietta or any of its affiliates enters into a definitive agreement or announces an agreement in principle with Vulcan providing for a merger or other business combination with Vulcan or any of its subsidiaries, or the purchase or exchange of securities or assets of Vulcan or any of its subsidiaries, or Martin Marietta and Vulcan reach any other agreement or understanding pursuant to which it is agreed that the Offer will be terminated; or
- Vulcan or any of its subsidiaries shall have granted to any person proposing a merger or other business combination with or involving Vulcan or any of its subsidiaries or the purchase or exchange of securities or assets of Vulcan or any of its subsidiaries any type of option, warrant, or right that, in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta, constitutes a "lock-up" device (including a right to acquire or receive any shares of Vulcan Common Stock or other securities, assets, or business of Vulcan or any of its subsidiaries), or paid or agreed to pay any cash or other consideration to any party in connection with or in any way related to any such business combination, purchase or exchange, which in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta in any such case, and regardless of the circumstances (including any action or omission by Martin Marietta) giving rise to any such condition, makes it inadvisable to proceed with the offer and/or with acceptance for exchange, or exchange, of shares of Vulcan Common Stock.

Each of the foregoing conditions are for the benefit of Martin Marietta and may be asserted by Martin Marietta in its sole discretion regardless of the circumstances giving rise to any such condition failing to be satisfied or, other than the U.S. Antitrust Condition, Shareholder Approval Condition, Registration Statement Condition and Listing Condition, may be waived by Martin Marietta in whole or in part at any time and from time to time prior to the expiration of the Offer in its discretion.

For a full description of the conditions to the Offer, please see Annex A attached hereto. The foregoing summary of the conditions to the Offer does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the contents of Annex A attached hereto.

The Schedule TO states that the principal executive offices of Martin Marietta are located at 2710 Wycliff Road, Raleigh, North Carolina 27607 and that the telephone number of its principal executive offices is (919) 781-4550.

### **Item 3. Past Contacts, Transactions, Negotiations and Agreements**

Except as described in this Statement or in the excerpts from the Vulcan Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, dated and filed with the SEC on March 31, 2011 (the "2011 Proxy Statement"), relating to the Vulcan 2011 annual meeting of shareholders, which excerpts are filed as Exhibit (e)(1) to this Statement and incorporated herein by reference, as of the date of this Statement there are no material agreements, arrangements or understandings, nor any actual or potential conflicts of interest, between Vulcan or any of its affiliates, on the one hand, and (i) Vulcan or any of its executive officers, directors, or affiliates, or (ii) Martin Marietta or any of its executive officers, directors, or affiliates, on the other hand. Exhibit (e)(1) is incorporated herein by reference and includes the following sections from the 2011 Proxy Statement: "Corporate Governance of Our Company and Practices of Our Board of Directors—Transactions with Related Persons," "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners," "Security Ownership of Management," "Equity Compensation Plans," "Compensation Committee Report," "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," "Executive Compensation" and "Director Compensation."

Any information contained in the pages from the 2011 Proxy Statement incorporated by reference herein shall be deemed modified or superseded for purposes of this Statement to the extent that any information contained herein modifies or supersedes such information.

### **Relationship with Martin Marietta**

According to the Schedule TO, as of December 12, 2011, Martin Marietta was the beneficial owner of 1,000 shares of Vulcan Common Stock, representing less than one percent of the outstanding shares of Vulcan Common Stock.

In the ordinary course of their respective businesses, Vulcan and Martin Marietta have had various commercial interactions and participate together in several industry groups. These commercial interactions have included purchasing aggregates from each other. In 2011, Martin Marietta has purchased from Vulcan approximately \$4.5 million of aggregate products, up from \$4.1 million total product purchases in 2010. Vulcan's purchases of aggregates from Martin Marietta approximated \$721,000 and \$763,000 in 2010 and 2011 (through December 15, 2011), respectively. Other arrangements have included fees for services Vulcan rendered unloading railcars for Martin Marietta and payments with respect to rental property. In connection with required divestitures with respect to Vulcan's acquisition of Florida Rock Industries, Inc., Vulcan exchanged four quarries and a greenfield site in Georgia and a quarry in Chattanooga, Tennessee with Martin Marietta in 2008 and, in return, received cash, a quarry near Sacramento, California, real property in San Antonio, Texas, and real property in North Carolina.

As further discussed below in the "—Background of the Offer" section and "Item 8. Additional Information—Litigation," Vulcan and Martin Marietta entered into a letter agreement providing for the confidentiality of information exchanged between the parties, limitations on the use of information and other terms, dated as of May 3, 2010, and a common interest, joint defense and confidentiality agreement, dated as of May 18, 2010. Each of these agreements contains, among other things, strict prohibitions on misusing and improperly disclosing confidential information.

### **Consideration Payable Pursuant to the Offer and the Second-Step Merger**

#### ***Shares of Vulcan Common Stock Held By the Non-Employee Directors and Executive Officers of Vulcan***

If the non-employee directors and executive officers of Vulcan were to tender any shares of Vulcan Common Stock they own pursuant to the Offer and such shares were accepted for exchange by Martin Marietta, they would receive shares of Martin Marietta Common Stock at the same exchange ratio and on the same terms and conditions as the other Vulcan shareholders. As of December 13, 2011, the ten non-employee directors and seven executive officers of Vulcan held an aggregate of 355,319 shares of Vulcan Common Stock, excluding shares held through certain compensatory plans of Vulcan (as described below). If the non-employee directors and executive officers of Vulcan were to tender all of such shares of Vulcan Common Stock for exchange pursuant to the Offer and those shares of Vulcan Common Stock were accepted for exchange by Martin Marietta at the exchange ratio, the non-employee directors and executive officers of Vulcan would receive an aggregate of 177,659 shares of Martin Marietta Common Stock. To the knowledge of Vulcan, none of the non-employee directors and executive officers of Vulcan currently intend to tender any shares of Vulcan Common Stock held of record or beneficially owned by such person for exchange pursuant to the Offer.

In addition, as of December 13, 2011, the seven executive officers of Vulcan held an aggregate of (a) 67,557 shares of Vulcan Common Stock through Vulcan's 401(k) plan, (b) 217,659 shares of Vulcan Common Stock the receipt of which has been deferred under Vulcan's Executive Deferred Compensation Plan and (c) 101,642 cash-settled phantom shares of Vulcan Common Stock through Vulcan's Executive Deferred Compensation Plan and Unfunded Supplemental Benefit Plan. Assuming that the Offer had been completed and the Second-Step Merger had been consummated on

December 13, 2011, such shares and phantom shares would convert into 142,608 shares of Martin Marietta Common Stock and 50,821 cash-settled phantom shares of Martin Marietta Common Stock, respectively. Vulcan's Unfunded Supplemental Benefit Plan (including amendment) and Executive Deferred Compensation Plan are filed as Exhibits (e)(2), (e)(3) and (e)(4) to this Statement and incorporated herein by reference.

As of December 13, 2011, the ten non-employee directors of Vulcan held an aggregate of 161,096 stock-settled phantom shares of Vulcan Common Stock through Vulcan's Director Deferred Compensation Plan, which is filed as Exhibit (e)(5) to this Statement and incorporated herein by reference. Assuming that the Offer had been completed and the Second-Step Merger had been consummated on December 13, 2011, such phantom shares would convert into 80,548 stock-settled phantom shares of Martin Marietta Common Stock.

#### ***Equity-Based Awards Held By the Non-Employee Directors and Executive Officers of Vulcan***

As of December 13, 2011, the ten non-employee directors and seven executive officers of Vulcan held equity awards issued pursuant to the Vulcan Amended and Restated 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan, the Vulcan 1996 Long-Term Incentive Plan, the Vulcan Deferred Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors or the Vulcan Restricted Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors, which are filed (including amendments and award agreements thereunder) as Exhibits (e)(6) through (e)(15) to this Statement and incorporated herein by reference (collectively, the "Plans"). As described further below, under the Plans, consummation of the Offer would constitute a change in control of Vulcan, and upon a change in control of Vulcan, all unvested equity awards held by the executive officers of Vulcan would vest in full. In addition, all equity awards held by the non-employee directors of Vulcan would vest in full either upon a change in control of Vulcan or upon the date thereafter that any such non-employee director ceased to be a member of the board of directors of Vulcan.

Regardless of whether there is a change in control of Vulcan, as of December 13, 2011, all equity-based awards held by Donald M. James, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Vulcan, that were granted prior to 2011 would become non-forfeitable upon his retirement because he has attained age 62, and a portion of the equity-based awards held by each of the other executive officers (including all named executive officers other than Mr. James) of Vulcan would become non-forfeitable upon each of their retirements because they have attained age 55 but are less than age 62.

#### ***Treatment of Stock Options and Stock-Only Stock Appreciation Rights***

As of December 13, 2011, the seven executive officers of Vulcan held stock options and stock-only stock appreciation rights ("SOSARs") to purchase an aggregate of 2,694,790 shares of Vulcan Common Stock, with exercise prices ranging from \$29.05 to \$109.20 and an aggregate weighted average exercise price of \$52.64 per share, 1,924,405 of which were vested and exercisable as of that date. The non-employee directors do not hold stock options or SOSARs.

Upon a change in control of Vulcan, all unvested outstanding stock options and SOSARs held by the executive officers of Vulcan would become fully exercisable. Pursuant to the terms of the proposed merger agreement filed by Martin Marietta (the "Merger Agreement"), upon the effective time of the Second-Step Merger, each stock option and SOSAR to acquire shares of Vulcan Common Stock would be converted into a stock option or stock appreciation right to acquire a number of shares of Martin Marietta Common Stock (rounded down to the nearest whole share) equal to (a) the number of shares of Vulcan Common Stock subject to the award immediately prior to the effective time of the Second-Step Merger, multiplied by (b) 0.50. The per share exercise price (rounded up to the nearest whole cent) of the award would be equal to (a) the per share exercise price of the award immediately prior to the effective time of the Second-Step Merger, divided by (b) 0.50.

Assuming that the Offer had been completed and the Second-Step Merger had been consummated on December 13, 2011, the aggregate cash value of unvested SOSARs held as of such date by each of the executive officers that would become vested as a result thereof is \$0, except in the case of John R. McPherson who holds 370,900 unvested SOSARs with an exercise price of \$29.05 and, as a result, would have SOSARs that would become vested with an aggregate cash value of \$2,870,766. These estimates are based on the average closing price of Martin Marietta Common Stock for the first five trading days following December 12, 2011 (\$73.58), and attribute no value to any unvested SOSARs held by the executive officers, other than Mr. McPherson, because all such awards held by these individuals would be out of the money based on that price.

The following table summarizes the number of unvested SOSARs held by each of the executive officers of Vulcan that would become vested if the Offer had been completed and Second-Step Merger had been consummated on December 13, 2011. As of December 13, 2011, there are no stock options that are not fully vested.

<u>Executive Officers</u>	<u>Number of Shares of Vulcan Common Stock Subject to Unvested SOSARs</u>
Donald M. James	224,665
Daniel F. Sansone	54,138
Danny R. Shepherd	50,852
Robert A. Wason IV	29,811
Other Executive Officers (as a group)	410,919

*Treatment of Deferred Stock Units*

As of December 13, 2011, the ten non-employee directors and seven executive officers of Vulcan held an aggregate of 125,236 Deferred Stock Units ("DSUs"). Upon a change in control of Vulcan, all unvested DSUs held by the non-employee directors and executive officers of Vulcan would become fully vested and payable on the 90<sup>th</sup> day following the change in control pursuant to the terms of the applicable Plan. In addition, as of December 13, 2011, four of the non-employee directors of Vulcan held an aggregate of 5,346 vested DSUs that were granted under the Vulcan Deferred Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors, which would be settled if the plan were terminated or the holder ceased to be a member of the board of directors of Vulcan. Notwithstanding the terms of the applicable Plan, pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, all DSUs held by a non-employee director or executive officer, whether vested or unvested, would be canceled at the effective time of the Second-Step Merger and settled with a number of shares of Martin Marietta Common Stock equal to (a) the number of shares of Vulcan Common Stock underlying the holder's DSUs, multiplied by (b) 0.50.

The following table summarizes the number of unvested DSUs held by each of the ten non-employee directors and seven executive officers of Vulcan that would become vested, and the estimated value of the Martin Marietta Common Stock into which such DSUs would be converted, if the Offer had been completed and the Second-Step Merger had been consummated on December 13,

2011. These estimates are based on the average closing price of Martin Marietta Common Stock for the first five trading days following December 12, 2011 (\$73.58).

<b>Non-Employee Directors and Executive Officers</b>	<b>Number of Shares of Vulcan Common Stock Underlying Unvested DSUs</b>	<b>Value of Consideration for DSUs (\$)</b>
Donald M. James	28,291	1,040,789
Philip J. Carroll, Jr.	10,011	368,268
Phillip W. Farmer	10,011	368,268
H. Allen Franklin	10,011	368,268
Ann McLaughlin Korologos	7,734	284,534
Douglas J. McGregor	10,011	368,268
Richard T. O'Brien	6,190	227,730
James T. Prokopanko	4,131	151,943
Donald B. Rice	10,011	368,268
Vincent J. Trosino	10,011	368,268
Kathleen Wilson-Thompson	4,131	151,943
Daniel F. Sansone	2,973	109,340
Danny R. Shepherd	4,304	158,344
Robert A. Wason IV	2,489	91,534
Other Executive Officers (as a group)	4,927	181,228

*Treatment of Performance Share Units*

As of December 13, 2011, the seven executive officers of Vulcan held an aggregate of 250,920 performance share units ("PSUs"). The non-employee directors do not hold PSUs. Pursuant to the terms of the applicable Plan, all unvested PSUs held by the executive officers of Vulcan would become fully vested upon a change in control of Vulcan and be payable based on the actual performance of Vulcan through December 31 of the year in which the change in control occurred. Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, all PSUs held by an executive officer would be converted into a performance stock unit with respect to a number of shares of Martin Marietta Common Stock (rounded down to the nearest whole share) equal to (a) the number of shares of Vulcan Common Stock underlying the holder's PSUs, multiplied by (b) 0.50.

The table below summarizes the number of unvested PSUs held by each of the Vulcan executive officers that would become vested if the Offer had been completed on December 13, 2011, and the value of the Martin Marietta Common Stock underlying the performance share unit into which such PSUs would be converted, if the Second-Step Merger had been consummated on December 13, 2011. The estimated values below assume the achievement of target performance goals and are based on the average closing price of Martin Marietta Common Stock for the first five trading days following December 12, 2011 (\$73.58).

<b>Executive Officers</b>	<b>Number of Shares of Vulcan Common Stock Underlying Unvested PSUs</b>	<b>Value of Consideration for PSUs (\$)</b>
Donald M. James	128,560	4,729,722
Daniel F. Sansone	34,350	1,263,737
Danny R. Shepherd	32,390	1,191,628
Robert A. Wason IV	17,650	649,344
Other Executive Officers (as a group)	37,970	1,396,917

*Treatment of Restricted Shares*

As of December 13, 2011, three non-employee directors of Vulcan held an aggregate of 6,609 shares of restricted Vulcan Common Stock ("Restricted Shares"). The executive officers of Vulcan do not hold Restricted Shares. Upon a change in control of Vulcan, all Restricted Shares held by the non-employee directors of Vulcan would become vested if the plan were terminated or the holder ceased to be a member of the board of directors of Vulcan. Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, each share of Vulcan Common Stock, which includes the Restricted Shares, would be converted into 0.50 shares of Martin Marietta Common Stock.

The following table summarizes the number of Restricted Shares held by each of the three non-employee directors of Vulcan that hold Restricted Shares that would become vested if the Second-Step Merger had been consummated on December 13, 2011 and the holders ceased to be members of the Vulcan board of directors, and the value of the Martin Marietta Common Stock into which such Restricted Shares would be converted if they were treated like other shares of Vulcan Common Stock. The estimated values below are based on the average closing price of Martin Marietta Common Stock for the first five trading days following December 12, 2011 (\$73.58).

Non-Employee Directors	Number of Vulcan Restricted Shares	Value of Consideration for Vulcan Restricted Shares (\$)
H. Allen Franklin	4,835	177,843
Douglas J. McGregor	1,453	53,419
Vincent J. Trosino	321	11,773

**Other Potential Payments Upon Change in Control**

See "Item 8. Additional Information—Information Regarding Golden Parachute Compensation" below for information regarding the potential payments upon a change in control of Vulcan to its named executive officers. In addition to the change in control employment agreements described therein, Vulcan is also party to substantially similar agreements with each of its other three executive officers, except John R. McPherson, Vulcan's senior vice president for strategy and business development, whose change in control employment agreement varies in the following respects: (a) the lump sum severance payment does not include a component based on the aggregate value of annual long-term incentive awards; (b) the payment with respect to additional retirement benefits does not include benefits under any defined benefit retirement plan; and (c) the agreement provides for a modified cutback of severance compensation and benefits for purposes of avoiding excise taxes under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code if such reduction would result in a greater after-tax benefit to the executive.

The change in control employment agreements were originally entered into on the following dates (and were amended in 2008 in order to comply with changes to the Internal Revenue Code): Messrs. James, Wason, Houston and Khan, on February 10, 2000; Mr. Sansone on June 9, 2005; and Mr. Shepherd on May 1, 2002. Mr. McPherson's agreement was entered into on October 7, 2011 in connection with his commencement of employment with Vulcan. The change in control employment agreements are filed as Exhibits (e)(16), (e)(17) and (e)(18) to this Statement and incorporated herein by reference.

In addition, Mr. McPherson is entitled to serve as a consultant pursuant to a springing consulting agreement in the event that Vulcan terminates his employment without cause or he terminates his employment for any reason following a change in control. In the event that Mr. McPherson's employment is terminated under such circumstances, pursuant to the consulting agreement, Vulcan has agreed to retain him as a consultant for three years thereafter. Mr. McPherson would be expected to

provide 400 hours of services annually for which he would be entitled to compensation at a rate of \$43,333 per month. In connection with his services as a consultant, Mr. McPherson would be subject to covenants regarding the use of confidential information. Vulcan may terminate the consulting agreement for cause or if Mr. McPherson dies or becomes disabled. The consulting agreement is filed as Exhibit (e)(19) to this Statement and incorporated herein by reference.

**Non-Employee Directors' Compensation**

Under the Vulcan director compensation program, only directors who are not employees of Vulcan receive compensation for their services as directors, as follows:

Annual Retainer Fee	\$ 45,000	
Meeting Fee	\$ 5,000	Per board meeting attended in person.
Telephonic or Written Consent Fee		Per board meeting or committee meeting attended telephonically or action by written consent.
	\$ 1,500	
Presiding Director Meeting Fee	\$ 1,500	Per board meeting.
Audit Committee Chairman Annual Retainer Fee	\$ 20,000	
Compensation Committee Chairman Annual Retainer Fee	\$ 10,000	
Other Committee Chairman Annual Retainer Fee	\$ 5,000	
Committee Member Meeting Fee	\$ 3,000	Per committee meeting attended in person.
Deferred Stock Unit Grant	1,803	Reflects 2010 grant; 2,250 units granted in 2011

Fees may be taken in cash or may be deferred, pursuant to Vulcan's Director Deferred Compensation Plan, for specified periods or until the directors cease to serve on the Vulcan Board. Deferred fees, at the election of the director, are either credited with interest at prescribed rates or converted into a number of deferred stock units equivalent to the number of shares of Vulcan Common Stock (based on the market price at the time of deferral) that could be purchased with the amount deferred.

The deferred stock unit grants become non-forfeitable on the third anniversary of the grant; however, payment is deferred until the director ceases to serve on the Vulcan Board or a change in control occurs.

The directors' deferred stock units and fee deferral plan contain provisions for accelerating payment in the event a director's service terminates due to a change in control.

**Indemnification of Directors and Officers**

Vulcan is organized under the laws of the State of New Jersey. Section 14A:3-5(2) of the NJBCA provides that a New Jersey corporation has the power to indemnify its directors, officers, employees or agents of the indemnifying corporation or of any constituent corporation absorbed by the indemnifying corporation in a consolidation or merger and any person who is or was a director, officer, trustee, employee, or agent of any other enterprise, serving as such at the request of the indemnifying corporation, or of any such constituent corporation, or the legal representative of any such director, officer, trustee, employee or agent (each, a "corporate agent"), against his expenses and liabilities in connection with any proceeding involving such corporate agent by reason of his being or having been a corporate agent, other than a proceeding by or in the right of the corporation, if he acted in good faith and in a manner he reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the corporation

and, with respect to any criminal proceeding, such corporate agent had no reasonable cause to believe his conduct was unlawful.

Section 14A:3-5(3) of the NJBCA provides that a New Jersey corporation has the power to indemnify a corporate agent against his expenses in connection with any proceeding by or in the right of the corporation to procure a judgment in its favor which involves the corporate agent by reason of his being or having been such corporate agent, if he acted in good faith and in a manner he reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the corporation. However, in such proceeding no indemnification shall be provided in respect of any claim, issue or matter as to which such corporate agent shall have been adjudged to be liable to the corporation, unless and only to the extent that a court determines upon application that despite the adjudication of liability, but in view of all circumstances of the case, such corporate agent is fairly and reasonably entitled to indemnity.

Further, Section 14A:3-5(4) of the NJBCA provides that a New Jersey corporation must indemnify a corporate agent against expenses to the extent that such corporate agent has been successful on the merits or otherwise in a proceeding referred to in the statutes described in the immediately preceding two paragraphs or in defense of any claim, issue or matter therein.

Any indemnification under Section 14A:3-5(2) of the NJBCA and, unless ordered by a court, under Section 14A:3-5(3), may be made by the corporation only as authorized in a specific case upon a determination that indemnification is proper in the circumstances because the corporate agent met the applicable standard of conduct set forth in Section 14A:3-5(2) or in Section 14A:3-5(3). Unless otherwise provided in the certificate of incorporation or bylaws, such determination shall be made: (a) by the board of directors or a committee thereof, acting by a majority vote of a quorum consisting of directors who were not parties to or otherwise involved in the proceeding; or (b) if such a quorum is not obtainable, or, even if obtainable and such quorum of the board of directors or committee by a majority vote of the disinterested directors so directs, by independent legal counsel, in a written opinion, such counsel to be designated by the board of directors; or (c) by the shareholders if the certificate of incorporation or bylaws or a resolution of the board of directors or of the shareholders so directs.

Expenses incurred by a corporate agent in connection with a proceeding may be paid by the corporation before the final disposition of the proceeding as authorized by the board of directors upon receipt of an undertaking by or on behalf of the corporate agent to repay such amount if it shall ultimately be determined that he is not entitled to be indemnified.

The power to indemnify and pay expenses under the NJBCA does not exclude any other rights, including the right to be indemnified against liabilities and expenses incurred in proceedings by or in the right of the corporation, to which a corporate agent may be entitled to under a certificate of incorporation, bylaw, agreement, vote of shareholders, or otherwise; provided that no indemnification is permitted to be made to or on behalf of such corporate agent if a judgment or other final adjudication adverse to such corporate agent establishes that his acts or omissions were in breach of his duty of loyalty to the corporation or its shareholders, were not in good faith or involved a knowing violation of the law, or resulted in receipt by such corporate agent of an improper personal benefit.

Vulcan's Amended and Restated Bylaws require that Vulcan's directors, officers, employees and agents be indemnified to the fullest extent permitted and in the manner provided by and the circumstances described in the NJBCA. Vulcan's Restated Certificate of Incorporation provides that a director and officer shall be relieved of liability for breach of any duty owed as director or officer to Vulcan or any of its shareholders, except to the extent that such relief from liability is not permitted under the NJBCA, as the same currently exists or may be amended, or under any revision to the NJBCA or any successor statute. The NJBCA, as currently in effect, does not permit such relief from liability for breaches of duty based upon an act or omission in breach of the director's duty of loyalty, not in good faith or involving a knowing violation of law, or resulting in the receipt by the director of

an improper personal benefit. Further, Vulcan's Restated Certificate of Incorporation provides that its directors and officers are not relieved from liability for any acts or omissions occurring before May 6, 1988.

In addition, Vulcan maintains insurance on behalf of its directors and executive officers insuring them against liability asserted against them in their capacities as directors or officers or arising out of such status.

Vulcan has entered into indemnification agreements with each of its directors that are intended to assure the directors that they will be indemnified to the fullest extent permitted by New Jersey law.

#### **Item 4. The Solicitation or Recommendation**

##### **Solicitation/Recommendation**

After careful consideration, including review of the terms and conditions of the Offer in consultation with Vulcan's financial and outside legal advisors, the Vulcan Board, by unanimous vote at a meeting on December 19, 2011, determined that the Offer is not in the best interests of Vulcan's shareholders. **Accordingly, for the reasons described in more detail below, the Vulcan Board unanimously recommends that Vulcan's shareholders reject the Offer and NOT tender any of their shares of Vulcan Common Stock to Martin Marietta pursuant to the Offer.** Please see "—Reasons for Recommendation" below for further detail.

If you have tendered any of your shares of Vulcan Common Stock, you can withdraw them. For assistance in withdrawing your shares of Vulcan Common Stock, you can contact your broker or Vulcan's information agent, MacKenzie Partners, Inc., at the address and phone number below:

MacKenzie Partners, Inc.  
105 Madison Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
Telephone: (212) 929-5500 (Call Collect)  
or  
**Call Toll-Free (800) 322-2885**

Email: [vulcan@mackenziepartners.com](mailto:vulcan@mackenziepartners.com)

A copy of the press release relating to the recommendation of the Vulcan Board that Vulcan's shareholders reject the Offer and not tender any of their shares of Vulcan Common Stock to Martin Marietta pursuant to the Offer is filed as Exhibit (a)(2) hereto and is incorporated herein by reference.

#### **Background of the Offer and Reasons for Recommendation**

##### ***Background of the Offer***

###### *Background*

Vulcan and its Board of Directors periodically review and assess industry developments, competitive positioning and strategic and operational alternatives that may be available to enhance shareholder value. In the course of these reviews, Vulcan periodically consults with its outside legal and financial advisors. Vulcan and Martin Marietta are, and have been for many years, the two largest U.S. producers of construction aggregates, which encompasses products such as crushed stone, sand and gravel. Vulcan is the nation's largest producer of such aggregates, a major producer of asphalt mix and concrete and a leading producer of cement in Florida. As further discussed below, Vulcan and Martin Marietta have, from time to time, discussed potential commercial and strategic transactions with each other.

On three occasions between 2002 and 2006, Donald M. James, Vulcan's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, met with Stephen P. Zelnak, Jr., then Martin Marietta's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, to discuss the merits of a possible combination of Vulcan and Martin Marietta. Mr. James updated the Vulcan Board on the progress of these discussions on an ongoing basis.

The final meeting between Mr. Zelnak and Mr. James relating to a potential combination of Martin Marietta and Vulcan took place in Birmingham, Alabama in late 2006. Mr. Zelnak and Mr. James discussed a potential transaction structured as a merger of equals, at an exchange ratio based on the market price of the respective shares at the time of signing. Mr. Zelnak and Mr. James also discussed the potential difficulties involved in obtaining regulatory approval with respect to the potential transaction in light of the significant geographic overlap in their respective operations.

Mr. Zelnak and Mr. James also discussed a possible management structure for the combined company in which Mr. Zelnak and Mr. James would share executive responsibilities. Mr. Zelnak stated his belief that C. Howard Nye, who had recently been hired as President and Chief Operating Officer of Martin Marietta, would be severely disappointed if he were not the President and Chief Operating Officer of the combined company. Mr. James stated that such a management structure involving Mr. James, Mr. Zelnak and Mr. Nye would be top-heavy and unwieldy, and would be perceived as unbalanced between Vulcan and Martin Marietta.

None of these discussions with Mr. Zelnak progressed beyond initial conversations.

In February 2007, Vulcan entered into an agreement to acquire Florida Rock Industries, Inc., a leading producer of construction aggregates, cement, concrete and concrete products in the Southeast and Mid-Atlantic states. Vulcan consummated the acquisition of Florida Rock in November 2007 following extensive engagement with the U.S. Department of Justice, which resulted in the divestiture by Vulcan of a number of aggregates operations, including four quarries and a "greenfield" site in the Atlanta area and a quarry in Chattanooga, Tennessee that were transferred to Martin Marietta.

From April 22, 2010 through June 27, 2011, Mr. James and Mr. Nye, the new Chief Executive of Martin Marietta, held a number of discussions concerning a potential combination between Vulcan and Martin Marietta, as detailed below. During this time, Mr. Nye and Martin Marietta's financial advisors periodically reached out to Vulcan's financial advisors to discuss the potential business combination.

On April 22, 2010, shortly after Mr. Nye had become Chief Executive Officer of Martin Marietta, Mr. James and Mr. Nye met in Washington, D.C. to discuss various topics relating to a potential combination between Vulcan and Martin Marietta. Mr. James proposed a potential transaction structured as a merger of equals in which Martin Marietta shareholders would receive Vulcan Common Stock in an exchange ratio based on the relative market prices calculated over an agreed historical time period. At the time of this meeting, the exchange ratio based on the then-current trading prices of each stock would have been 1.72 shares of Vulcan Common Stock for each share of Martin Marietta Common Stock.

Mr. James and Mr. Nye also discussed the potential issues that would be anticipated to arise with respect to obtaining required antitrust approvals for the proposed transaction, including potential overlaps in assets and markets between Vulcan and Martin Marietta. Mr. James and Mr. Nye discussed the fact that it could be difficult to sell a large quantity of assets in the then-depressed construction market on the timeline that they would expect to be mandated by the U.S. Department of Justice and the Federal Trade Commission in connection with the antitrust approval process. The discussion also included concerns regarding forced divestiture of assets at unattractive valuations and how the impact of the divestiture process, including with respect to cash tax costs and loss of quality assets to competitors, would impact the value of the combined entity and cash margins going forward. Mr. James described Vulcan's experience in dealing with the Department of Justice on the Florida Rock transaction and noted that an analysis of a transaction between Martin Marietta and Vulcan was

unlikely to be less rigorous. Mr. James noted that both the U.S. Department of Justice and the Federal Trade Commission had recently publicly suggested the "hold separate" process should be severely limited for acquirers, which development could put additional stress and time pressure on a sale process for any overlapping assets required to be divested.

Mr. James proposed that, as an alternative to divestitures via sale to third parties, the parties explore a potential spin-off of a newly created vehicle which would hold any assets that were required to be divested, a transaction that could be tax-free to Vulcan and Martin Marietta's existing shareholders. The potential for minimizing the significant cash tax costs that would result from taxable divestitures made such an approach an intriguing possibility for managing the regulatory obstacles in an economically efficient manner. Mr. James suggested that certain executives of Vulcan and Martin Marietta might be willing to remain with and manage the divested entity following the spin-off. Mr. Nye and Mr. James agreed to convene a working group, including the general counsels of each of Martin Marietta and Vulcan and each company's respective outside antitrust advisors, to review the potential antitrust approval process for the proposed transaction and to propose potential solutions to any anticipated issues.

Mr. Nye and Mr. James also discussed at this meeting the need to create a process to identify and calculate synergies from the potential transaction in a way that was both realistic and credible.

Mr. James proposed that, following the potential combination, Mr. Nye and Mr. James share executive authority for the combined company, with all operational units reporting to Mr. Nye and corporate staff functions reporting to Mr. James. Mr. James stated his belief that this structure would be most conducive to a successful integration of the two companies, in part due to Mr. Nye's then very short tenure as the chief executive officer of a public company, and offered to retire from the chief executive officer role at the conclusion of this integration process. Mr. Nye rejected this proposal, stating that the Martin Marietta board would require Mr. Nye to immediately become the chief executive officer of the combined company.

Mr. James and Mr. Nye also discussed at the same meeting potential names and headquarters locations for the combined company.

At the conclusion of the April 22, 2010 meeting, Mr. Nye and Mr. James agreed to continue discussions regarding the potential transaction at a later date. Following this meeting, Mr. Nye and Mr. James spoke periodically regarding next steps in the discussion process.

On May 3, 2010, Martin Marietta and Vulcan entered into a letter agreement that provided for the confidentiality of information exchanged between the parties, limitations on the use of information and other terms.

On May 11, 2010, Mr. Nye and Mr. James spoke further by telephone regarding a potential combination of Vulcan and Martin Marietta. During this conversation, Mr. Nye and Mr. James discussed the appropriate exchange ratio in a potential stock-for-stock transaction. Mr. Nye indicated that Martin Marietta would require a premium over the at-the-market exchange ratio between the two companies. Mr. James reiterated Vulcan's position that any transaction should be done at an at-the-market exchange ratio, with potential benefits of the transaction accruing equally to both companies' shareholders through post-merger synergies. At the time of this telephone conversation, the at-the-market exchange ratio was 1.67 shares of Vulcan Common Stock for each share of Martin Marietta Common Stock.

Mr. Nye and Mr. James revisited their earlier discussions regarding the process of estimating synergies that would arise from a combination of Martin Marietta and Vulcan, including an analysis of cost reductions each company had previously achieved, or could achieve in the future, in the absence of a transaction. Mr. Nye and Mr. James agreed to delegate responsibility for identifying and calculating

such potential synergies to Anne Lloyd, the Chief Financial Officer of Martin Marietta, and Dan Sansone, the Chief Financial Officer of Vulcan.

On May 14, 2010, at a regularly scheduled meeting of the Vulcan Board, Mr. James updated the Vulcan Board on his initial discussions with Mr. Nye. The Vulcan Board suggested that Mr. James continue his discussions with Mr. Nye to determine if a transaction was possible on terms the Vulcan Board would consider attractive.

Mr. James and Mr. Nye continued to speak to each other periodically during May 2010 regarding the process of evaluating a potential transaction, and agreed to authorize members of their respective legal and financial teams to further explore some of the issues related to a potential business combination.

On May 19, 2010, representatives of Vulcan, Martin Marietta and their respective counsels met in New York, New York to explore the size and nature of possible asset divestitures in any transaction, and in particular the feasibility of accomplishing any such divestitures through a tax-efficient structure. Present at the meeting were Robert Wason, general counsel of Vulcan, representatives of Vulcan's tax department, Roselyn Bar, general counsel of Martin Marietta, and representatives of Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, which we refer to as Wachtell Lipton, Vulcan's counsel, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom, Martin Marietta's counsel, and McDermott Will & Emery, which we refer to as McDermott, Martin Marietta's antitrust counsel. The parties agreed that the meeting was pursuant to an oral common interest, joint defense and confidentiality agreement, to be memorialized in a signed writing as soon as possible. A written common interest, joint defense and confidentiality agreement was signed by the general counsels of Martin Marietta and Vulcan, as well as outside antitrust counsel for each on May 26, 2010, dated as of May 18, 2010 per agreement of the parties. Attendees at the May 19, 2010 meeting discussed the potential issues that might arise in an antitrust review of a combination between Martin Marietta and Vulcan, tax-related issues that might arise from any mandated divestitures, and alternative structures that might be available for a spin-off of the assets to be divested. The teams identified several issues as requiring further evaluation, and agreed to continue their work.

On May 24, 2010, antitrust attorneys from McDermott met with antitrust attorneys from Wachtell Lipton in New York, New York to analyze the potential antitrust issues on a market, quarry, pit and yard level. The attorneys shared their respective clients' proprietary and competitively sensitive data, as well as the attorneys' work product and legal analyses. The purpose of the meeting was to arrive at a joint, detailed analysis of the antitrust risk presented by a potential merger of Martin Marietta and Vulcan. Representatives of McDermott forwarded proposed lists of properties at risk of having to be divested to Wachtell Lipton shortly after the meeting.

On May 25, 2010, Ms. Lloyd and Mr. Sansone met in Atlanta to discuss the process of evaluating potential cost synergies that could be achieved by a combined company. Ms. Lloyd sent Mr. Sansone Martin Marietta's revised initial synergy estimate, which did not include any supporting detail and appeared to have been developed based on an estimate of the order of magnitude of synergies which might be anticipated for a strategic merger involving companies of the approximate size and type of Vulcan and Martin Marietta. Mr. Sansone suggested, and Ms. Lloyd agreed, that specific operating and organizational plans for a combined company should be used in a more rigorous process to develop a more reliable estimate. Mr. Sansone and Ms. Lloyd discussed the appropriate methodology to continue their evaluation, and agreed on a series of next steps, including involving their respective corporate controllers in the process.

On May 26, 2010, representatives of Wachtell Lipton and representatives of McDermott participated in a telephone conversation with representatives of the U.S. Department of Justice regarding the feasibility of a spin-off of any assets required to be divested in connection with a potential transaction.

After further evaluation of this option, including consideration of tax-related issues and discussions of the guidance received from the Department of Justice, Vulcan concluded that the spin-off option would not be viable and that as a result, any assets required to be divested in connection with a transaction would have to be sold to third parties in a taxable transaction in the short timeframe mandated by the antitrust agencies.

On June 4, 2010, in connection with the follow-up work from the May 26 meeting, after exchanging drafts and comments, McDermott and Wachtell Lipton subsequently agreed on a final list of properties at high risk of divestiture and agreed to forward such list to their respective clients.

On June 16, 2010, Mr. Nye and Mr. James met in New York, New York where both were in attendance at investor conferences to continue their discussions regarding a possible combination of Vulcan and Martin Marietta. At this meeting, Mr. James advised Mr. Nye that at the current at-the-market exchange ratio and in light of the conclusions of the team analyzing potential divestiture options, a proposed merger of equals was financially unattractive to Vulcan unless there were substantial tangible synergies achievable in the proposed transaction beyond the cost reductions that Vulcan believed it could achieve on its own that would offset the anticipated costs and impact on the combined company from mandated asset divestitures. At the time of this meeting, the exchange ratio had moved in favor of Martin Marietta to 1.95 shares of Vulcan Common Stock for each share of Martin Marietta Common Stock.

At this meeting, Mr. James informed Mr. Nye that Vulcan no longer believed a spin-off was a viable divestiture option, and of his concerns regarding the potential realizable sale prices for divested assets that would consequently have to be sold to third parties. In addition, Mr. James expressed concern with the potential tax consequences of such sales. Mr. James and Mr. Nye discussed potential buyers for assets that might need to be divested and how such transactions might be accomplished. Mr. James reported on the difficulty Vulcan experienced in selling a relatively smaller pool of assets in the Florida Rock transaction in the timeframe mandated by the U.S. Department of Justice.

Mr. Nye and Mr. James also discussed the status of the synergy evaluation process being led by Ms. Lloyd and Mr. Sansone and the tax evaluation process.

During the same meeting, Mr. Nye reiterated his position that the Martin Marietta board of directors would require that Mr. Nye immediately be named the CEO of the combined company. Mr. James repeated his suggestion from the April 22, 2010 meeting that a transitional management structure with Mr. Nye and Mr. James sharing executive responsibilities would be a more appropriate arrangement to get through the transition and integration of the two companies.

On July 9, 2010, at a regularly scheduled meeting of the Vulcan Board, Mr. James updated the Vulcan Board in executive session on the status of his discussions with Martin Marietta. Mr. James and Mr. Nye continued to speak periodically during this period about the process of evaluating a potential transaction.

On October 3, 2010, Mr. Nye and Mr. James met briefly at an industry event in San Diego, California. Mr. James informed Mr. Nye that, based on current trading prices, a transaction at an at-the-market exchange ratio remained unattractive to Vulcan unless there were specific, rigorously developed synergy estimates available that would make the transaction more beneficial to Vulcan shareholders. At the time of this meeting, the exchange ratio based on the then-current trading prices of each stock would have been 2.08 shares of Vulcan Common Stock for each share of Martin Marietta Common Stock. Mr. Nye and Mr. James agreed that the synergy work being done by Ms. Lloyd and Mr. Sansone should be completed as soon as possible in order to further their discussions. Mr. Nye suggested that Mr. James consider deferring internal cost reductions by Vulcan so that such savings could be counted as synergies from the potential transaction. Mr. James did not agree to this request.

On October 8, 2010, Mr. James updated the Vulcan Board of Directors in executive session on the status of discussions with Martin Marietta.

On October 21, 2010, Ms. Lloyd, Mr. Sansone, Dana Guzzo, the controller and chief accounting officer of Martin Marietta, and Ejaz Khan, the controller, chief accounting officer and chief information officer of Vulcan, met to continue the process of estimating potential cost synergies from a combination of Vulcan and Martin Marietta. The participants agreed to continue their work using additional agreed-upon procedures in order to permit more targeted analysis.

On November 13, 2010, Mr. Nye and Mr. James met in Raleigh, North Carolina, to continue their discussions, including a discussion of possible approaches to arrive at a workable exchange ratio (given that the current market ratio had moved to 2.12 shares of Vulcan Common Stock for each share of Martin Marietta Common Stock based on then-current trading prices). Mr. James and Mr. Nye discussed whether there could be possible solutions to mitigate potential loss of value related to asset divestitures that might be required in order to obtain antitrust approval of the proposed transaction.

Mr. James proposed a potential allocation of executive responsibilities by function rather than by title between Mr. James and Mr. Nye, in the interests of most effectively managing the transition and integration phase of the transaction, and discussed possible options with respect to the composition of the board of the combined company.

Mr. Nye and Mr. James also discussed the status of the synergy estimate being prepared by Ms. Lloyd and Mr. Sansone, and agreed to meet again after the estimate had been prepared.

On December 10, 2010, Mr. James updated the Vulcan Board of Directors in executive session on the status of discussions with Martin Marietta.

On March 8, 2011, Mr. Sansone, Mr. Khan, Ms. Lloyd and Ms. Guzzo met to further discuss the potential cost synergies that might be realized from a business combination. Based on their work to date, the parties agreed that there were potential cost savings of approximately \$101 million that could result from organizational consolidation, reduction of headcount, and elimination of redundant functions. The parties further agreed that there were efficiencies in Vulcan's existing procurement practices relative to Martin Marietta's existing practices that could result in approximately \$15 million to \$25 million in cost savings. The parties agreed on a list of additional types of potential cost savings to be analyzed at a later date, to which they agreed to attribute \$10 million to \$25 million in potential synergies as a placeholder estimate. Based on their work to date, the parties agreed to report to their respective chief executive officers that there were likely total possible synergies of between \$125 million and \$150 million, including the \$10 million to \$25 million placeholder estimate for potential additional synergies that might be subsequently identified. Ms. Lloyd stated a belief that further plant production cost savings may have been available related to operational expenses. Mr. Sansone questioned whether significant synergies were achievable in this area, and suggested that each company involve an executive with operational expertise in the synergy estimation process in order to permit informed analysis of any such potential synergies. Ms. Lloyd agreed to discuss the matter with Mr. Nye.

Following the meeting on March 8, 2011, Ms. Lloyd sent Mr. Sansone a revised estimate of total potential synergies of \$175 million, including an additional estimated amount based on Martin Marietta's application of a fixed percentage reduction to the existing spending levels of each of Vulcan and Martin Marietta.

Mr. Sansone subsequently informed Mr. James of the agreed-upon estimate of between \$125 million and \$150 million, and informed him of Martin Marietta's proposal that an estimate of \$175 million be substituted for the agreed estimate. The difference between the agreed upon range of synergies and the \$175 million proposed by Ms. Lloyd represented the amount necessary to achieve a predetermined percentage reduction in expenses. Mr. Sansone stated that he was unable to support Martin Marietta's proposed higher estimate, based on the work done as of such date.

On April 5, 2011, Mr. James and Mr. Nye met in New York, New York, where both were attending investor conferences, to continue their discussions. Mr. James observed that the at-the-market exchange ratio (then equal to 1.95 shares of Vulcan Common Stock for each share of Martin Marietta Common Stock) was still not at a level that made an at-the-market deal financially attractive to Vulcan, in light of the current estimate of potential synergies and the potential required asset divestitures. Mr. James also reiterated his ongoing concerns about the risks and costs of potentially mandated asset divestitures of high-quality assets, including the large tax costs, loss of cash margins, and the unfavorable terms Vulcan and Martin Marietta might be forced to accept in order to sell the affected assets in the mandated timeframe.

Mr. James informed Mr. Nye that he believed the best available estimate of potential cost synergies was between \$125 million to \$150 million, based on the report from Mr. Sansone, and that Vulcan disagreed with Martin Marietta's suggestion to increase the number based on additional estimated percentage reductions which were not supported by any specific analysis. Mr. James and Mr. Nye also discussed the level of cash costs arising from the transaction, including the hundreds of millions in cash taxes from the sale of assets and other costs that could be paid by both companies in connection with a potential transaction.

Mr. Nye and Mr. James again discussed appropriate allocation of management responsibilities between Vulcan executives and Martin Marietta executives, including the allocation of staff functions and operating functions between Mr. James and Mr. Nye through the transition period. They also continued to discuss the appropriate composition of the board of directors of the combined company through the transition period.

Mr. James and Mr. Nye had additional telephone conversations following the March 18, 2011 meeting, including a call on April 19, 2011, which included further discussion of potential management structures of a combined company, during which Mr. Nye continued to assert that the Martin Marietta board of directors would insist that Mr. Nye be the initial chief executive officer of the combined company.

On April 26, 2011, Mr. Nye and Mr. James spoke by telephone. Mr. James informed Mr. Nye that the current at-the-market exchange ratio of 2.07 shares of Vulcan Common Stock for each share of Martin Marietta Common Stock was still not acceptable to Vulcan, in light of all other facts regarding a potential transaction. Mr. James reminded Mr. Nye of Vulcan's experience with required divestitures within a government-mandated timeframe in connection with the Florida Rock transaction, and Mr. Nye and Mr. James discussed possible means to mitigate value loss from potential mandated asset divestitures.

Based on Vulcan's experience following two prior public company mergers, Mr. James suggested that the parties plan to form a dedicated integration team in connection with any transaction, which team would remain in place for 24 months following the transaction closing to focus on building regional management teams and integrating key operational and administrative functions. Mr. James further suggested a shared management structure in which Mr. Nye would manage all of the combined company's operating businesses following a business combination, as well as business development, operations support and investor relations, with Mr. James managing the remaining staff functions. Mr. Nye maintained that the Martin Marietta board of directors would require that he be the CEO of the combined company immediately upon closing.

On May 13, 2011, at a regularly scheduled meeting of the Vulcan Board, Mr. James updated the Vulcan Board in executive session on the status of discussions with Martin Marietta.

On June 27, 2011, Mr. James and Mr. Nye met in Atlanta to continue discussions regarding a potential transaction. Mr. James advised Mr. Nye that Vulcan did not consider a transaction to be beneficial to Vulcan shareholders at the current at-the-market exchange ratio (then 2.07 shares of

Vulcan Common Stock for each share of Martin Marietta Common Stock), in light of all the current circumstances. Mr. Nye reiterated his position that Martin Marietta would only be interested in a transaction in which Martin Marietta shareholders received a premium to the at-the-market exchange ratio, which Mr. James said was not of interest to Vulcan. Mr. Nye informed Mr. James that he would be willing to agree to allow Mr. James to serve as the chief executive officer of the combined company for a one-year period, after which Mr. Nye would succeed him.

Mr. James reiterated his continuing concern with the potential impact of mandated asset divestitures under then-current market conditions. In addition, Mr. James stated his belief that a significant portion of the value of the potential synergies identified by Ms. Lloyd and Mr. Sansone's team could be achieved by Vulcan on a stand-alone basis for Vulcan shareholders, and that the remaining identified synergies were not sufficient to make a deal financially viable from Vulcan's perspective in light of the other factors impacting value.

Mr. James informed Mr. Nye that he did not believe further discussions at such time were likely to resolve the open issues. Mr. Nye asked Mr. James to call him to resume discussions if Vulcan's position changed. Mr. James said that he would do so.

From time to time following the June 27, 2011 meeting, Mr. James and Mr. Nye have encountered each other at various industry conferences or other events and have spoken to each other with respect to matters unrelated to a combination of Vulcan and Martin Marietta. Mr. James and Mr. Nye have also had discussions about various transactions, including ordinary course sales of assets, in each case unrelated to a combination of Vulcan and Martin Marietta.

On July 8, 2011, at a regularly scheduled meeting of the Vulcan Board, Mr. James reported to the Vulcan Board in executive session that the discussions with Martin Marietta had ceased because Vulcan and Martin Marietta were unable to reach agreement on an exchange ratio that was financially attractive in light of the estimated potential synergies and expectations around the antitrust approval process and related impact on the business.

On October 12, 2011, Martin Marietta announced that it had entered into a definitive agreement with Lafarge North America Inc. for an exchange of assets, pursuant to which Lafarge would receive cash and quarries and distribution yards operated by Martin Marietta along the Mississippi River and Martin Marietta would receive aggregates quarry sites, ready mixed concrete and asphalt plants, and a road paving business in the metropolitan Denver, Colorado region.

On December 9, 2011, at a regularly scheduled meeting of the Vulcan Board, the Vulcan Board approved a plan to reduce costs by restructuring Vulcan's operating divisions. These restructuring measures had been previously considered at a regularly scheduled meeting of the Vulcan Board on October 14, 2011.

On December 12, 2011, Martin Marietta commenced the unsolicited Offer and initiated litigation proceedings against Vulcan in Delaware and New Jersey. On the same date, Mr. Nye delivered a letter to Mr. James and the Vulcan Board advising them of the Offer, the key aspects of the proposal and the reasons therefor. Martin Marietta also delivered to Vulcan a proposed form of merger agreement and submitted various other requests.

Later in the morning of December 12, Vulcan issued a press release requesting that its shareholders take no action in response to the Offer and informing its shareholders that the Vulcan Board, in consultation with its independent financial and legal advisors, intended to advise shareholders of its recommendation regarding the Offer within ten business days by making available to shareholders and filing with the SEC a solicitation/recommendation statement on Schedule 14D-9.

In the afternoon of December 12, 2011, the Vulcan Board met by telephone to review the Offer and the actions taken by Martin Marietta with Goldman, Sachs & Co., which was retained as financial

advisor to Vulcan (and which we refer to as Goldman Sachs), Wachtell Lipton and senior management. After a review of the day's developments and past discussions with Martin Marietta, preliminary discussion ensued as to the basic elements of the proposed Offer and next steps. The Board determined to reconvene telephonically on Friday, December 16 and then in person on Monday, December 19.

On December 16, 2011, the Vulcan Board met by telephone with representatives of Wachtell Lipton and senior management to, among other things, review financial information, including five-year forecasts and related materials, prepared by Vulcan management, and to discuss litigation issues.

On December 16, 2011, Vulcan filed a memorandum of law in opposition to Martin Marietta's order to show cause related to scheduling matters in the lawsuit proceeding in the Superior Court of New Jersey.

On December 16, 2011, Martin Marietta notified Vulcan that they will be filing a Premerger Notification and Report Form pursuant to the HSR Act with respect to the Offer.

On December 17, 2011, Mr. Nye delivered a letter to Mr. James and the Vulcan Board commenting on the memorandum of law filed by Vulcan in the Superior Court of New Jersey on December 16, 2011.

On December 19, 2011, the Vulcan Board met in person to further review the Offer in consultation with its financial and legal advisors and determine its recommendation to shareholders. During this meeting Goldman Sachs discussed its financial analysis of the offer, and rendered an oral opinion to the Vulcan Board, subsequently confirmed in writing, that as of December 19, 2011 and based upon and subject to the factors and assumptions set forth in the written opinion, the consideration proposed to be paid to the holders of Vulcan Common Stock (other than Martin Marietta and its affiliates) pursuant to the Offer was inadequate from a financial point of view to such holders. After discussion and deliberation, the Vulcan Board unanimously determined that the Offer is not in the best interests of Vulcan and its shareholders. Accordingly, the Vulcan Board unanimously determined to recommend that the Vulcan shareholders reject the Offer and not tender their Vulcan Common Stock into the Offer. The full text of the written opinion of Goldman Sachs, dated December 19, 2011, which sets forth the assumptions made, procedures followed, matters considered and limitations on the review undertaken in connection with such opinion, is attached as Annex B. Goldman Sachs provided its opinion for the information and assistance of the Vulcan Board in connection with the Vulcan Board's consideration of the Offer, and the opinion is not a recommendation as to whether or not any holder of Vulcan Common Stock should tender such shares of Vulcan Common Stock in connection with the Offer or any other matter.

On December 19, 2011, Vulcan commenced litigation against Martin Marietta in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama, Southern Division in connection with the Offer.

On December 20, 2011, Vulcan answered Martin Marietta's complaint and filed counterclaims against Martin Marietta in the action pending in Delaware.

### ***Reasons for Recommendation***

The Vulcan Board has unanimously concluded that the Offer is not in the best interests of Vulcan and its shareholders. Accordingly, shareholders are advised to reject the Offer and not tender their shares of Vulcan Common Stock.

In reaching this conclusion and in making its recommendation, the Vulcan Board consulted with its financial and legal advisors and management and took into account numerous factors, including but not limited to the reasons described below.

**(I) The Offer is disadvantageous to Vulcan shareholders and substantially undervalues Vulcan and its future prospects.**

The Vulcan Board believes Vulcan is significantly better positioned than Martin Marietta to create shareholder value as the economy improves, that the proposed transaction would likely dilute rather than enhance value for Vulcan shareholders, and is skeptical of the purported financial benefits of a combination.

- *Vulcan's reserve positions and operating facilities are located in attractive markets that have a higher long-term growth potential than those of Martin Marietta.* Vulcan has strategic positions in high-growth markets. Population growth has been a primary driver of demand for construction aggregates, and the Vulcan Board believes Vulcan is well-positioned to take advantage of increases in population in the higher-growth areas of the U.S. As of December 2011, Vulcan has significant reserve positions and operating facilities serving eighteen of the top twenty-five metropolitan areas in the U.S. based on absolute population growth in the current decade, compared to less than ten for Martin Marietta. Vulcan's top five states in terms of revenue (California, Florida, Texas, Georgia and Virginia) are expected to account for 52% of absolute U.S. population growth between 2010 and 2020. Such strategic reserve and market positioning should enable Vulcan to more quickly benefit from increased activity in these regions, with a corresponding benefit to Vulcan shareholders. Vulcan's asset base and strategic position have been built over decades and would be immensely difficult to replicate, strengthening Vulcan's value proposition. The Vulcan Board is confident in Vulcan's ability to adapt to changing conditions because it has spent the requisite years building goodwill and reputation in the markets it serves and developing networks of quarries and distribution facilities to serve those markets.
- *Vulcan historically emerges from recessionary periods with significant upside.* Vulcan has consistently demonstrated an ability to capitalize on economic recoveries by growing rapidly and in a disciplined fashion while maintaining its profit margins. Vulcan achieved compound annual growth rates in operating earnings of 26%, 47%, and 21%, respectively, over four-year economic recovery periods beginning at the end of each of the recession years of 1982, 1991 and 2002. Vulcan's strong past performance in recovery periods is reflected in current Wall Street equity research analyst consensus forecasts for Vulcan and Martin Marietta. Based on such consensus forecasts, Vulcan's estimated EBITDA is projected to rise sharply by 68% between 2011 and 2013, while Martin Marietta's projected EBITDA growth is only 26% for the same period. Vulcan's volume recovery in same-store aggregates shipments has matched or exceeded the previous peak in every downturn in the last five economic cycles. The fact that the strength of Vulcan's volume recovery has been correlated with the depth of the decline in a given downturn shows how Vulcan has been able to capitalize on its strategic position to emerge even stronger after difficult economic times. Vulcan's business base includes segments such as cement and ready-mix concrete, which industry experts anticipate will rebound materially, and should contribute to a significant improvement in Vulcan's operating results, as private residential and non-residential construction spending rises.

It is the Board's belief that Vulcan and its shareholders are poised to realize significant benefits as the economy emerges from the worst recession since the Great Depression, underscoring the opportunistic nature of the Offer. Over the short and long term, Vulcan's Board believes Vulcan is much better positioned than Martin Marietta is to reap the gains of economic recovery, and that the Offer would dilute, rather than enhance, those gains for Vulcan shareholders.

- *Vulcan has managed its aggregates business to greater unit profitability than Martin Marietta.* Vulcan has been able to maintain operating efficiencies in the face of significant declines in demand, evidencing Vulcan's disciplined approach. In the twelve months ended September 30, 2011,

Vulcan's aggregates segment has generated \$3.98 of cash gross profit per ton, \$0.87 higher per ton as compared to Martin Marietta's aggregates segment due to achieving unit cash cost per ton of aggregates that is \$0.69 per ton lower than Martin Marietta's.

Reducing selling, administrative and general ("SAG") costs has also been a key focus for Vulcan. Over the past five years, Vulcan has reduced total SAG more than Martin Marietta has on an absolute basis, demonstrating Vulcan's commitment to cost savings.

- *The premium implied by the Offer is very low, and its realization is subject to significant uncertainty.* The premium to Vulcan shareholders based on the last closing prices of the two companies prior to the announcement of the Offer is approximately 9.3%. This premium offered is significantly lower than premiums offered in previous transactions in the construction materials industry. In addition, the value of the consideration that would be received in the Offer will decline if the market price of Martin Marietta common stock declines, and the failure of the combined company to achieve the results predicted by Martin Marietta would negatively impact Vulcan shareholders. As noted above, the Vulcan Board has significant concerns regarding Martin Marietta's growth prospects and future performance relative to Vulcan's.

## **(II) The transaction proposed by Martin Marietta would not enhance shareholder value in the future.**

As discussed in the "—Background of the Offer" section above, the Vulcan Board had previously explored, and determined not to further pursue, a business combination with Martin Marietta. Due to several critical defects of the transaction proposed in the Offer, the proposed combination is not in the best interests of Vulcan and its shareholders on the terms proposed, and an acquisition of Vulcan by Martin Marietta would likely reduce, rather than enhance, shareholder value. The defects that erode the value of the Offer include the following considerations:

- *Value-destructive divestitures would harm the financial results of a combined company.* In order to clear antitrust review by the DOJ, the Vulcan Board believes, based on Vulcan's analysis and prior experiences, that the DOJ will insist on the divestiture of high-quality Vulcan assets located in key markets, likely at unattractive valuations due to market conditions and being implemented on a compressed sale timeline, resulting in reduced cash margins for the combined company and significant cash tax costs. Such divestitures are inevitable in a combination of the two largest U.S. producers of construction aggregates with overlapping markets in eleven states, and, in the Vulcan Board's view, the antitrust climate has only become more challenging since the earlier consideration of a potential business combination with Martin Marietta, increasing the likelihood of required divestitures. Notwithstanding Martin Marietta's claims of certainty that they will find willing buyers, required divestitures, implemented on a tight timeframe in the midst of an economic downturn and trough period for the industry, are likely to yield unattractive sales proceeds, as well as result in significant cash tax costs in light of the low tax basis in the assets.
- *Martin Marietta's projected synergy claims are aggressive and subject to substantial execution risks.* Vulcan management has significant experience with large-scale public company acquisitions and integration and has, in conjunction with Martin Marietta's management, rigorously analyzed at a granular level the savings realistically achievable in a combination with Martin Marietta. As a result, the Board views with significant skepticism Martin Marietta's new claims that annual cost synergies of between \$200 to \$250 million would be achieved from a combination of Vulcan and Martin Marietta. It is also the Board's view that some of the synergies realistically achievable in a business combination with Martin Marietta would be offset by the substantial loss of value resulting from DOJ divestitures. In addition, as Martin Marietta has acknowledged, the achievement of any meaningful synergies would also require incurring significant upfront costs,

including significant employee severance costs, and be subject to integration and other execution risks.

- *Vulcan is achieving synergy benefits on a stand-alone basis.* A significant portion of the value of the realistically achievable synergies from a combination of Vulcan and Martin Marietta could be accomplished by the companies on a stand-alone basis. Vulcan is already executing on an ongoing plan to reduce overhead costs and increase operating efficiency. For example, Vulcan recognized, well prior to the commencement of the Offer, that restructuring its operating divisions could result in significant value to Vulcan shareholders and is in the process of consolidating its eight divisions into four operating regions. The Board had preliminarily reviewed this restructuring proposal, which management had begun developing earlier in the year, at its October 14, 2011 regularly scheduled meeting and approved the initiative on December 9, 2011 at the following regularly scheduled meeting. This restructuring of Vulcan is expected to generate ongoing annualized pre-tax cost savings of approximately \$30 million, and is in addition to approximately \$25 million in annual pre-tax overhead reductions already implemented in 2011. This restructuring will allow Vulcan to further leverage its significant recent investments in technology to replace Vulcan's legacy IT and financial reporting systems. The benefits from such technology investments are occurring and are expected to be fully realized beginning in 2013. The recent Board action and other cost reduction initiatives are expected to reduce future annual SAG costs by approximately \$55 million when fully implemented by the beginning of 2013. As a result of all these actions, since the acquisition of Florida Rock Industries, Inc. in 2007, Vulcan will have reduced annual SAG by \$118 million. Achieving such cost savings on a stand-alone basis benefits Vulcan shareholders without diluting and sharing the resulting value with shareholders of Martin Marietta.
- *The proposed transaction would have significant opportunity costs for Vulcan and its shareholders, potentially precluding or foreclosing other value-creating alternatives or initiatives.* Vulcan's asset base is unique and difficult to replicate, making Vulcan a particularly attractive partner to those seeking business opportunities in the industry. The proposed transaction would in the Vulcan Board's view restrict Vulcan's strategic flexibility to implement targeted, well-timed and value-enhancing initiatives, including asset sales, investments, business partnerships and other transactions.

**(III) The timing of the Offer is opportunistic, seeking to exploit cyclical lows.**

The Vulcan Board believes that the timing of the Offer is disadvantageous to Vulcan shareholders.

- *The Offer seeks to exploit a historic downturn in U.S. construction spending.* Both U.S. housing starts and private non-residential construction contract awards have declined sharply. U.S. residential housing starts, measured on an annual basis, peaked in the twelve months ended February 2006 and declined 73% through the twelve months ended September 30, 2011. Private non-residential construction contract awards, measured on a square footage and annual basis, peaked in the twelve months ended October 2007 and declined 69% through the twelve months ended September 30, 2011. While this sustained cyclical downturn has depressed Vulcan's stock price, Vulcan anticipates its earnings will rebound significantly as economic conditions and construction spending improve, as discussed in more detail above.
- *The timing of the Offer exploits a ten-year trough position in the trading prices of Vulcan Common Stock.* Martin Marietta chose to launch its unsolicited Offer at a time when Vulcan Common Stock was trading near a ten-year low. Shares of Vulcan common stock have traded above the implied value of the Offer as of December 21, 2011 for 85% of trading days during the ten years preceding the announcement of the Offer.

- *The Exchange Ratio is significantly lower than historical levels between the companies.* The historical exchange ratio between Vulcan Common Stock and Martin Marietta Common Stock has exceeded the Exchange Ratio for 77.5% of trading days in the five years preceding the announcement of the Offer. The Vulcan Board believes that the timing of the Offer makes Martin Marietta's proposed consideration appear artificially attractive in advance of an industry recovery.

**(IV) The Offer is illegal.**

Prior to launching the Offer, Martin Marietta obtained from Vulcan highly sensitive, material, non-public and confidential information pursuant to a letter agreement covering confidentiality, use restrictions and other terms, dated as of May 3, 2010, between the parties and a common interest, joint defense and confidentiality agreement, dated as of May 18, 2010, between the parties and between their respective counsel. Each of these agreements contains, among other things, strict prohibitions on misusing and improperly disclosing confidential information. Martin Marietta's use and disclosure of Vulcan's material, non-public and confidential information in connection with the Offer is, in the Vulcan Board's view, a material breach of these agreements and a violation of federal securities laws, including laws that prohibit transacting in securities on the basis of material, non-public information and fraudulent, deceptive and manipulative acts or practices. Vulcan has commenced litigation in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama, Southern Division and has filed a counterclaim in the Delaware Court of Chancery to enforce its rights under these agreements and the federal securities laws and accordingly to enjoin the Offer, as described further under "Item 8. Additional Information—Litigation."

**(V) The Offer is subject to regulatory and antitrust uncertainty as well as other sources of substantial risk.**

The Vulcan Board believes that the numerous conditions set forth in the Offer and the required antitrust approvals create significant uncertainty and risk as to when or whether the Offer can be completed. Based on Vulcan's analysis and prior experiences with acquisitions, the Vulcan Board anticipates that the DOJ will require the divestiture of significant, high-quality and highly profitable assets on a compressed timeframe, creating value destruction and reducing potential synergies.

- *The Offer is subject to significant regulatory uncertainty.* The proposed transaction would result in the combination of the two largest U.S. producers of construction aggregates, both of whom operate in a number of overlapping markets. Accordingly, the Offer and proposed transactions are almost certain to be subject to rigorous and lengthy antitrust review, and it is anticipated that Martin Marietta will receive a "second request" for additional information in connection with the proposed transaction. In addition to presenting a significant risk that Martin Marietta will fail to consummate the transaction, significant divestitures will almost certainly be required, with the combined company and shareholders having to bear the resulting value loss. The entire review under the HSR Act will likely take many months, with a substantial risk that it will take more than a year for Martin Marietta to obtain clearance from the DOJ. In addition to federal antitrust authorities, it is likely that one or more state attorneys general will investigate the transaction either independently or in conjunction with the DOJ. The lengthy offer period selected by Martin Marietta, over six months from the launch of the Offer with additional rights to extend, confirms that it does not expect to be able to consummate the transactions quickly. Martin Marietta has not committed to address the substantial antitrust issues that their offer raises and has effectively proposed nothing more than granting it an option to purchase Vulcan at a low price, or simply walk away if it changes its mind.

By the terms of Martin Marietta's offer, Martin Marietta is permitted to terminate the Offer if any governmental authority seeks to (or Martin Marietta deems that they are reasonably likely

to seek to) require that either Vulcan or Martin Marietta dispose of any assets, which is certain in the course of the antitrust review process. Martin Marietta is fully aware of this fact, as it was the divestiture buyer of assets that the DOJ recently prohibited from being combined with Vulcan assets in connection with Vulcan's Florida Rock acquisition. Nothing material has changed in these markets that would create a realistic possibility that the DOJ would change its mind and allow these assets to be combined in Martin Marietta's proposed transaction and, in fact, the antitrust climate has only become more challenging. In addition, Martin Marietta has not made the requisite assurances that it will take the required steps to achieve the necessary antitrust approvals and offered no termination fees in the event that the Offer fails to close for this reason. This exposes Vulcan shareholders to the significant risk that Martin Marietta will walk away from the transaction after putting Vulcan and its shareholders through a lengthy and expensive period of uncertainty and the accompanying lost opportunities and reputational harm.

- *The Offer's litany of conditions creates substantial risk of non-consummation.* The Offer, including the terms of the proposed merger agreement, is subject to numerous conditions, including, among others, the following (each of which are further described under Item 2 and in Annex A attached hereto):
  - the Merger Agreement Condition;
  - the U.S. Antitrust Condition;
  - the Minimum Tender Condition;
  - the Martin Marietta Shareholder Approval Condition;
  - the Due Diligence Condition;
  - the No Lawsuits or Investigations Condition;
  - the No Diminution of Benefits Condition;
  - the Regulatory Approvals Condition;
  - the No New Law or Governmental Action Condition;
  - the No Material Adverse Effect Condition;
  - the No War Condition;
  - the No Significant Change in Markets or the Economy Condition;
  - the No Competing Offer or Third Party Action Condition; and
  - the No Change in Vulcan Capitalization, Indebtedness or Constitutive Documents Condition.

These conditions create substantial uncertainty as to whether Martin Marietta would be required to consummate the Offer. In addition to Martin Marietta not being obligated to consummate the transaction if it is dissatisfied with its due diligence investigation (the Due Diligence Condition), many of these conditions appear to the Vulcan Board designed to provide easily triggered "outs" for Martin Marietta. All of the conditions may be invoked by Martin Marietta in its sole discretion, and many are beyond the control of Vulcan or Martin Marietta and are of questionable relevance to the Offer. For example, the "No Significant Change in Markets or the Economy Condition" would be triggered by a decline of more than 15% in any one of several major market and industry indices. Indeed, the relevant indices have each already declined 15% or more during certain periods in 2011. Similarly, if any person or group that already owns more than 5% of the Vulcan Common Stock were to acquire another 1% of the shares of Vulcan Common Stock (or if any non-5% holder or group were to acquire more than 5%), the No

Competing Offer or Third Party Action Condition would fail. Indeed, in light of Vulcan having entered into a credit agreement on December 15, 2011 providing for a new \$600 million asset-based lending credit facility, the "No Change in Vulcan Capitalization, Indebtedness or Constitutive Documents Condition" may have already been triggered, releasing Martin Marietta from any obligation to consummate the Offer, even if every one of the many other conditions were somehow ultimately satisfied. These uncertainties are of particular concern to the Vulcan Board because pursuing the contemplated transaction with Martin Marietta would likely be disruptive, causing uncertainty among current and potential employees, suppliers, customers, counterparties and other constituencies important to Vulcan's success. This heightens the competitive risk to Vulcan if the Offer is not consummated.

- *The Offer may be subject to financing risk.* While the Offer is not subject to a financing condition, Martin Marietta has acknowledged that the transactions may require it to refinance certain indebtedness of Vulcan, Martin Marietta, or both, under certain circumstances due to "change of control" provisions set forth in the publicly filed debt agreements. Martin Marietta has not proposed a definitive plan or sources of funds for any such required refinancing nor even a definitive structure for the transaction (and in fact has reserved the right to "elect to implement alternative structures") leaving the transaction's impact on the debt financing of Vulcan, Martin Marietta and the combined company highly uncertain. The transactions would likely require Martin Marietta to refinance certain existing bank lending facilities of Vulcan, Martin Marietta, or both, and may also require Vulcan to offer to repurchase up to the entire \$2.8 billion in principal amount of its senior notes and otherwise result in events of default under Vulcan indebtedness. Even if refinancing is available on favorable terms, the associated transaction costs may be considerable and would reduce the synergies of the transaction.

**(VI) The Vulcan Board has received an inadequacy opinion from Goldman Sachs.**

The Vulcan Board considered the fact that Goldman Sachs rendered an opinion to the Vulcan Board, subsequently confirmed in writing, that as of December 19, 2011, and based upon and subject to the factors and assumptions set forth in the written opinion, the consideration proposed to be paid to the holders of shares of Vulcan Common Stock (other than Martin Marietta or its affiliates) pursuant to the Offer was inadequate from a financial point of view to the shareholders. The full text of the written opinion of Goldman Sachs dated December 19, 2011, which sets forth the assumptions made, procedures followed, matters considered, and limitations on the review undertaken in connection with the opinion, is attached as Annex B. Goldman Sachs provided its opinion for the information and assistance of the Vulcan Board in connection with its consideration of the Offer. The opinion of Goldman Sachs is not a recommendation as to whether or not any holder of shares of Vulcan Common Stock should tender its shares of Vulcan Common Stock in connection with the Offer or any other matter.

**(VII) Martin Marietta's proposed transaction would be harmful to important Vulcan constituencies.**

In evaluating the Offer, the Vulcan Board also considered the effect that the proposed transactions would have on constituencies in addition to Vulcan's shareholders, including its employees and the communities in which Vulcan operates. Vulcan has demonstrated a longstanding commitment to the communities in which it operates and the residents of those communities through charitable works and giving, community service initiatives and other avenues for action and support. The Vulcan Board expects that, were the Offer and the related transactions to be consummated as proposed by Martin Marietta, the headquarters of the combined company would be moved out of Birmingham, Alabama, Vulcan's historical headquarters. Vulcan is one of the two largest (by market capitalization) public companies headquartered in Alabama. The Vulcan Board considered how the proposed transactions would exacerbate the drain of public company headquarters that Birmingham has experienced in the

past two decades, a trend that may affect the city's competitiveness and economic outlook, and would negatively impact the many organizations in Alabama that rely on Vulcan for leadership and support of various environmental, educational and charitable pursuits. Vulcan has also historically operated with sensitivity towards the unique nature of the construction aggregates business and the resulting imperative for best practices with respect to social responsibility. In addition, the Vulcan Board anticipates that a transaction on the terms proposed by Martin Marietta would result in sizable terminations of Vulcan employees.

\* \* \* \* \*

The foregoing discussion of the information and factors considered by the Vulcan Board is not meant to be exhaustive, but includes the material information, factors and analyses considered by the Board in reaching its conclusions and recommendations. The members of the Vulcan Board evaluated the various factors listed above in light of their knowledge of the business, financial condition and prospects of Vulcan and considered the advice of the Vulcan Board's financial and legal advisors. In light of the number and variety of factors that the Vulcan Board considered, the members of the Vulcan Board did not find it practicable to assign relative weights to the foregoing factors. However, the recommendation of the Vulcan Board was made after considering the totality of the information and factors involved. In addition, individual members of the Vulcan Board may have given different weight to different factors.

In light of the factors described above, the Vulcan Board, on behalf of Vulcan has unanimously determined that the Offer is not in the best interests of Vulcan's shareholders. **Therefore, the Vulcan Board unanimously recommends that Vulcan shareholders reject the Offer and NOT tender any of their shares to Martin Marietta for exchange pursuant to the Offer.**

#### *Intent to Tender*

To the knowledge of Vulcan after making reasonable inquiry, none of Vulcan's directors, executive officers, affiliates or subsidiaries intends to tender any shares of Vulcan Common Stock held of record or beneficially owned by such person pursuant to the Offer.

#### **Item 5. Persons/Assets Retained, Employed, Compensated or Used**

Vulcan has retained Goldman, Sachs & Co. in connection with, among other things, Vulcan's analysis and consideration of, and response to, the Offer. Vulcan will pay Goldman Sachs customary fees for its services, reimburse it for certain of its expenses (including fees and disbursements of its legal counsel), and indemnify it and certain related persons against certain liabilities relating to or arising out of the engagement. Vulcan retained Goldman Sachs as its financial advisor because it is an internationally recognized investment banking firm that has substantial experience in situations similar to the Offer, expertise in the aggregates industry and knowledge of Vulcan's business.

Vulcan has engaged MacKenzie Partners, Inc. ("MacKenzie") to assist it in connection with Vulcan's communications with its shareholders in connection with the Offer. Vulcan has agreed to pay customary compensation to MacKenzie for such services. In addition, Vulcan has agreed to reimburse MacKenzie for its reasonable out-of-pocket expenses and to indemnify it and certain related persons against certain liabilities relating to or arising out of the engagement.

Vulcan has also retained Sard Verbinnen & Co. ("Sard Verbinnen") as its public relations advisor in connection with the Offer. Vulcan has agreed to pay customary compensation to Sard Verbinnen for such services. In addition, Vulcan has agreed to reimburse Sard Verbinnen for its reasonable out-of-pocket expenses and to indemnify it and certain related persons against certain liabilities relating to or arising out of the engagement.

Except as set forth above, neither Vulcan nor any person acting on its behalf has or currently intends to employ, retain, or compensate any person to make solicitations or recommendations to the shareholders of Vulcan on its behalf with respect to the Offer.

**Item 6. Interest in Securities of the Subject Company**

**Securities Transactions**

Other than as set forth below, no transactions with respect to shares of Vulcan Common Stock have been effected by Vulcan or, to Vulcan's knowledge after making reasonable inquiry, by any of its executive officers, directors, affiliates, or subsidiaries during the 60 days prior to the date of this Statement.

<u>Name/Title</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Number of Shares of Vulcan Common Stock</u>	<u>Nature of Transaction</u>	<u>Price Per Share</u>
Philip J. Carroll, Jr., Director	11/22/2011	6,000	Disposition by gift	\$ 0.00
John R. McPherson, Senior Vice President, Strategy and Business Development	11/09/2011	15,500	Acquisition of derivative securities	\$ 0.00
John R. McPherson, Senior Vice President, Strategy and Business Development	11/09/2011	15,300	Acquisition of derivative securities	\$ 0.00
John R. McPherson, Senior Vice President, Strategy and Business Development	11/09/2011	355,600	Acquisition of derivative securities	\$ 0.00
Robert A. Wason IV, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Assistant Secretary	11/09/2011	4,074.289	Transfer from account under Executive Deferred Compensation Plan	\$ 29.05
Robert A. Wason IV, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Assistant Secretary	11/02/2011	1,472.75	Transfer from Unfunded Supplemental Benefit Plan for Salaried Employees	\$ 30.89
Robert A. Wason IV, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Assistant Secretary	11/02/2011	5,772.49	Transfer from 401(k)	\$ 30.89

## **Item 7. Purposes of the Transaction and Plans or Proposals**

Vulcan routinely maintains contact with third parties, including other participants in its industry, regarding a wide range of potential business transactions. It has not ceased, and expects to continue, such activity as a result of the Offer. Vulcan's policy has been, and continues to be, not to disclose the existence or content of any such discussions with third parties (except as may be required by law) as any such disclosure could jeopardize any future negotiations that Vulcan may conduct.

Except as described in the preceding paragraph or otherwise set forth in this Statement (including in the Exhibits to this Statement) or as incorporated in this Statement by reference, Vulcan is not currently undertaking nor engaged in any negotiations in response to the Offer that relate to, or would result in, (i) a tender offer for, or other acquisition of, shares of Vulcan Common Stock by Vulcan, any of its subsidiaries, or any other person; (ii) any extraordinary transaction, such as a merger, reorganization, or liquidation, involving Vulcan or any of its subsidiaries; (iii) any purchase, sale, or transfer of a material amount of assets of Vulcan or any of its subsidiaries; or (iv) any material change in the present dividend rate or policy, or indebtedness or capitalization, of Vulcan.

Except as described above or otherwise set forth in this Statement (including in the Exhibits to this Statement) or as incorporated in this Statement by reference, there are no transactions, resolutions of the Board, agreements in principle, or signed contracts in response to the Offer that relate to, or would result in, one or more of the events referred to in the preceding paragraph.

## **Item 8. Additional Information**

### **Information Regarding Golden Parachute Compensation**

Vulcan has previously entered into change in control employment agreements with each of its named executive officers that would become effective upon a change in control of Vulcan. The agreements with the named executive officers were originally entered into on the following dates (and were amended in 2008 in order to comply with changes to the Internal Revenue Code): Mr. James and Mr. Wason on February 10, 2000; Mr. Sansone on June 9, 2005; and Mr. Shepherd on May 1, 2002. Mr. McAbee retired in 2011 and, as a result, his change in control employment agreement is no longer in effect. The consummation of the Offer would be a change in control of Vulcan for purposes of these agreements.

Pursuant to the agreements, if the executive officer's employment were terminated either by Vulcan without cause or by the executive officer for good reason during the two-year period following a change in control (or, in the case of Messrs. James, Sansone and Wason, for any reason during the 30-day period following the first anniversary of the change in control) (a "qualifying termination"), the executive officer would be entitled to the following payments and benefits:

*Accrued Obligations.* A lump sum payment equal to the sum of (a) the executive officer's base salary and accrued vacation through the date of termination, and (b) a pro-rata annual bonus (based on the greatest of (i) the annual bonus payable to the executive officer in the year of termination, (ii) the average annual bonuses paid to the executive officer in the three years preceding the date of termination, and (iii) the target annual bonus that would have been payable to the executive officer in the year in which the change in control occurred based on Vulcan's policies as of immediately prior thereto (the "Annual Bonus")).

*Severance Payment.* A lump sum payment equal to the product of (a) three and (b) the sum of (i) the executive officer's annual base salary, (ii) the Annual Bonus and (iii) the aggregate value of the annual long-term incentive awards that would have been granted to the executive officer in the year in which the change in control occurred based on Vulcan's policies as of immediately prior thereto.

*Retirement Benefits.* A lump sum payment equal to the actuarial equivalent of the benefits to which the executive officer would have been entitled under Vulcan's qualified and non-qualified defined benefit retirement plans had the executive officer remained employed for an additional three years (in the case of Mr. James, 6.6 years pursuant to a supplemental executive retirement agreement) following the date of termination. Mr. James's supplemental executive retirement agreement is filed as Exhibit (e)(20) to this Statement and incorporated herein by reference.

*Thrift or 401(k) Plan Benefits.* A lump sum payment equal to the amount of matching contributions to which the executive officer would have been entitled under Vulcan's qualified and non-qualified defined contribution retirement plans had the executive officer remained employed for an additional three years following the date of termination.

*Welfare and Fringe Benefits.* Continuation of welfare and fringe benefits for three years following the date of termination, the option to purchase the employer-provided automobile in accordance with the policies applicable to retiring employees and up to \$50,000 of outplacement services.

Under the change in control employment agreement with each named executive officer, if any amounts or benefits received under the agreements or otherwise are subject to the excise tax imposed under section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code, an additional payment would be made to restore the executive to the after-tax position that he would have been in if the excise tax had not been imposed, as long as the net after-tax benefit to the executive officer of making such additional payment is at least \$50,000. The completion of the Offer and the consummation of the Second-Step Merger would not be a "change of control" of Vulcan for purposes of the relevant section of the Internal Revenue Code and, as such, no additional payments would be required.

### ***Other Arrangements***

As described in more detail above in "Item 3. Past Contacts, Transactions, Negotiations and Agreements—Consideration Payable Pursuant to the Offer and the Second-Step Merger", Vulcan's equity incentive plans generally provide for the accelerated vesting of outstanding equity awards held by the named executive officers upon a change in control of Vulcan. Consummation of the Offer would constitute a change in control of Vulcan, resulting in the vesting of the outstanding stock options, SOSARs, DSUs and PSUs held by the Vulcan named executive officers.

Pursuant to Vulcan's Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, all deferred amounts would be paid within ten business days following the change in control. In addition, upon a change in control, Vulcan would be required to fund a rabbi trust with respect to all supplemental retirement benefits under Vulcan's Unfunded Supplemental Benefit Plan for Salaried Employees.

Under Vulcan's Executive Incentive Plan (filed as Exhibit (e)(21) to this Statement and incorporated herein by reference), in the event a change in control occurs before the incentive amounts thereunder are determined for the year in which the change in control occurred, each participant (including the named executive officers) would be entitled to receive an award equal to the greater of (a) the average of the participant's bonuses under the plan in the three years prior thereto and (b) the target annual bonus for the year in which the change in control occurred. Such award would be pro-rated in the event of the participant's retirement, disability or death.

## Golden Parachute Compensation

Named Executive Officers	Cash \$(1)	Equity \$(2)	Pension/ NQDC \$(3)	Perquisites/ Benefits \$(4)	Tax Reimbursement \$(5)	Other \$(6)	Total (\$)
Donald M. James <i>Chairman and Chief Executive Officer</i>	18,125,000	5,770,511	7,624,696	81,643	—	50,000	31,651,850
Daniel F. Sansone <i>Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer</i>	5,670,000	1,373,077	1,888,994	83,908	—	50,000	9,065,979
Danny R. Shepherd <i>Executive Vice President, Construction Materials</i>	5,670,000	1,349,972	1,042,638	80,728	—	50,000	8,193,338
Robert A. Wason IV <i>Senior Vice President, General Counsel</i>	3,376,800	740,878	1,056,352	73,889	—	50,000	5,297,919
Ronald G. McAbee (7) <i>Senior Vice President, Construction Materials—West</i>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

- (1) As described above, under the change in control employment agreements, upon a qualifying termination, the named executive officers would be entitled to a pro-rated Annual Bonus and a severance payment in an amount equal to the product of (a) three and (b) the sum of (i) the executive officer's annual base salary, (ii) the Annual Bonus and (iii) the aggregate value of the annual long-term incentive awards that would have been granted to the executive officer in the year in which the change in control occurred based on Vulcan's policies as of immediately prior thereto (the long-term incentive award values above reflect increased values applicable in 2012 that were approved prior to December 12, 2011). Because such amounts are only payable upon a change in control followed by a termination of employment, they are "double-trigger." Set forth below is a table summarizing the amount of the pro-rata bonus and severance payment for each named executive officer, assuming that the Offer had been completed, the Second-Step Merger had been consummated and each named executive officer experienced a qualifying termination on December 13, 2011.

Named Executive Officers	Severance Payment (\$)	Prorata Bonus (\$)
Donald M. James	16,875,000	1,250,000
Daniel F. Sansone	5,265,000	405,000
Danny R. Shepherd	5,265,000	405,000
Robert A. Wason IV	3,135,600	241,200
Ronald M. McAbee	—	—

- (2) All equity-based awards held by the named executive officers would vest single-trigger upon a change in control of Vulcan, with PSUs to be paid based on the actual performance of Vulcan through December 31 of the year in which the change in control occurred. For a description of the proposed conversion of the named executive officers' outstanding equity-based awards in the Second-Step Merger, see "Item 3. Past Contacts, Transactions, Negotiations and Agreements—Consideration Payable Pursuant to the Offer and the Second-Step Merger" above. Set forth below is a table summarizing the value of each type of unvested equity-based award held by the named executive officers that would vest upon a change in control, assuming that the Offer had been completed and the Second-Step Merger had been consummated on December 13, 2011. The estimated equity values below are based on the average closing price of Martin Marietta Common Stock for the first five trading days following December 12, 2011 (\$73.58), assume no value for unvested SOSARs because all such



SOSARs held by the named executive officers are out of the money at that price and assume the achievement of target performance goals for the unvested PSUs.

<u>Named Executive Officers</u>	<u>Unvested SOSARs (\$)</u>	<u>Unvested Deferred Stock Units (\$)</u>	<u>Unvested Performance Share Units (\$)</u>
Donald M. James	—	1,040,789	4,729,722
Daniel F. Sansone	—	109,340	1,263,737
Danny R. Shepherd	—	158,344	1,191,628
Robert A. Wason IV	—	91,534	649,344
Ronald M. McAbee	—	—	—

Regardless of whether there is a change in control of Vulcan, as of December 13, 2011, all equity-based awards held by Mr. James that were granted prior to 2011 would become non-forfeitable upon his retirement because he has attained age 62, and a portion of the equity-based awards held by each of the other executive officers (including all named executive officers other than Mr. James) of Vulcan would become non-forfeitable upon each of their retirements because they have attained age 55 but are less than age 62.

- (3) As described above, under the change in control employment agreements, upon a qualifying termination, the named executive officers would be entitled to (a) a lump sum payment equal to the actuarial equivalent of the benefits to which the executive officer would have been entitled under Vulcan's qualified and non-qualified defined benefit retirement plans had the executive officer remained employed for an additional three years (in the case of Mr. James, 6.6 years pursuant to a supplemental executive retirement agreement) following the date of termination and (b) a lump sum payment equal to the amount of matching contributions to which the executive officer would have been entitled under Vulcan's qualified and non-qualified defined contribution retirement plans had the executive officer remained employed for an additional three years following the date of termination. Because such amounts are only payable upon a change in control followed by a termination of employment, they are "double-trigger." Set forth below is a table summarizing the value of each such amount that would be payable to the named executive officers, assuming that the Offer had been completed, the Second-Step Merger had been consummated and each named executive officer experienced a qualifying termination on December 13, 2011.

<u>Named Executive Officers</u>	<u>Defined Benefit Plans (\$)</u>	<u>Supplemental Executive Retirement Agreement (\$)</u>	<u>Defined Contribution Plans (\$)</u>
Donald M. James	3,390,841	4,068,855	165,000
Daniel F. Sansone	1,818,545	—	70,449
Danny R. Shepherd	975,357	—	67,281
Robert A. Wason IV	1,003,663	—	52,689
Ronald M. McAbee	—	—	—

- (4) As described above, under the change in control employment agreements, upon a qualifying termination, the named executive officers would be entitled to continuation of welfare and fringe benefits for the executive officer and his family for three years following his of termination. Because such amounts are only payable upon a change in control followed by a termination of employment, they are "double-trigger." Set forth below is a table summarizing the estimated amount of each insurance benefit to which the named executive officers

would be entitled, assuming that the Offer had been completed, the Second-Step Merger had been consummated and each named executive officer experienced a qualifying termination on December 13, 2011.

<u>Named Executive Officers</u>	<u>Healthcare Continuation (\$)</u>	<u>Life and Accidental Death and Disability Insurance Continuation (\$)</u>
Donald M. James	64,153	17,490
Daniel F. Sansone	64,153	19,755
Danny R. Shepherd	64,153	16,575
Robert A. Wason IV	64,153	9,736
Ronald M. McAbee	—	—

- (5) As described above, under the change in control employment agreements, if any amounts or benefits received under the existing agreements or otherwise are subject to the excise tax imposed under section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code, an additional payment will be made to restore the named executive officer to the after-tax position that he would have been in if the excise tax had not been imposed, as long as the net after-tax benefit to the executive of making such additional payment is at least \$50,000. The completion of the Offer and the consummation of the Second-Step Merger would not be a "change of control" of Vulcan for purposes of the relevant section of the Internal Revenue Code and as such no additional payments would be required.
- (6) As described above, under the change in control employment agreements, upon a qualifying termination, the named executive officers would be entitled to up to \$50,000 of outplacement services. Because such amounts are only payable upon a change in control followed by a termination of employment, they are "double-trigger".
- (7) Mr. McAbee retired from Vulcan effective February 2011 and, as a result, he is not entitled to any additional compensation or benefits as a result of the change in control.

## Regulatory Approvals

### *U.S. Antitrust Clearance*

Under the HSR Act, Martin Marietta is required to file a Notification and Report Form with the Antitrust Division of the U.S. Department of Justice (the "Antitrust Division") and the Federal Trade Commission (the "FTC") relating to its proposed acquisition of Vulcan. Martin Marietta claims that it filed this Notification and Report Form on December 16, 2011. Assuming that Martin Marietta in fact made this filing on such date, Vulcan will be required to submit a responsive Notification and Report Form with the Antitrust Division and the FTC on or before 5:00 p.m. on January 3, 2012.

Under the provisions of the HSR Act applicable to the Offer, the acquisition of Vulcan voting securities pursuant to the Offer may be consummated following the expiration of a 30-day waiting period following the filing by Martin Marietta of its Notification and Report Form with respect to the Offer, unless Martin Marietta receives a request for additional information or documentary material from the Antitrust Division or the FTC or unless the antitrust agencies grant early termination of the waiting period. If, within the initial 30-day waiting period, either the Antitrust Division or the FTC issues a request for additional information or documentary material concerning the Offer, the waiting period will expire 30 days after the date Martin Marietta certifies substantial compliance with the request, unless otherwise extended by agreement or court order.

At any time before or after Martin Marietta's acquisition of Vulcan voting securities pursuant to the Offer, the Antitrust Division or the FTC could take such action under the antitrust laws as either deems necessary or desirable in the public interest, including seeking to enjoin the exchange of Vulcan voting securities pursuant to the Offer or seeking the divestiture of Vulcan voting securities acquired by Martin Marietta or the divestiture of substantial assets of Vulcan or its subsidiaries or Martin Marietta

or its subsidiaries. State attorneys general and private parties may also bring legal action under the antitrust laws. There can be no assurance that a challenge to the Offer on antitrust grounds will not be made or, if such a challenge is made, as to the result thereof.

If any waiting period under the HSR Act applicable to the Offer has not expired or been terminated prior to the expiration date of the Offer, or if the Antitrust Division, the FTC, a state attorney general, or a private party obtains an order enjoining the exchange of Vulcan voting securities, then Martin Marietta will not be obligated to proceed with the Offer or the exchange of any Vulcan voting securities not previously exchanged pursuant to the Offer. Additionally, Martin Marietta may terminate the Offer if any action, proceeding, injunction, order, or decree becomes applicable to Martin Marietta that seeks to restrain or prohibit the exercise by Martin Marietta of its full rights of ownership or operation of all or a portion of Martin Marietta's business or assets or those of Vulcan. In addition, the threatening or institution of any investigation or proceeding seeking to or which would be reasonably likely to compel Vulcan or Martin Marietta to dispose of or hold separate any portion of any of their businesses or assets or materially delay the consummation of the Offer or the Second-Step Merger would result in a condition to the Offer not being satisfied.

Please see Annex A for more information regarding conditions to the Offer.

### ***Foreign Regulatory Considerations***

The proposed transaction may be subject to the notification and approval requirements of the Mexican or Canadian antitrust or foreign investment laws.

There can be no assurance that Martin Marietta will be able to obtain all required foreign antitrust approvals or clearances or that a challenge to the Offer by foreign competition authorities will not be made, or, if such a challenge is made, what the result thereof would be.

Under the terms of the Offer, Martin Marietta is not required to consummate the Offer if clearances or approvals of such foreign governmental authorities have not been obtained or any applicable waiting periods for such clearances or approvals have not expired. In addition, the institution of any investigation seeking to or which would be reasonably likely to compel Vulcan or Martin Marietta to dispose of or hold separate any portion of any of their businesses or assets or materially delay the consummation of the Offer or the Second-Step Merger. Martin Marietta has also reserved the right to abandon the proposed transaction in the event of the imposition of *any* condition to any such approvals if, in its reasonable judgment, Martin Marietta believes such condition(s) would cause *any* material diminution in the benefits expected to be derived by Martin Marietta or any other affiliate of Martin Marietta as a result of the transactions contemplated by the offer.

Please see Annex A for more information regarding conditions to the Offer.

### **New Jersey Business Corporation Act**

Vulcan is incorporated under the laws of the State of New Jersey. Sections 14A:10A-4 and -5 of the NJBCA restrict the ability of certain persons to acquire control of a New Jersey corporation. In general, a New Jersey corporation with its principal executive offices or significant business operations in New Jersey (a "resident domestic corporation") may not engage in a business combination with an interested shareholder for a period of five years following the interested shareholder's becoming such unless that business combination is approved by the board of directors of the resident domestic corporation prior to that interested shareholder's stock acquisition date. An "interested shareholder" is any person (other than the resident domestic corporation or its subsidiary) that (1) is the beneficial owner, directly or indirectly, of 10% or more of the voting power of the outstanding voting stock of the resident domestic corporation, or (2) is an affiliate or associate of that resident domestic corporation who, at any time within the five year period immediately prior to the date in question, was a beneficial

owner, directly or indirectly, of 10% or more of the voting power of the outstanding stock of the resident domestic corporation. Covered business combinations include certain mergers, dispositions of assets or shares and recapitalizations.

In addition, after the five-year period a resident domestic corporation may not engage in a business combination with an interested shareholder other than (1) a business combination approved by the board of directors of such corporation prior to the stock acquisition, (2) a business combination approved by the affirmative vote of the holders of two-thirds of the voting stock not beneficially owned by such interested shareholder at a meeting for such purpose, or (3) a business combination in which the interested shareholder pays a formula price designed to ensure that all other shareholders receive at least the highest price per share paid by such interested shareholder or available in the marketplace.

A covered New Jersey corporation may not opt out of the foregoing provisions. The Vulcan Board has not approved a proposed business combination with Martin Marietta. Martin Marietta has filed a lawsuit challenging the application of Sections 14A:10A-4 and -5 of the NJBCA to the Offer and the proposed related transactions, as described further under "—Litigation."

### **Other State Takeover Laws**

A number of states have adopted takeover laws and regulations which purport to varying degrees to be applicable to attempts to acquire securities of corporations which are incorporated in such states or which have or whose business operations have substantial economic effects in such states, or which have substantial assets, security holders, principal executive offices or principal places of business in such states. If any state takeover statute is found to be applicable to the Offer, Martin Marietta may be unable to accept shares of Vulcan Common Stock tendered pursuant to the Offer or be delayed in continuing or consummating the Offer.

### **Appraisal Rights**

Holders of shares of Vulcan Common Stock do not have appraisal rights as a result of the Offer. In addition, we do not anticipate that holders of shares of Vulcan Common Stock would be entitled to statutory appraisal rights in connection with the Second-Step Merger under the NJBCA under the transaction structure proposed by Martin Marietta.

### **Second-Step Merger**

Under the NJBCA and Vulcan's restated certificate of incorporation, the Second-Step Merger must be approved by the Vulcan Board and Vulcan shareholders. Martin Marietta has stated that they believe that, under the NJBCA, if Martin Marietta acquires at least 90% of the then outstanding shares of Vulcan Common Stock, Martin Marietta will be able to effect the Second-Step Merger as a "short-form" merger without a vote of Vulcan shareholders or approval of the Vulcan Board.

Article VIII of Vulcan's restated certificate of incorporation provides that certain business combination transactions involving an "Interested Shareholder" (which generally includes persons that beneficially own at least 10% of the aggregate voting power of Vulcan's outstanding capital stock) require the affirmative vote of holders of at least 80% of such voting stock. These provisions also set forth certain requirements (including with respect to approvals by Vulcan continuing directors and compliance with certain "fair price" requirements) in order for these heightened vote requirements not to apply. Amending these provisions would require the affirmative vote of the holders of at least 80% of Vulcan's voting stock. Martin Marietta has filed a lawsuit challenging the application of Article VIII of Vulcan's restated certificate of incorporation to the Offer and the proposed related transactions, as described further under—"Litigation."

Martin Marietta has stated in the Offer that the exact timing and details of the Second-Step Merger or any other merger or other business combination involving Vulcan will depend upon a variety of factors, including the number of shares of Vulcan common stock Martin Marietta acquires pursuant to the Offer and that such a transaction may not be so proposed, may be delayed or abandoned or may be proposed on different terms. In addition, Martin Marietta has reserved the right not to propose the Second-Step Merger or any other merger or other business combination with Vulcan or to propose such a transaction on terms other than those described in the Offer.

## **Litigation**

### *Delaware Litigation*

On December 12, 2011, Martin Marietta Materials, Inc. commenced litigation in the Delaware Court of Chancery against Vulcan seeking declaratory and injunctive relief. The action is captioned Martin Marietta Materials, Inc. v. Vulcan Materials Co., C.A. No. 7102-CS (Del. Ch.). In its complaint, Martin Marietta asks the court to issue a declaration that the May 3, 2010 letter agreement covering confidentiality, use restrictions and other terms between Martin Marietta and Vulcan does not prohibit (1) the exchange offer launched by Martin Marietta on December 12, 2011 or (2) Martin Marietta's anticipated nomination of five candidates for Vulcan's board of directors at the 2012 annual meeting. Martin Marietta also seeks an injunction prohibiting Vulcan from prosecuting any action under the May 3, 2010 letter agreement covering confidentiality, use restrictions and other terms in any jurisdiction other than Delaware.

On December 20, 2011, Vulcan answered the complaint and asserted counterclaims against Martin Marietta. Vulcan alleges that Martin Marietta violated the May 3, 2010 letter agreement covering confidentiality, use restrictions and other terms by using and disclosing confidential material protected by the agreement to launch its exchange offer. On this basis, Vulcan seeks (1) a declaration that Martin Marietta is barred from disclosing material received pursuant to the May 3, 2010 letter agreement in connection with its exchange offer, and (2) a decree of specific performance or an injunction prohibiting Martin Marietta from pursuing its exchange offer and requiring Martin Marietta to withdraw the documents it has publicly filed that disclose information covered by the agreement.

Vulcan believes Martin Marietta's claims are meritless, and Vulcan intends to pursue its counterclaims vigorously.

### *New Jersey Litigation*

On December 12, 2011, Martin Marietta Materials, Inc. commenced litigation in the Superior Court of New Jersey's Chancery Division for Mercer County against Vulcan seeking declaratory and injunctive relief. The action is captioned Martin Marietta Materials, Inc. v. Vulcan Materials Co., No. C-83-11 (N.J. Super. Ct.). In its complaint, Martin Marietta alleges that it has launched an exchange offer and, if the offer is successful, intends to effect a merger between itself and Vulcan. Martin Marietta asks the court to issue declarations that (1) the New Jersey Shareholders Protection Act will not apply to the proposed merger; (2) simple majority approval of the Vulcan shareholders is all that is required under Article VIII of Vulcan's Certificate of Incorporation with respect to the proposed merger, if either approved by a majority of the continuing directors or the offer price satisfies the price requirements set forth in the charter; (3) Vulcan may not use certain provisions of its bylaws to hinder or frustrate Martin Marietta's anticipated nomination of five candidates for the board of directors at Vulcan's 2012 annual meeting; and (4) that Martin Marietta's December 12, 2011 Registration Statement complies with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933. In addition, Martin Marietta seeks injunctive orders prohibiting Vulcan from attempting to use the Shareholders Protection Act to bar the proposed merger, from attempting to use Article VIII of its Certificate of Incorporation to require 80% shareholder approval of the proposed merger, and from attempting to

use its bylaws to hinder or frustrate Martin Marietta's anticipated nomination of five candidates for the board of directors at Vulcan's 2012 annual meeting.

Vulcan believes the suit is meritless.

#### *Alabama Litigation*

On December 19, 2011, Vulcan commenced litigation in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama, Southern Division seeking declaratory and injunctive relief. The action is captioned Vulcan Materials Company v. Martin Marietta Materials, Inc., CV-11-CO-4248-S (N.D. Ala.). In its complaint, Vulcan alleges that Martin Marietta violated the common interest, joint defense and confidentiality agreement executed by Vulcan, Martin Marietta, and their respective outside counsel on May 18, 2010, by misusing and disclosing information protected by the agreement in connection with its exchange offer. Vulcan further alleges that Martin Marietta violated the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") by launching its exchange offer while in possession of material non-public information it obtained under the common interest, joint defense and confidentiality agreement. On this basis, Vulcan seeks, among other things, (1) an injunction barring Martin Marietta from pursuing an exchange offer formulated in breach of the common interest, joint defense and confidentiality agreement; (2) a declaration that Martin Marietta may not disclose information received pursuant to the common interest, joint defense and confidentiality agreement in support of its exchange offer; (3) an injunction barring Martin Marietta from pursuing its exchange offer in violation of the Exchange Act; and (4) an injunction requiring that Martin Marietta correct certain of the material omissions and misstatements in its public filings, also as required by the Exchange Act.

#### **Cautionary Statement on Forward-Looking Statements**

Forward-looking statements are made throughout this Statement. These forward-looking statements are sometimes identified by the use of terms and phrases such as "believe," "should," "would," "expect," "project," "estimate," "anticipate," "intend," "plan," "will," "can," "may," or similar expressions elsewhere in this Statement. All forward-looking statements are subject to a number of important factors, risks, uncertainties, and assumptions that could cause actual results to differ materially from those described in any forward-looking statements. These assumptions, risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, those associated with future events relating to Martin Marietta's unsolicited offer to acquire Vulcan; the risk that the results of the recent restructuring actions adopted by Vulcan will differ from those anticipated; general economic and business conditions; the timing and amount of federal, state and local funding for infrastructure; the lack of a multi-year federal highway funding bill with an automatic funding mechanism; the reluctance of state departments of transportation to undertake federal highway projects without a reliable method of federal funding; the impact of a prolonged economic recession on our industry, business and financial condition and access to capital markets; changes in the level of spending for private residential and nonresidential construction; the highly competitive nature of the construction materials industry; the impact of future regulatory or legislative actions; the outcome of pending legal proceedings; pricing of our products; weather and other natural phenomena; energy costs; costs of hydrocarbon-based raw materials; healthcare costs; the amount of long-term debt and interest expense incurred by Vulcan; changes in interest rates; the impact of our below investment grade debt rating on our cost of capital; volatility in pension plan asset values which may require cash contributions to the pension plans; the impact of environmental clean-up costs and other liabilities relating to previously divested businesses; Vulcan's ability to secure and permit aggregates reserves in strategically located areas; Vulcan's ability to manage and successfully integrate acquisitions; the potential of goodwill impairment; the potential impact of future legislation or regulations relating to climate change or greenhouse gas emissions or the definition of minerals; and other assumptions, risks and uncertainties detailed from time to time in the reports filed by Vulcan with the SEC. Vulcan disclaims and does not undertake any obligation to

update or revise any forward-looking statement in this Statement except as required by law. Vulcan notes that forward-looking statements made in connection with a tender offer are not subject to the safe harbors created by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Vulcan is not waiving any other defenses that may be available under applicable law.

**Item 9. Exhibits**

- (a)(1) — Press release issued by Vulcan Materials Company, dated December 12, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of Vulcan's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 12, 2011)
- (a)(2) — Press release issued by Vulcan Materials Company, dated December 22, 2011
- (a)(3) — Letter to Vulcan Shareholders, dated December 22, 2011
- (a)(4) — Opinion of Goldman Sachs, dated December 19, 2011 (included as Annex B to this Schedule 14D-9)
- (e)(1) — Excerpts from the Vulcan Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, dated and filed with the SEC on March 31, 2011
- (e)(2) — Unfunded Supplemental Benefit Plan for Salaried Employees, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Vulcan's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 17, 2008)
- (e)(3) — Amendment to the Unfunded Supplemental Benefit Plan for Salaried Employees (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(c) to Legacy Vulcan Corp.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001, and filed with the SEC on March 27, 2002)
- (e)(4) — Vulcan Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Vulcan's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 17, 2008)
- (e)(5) — Vulcan Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors Who Are Not Employees of the Company, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Vulcan's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 17, 2008)
- (e)(6) — Vulcan Amended and Restated 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix A to Vulcan's Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed with the SEC on March 31, 2011)
- (e)(7) — Vulcan 1996 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit B to Legacy Vulcan Corp's Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed with the SEC on April 10, 2006)
- (e)(8) — Vulcan Deferred Stock Plan for Nonemployee Directors of the Company, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(f) to Legacy Vulcan Corp.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001, and filed with the SEC on March 27, 2002)
- (e)(9) — Vulcan Restricted Stock Plan for Nonemployee Directors of the Company, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Vulcan's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 17, 2008)
- (e)(10) — Form Stock Option Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(o) to Legacy Vulcan Corp.'s Report on Form 8-K filed December 20, 2005)

- (e)(11) — Form Director Deferred Stock Unit Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 17, 2008)
- (e)(12) — Form Performance Share Unit Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 11, 2010)
- (e)(13) — Form Stock Only Stock Appreciation Rights Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 15, 2011)
- (e)(14) — Form Stock Only Stock Appreciation Rights Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(p) to Legacy Vulcan Corp.'s Report on Form 10-K filed February 26, 2007)
- (e)(15) — Form Employee Deferred Stock Unit Award Amended Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 17, 2008)
- (e)(16) — Change of Control Employment Agreement Form (Double Trigger) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 2, 2008)
- (e)(17) — Change of Control Employment Agreement Form (Modified Double Trigger) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 2, 2008)
- (e)(18) — Change of Control and Non-Competition Agreement with John R. McPherson, dated as of October 7, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Vulcan's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 11, 2011)
- (e)(19) — Independent Contractor Consulting Agreement with John R. McPherson, dated as of October 7, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Vulcan's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 11, 2011)
- (e)(20) — Supplemental Executive Retirement Agreement (incorporated as Exhibit 10 to Legacy Vulcan Corp.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2001 filed on November 2, 2001)
- (e)(21) — Vulcan Executive Incentive Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Vulcan's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 17, 2008)

### Conditions to the Offer

The Schedule TO provides that notwithstanding any other provision of the Offer and in addition to (and not in limitation of) Martin Marietta's right to extend and amend the Offer at any time, in its discretion, Martin Marietta shall not be required to accept for exchange any shares of Vulcan common stock tendered pursuant to the Offer, shall not (subject to any applicable rules and regulations of the SEC, including Rule 14e-1(c) under the Exchange Act) be required to make any exchange for shares of Vulcan common stock accepted for exchange and may extend, terminate or amend the Offer, if immediately prior to the expiration of the Offer, in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta, any one or more of the following conditions shall not have been satisfied:

- The "Merger Agreement Condition"—Vulcan shall have entered into a definitive merger agreement with Martin Marietta with respect to the proposed transaction that is reasonably satisfactory to Martin Marietta and Vulcan. Such merger agreement shall provide, among other things, that:
  - the board of directors of Vulcan has approved the proposed transaction and irrevocably exempted the transaction from the restrictions imposed by the New Jersey Shareholder Protection Act, if applicable; and
  - the board of directors of Vulcan has removed any other impediment to the consummation of the transaction.

Martin Marietta considers the proposed form merger agreement delivered to Vulcan on the date of the Offer to be reasonably satisfactory, and is prepared to enter into an agreement with Vulcan in substantially the form thereof. For a summary of the proposed form merger agreement delivered to Vulcan on the date of the Offer, please see the section of Martin Marietta's Form S-4 entitled "The Exchange Offer—Summary of the Form Merger Agreement."

- The "Regulatory Condition"—Any applicable waiting period under the HSR Act shall have expired or been terminated prior to the expiration of the Offer.
- The "Minimum Tender Condition"—Vulcan shareholders shall have validly tendered and not withdrawn prior to the expiration of the Offer at least that number of shares of Vulcan common stock that, when added to the shares of Vulcan common stock then owned by Martin Marietta or any of its subsidiaries, shall constitute 80% of the voting power of Vulcan's outstanding capital stock entitled to vote on transactions covered under Article VIII, Section A of Vulcan's, restated certificate of incorporation. If there is a favorable outcome in the New Jersey litigation with respect to this provision of Vulcan's Restated Articles of Incorporation as described in the section of Martin Marietta's Form S-4 entitled "The Exchange Offer—Litigation," then Martin will amend this condition so as to require the minimum tender of a majority of the voting power of the outstanding Vulcan common stock (which would be sufficient voting power to approve the second-step merger without the affirmative vote of any other shareholder of Vulcan).
- The "Registration Statement Condition"—The registration statement of which the Offer is a part shall have become effective under the Securities Act, no stop order suspending the effectiveness of the registration statement shall have been issued and no proceedings for that purpose shall have been initiated or threatened by the SEC, and Martin Marietta shall have received all necessary state securities law or "blue sky" authorizations.
- The "Shareholder Approval Condition"—The Martin Marietta shareholder approvals shall have been obtained.

- The "NYSE Listing Condition"—The shares of Martin Marietta common stock to be issued pursuant to the Offer and the second-step merger shall have been approved for listing on the NYSE.
- The "Due Diligence Condition"—Martin Marietta shall have completed to its reasonable satisfaction customary confirmatory due diligence of Vulcan's non-public information on Vulcan's business, assets and liabilities and shall have concluded, in its reasonable judgment, that there are no material adverse facts or developments concerning or affecting Vulcan's business, assets and liabilities that have not been publicly disclosed prior to the commencement of the Offer.

Additionally, Martin Marietta shall not be required to accept for exchange any shares of Vulcan common stock tendered pursuant to the Offer, shall not (subject to any applicable rules and regulations of the SEC, including Rule 14e-1(c) under the Exchange Act) be required to make any exchange for shares of Vulcan common stock accepted for exchange, and may extend, terminate or amend the Offer, if at any time on or after date the date of the Offer and prior to the expiration of the Offer any of the following conditions exists:

- a) there shall have been threatened, instituted or be pending any litigation, suit, claim, action, proceeding or investigation before any supra-national, national, state, provincial, municipal or local government, governmental, regulatory or administrative authority, agency, instrumentality or commission or any court, tribunal or judicial or arbitral body (each of which Martin Marietta's Form S-4 refers to as a "governmental authority")
  1. challenging or seeking to, or which, in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta, is reasonably likely to, make illegal, delay or otherwise, directly or indirectly, restrain or prohibit or make more costly, or in which there are allegations of any violation of law, rule or regulation relating to, the making of or terms of the Offer or the provisions of the Offer or, the acceptance for exchange of any or all of the shares of Vulcan common stock by Martin Marietta or any other affiliate of Martin Marietta, or seeking to obtain material damages in connection with the Offer or the second-step merger;
  2. seeking to, or which in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta is reasonably likely to, individually or in the aggregate, prohibit or limit the full rights of ownership or operation by Vulcan, Martin Marietta or any of their affiliates of all or any of the business or assets of Vulcan, Martin Marietta or any of their affiliates (including in respect of the capital stock or other equity of their respective subsidiaries) or to compel Vulcan, Martin Marietta or any of their subsidiaries to dispose of or to hold separate all or any portion of the business or assets of Vulcan, Martin Marietta or any of their affiliates;
  3. seeking to, or which in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta is reasonably likely to, impose or confirm any voting, procedural, price or other requirements in addition to those required by federal securities laws and the New Jersey Business Corporation Act (as in effect on the date of the Offer) in connection with the making of the Offer, the acceptance for exchange, or exchange, of some or all of the shares of Vulcan common stock by Martin Marietta or any other affiliate of Martin Marietta or the consummation by Martin Marietta or any other affiliate of Martin Marietta of the second-step merger or other business combination with Vulcan, including, without limitation, the right to vote any shares of Vulcan common stock acquired by Martin Marietta pursuant to the Offer or otherwise on all matters properly presented to Vulcan shareholders;
  4. seeking to require divestiture by Martin Marietta or any other affiliate of Martin Marietta of any shares of Vulcan common stock;

5. seeking, or which in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta is reasonably likely to result in, any material diminution in the benefits expected to be derived by Martin Marietta or any other affiliate of Martin Marietta as a result of the transactions contemplated by the Offer, the second-step merger or any other business combination with Vulcan;
  6. relating to the Offer or any proxy solicitation referenced in the Offer which, in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta, might materially adversely affect Vulcan or any of its affiliates or Martin Marietta or any other affiliate of Martin Marietta or the value of the shares of Vulcan common stock or
  7. which in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta could otherwise prevent, adversely affect or materially delay consummation of the Offer, the second-step merger or the ability of Martin Marietta to conduct any proxy solicitations referenced in the Offer;
- b) (1) any final order, approval, permit, authorization, waiver, determination, favorable review or consent of any governmental authority, including those referred to or described in Martin Marietta's Form S-4 in the section entitled "The Exchange Offer—Certain Legal Matters; Regulatory Approvals," shall contain terms that, in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta, results in, or is reasonably likely to result in, individually or in the aggregate with such other final orders, approvals, permits, authorizations, waivers, determinations, favorable reviews or consents, a significant diminution in the benefits expected to be derived by Martin Marietta and Vulcan, taken as a whole, as a result of the transactions contemplated by the Offer, the second-step merger or any other business combination with Vulcan; (2) or any material final order, approval, permit, authorization, waiver, determination, favorable review or consent of any governmental authority, including those referred to or described in Martin Marietta's Form S-4 in the section entitled "The Exchange Offer—Certain Legal Matters; Regulatory Approvals," other than in connection with the Regulatory Condition, shall not have been obtained, or any applicable waiting periods for such clearances or approvals shall not have expired;
- c) except for matters addressed in paragraph (b) above, there shall have been action taken or any statute, rule, regulation, legislation, order, decree or interpretation enacted, enforced, promulgated, amended, issued or deemed, or which becomes, applicable to (1) Martin Marietta, Vulcan or any subsidiary or affiliate of Martin Marietta or Vulcan or (2) the Offer, the second-step merger or any other business combination with Vulcan, by any legislative body or governmental authority with appropriate jurisdiction, other than the routine application of the waiting period provisions of the HSR Act to the Offer, that in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta might result, directly or indirectly, individually or in the aggregate, in any of the consequences referred to in clauses (1) through (7) of paragraph (a) above;
- d) any event, condition, development, circumstance, change or effect shall have occurred or be threatened that, individually or in the aggregate with any other events, condition, development, circumstances, changes and effects occurring after the date of the Offer is or may be materially adverse to the business, properties, condition (financial or otherwise), assets (including leases), liabilities, capitalization, shareholders' equity, licenses, franchises, operations, results of operations or prospects of Vulcan or any of its affiliates or Martin Marietta shall have become aware of any facts that, in its reasonable judgment, have or may have material adverse significance with respect to either the value of Vulcan or any of its affiliates or the value of the shares of Vulcan common stock to Martin Marietta or any of its affiliates;

- e) there shall have occurred
1. any general suspension of trading in, or limitation on prices for, securities on any national securities exchange or in the over-the-counter market in the United States,
  2. a declaration of a banking moratorium or any suspension of payments in respect of banks by Federal or state authorities in the United States,
  3. any limitation (whether or not mandatory) by any governmental authority or agency on, or other event which, in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta, might materially adversely affect, the extension of credit by banks or other lending institutions,
  4. commencement of a war, armed hostilities or the occurrence of any other national or international calamity directly or indirectly involving the United States or any attack on, or outbreak or act of terrorism involving, the United States,
  5. a material change in the United States dollar or any other currency exchange rates or a suspension of, or limitation on, the markets therefor,
  6. any change in the general political, market, economic or financial conditions in the United States or other jurisdictions in which Vulcan or its affiliates do business that could, in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta, have a material adverse effect on the business, properties, assets, liabilities, capitalization, shareholders' equity, condition (financial or otherwise), operations, licenses, franchises, results of operations or prospects of Vulcan or any of its affiliates or the trading in, or value of, the shares of Vulcan common stock,
  7. any decline in either the Dow Jones Industrial Average, or the S&P Index of 500 Industrial Companies or the NASDAQ-100 Index by an amount in excess of 15% measured from the close of business at the time of commencement of the Offer or any material adverse change in the market price in the shares of Vulcan common stock or
  8. in the case of any of the foregoing existing at the time of commencement of the Offer, a material acceleration or worsening thereof;
- f)
1. a tender or exchange offer for some or all of the shares of Vulcan common stock has been publicly proposed to be made or has been made by another person (including Vulcan or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates), or has been publicly disclosed, or Martin Marietta otherwise learns that any person or "group" (as defined in Section 13(d)(3) of the Exchange Act) has acquired or proposes to acquire beneficial ownership of more than 5% of any class or series of capital stock of Vulcan (including the shares of Vulcan common stock), through the acquisition of stock, the formation of a group or otherwise, or is granted any option, right or warrant, conditional or otherwise, to acquire beneficial ownership of more than 5% of any class or series of capital stock of Vulcan (including the shares of Vulcan common stock) and other than as disclosed in a Schedule 13D or 13G on file with the SEC on or prior to the date of the Offer,
  2. any such person or group which, on or prior to the date of the Offer, had filed such a Schedule 13D or 13G with the SEC has acquired or proposes to acquire beneficial ownership of additional shares of any class or series of capital stock of Vulcan, through the acquisition of stock, the formation of a group or otherwise, constituting 1% or more of any such class or series, or is granted any option, right or warrant, conditional or otherwise, to acquire beneficial ownership of additional shares of any class or series of capital stock of Vulcan constituting 1% or more of any such class or series,

3. any person or group has entered into a definitive agreement or an agreement in principle or made a proposal with respect to a tender or exchange offer of some or all of the shares of Vulcan common stock or a merger, consolidation or other business combination with or involving Vulcan or any of its subsidiaries or
  4. any person (other than Martin Marietta) has filed a Notification and Report Form under the HSR Act (or amended a prior filing to increase the applicable threshold set forth therein) or made a public announcement reflecting an intent to acquire Vulcan or any assets, securities or subsidiaries of Vulcan;
- g) Vulcan or any of its subsidiaries has
1. split, combined or otherwise changed, or authorized or proposed the split, combination or other change of, the shares of Vulcan common stock or its capitalization;
  2. acquired or otherwise caused a reduction in the number of, or authorized or proposed the acquisition or other reduction in the number of, outstanding shares of Vulcan common stock or other securities;
  3. issued, distributed or sold, or authorized or proposed the issuance, distribution or sale of, any additional shares of Vulcan common stock, shares of any other class or series of capital stock, other voting securities or any securities convertible into, or options, rights or warrants, conditional or otherwise, to acquire, any of the foregoing (other than (i) the issuance of shares of Vulcan common stock pursuant to, and in accordance with, their publicly disclosed terms in effect as of the date of the Offer, of employee stock options or other equity awards, in each case publicly disclosed by Vulcan as outstanding prior to such date, and (ii) the issuance of shares of Vulcan common stock or convertible subordinated debentures in exchange for outstanding shares of Vulcan preferred stock pursuant to the terms and conditions of Vulcan's restated certificate of incorporation in existence as of the date of the Offer), or any other securities or rights in respect of, in lieu of, or in substitution or exchange for any shares of its capital stock;
  4. permitted the issuance or sale of any shares of any class of capital stock or other securities of any subsidiary of Vulcan;
  5. other than cash dividends required to be paid on the shares of Vulcan preferred stock that have been publicly disclosed by Vulcan as outstanding prior to the date of the Offer and regular quarterly cash dividends on shares of Vulcan common stock, declared, paid or proposed to declare or pay any dividend or other distribution on any shares of capital stock of Vulcan, including by adoption of a shareholders rights plan;
  6. altered or proposed to alter any material term of any outstanding security, issued or sold, or authorized or proposed the issuance or sale of, any debt securities or otherwise incurred or authorized or proposed the incurrence of any debt other than in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice or any debt containing, in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta, burdensome covenants or security provisions;
  7. authorized, recommended, proposed, announced its intent to enter into or entered into an agreement with respect to or effected any merger, consolidation, recapitalization, liquidation, dissolution, business combination, acquisition of assets, disposition of assets or release or relinquishment of any material contract or other right of Vulcan or any of its subsidiaries or any comparable event not in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice (other than the merger agreement described in the sections of Martin Marietta's Form S-4 entitled "The Exchange Offer—Conditions of the Offer—Merger

Agreement Condition" and "The Exchange Offer—Summary of the Form Merger Agreement");

8. authorized, recommended, proposed, announced its intent to enter into or entered into any agreement or arrangement with any person or group that, in Martin Marietta's reasonable judgment, has or may have material adverse significance with respect to either the value of Vulcan or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates or the value of the shares of Vulcan common stock to Martin Marietta or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates;
  9. entered into or amended any employment, severance or similar agreement, arrangement or plan with any of its employees other than in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice or entered into or amended any such agreements, arrangements or plans that provide for increased benefits to employees as a result of or in connection with the making of the Offer, the acceptance for exchange, or exchange, some of or all the shares of Vulcan common stock by Martin Marietta or the consummation of any merger or other business combination involving Vulcan and Martin Marietta (and/or any of Martin Marietta's subsidiaries);
  10. except as may be required by law, taken any action to terminate or amend any employee benefit plan (as defined in Section 3(2) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended) of Vulcan or any of its subsidiaries, or Martin Marietta shall have become aware of any such action which was not previously announced; or
  11. amended, or authorized or proposed any amendment to, its charter or bylaws (or other similar constituent documents), or Martin Marietta becomes aware that Vulcan or any of its subsidiaries shall have amended, or authorized or proposed any amendment to, its charter or bylaws (or other similar constituent documents), which has not been publicly disclosed prior to the date of the Offer;
- h) Martin Marietta or any of its affiliates enters into a definitive agreement or announces an agreement in principle with Vulcan providing for a merger or other business combination with Vulcan or any of its subsidiaries or the purchase or exchange of securities or assets of Vulcan or any of its subsidiaries, or Martin Marietta and Vulcan reach any other agreement or understanding, in either case, pursuant to which it is agreed that the Offer will be terminated; or
- i) Vulcan or any of its subsidiaries shall have
1. granted to any person proposing a merger or other business combination with or involving Vulcan or any of its subsidiaries or the purchase or exchange of securities or assets of Vulcan or any of its subsidiaries any type of option, warrant or right which, in Martin Marietta's reasonable judgment, constitutes a "lock-up" device (including, without limitation, a right to acquire or receive any shares of Vulcan common stock or other securities, assets or business of Vulcan or any of its subsidiaries) or
  2. paid or agreed to pay any cash or other consideration to any party in connection with or in any way related to any such business combination, purchase or exchange;

which in the reasonable judgment of Martin Marietta in any such case, and regardless of the circumstances (including any action or omission by Martin Marietta) giving rise to any such condition, makes it inadvisable to proceed with the Offer and/or with acceptance for exchange, or exchange, of shares of Vulcan common stock.

The Schedule TO states that the foregoing conditions are for the sole benefit of Martin Marietta and may be asserted by Martin Marietta regardless of the circumstances giving rise to any such condition or, other than the "Regulatory Condition," "Shareholder Approval Condition," "Registration

Statement Condition," and "NYSE Listing Condition," may be waived by Martin Marietta in whole or in part at any time and from time to time prior to the expiration of the Offer in its discretion. To the extent Martin Marietta waives a condition set forth in this section with respect to one tender, Martin Marietta will waive that condition with respect to all other tenders. The failure by Martin Marietta at any time to exercise any of the foregoing rights shall not be deemed a waiver of any such right; the waiver of any such right with respect to particular facts and other circumstances shall not be deemed a waiver with respect to any other facts and circumstances; and each such right shall be deemed an ongoing right that may be asserted at any time and from time to time until the expiration of the Offer. Any determination by Martin Marietta concerning any condition or event described in the Offer shall be final and binding on all parties to the fullest extent permitted by law.

**PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL**

December 19, 2011

Board of Directors  
Vulcan Materials Company  
1200 Urban Center Drive  
Birmingham, Alabama 35242

Ladies and Gentlemen:

You have requested our opinion as to the adequacy from a financial point of view to the holders (other than the Offeror (as defined below) and any of its affiliates) of the outstanding shares of common stock, par value \$1.00 per share (the "Shares"), of Vulcan Materials Company (the "Company") of the 0.50 shares, together with the associated preferred stock purchase rights (the "Exchange Ratio"), of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the "Offeror Common Stock"), of the Offeror proposed to be paid for each Share in the Offer (as defined below). The terms of the offer to exchange (the "Offer to Exchange") and related letter of transmittal (which, together with the Offer to Exchange, constitutes the "Offer") contained in the Tender Offer Statement on Schedule TO filed by Martin Marietta Materials, Inc. (the "Offeror"), with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 12, 2011 (as amended, the "Schedule TO"), provide for an offer for all of the Shares pursuant to which, subject to the satisfaction or waiver of certain conditions set forth in the Offer, the Offeror will exchange 0.50 shares of Offeror Common Stock, together with the associated preferred stock purchase rights, for each Share accepted. We note that the Offer to Exchange provides that, following completion of the Offer, the Offeror intends to consummate a merger of a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Offeror with and into the Company (which, together with the Offer, constitute the "Transactions"), and each outstanding Share (other than Shares already owned by the Offeror) will be converted into the right to receive 0.50 shares of Offeror Common Stock, together with the associated preferred stock purchase rights.

Goldman, Sachs & Co. and its affiliates are engaged in investment banking and financial advisory services, commercial banking, securities trading, investment management, principal investment, financial planning, benefits counseling, risk management, hedging, financing, brokerage activities and other financial and non-financial activities and services for various persons and entities. In the ordinary course of these activities and services, Goldman, Sachs & Co. and its affiliates may at any time make or hold long or short positions and investments, as well as actively trade or effect transactions, in the equity, debt and other securities (or related derivative securities) and financial instruments (including bank loans and other obligations) of third parties, the Company, the Offeror and any of their respective affiliates or any currency or commodity that may be involved in the Transactions for their own account and for the accounts of their customers. We are acting as financial advisor to the Company in connection with its consideration of the Offer and other matters pursuant to our engagement by the Company. We expect to receive fees for our services in connection with our engagement, including advisory fees that will be payable whether or not the Offer is consummated. The Company has agreed to reimburse our expenses arising, and indemnify us against certain liabilities that may arise, out of our engagement. We have provided certain investment banking services to the Company and its affiliates from time to time for which our Investment Banking Division has received, and may receive, compensation, including having acted as a participant in the Company's revolving credit facility due November 2012 (aggregate principal amount of \$1,500,000,000) from November 2007 until November 2011; a participant in the Company's term loan due July 2015 (aggregate principal amount of \$450,000,000) from July 2010 until June 2011; joint bookrunner with respect to a public offering of the Company's 6.500% Notes due December 2016 and 7.500% Notes due June 2021 (aggregate principal amount of \$1,100,000,000) in June 2011; lead dealer manager in connection with a tender offer for the

Company's 5.600% Notes due December 2012 and 6.300% Notes due June 2013 (aggregate principal amount of \$275,000,000) in July 2011; and a participant in the Company's asset-backed loan (aggregate principal amount of \$600,000,000) since November 2011. We may in the future provide investment banking services to the Company, the Offeror and their respective affiliates for which our Investment Banking Division may receive compensation.

In connection with this opinion, we have reviewed, among other things, the Schedule TO, including the Offer to Exchange and related letter of transmittal contained therein; the Offeror's Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 12, 2011; the Solicitation/Recommendation Statement of the Company to be filed on Schedule 14D-9 with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 22, 2011, in the form approved by you on the date of this opinion; annual reports to stockholders and Annual Reports on Form 10-K of the Company and the Offeror for the five fiscal years ended December 31, 2010; certain interim reports to stockholders and Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q of the Company and the Offeror; certain other communications from the Company and the Offeror to their respective stockholders; certain publicly available research analyst reports for the Company; certain publicly available research analyst reports for the Offeror, as approved for our use by the Company (the "Offeror Estimates"); and certain internal financial analyses and forecasts for the Company prepared by its management, as approved for our use by the Company (the "Forecasts") and certain cost savings and operating synergies projected by the management of the Company to result from the Transactions, as approved for our use by the Company (the "Synergies"). We have also held discussions with members of the senior management of the Company regarding their assessment of the strategic rationale of the Offeror for, and the potential benefits for the Offeror of, the Transactions and the past and current business operations, financial condition and future prospects of the Company. In addition, we have reviewed the reported price and trading activity for the Shares and shares of the Offeror Common Stock; compared certain financial and stock market information for the Company and the Offeror with similar information for certain other companies the securities of which are publicly traded; and performed such other studies and analyses, and considered such other factors, as we deemed appropriate.

For purposes of rendering this opinion, we have relied upon and assumed, without assuming any responsibility for independent verification, the accuracy and completeness of all of the financial, legal, regulatory, tax, accounting and other information provided to, discussed with or reviewed by, us. In that regard, we have assumed with your consent that the Forecasts, the Offeror Estimates and the Synergies have been reasonably prepared and reflect the best currently available estimates and judgments of the management of the Company. We have not made an independent evaluation or appraisal of the assets and liabilities (including any contingent, derivative or other off-balance-sheet assets and liabilities) of the Company or the Offeror or any of their respective subsidiaries and we have not been furnished with any such evaluation or appraisal.

Our opinion does not address the relative merits of the Transactions as compared to any strategic alternatives that may be available to the Company; nor does it address any legal, regulatory, tax or accounting matters. This opinion addresses only the adequacy from a financial point of view, as of the date hereof, of the Exchange Ratio pursuant to the Offer to holders (other than the Offeror and any of its affiliates) of Shares. We do not express any view on, and our opinion does not address, the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the Exchange Ratio or any other term or aspect of the Transactions. In addition, we do not express any view on, and our opinion does not address, the adequacy or fairness of the Exchange Ratio or any other term or aspect of the Transactions to, or any consideration received in connection therewith by, the Offeror and any of its affiliates, the holders of any other class of securities, creditors, or other constituencies of the Company; nor as to the adequacy or fairness of the amount or nature of any compensation to be paid or payable to any of the officers, directors or employees of the Company, or class of such persons, in connection with the Transactions, whether relative to the Exchange Ratio pursuant to the Offer or otherwise. We are not expressing any opinion

as to the prices at which the Shares or shares of the Offeror Common Stock will trade at any time. Our opinion is necessarily based on economic, monetary, market and other conditions as in effect on, and the information made available to us as of, the date hereof and we assume no responsibility for updating, revising or reaffirming this opinion based on circumstances, developments or events occurring after the date hereof. Our advisory services and the opinion expressed herein are provided for the information and assistance of the Board of Directors of the Company in connection with its consideration of the Offer and such opinion does not constitute a recommendation as to whether or not any holder of Shares should tender such Shares in connection with the Offer or any other matter. This opinion has been approved by a fairness committee of Goldman, Sachs & Co.

Based upon and subject to the foregoing, it is our opinion that, as of the date hereof, the Exchange Ratio pursuant to the Offer is inadequate from a financial point of view to holders (other than the Offeror and any of its affiliates) of Shares.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Goldman, Sachs & Co.

---

(GOLDMAN, SACHS & CO.)

**SIGNATURES**

After due inquiry and to the best of my knowledge and belief, I certify that the information set forth in this Statement is true, complete, and correct.

**VULCAN MATERIALS COMPANY**

Date: December 22, 2011

By: /s/ DONALD M. JAMES

---

Name: Donald M. James  
Title: Chairman of the Board and Chief  
Executive Officer

---

## EXHIBIT INDEX

- (a)(1) — Press release issued by Vulcan Materials Company, dated December 12, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of Vulcan's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 12, 2011)
  - (a)(2) — Press release issued by Vulcan Materials Company, dated December 22, 2011
  - (a)(3) — Letter to Vulcan Shareholders, dated December 22, 2011
  - (a)(4) — Opinion of Goldman Sachs, dated December 19, 2011 (included as Annex B to this Schedule 14D-9)
  - (e)(1) — Excerpts from the Vulcan Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, dated and filed with the SEC on March 31, 2011
  - (e)(2) — Unfunded Supplemental Benefit Plan for Salaried Employees, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Vulcan's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 17, 2008)
  - (e)(3) — Amendment to the Unfunded Supplemental Benefit Plan for Salaried Employees (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(c) to Legacy Vulcan Corp.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001, and filed with the SEC on March 27, 2002)
  - (e)(4) — Vulcan Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Vulcan's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 17, 2008)
  - (e)(5) — Vulcan Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors Who Are Not Employees of the Company, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Vulcan's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 17, 2008)
  - (e)(6) — Vulcan Amended and Restated 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix A to Vulcan's Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed with the SEC on March 31, 2011)
  - (e)(7) — Vulcan 1996 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit B to Legacy Vulcan Corp's Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed with the SEC on April 10, 2006)
  - (e)(8) — Vulcan Deferred Stock Plan for Nonemployee Directors of the Company, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(f) to Legacy Vulcan Corp.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001, and filed with the SEC on March 27, 2002)
  - (e)(9) — Vulcan Restricted Stock Plan for Nonemployee Directors of the Company, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Vulcan's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 17, 2008)
  - (e)(10) — Form Stock Option Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(o) to Legacy Vulcan Corp.'s Report on Form 8-K filed December 20, 2005)
  - (e)(11) — Form Director Deferred Stock Unit Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 17, 2008)
  - (e)(12) — Form Performance Share Unit Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 11, 2010)
  - (e)(13) — Form Stock Only Stock Appreciation Rights Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 15, 2011)
-

## Table of Contents

- (e)(14) — Form Stock Only Stock Appreciation Rights Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(p) to Legacy Vulcan Corp.'s Report on Form 10-K filed February 26, 2007)
  - (e)(15) — Form Employee Deferred Stock Unit Award Amended Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 17, 2008)
  - (e)(16) — Change of Control Employment Agreement Form (Double Trigger) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 2, 2008)
  - (e)(17) — Change of Control Employment Agreement Form (Modified Double Trigger) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 2, 2008)
  - (e)(18) — Change of Control and Non-Competition Agreement with John R. McPherson, dated as of October 7, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Vulcan's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 11, 2011)
  - (e)(19) — Independent Contractor Consulting Agreement with John R. McPherson, dated as of October 7, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Vulcan's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 11, 2011)
  - (e)(20) — Supplemental Executive Retirement Agreement (incorporated as Exhibit 10 to Legacy Vulcan Corp.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2001 filed on November 2, 2001)
  - (e)(21) — Vulcan Executive Incentive Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Vulcan's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 17, 2008)
-



## VULCAN BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY REJECTS MARTIN MARIETTA'S UNSOLICITED EXCHANGE OFFER

### Board of Directors Determines that Martin Marietta's Illegal and Opportunistic Offer Substantially Undervalues Vulcan

#### Files 14D-9 with the SEC Urging Shareholders Not to Exchange Their Shares

**Birmingham, Alabama, December 22, 2011** —Vulcan Materials Company (NYSE:VMC) today announced that its Board of Directors, after consultation with its independent financial and legal advisors, unanimously determined that the Martin Marietta Materials, Inc. (NYSE:MLM) exchange offer to acquire Vulcan at a fixed exchange ratio of 0.50 shares of Martin Marietta common stock for each Vulcan common share is inadequate and not in the best interests of Vulcan and its shareholders. Accordingly, the Board strongly recommends that shareholders not tender any shares to Martin Marietta.

"Our Board's position is clear—shareholders should reject Martin Marietta's lowball and opportunistic exchange offer," said Donald M. James, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Vulcan Materials. "The offer, made at a low point in the economic and industry cycle, does not come close to appropriately compensating shareholders for Vulcan's strategic locations and leading positions in high growth markets, unparalleled reserve base, and proven ability to deliver rapid profitability and cash flow growth in economic recoveries. Martin Marietta is obviously trying to take value that rightly belongs wholly to Vulcan shareholders."

The Vulcan Board concluded that the Company is much better positioned to capitalize on economic recovery than Martin Marietta. Vulcan has a stronger presence in the most attractive U.S. markets and a significantly more profitable aggregates business. It also noted that Martin Marietta's offer carries significant execution risk, further eroding the value of the offer. While the Company had explored a possible combination with Martin Marietta in the past, it ultimately determined that a combination was not in the best interests of the Company or its shareholders, as detailed in Vulcan's 14D-9 filing today.

Among the specific reasons cited in Vulcan's Schedule 14D-9 for recommending that shareholders reject the Martin Marietta offer are the following:

- **The offer is disadvantageous to Vulcan shareholders and substantially undervalues Vulcan and its future prospects.**
    - Vulcan's reserve positions and operating facilities are located in attractive markets that have higher long-term growth potential than those of Martin Marietta.
    - Vulcan historically emerges from recessionary periods with significant upside earnings growth.
    - Vulcan has managed its aggregates business to achieve greater unit profitability than Martin Marietta.
    - The premium implied by the offer is significantly lower than those achieved in previous transactions in the construction materials industry.
  - **The transaction proposed by Martin Marietta would not enhance shareholder value in the future.**
    - Value-destructive divestitures required by the U.S. Department of Justice would harm the financial results of a combined company.
    - Martin Marietta's projected synergy claims are aggressive and subject to substantial execution risks.
-

- Vulcan is already achieving on a stand-alone basis much of the value of the synergy benefits put forward by Martin Marietta.
  - The proposed transaction would have significant opportunity costs for Vulcan and its shareholders potentially precluding or foreclosing other value creating alternatives or initiatives.
  - **The timing of the offer is opportunistic, seeking to exploit cyclical lows.**
    - The offer seeks to exploit a historic downturn in U.S. construction spending and its timing seeks to capitalize on a ten-year trough in the trading prices of Vulcan common stock.
    - Shares of Vulcan common stock have traded above the implied value of the offer for 85% of the trading days over the ten years preceding the offer.
    - The exchange ratio of 0.50x is significantly lower than historical relative trading values of the two companies.
  - **The offer is illegal.**
    - Prior to launching its unsolicited offer, Martin Marietta obtained from Vulcan highly sensitive, material, non-public and confidential information under two separate agreements. Martin Marietta's misuse and improper disclosure of critical confidential information in connection with its offer is a material breach of these agreements and a violation of federal securities laws. Martin Marietta not only illegally disclosed confidential information in breach of these agreements, it also failed to disclose that, in violation of federal securities laws, it is in possession of material, non-public proprietary information about Vulcan. Therefore, Vulcan has commenced litigation against Martin Marietta in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama, as well as a counterclaim in Delaware, to enjoin the offer and enforce its rights under the agreements and the federal securities laws.
  - **The offer is subject to antitrust uncertainty and is highly conditional.**
    - Martin Marietta is proposing the combination of the two largest producers of construction aggregates in the U.S. Based on Vulcan's analysis and experience with acquisitions, the Vulcan Board anticipates that the Department of Justice will likely require the divestiture of significant assets in key markets.
    - The Vulcan Board believes that the significant conditionality of the offer and the required antitrust approvals create substantial uncertainty and risk as to when or whether the offer can be completed.
  - **The Vulcan Board has received an inadequacy opinion from Goldman Sachs.**
    - The Vulcan Board believes that the offer substantially undervalues the Company. Goldman Sachs rendered an opinion to the Vulcan Board that as of December 19, 2011, and based upon and subject to the factors and assumptions set forth in the written opinion, the consideration proposed to be paid to the holders of Vulcan shares pursuant to the offer was inadequate from a financial point of view to such holders. The full text of the written opinion of Goldman Sachs, dated December 19, 2011, which sets forth the assumptions made, procedures followed, matters considered and limitations on the review undertaken in connection with such opinion, is attached to the Company's 14D-9 filing as Annex B. Goldman Sachs provided its opinion for the information and assistance of the Vulcan Board in connection with its consideration of the offer, and it is not a recommendation as to whether or not any holder of Vulcan common stock should tender such shares in connection with the offer or any other matter.
-

- **Martin Marietta's proposed transaction would be harmful to important Vulcan constituencies.**
- In evaluating the offer, the Vulcan Board also considered the effect that the proposed transactions would have on constituencies in addition to Vulcan's shareholders, including its employees and the communities in which Vulcan operates.

The full basis for the Board's recommendation is set forth in Vulcan's Schedule 14D-9 filed today with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and is available on the SEC's website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). Copies of the Schedule 14D-9 may also be obtained on the Company's website at [www.vulcanmaterials.com](http://www.vulcanmaterials.com) or by contacting MacKenzie Partners, Inc. toll free at 1-800-322-2885 or via email at [vulcan@mackenziepartners.com](mailto:vulcan@mackenziepartners.com).

Goldman, Sachs & Co. is acting as financial advisor and Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz is acting as legal advisor to Vulcan.

### **About Vulcan Materials Company**

Vulcan Materials Company, a member of the S&P 500 index, is the nation's largest producer of construction aggregates, a major producer of asphalt mix and concrete and a leading producer of cement in Florida.

### **Contact**

#### **Investor Contact:**

Mark Warren (205) 298-3220

MacKenzie Partners Inc.

Dan Burch/ Bob Marese  
(212) 929-5500

#### **Media Contacts:**

David Donaldson / John English  
(205) 298-3220

Sard Verbinnen & Co

Jamie Tully/ Meghan Stafford  
(212) 687-8080

### **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

This document does not constitute an offer to buy or solicitation of an offer to sell any securities or a solicitation of any vote, consent or approval. In response to the unsolicited exchange offer commenced by Martin Marietta Materials, Inc., a North Carolina corporation ("Martin Marietta"), Vulcan Materials Company ("Vulcan") has filed a Solicitation/Recommendation statement on Schedule 14D-9 with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). **INVESTORS AND SECURITY HOLDERS OF VULCAN ARE URGED TO READ THE SOLICITATION / RECOMMENDATION STATEMENT AND OTHER DOCUMENTS FILED WITH THE SEC CAREFULLY IN THEIR ENTIRETY BECAUSE THEY CONTAIN IMPORTANT INFORMATION.** Investors and security holders may obtain free copies of these documents and other documents filed with the SEC by Vulcan through the website maintained by the SEC at <http://www.sec.gov>. Copies of the Solicitation/Recommendation Statement, any amendments and supplements to the Solicitation/Recommendation Statement and other Vulcan materials related to Martin Marietta's unsolicited offer will also be available for free under the "Investor Relations" tab of Vulcan's corporate website <http://www.vulcanmaterials.com>.

### **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ABOUT POTENTIAL PARTICIPANTS**

In addition, Vulcan intends to file a proxy statement with the SEC with respect to the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Any definitive proxy statement will be mailed to stockholders of Vulcan. Vulcan, its directors and certain of its executive officers may be deemed to be participants in the solicitation of proxies from Vulcan shareholders in connection with the matters to be considered at the annual meeting.

---

INVESTORS AND SECURITY HOLDERS OF VULCAN ARE URGED TO READ ANY SUCH PROXY STATEMENT, ACCOMPANYING PROXY CARD AND OTHER DOCUMENTS FILED WITH THE SEC CAREFULLY IN THEIR ENTIRETY WHEN THEY BECOME AVAILABLE BECAUSE THEY WILL CONTAIN IMPORTANT INFORMATION. Investors and security holders will be able to obtain free copies of these documents (when available) and other documents filed with the SEC by Vulcan through the website maintained by the SEC at <http://www.sec.gov>.

Detailed information regarding the identity of potential participants, and their direct or indirect interests, by security holdings or otherwise, will be set forth in the proxy statement and other materials to be filed with the SEC in connection with Vulcan's 2012 Annual Meeting. Information regarding the direct and indirect beneficial ownership of Vulcan's directors and executive officers in Vulcan's securities is included in their SEC filings on Forms 3, 4 and 5, and additional information can also be found in Vulcan's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, filed with the SEC on February 28, 2011, and its Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the first three quarters of the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011, filed on May 6, 2011, August 4, 2011 and November 4, 2011, respectively. Relevant information concerning such participants and their potential interests is also contained in the Solicitation/Recommendation on Schedule 14D-9. Shareholders will be able to obtain any proxy statement, any amendments or supplements to the proxy statement and other documents filed by Vulcan with the SEC for no charge at the SEC's website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). Copies will also be available at no charge under the "Investor Relations" tab of our corporate website at [www.vulcanmaterials.com](http://www.vulcanmaterials.com).

#### **FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENT DISCLAIMER**

This document contains forward-looking statements. Statements that are not historical fact, including statements about Vulcan's beliefs and expectations, are forward-looking statements. Generally, these statements relate to future financial performance, results of operations, business plans or strategies, projected or anticipated revenues, expenses, earnings, or levels of capital expenditures. These forward-looking statements are sometimes identified by the use of terms and phrases such as "believe," "should," "would," "expect," "project," "estimate," "anticipate," "intend," "plan," "will," "can," "may," or similar expressions elsewhere in this document. These statements are subject to numerous risks, uncertainties, and assumptions, including but not limited to general business conditions, competitive factors, pricing, energy costs, and other risks and uncertainties discussed in the reports Vulcan periodically files with the SEC. Vulcan cautions prospective investors that forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and that actual results, developments, and business decisions may vary significantly from those expressed in or implied by the forward-looking statements. The following risks related to Vulcan's business, among others, could cause actual results to differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements: the risk that the results of the streamlining actions adopted by Vulcan will differ from those anticipated; future events relating to Martin Marietta's unsolicited offer to acquire Vulcan; those associated with general economic and business conditions; the timing and amount of federal, state and local funding for infrastructure; the lack of a multi-year federal highway funding bill with an automatic funding mechanism; the reluctance of state departments of transportation to undertake federal highway projects without a reliable method of federal funding; the impact of a prolonged economic recession on Vulcan's industry, business and financial condition and access to capital markets; changes in the level of spending for private residential and nonresidential construction; the highly competitive nature of the construction materials industry; the impact of future regulatory or legislative actions; the outcome of pending legal proceedings; pricing of Vulcan's products; weather and other natural phenomena; energy costs; costs of hydrocarbon-based raw materials; healthcare costs; the amount of long-term debt and interest expense incurred by Vulcan; changes in interest rates; the impact of Vulcan's below investment grade debt rating on Vulcan's cost of capital; volatility in pension plan asset values which may require cash contributions to the pension plans; the impact of environmental clean-up costs and other liabilities relating to previously divested businesses; Vulcan's ability to secure and permit aggregates reserves in strategically located areas; Vulcan's ability to manage and successfully integrate acquisitions; the potential of goodwill impairment;

---

the potential impact of future legislation or regulations relating to climate change or greenhouse gas emissions or the definition of minerals; and other assumptions, risks and uncertainties detailed from time to time in the reports filed by Vulcan with the SEC. All forward-looking statements in this communication are qualified in their entirety by this cautionary statement. Vulcan disclaims and does not undertake any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement in this document except as required by law. Vulcan notes that forward-looking statements made in connection with a tender offer are not subject to the safe harbors created by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Vulcan is not waiving any other defenses that may be available under applicable law.

- END -

---

QuickLinks

Exhibit (a)(2)

**VULCAN BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY REJECTS MARTIN MARIETTA'S UNSOLICITED EXCHANGE OFFER** Board of Directors Determines that Martin Marietta's Illegal and Opportunistic Offer Substantially Undervalues Vulcan Files 14D-9 with the SEC Urging Shareholders Not to Exchange Their Shares

December 22, 2011

Dear Fellow Shareholders,

I wanted to let you know that your Board of Directors has unanimously recommended that shareholders of Vulcan Materials Company reject Martin Marietta Materials, Inc.'s unsolicited exchange offer for all of Vulcan's outstanding shares of common stock.

After careful consideration, including a thorough review of the offer with its financial and legal advisors, your Board determined that Martin Marietta's offer substantially undervalues Vulcan, including its leading positions in high growth markets, unparalleled reserve base, and proven ability to deliver rapid profitability and cash flow growth in economic recoveries.

The Board also believes that Martin Marietta's offer would burden Vulcan shareholders with substantial execution risk, further diminishing the value of the offer. Based on Vulcan's analysis and experience with acquisitions, the Board anticipates that the U.S. Department of Justice will likely require the divestiture of significant assets in key markets in order to receive antitrust approval. A forced sale of assets in the current economic environment, and the significant tax consequences of the divestitures, would erode value that belongs to Vulcan shareholders.

Among the multiple reasons your Board has recommended against the offer are the following:

- **The offer substantially undervalues Vulcan and its future prospects.** The Board believes Vulcan is much better positioned than Martin Marietta to create shareholder value as the economy improves, and is skeptical of the purported financial benefits of a merger. Vulcan's reserve positions and operating facilities are located in attractive markets that have a higher long-term growth potential than those of Martin Marietta, and Vulcan is better positioned to capitalize on increased construction spending as the economy recovers.

In addition, Vulcan is already achieving on a stand-alone basis much of the value of the synergy benefits put forward by Martin Marietta, without burdening shareholders with the risks of a combination. Our current significant organizational restructuring, consolidating eight operating Divisions around the U.S. into four Regions, is but one example, along with the major overhead reduction initiatives already completed since the onset of the recession.

- **The timing of the offer is opportunistic, seeking to exploit cyclical lows.** The offer seeks to exploit a historic downturn in U.S. construction spending and a ten-year trough position of the trading prices of Vulcan common stock. Shares of Vulcan common stock have traded above the implied value of the offer for 85% of the trading days over the ten years preceding the offer. The exchange ratio of 0.50x is significantly lower than historical relative trading values of the two companies.
  - **The offer is illegal.** Prior to launching its unsolicited offer, Martin Marietta obtained from Vulcan highly sensitive, material, non-public and confidential information under two separate agreements. Martin Marietta's misuse and improper disclosure of critical confidential information in connection with its offer is a material breach of these agreements and a violation of federal securities laws. Martin Marietta not only illegally disclosed confidential information in breach of these agreements, it also failed to disclose that, in violation of federal securities laws, it is in possession of material, non-public proprietary information about Vulcan. Therefore, Vulcan has commenced litigation against Martin Marietta in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama, as well as a counterclaim in Delaware, to enjoin the offer and enforce its rights under the agreements and the federal securities laws.
  - **The offer is subject to antitrust uncertainty and is highly conditional.** The Vulcan Board believes that the significant conditionality of the offer and the required regulatory and antitrust approvals create significant uncertainty and risk as to when or whether the offer can be completed. Martin Marietta is proposing the combination of the two largest producers of construction aggregates in the U.S. The Board anticipates that the Department of Justice will likely require the divestiture of significant assets in key markets.
-

A complete discussion of these and the other significant factors contributing to your Board of Directors' recommendation against the offer is included in the enclosed Solicitation/Recommendation Statement on Schedule 14D-9. We urge you to read the Schedule 14D-9 carefully in its entirety so that you will be fully informed as to your Board of Directors' recommendation.

We greatly appreciate your continued support and encouragement. Your Board remains keenly focused on delivering on our strategy of growth from our existing asset base and building value for our shareholders. We look forward to continuing to update you on our progress.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Donald M. James". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "D" and "J".

Don James  
*Chairman and Chief Executive Officer*

If you have any questions concerning the Schedule 14D-9 or need additional copies of Vulcan's publicly filed materials, please contact MacKenzie Partners Inc. at 212-929-5500 or toll-free at 800-322-2885.

#### **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

This document does not constitute an offer to buy or solicitation of an offer to sell any securities or a solicitation of any vote, consent or approval. In response to the unsolicited exchange offer commenced by Martin Marietta Materials, Inc., a North Carolina corporation ("Martin Marietta"), Vulcan Materials Company ("Vulcan") has filed a Solicitation/Recommendation statement on Schedule 14D-9 with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). INVESTORS AND SECURITY HOLDERS OF VULCAN ARE URGED TO READ THE SOLICITATION / RECOMMENDATION STATEMENT AND OTHER DOCUMENTS FILED WITH THE SEC CAREFULLY IN THEIR ENTIRETY BECAUSE THEY CONTAIN IMPORTANT INFORMATION. Investors and security holders may obtain free copies of these documents and other documents filed with the SEC by Vulcan through the website maintained by the SEC at <http://www.sec.gov>. Copies of the Solicitation/Recommendation Statement, any amendments and supplements to the Solicitation/Recommendation Statement and other Vulcan materials related to Martin Marietta's unsolicited offer will also be available for free under the "Investor Relations" tab of Vulcan's corporate website <http://www.vulcanmaterials.com>.

#### **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ABOUT POTENTIAL PARTICIPANTS**

In addition, Vulcan intends to file a proxy statement with the SEC with respect to the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Any definitive proxy statement will be mailed to stockholders of Vulcan. Vulcan, its directors and certain of its executive officers may be deemed to be participants in the solicitation of proxies from Vulcan shareholders in connection with the matters to be considered at the annual meeting.

INVESTORS AND SECURITY HOLDERS OF VULCAN ARE URGED TO READ ANY SUCH PROXY STATEMENT, ACCOMPANYING PROXY CARD AND OTHER DOCUMENTS FILED WITH THE SEC CAREFULLY IN THEIR ENTIRETY WHEN THEY BECOME AVAILABLE BECAUSE THEY WILL CONTAIN IMPORTANT INFORMATION. Investors and security holders will be able to obtain free copies of these documents (when available) and other documents filed with the SEC by Vulcan through the website maintained by the SEC at <http://www.sec.gov>.

---

Detailed information regarding the identity of potential participants, and their direct or indirect interests, by security holdings or otherwise, will be set forth in the proxy statement and other materials to be filed with the SEC in connection with Vulcan's 2012 Annual Meeting. Information regarding the direct and indirect beneficial ownership of Vulcan's directors and executive officers in Vulcan's securities is included in their SEC filings on Forms 3, 4 and 5, and additional information can also be found in Vulcan's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, filed with the SEC on February 28, 2011, and its Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the first three quarters of the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011, filed on May 6, 2011, August 4, 2011 and November 4, 2011, respectively. Relevant information concerning such participants and their potential interests is also contained in the Solicitation/Recommendation on Schedule 14D-9. Shareholders will be able to obtain any proxy statement, any amendments or supplements to the proxy statement and other documents filed by Vulcan with the SEC for no charge at the SEC's website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). Copies will also be available at no charge under the "Investor Relations" tab of our corporate website at [www.vulcanmaterials.com](http://www.vulcanmaterials.com).

#### **FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENT DISCLAIMER**

This document contains forward-looking statements. Statements that are not historical fact, including statements about Vulcan's beliefs and expectations, are forward-looking statements. Generally, these statements relate to future financial performance, results of operations, business plans or strategies, projected or anticipated revenues, expenses, earnings, or levels of capital expenditures. These forward-looking statements are sometimes identified by the use of terms and phrases such as "believe," "should," "would," "expect," "project," "estimate," "anticipate," "intend," "plan," "will," "can," "may," or similar expressions elsewhere in this document. These statements are subject to numerous risks, uncertainties, and assumptions, including but not limited to general business conditions, competitive factors, pricing, energy costs, and other risks and uncertainties discussed in the reports Vulcan periodically files with the SEC. Vulcan cautions prospective investors that forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and that actual results, developments, and business decisions may vary significantly from those expressed in or implied by the forward-looking statements. The following risks related to Vulcan's business, among others, could cause actual results to differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements: the risk that the results of the streamlining actions adopted by Vulcan will differ from those anticipated; future events relating to Martin Marietta's unsolicited offer to acquire Vulcan; those associated with general economic and business conditions; the timing and amount of federal, state and local funding for infrastructure; the lack of a multi-year federal highway funding bill with an automatic funding mechanism; the reluctance of state departments of transportation to undertake federal highway projects without a reliable method of federal funding; the impact of a prolonged economic recession on Vulcan's industry, business and financial condition and access to capital markets; changes in the level of spending for private residential and nonresidential construction; the highly competitive nature of the construction materials industry; the impact of future regulatory or legislative actions; the outcome of pending legal proceedings; pricing of Vulcan's products; weather and other natural phenomena; energy costs; costs of hydrocarbon-based raw materials; healthcare costs; the amount of long-term debt and interest expense incurred by Vulcan; changes in interest rates; the impact of Vulcan's below investment grade debt rating on Vulcan's cost of capital; volatility in pension plan asset values which may require cash contributions to the pension plans; the impact of environmental clean-up costs and other liabilities relating to previously divested businesses; Vulcan's ability to secure and permit aggregates reserves in strategically located areas; Vulcan's ability to manage and successfully integrate acquisitions; the potential of goodwill impairment; the potential impact of future legislation or regulations relating to climate change or greenhouse gas emissions or the definition of minerals; and other assumptions, risks and uncertainties detailed from time to time in the reports filed by Vulcan with the SEC. All forward-looking statements in this communication are qualified in their entirety by this cautionary statement. Vulcan disclaims and does not undertake any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement in this document except as required by law. Vulcan notes that forward-looking statements made in connection with a tender offer are not subject to the safe harbors created by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Vulcan is not waiving any other defenses that may be available under applicable law.

---

QuickLinks

[Exhibit \(a\)\(3\)](#)

Excerpts from the Vulcan Materials Company 2011 Annual Meeting Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 31, 2011.

**TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PERSONS**

The son of Mr. Philip Carroll, Jr., a member of our Board of Directors, is a partner in a large law firm that has provided legal services to our company for over 50 years. In determining that this is not a material relationship involving Mr. Carroll, our Board determined that payments made by our company to the firm represented less than 1% of the firm's consolidated gross revenues in 2010, and the revenues from our company received by Mr. Carroll's son as a result of his status as partner was not material.

Additionally, the Board made the assessment that Mr. Carroll was independent and that this was not a material relationship for the following reasons:

- Long-standing relationship between the firm and our company going back over 50 years
- Mr. Carroll's son joined the firm in 1988 and was made partner before his father joined the Board in 1999
- Mr. Carroll's son does not work directly on any Vulcan matters. His only remuneration from Vulcan is indirectly from his earnings as a partner of the firm
- Vulcan's payments to the firm are less than 1% of the firm's total revenues
- Vulcan's payments to the firm are less than 5% of what we pay in total for our legal fees
- Mr. Carroll meets all of the director independence standards set both by the SEC and the NYSE
- Mr. Carroll is a highly respected Board member and he brings invaluable corporate experience as the past President of Shell Oil Company and CEO of Fluor Corp.
- There is no actual conflict regarding Mr. Carroll's service on the Board and the selection of firms for legal work since the Board is not involved in the selection process and we would continue to use the firm's services even absent the relationship

Mr. Carroll will no longer serve as Chair of the Compensation Committee following the 2011 Annual Meeting, in anticipation of Mr. Carroll's retirement at the 2012 Annual Meeting.

Corporate Governance

## SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

### SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS

The following is information regarding persons known to us to have beneficial ownership of more than 5% of the outstanding common stock of our company, which is our only outstanding class of voting securities, as of the dates indicated in the footnotes below.

<b>Name and Address of Beneficial Owner</b>	<b>Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership (# of shares)</b>	<b>Percent of Class</b>
State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company and Affiliates One State Farm Plaza Bloomington, Illinois 61710	12,069,409(1)	9.4%
T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. 100 E. Pratt Street Baltimore, Maryland 21202	11,214,792(2)	8.7%
PRIMECAP Management Company 255 South Lake Avenue # 400 Pasadena, California 91101	7,869,789(3)	6.1%

- (1) Based on information contained in a Schedule 13G, dated January 20, 2011, filed with the SEC. According to the Schedule 13G, the listed entity has sole voting and dispositive power over 8,373,600 shares.
- (2) Based on information contained in a Schedule 13G, dated February 14, 2011, filed with the SEC. These securities are owned by various individuals and institutional investors which T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. (Price Associates) serves as an investment adviser with power to direct investments and/or sole power to vote the securities. For the purposes of reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Price Associates is deemed to be a beneficial owner of such securities; however, Price Associates expressly disclaims that it is, in fact, the beneficial owner of such securities.
- (3) Based on information contained in a Schedule 13G, dated February 4, 2011, filed with the SEC.

### SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth information, unless otherwise indicated, as of March 1, 2011, regarding beneficial ownership of our company's common stock, our only outstanding class of equity securities, by each of our directors, each of our NEOs identified in the Summary Compensation Table on page 50 of this proxy statement, and the directors and executive officers as a group. We believe that, for each of the individuals set forth in the table below, such individual's financial interest is aligned with the interests of our other shareholders because the value of such individual's total holdings will increase or decrease in line with the price of our common stock.

Name of Beneficial Owner Non-employee Directors(1)	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership (# of shares)				Total	Percent of Class
	Shares Owned Directly or Indirectly	Restricted Shares	Phantom Shares Held Pursuant to Plans			
Philip J. Carroll, Jr.	6,752	0	28,600		35,352	*
Phillip W. Farmer	6,120(2)	0	28,504		34,624	*
H. Allen Franklin	0	4,000	25,358		29,358	*
Ann McLaughlin Korologos	3,896	0	25,169		29,065	*
Douglas J. McGregor	7,795(3)	0	65,148		72,943	*
James V. Napier	8,334	0	20,457		28,791	*
Richard T. O'Brien	0	0	3,842		3,842	*
James T. Prokopanko	0	0	1,825		1,825	*
Donald B. Rice	48,395	0	19,286		67,681	*
Vincent J. Trosino	10,200	0	19,379		29,579	*
Kathleen Wilson-Thompson	0	0	1,825		1,825	*

Chief Executive Officer and other NEOs(4)	Shares Owned Directly or Indirectly	Exercisable Options	Thrift Plan	Deferred Stock Units	Total	Percent of Class
Don James	186,984	1,292,375	30,309	181,011	1,690,679	1.3%
Dan Sansone	27,311	201,302	21,521	23,240	273,374	*
Danny Shepherd	20,237	119,908	8,646	4,133	152,924	*
Ron McAbee	8,526	157,708	22,701	11,514	200,449	*
Bob Wason	34,077	113,859	8,306	9,014	165,256	*
<b>All Directors and Executive Officers as a group (16 persons)</b>					<b>2,945,443</b>	<b>2.3%</b>

\*Less than 1% of issued and outstanding shares of our company's common stock.

- (1) Beneficial ownership for the non-employee directors includes all shares held of record or in street name and, if noted, by trusts or family members. The amounts also include restricted shares granted under our Restricted Stock Plan for Non-employee Directors, phantom shares settled in stock accrued under the Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan, and deferred stock units awarded under the Deferred Stock Plan for Non-employee Directors and the 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan.
- (2) All shares are held in a trust of which Mr. Farmer is the trustee.
- (3) Includes 1,350 shares held in a trust of which Mr. McGregor is the trustee.
- (4) Beneficial ownership for the executive officers includes shares held of record or in street name. The amounts also include shares that may be acquired upon the exercise of options which are presently exercisable or that will become exercisable on or before April 30, 2012, shares credited to the executives' accounts under our Thrift Plan for Salaried Employees and deferred stock units.

## EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS

The table below sets forth information regarding the number of shares of our common stock authorized for issuance under all of our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2010.

### EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders(1):			
<b>1996 Long-Term Incentive Plan (For Employees)(2)</b>			
Stock Options	4,076,736	\$ 53.36	
Performance Share Units	0		
Deferred Stock Units	120,237		
Total 1996 Long-Term Incentive Plan	4,196,973		0(2)
<b>Deferred Stock Plan for Non-employee Directors(2)</b>	8,369		0(2)
<b>Restricted Stock Plan for Non-employee Directors(2)</b>	14,197		0(2)
<b>2000 Florida Rock Industries Amended &amp; Restated Stock Plan(3)</b>			
Stock Only Stock Appreciation Rights	143,573	\$ 45.72	
Performance Share Units	68,750		
Total 2000 Florida Rock Industries Stock Plan	212,323		0(3)
<b>2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan</b>			
Stock-Only Stock Appreciation Rights	2,258,984	\$ 61.36	
Performance Share Units	527,518		
Restricted Stock Units	1,000		
Deferred Stock Units for Non-employee Directors	69,328		
Total 2006 Omnibus Plan	2,856,830		994,946
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	NONE		NONE
Total of All Plans	7,288,692		994,946

(1) All of our company's equity compensation plans have been approved by the shareholders of our company or, in the case of the 2000 Florida Rock Industries Amended and Restated Stock Plan, by the shareholders of Florida Rock Industries, Inc. prior to our acquisition of that company. Column (a) sets forth the number of shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of options, warrants or rights outstanding under the 1996 Long-Term Incentive Plan, the Deferred Stock Plan for Non-employee Directors, the Restricted Stock Plan for Non-employee Directors, the 2000 Florida Rock Industries Amended and Restated Stock Plan, and the 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan (Omnibus Plan). The weighted-average exercise price of outstanding stock options is shown in Column (b). The remaining number of shares that may be issued under the equity compensation plans are shown in Column (c).

(2) Future grants will not be made under these plans. The plans will be used only for the administration and payment of grants that were outstanding when the Omnibus Plan was approved.

(3) This plan was approved by the shareholders of Florida Rock Industries, Inc. Shares available have been adjusted for the merger transaction. Units were only available for granting of awards until September 30, 2010. Future grants will not be made under this plan. The plan will be used only for the administration and payment of grants that are outstanding.

## **COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT**

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis as set forth below with management and, based on such review and discussions, recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement.

### **THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE**

Philip J. Carroll, Jr., Chair  
H. Allen Franklin  
James V. Napier  
Donald B. Rice

### **COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

In our Corporate Mission Statement, we state that our success is dependent upon the talent, dedication and performance of all employees. Therefore, our compensation program for our named executive officers (NEOs) is intended to motivate them to achieve our strategic goals and operational plans and to provide competitive levels of rewards for doing so. To encourage and reward superior performance, we have linked a substantial portion of our NEOs' compensation to our performance as measured by a standard referred to as Economic Profit (EP), and to the performance of our company's common stock relative to the performance of other companies. EP measures the extent to which operating earnings exceed an operating capital charge. Operating earnings are based on net earnings, but exclude interest income and expense, gains and losses on investments, deferred income taxes, and results of certain discontinued operations.

The total direct compensation for each NEO is reviewed annually and is based on

- individual performance
- recent and long-term company performance
- competitive or market levels of performance

#### **2010 COMPENSATION HIGHLIGHTS**

The following table illustrates how we aligned our compensation practices with our goals in 2010:

## 2010 COMPENSATION COMMITTEE ACTIONS

COMPENSATION ELEMENT	ACTION	OUR NORMAL PRACTICE	REASON FOR ACTION
<b>Base salary</b>	An average of 3.5% salary increase was granted our NEOs, excluding our CEO, who was not given a salary increase.	Normally we grant our NEOs a market competitive level of salary increase, based upon individual performance.	Based on market information reported for executive merit increases, guidance from our executive compensation consultant and individual performance of the NEO, increases are granted to provide appropriate and competitive base salary.
<b>Annual performance-based bonus</b>	No cash bonuses were paid to NEOs based on 2010 company performance.	Normally reflects competitive practice, company performance and individual performance.	2010 performance fell well short of target levels.
<b>Long-term equity-based incentive awards</b>	Each NEO was granted a long-term incentive (LTI) award valued at competitive compensation levels.	Normally we grant LTI awards at competitive levels unless the company's TSR performance over the prior 5 years is significantly above the S&P 500 median, then premium awards are granted. Premium awards were not granted in 2010.	Based on competitive practice, to reward consistent outstanding performance and to reinforce the alignment of NEOs' interest with shareholders' interests.

## COMPENSATION PHILOSOPHY

The dedication and performance of our employees, including our NEOs, enable us to accomplish our corporate goals. Our compensation program for our NEOs is intended to motivate them to achieve our strategic goals and operational plans while adhering to our high ethical business standards. We attempt to motivate the NEOs by

- keeping our salaries and benefits competitive with industrial companies of similar size, enabling us to hire and retain individuals of the highest caliber and to discourage them from seeking other employment opportunities;
- linking a meaningful portion of compensation to our company's measurable performance and by increased use of PSUs over SOSARs, thereby encouraging the creation of shareholder value over the short and long term;
- motivating, recognizing, and rewarding individual excellence; and
- paying a meaningful portion of total compensation in our stock and encouraging significant stock accumulation to align the interests of our management and shareholders.

## COMPENSATION COMMITTEE: ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

The Compensation Committee, which is composed entirely of independent directors, administers our executive compensation program in accordance with the Compensation Committee's charter. The current charter is available on our website at [www.vulcanmaterials.com](http://www.vulcanmaterials.com). The role of the Compensation Committee is to

- annually review and approve corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer and then
  - evaluate the Chief Executive Officer's performance relative to these goals and objectives,
  - set the Chief Executive Officer's compensation levels based on this evaluation,
  - report to the full Board of Directors,
- determine and set base salary and awards made to NEOs under our incentive compensation plans and equity-based plans;

- 
- administer our Executive Incentive Plan (EIP) and 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan (Omnibus Plan);
  - report to the Board its approval or disapproval of recommendations of the Chief Executive Officer for material changes in existing retirement and benefit plans applicable to the NEOs; and

- make regular reports to the Board, including an annual report, explaining its determination of compensation levels for the Chief Executive Officer and the other NEOs.

Our NEOs for 2010 are:

Don James	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
Dan Sansone	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer(1)
Danny Shepherd	Executive Vice President, Construction Materials(2)
Ron McAbee	Senior Vice President, Construction Materials Group-West(3)
Bob Wason	Senior Vice President and General Counsel

- 
- (1) During 2010, Mr. Sansone held the title Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. He was promoted as of February 1, 2011.
- (2) During 2010, Mr. Shepherd held the title Senior Vice President Construction Materials Group — East. He was promoted as of February 1, 2011.
- (3) Mr. McAbee retired effective as of February 1, 2011.

When making executive compensation decisions, the Compensation Committee reviews compensation tally sheets that show the Chief Executive Officer's and the other NEOs' total compensation. Our internal corporate compensation group prepares the tally sheets. Each of these tally sheets presents the dollar amount of compensation for the NEOs broken out into 1) base salary, 2) annual performance-based bonus, and 3) long-term equity-based incentive awards. However, in determining compensation for 2010, the Compensation Committee did not adjust for

- incentives realized in prior years or wealth accumulation through realized and unrealized equity gains and post-employment payments; or
- potential payments to the NEOs that are contingent upon the occurrence of a corporate change-in-control (CIC).

#### COMPENSATION CONSULTANT

As stated in its charter, the Compensation Committee is authorized to retain external advisors and consultants at our company's expense. In 2010, the Compensation Committee engaged Compensation Strategies, Inc. (CSI) as its independent compensation consultant. CSI acts at the direction of, and reports to, the Compensation Committee, although it meets with management from time to time to discuss compensation initiatives and issues. The Compensation Committee has not requested, and does not intend to request, that CSI provide any non-compensation related services to our company. CSI does not have any business relationships with our company beyond the services provided as the independent consultant to the Compensation Committee.

In our compensation engagement, CSI

- conducts periodic comprehensive studies of executive compensation and makes recommendations regarding the components of executive compensation, including target levels for 1) base salary, 2) annual bonus, 3) long-term equity-based incentive awards, and 4) change-in-control protections;
- advises the Compensation Committee regarding competitive practices, the design of new programs and new laws, rules, and regulations relating to executive compensation; and
- prepares an annual study of compensation and makes recommendations to the Board of Directors.

In 2010, CSI

- provided the Compensation Committee with observations on the relative competitiveness of our compensation programs with comparable companies (based upon its review of the various components of market data set forth above)
- provided its recommendations with respect to Board compensation, as well as its advice on regulatory compliance and development of new programs
- conducted an executive market study to ensure that our compensation programs are reasonable and competitive.

Representatives of CSI attended four meetings of the Compensation Committee in 2010 and participated in the executive session of the Compensation Committee. Our CEO does not attend this executive session.

## **BENCHMARKING TOTAL COMPENSATION**

For compensation decisions made in February 2010, we did not conduct a new total compensation benchmarking study or analysis. However, to ensure that our compensation program is competitive, total direct compensation paid to our CEO and other NEOs was benchmarked against a composite group of companies in December 2010. Compensation data from that group is adjusted to reflect the size of our company. The benchmarking data is useful in setting market-based (median) levels for the three principal elements of compensation: 1) base salary, 2) annual performance-based bonus and 3) long-term equity-based incentive awards. With the assistance of CSI, the Compensation Committee also annually reviews the composition of the comparison group to ensure that included companies continue to be relevant for comparative purposes. The total direct compensation for each NEO is reviewed annually and is based on:

- individual performance;
- recent and long-term company performance; and
- competitive or market levels of performance.

The comparison group was composed of 26 companies from the following industries: Construction Materials; Building Products; Construction Equipment; Engineering & Construction; Forest Products; Coal Mining; Metals Mining; and Metal Producers/Manufacturers, as set forth in the following table.

## **COMPARISON GROUP**

- |                                  |                                   |                                |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| • Arch Coal Inc.                 | • Jacobs Engineering Group Inc.   | • Peabody Energy Corporation   |
| • CONSOL Energy Inc.             | • Louisiana-Pacific Corporation   | • Shaw Group Inc.              |
| • Cummins Inc.                   | • Martin Marietta Materials, Inc. | • Sherwin-Williams Company     |
| • Danaher Corporation            | • Masco Corporation               | • Stanley Black & Decker, Inc. |
| • Fluor Company                  | • Massey Energy Company           | • Temple-Inland Inc.           |
| • Fortune Brands, Inc.           | • MeadWestvaco Corporation        | • Texas Industries, Inc.       |
| • Freeport-McMoran Copper & Gold | • Newmont Mining Corporation      | • U.S. Steel Corporation       |
| • Granite Construction Inc.      | • Nucor Corporation               | • Weyerhaeuser Company         |
| • International Paper Company    | • PPG Industries, Inc.            |                                |

The executive market study (using the Aon Hewitt and Towers Watson surveys) conducted by CSI also compared our officer positions to similar positions among U.S. manufacturing companies with revenues between \$1 billion to \$4.9 billion.

The study provided data for the 25th, median, and 75th percentiles with respect to base salary, target and actual incentive compensation and long-term incentive compensation, and target and actual compensation. We benchmark against median compensation because it allows us to attract and retain employees and helps us to manage the overall cost of our compensation program.

Each element of our compensation program is set forth below, with an explanation of the factors the Compensation Committee considered in making the awards. We have not targeted a specified percentage of total compensation for cash compensation or short-term or long-term equity-based incentive awards. Rather, based on the results of the competitive benchmarking, we have established incentive target levels for each of the NEOs.

Base pay, short-term incentive opportunity and long-term incentive opportunity are targeted at the median competitive levels. For short-term incentives, these levels are expressed as a percentage of base salary and for long-term incentives as a percentage of the estimated base salary market rate for the position. The target award percentages vary by position and level of responsibility. In our view, as the level of responsibility for an executive increases, so should the risk of increased or decreased total compensation. We achieve this balance through higher target levels of short-term performance-based bonuses and long-term equity awards, which vary with the performance of our company.

## **ELEMENTS OF COMPENSATION**

Our elements of compensation, all of which are discussed in greater detail below, include:

- Base salary
- Short-term performance-based bonus
- Long-term equity-based incentives
- Benefits and perquisites
- Change-in-control protections
- Retirement and pension benefits.

## **BASE SALARY**

The base salary element of our compensation program is designed to be competitive for compensation paid to similarly-situated, competent, and skilled executives. The Compensation Committee uses the information and procedures described above to set base salaries based on each individual's performance, contribution to business results, and market compensation. The Compensation Committee determines if base salary increases are appropriate for our NEOs after considering

- The NEO's *pattern of achievement* for performance relative to the pre-established goals and objectives in his area(s) of responsibility
- The overall *managerial effectiveness* for planning, personnel development, communications, regulatory compliance and similar matters;
- Competitive *pay levels for similarly situated executives* set forth in the compensation surveys and our comparison group, set forth in the table on page 41.
- Marketplace *trends in salary increases* ; and
- The NEO's *potential for future contributions to the organization* , retention risks, fairness in view of our overall salary increases, and the ability of our company to pay the increased salaries.

We annually review the base salaries of the NEOs and at the time of a promotion or change in responsibilities. To ensure the salaries paid to our NEOs are competitive relative to the marketplace, the Compensation Committee reviews the compensation analysis and data from Aon Hewitt and Towers Watson and our comparison group. This

analysis serves as a starting point for evaluating appropriate levels of base pay. We generally target the 50th percentile of the market (the midpoint of the base salary range) because we believe this is the appropriate level for evaluating the competitiveness of our compensation. This benchmark is determined by the procedures described above and serves as a starting point for evaluating appropriate levels of base pay.

However, the Compensation Committee has determined that Mr. James' experience, performance and tenure in his position warrant a base salary that is somewhat higher than the 50th percentile for chief executive officers in our competitive market analysis. Increases in salaries are discretionary, based on the nature and responsibilities of the position, individual performance, changes in the market compensation levels and the other factors set forth above. Mr. James' base salary has not increased since February 2008. The base salaries paid to our NEOs for 2010, 2009, and 2008 are set forth in the Summary Compensation Table on page 50 in the "Salary" column.

To further our goal of aligning the executives' interests with those of our shareholders, we generally reward superior performance through our bonus program and long-term equity-based incentives rather than through base pay.

#### **SHORT-TERM CASH BONUS**

Our short-term cash bonus incentive program is designed to motivate our executives, including the NEOs, and reward them with cash payments for achieving quantifiable near-term business results. The goal of this program is to link performance to payment and to reward behaviors that create value for our shareholders. We do this by comparing financial results to pre-established objective performance targets. Payment of the bonus is based on the overall performance of our company, the performance of specific divisions or business units or a combination of these, as applicable, and the performance of the NEO.

We set the "target bonus" at competitive market levels consistent with similarly situated executives in our comparison group and in the compensation surveys. The target bonus opportunity is expressed as a percentage of base salary. Average levels of performance yield bonuses at levels approximately equal to median levels indicated in the compensation study.

#### **MEETING THE MINIMUM PERFORMANCE THRESHOLD UNDER THE EXECUTIVE INCENTIVE PLAN (EIP)**

We pay short-term incentives to our NEOs through the shareholder-approved Executive Incentive Plan (EIP) which is structured so that cash bonus payments will satisfy the requirements for performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. The payment of the bonus is based on attaining a minimum performance threshold for the year, as established by the Compensation Committee. For 2010, the minimum threshold was either: (1) cash earnings in the amount of \$190 million or (2) EBITDA in the amount of \$350 million.

If the Compensation Committee determines that the minimum performance thresholds are met, our NEOs may receive a bonus earned under the EIP, subject to the Compensation Committee's discretion to adjust the bonus downward. In determining the downward adjustment (if any) the Compensation Committee may use a pre-established objective formula or standard and other financial or non-financial factors.

#### **DETERMINING BONUS PAYABLE**

The Compensation Committee determines the level of performance required to produce a "target bonus." We then provide significant upside opportunity and downside risk to actual bonus payments based on actual financial performance of our company or the relevant business unit, as appropriate. Our evaluation of the company's annual financial performance results from our analysis of how our actual EP measures compare to targeted EP for the year.

In 2010, the Compensation Committee reviewed the performance of the Chief Executive Officer and each of the other NEOs and concluded each had performed well in a difficult economic environment. Even though the minimum financial performance threshold under EIP was achieved in 2010, the company's results at the corporate level under the EP formula fell significantly short of the EP performance goal required to produce the "target bonus" level. The goal for 2010 was to achieve a \$61 million improvement in EP over 2009's EP. Therefore, consistent with the

recommendation of the CEO, the Compensation Committee exercised its discretion to pay no bonuses to EIP participants for 2010 performance, as reflected in the Summary Compensation Table on page 50.

The table below shows for each NEO the target bonus expressed as a percentage of base salary, the maximum bonus payable under the EIP and the actual bonus paid to each NEO based on 2010 performance.

## TARGET BONUS

	Amount of "Target Bonus" Expressed as a Percentage of Base Salary	Maximum Bonus Per EIP(1) (\$)	Bonus Paid for 2010 Performance (\$)
Don James	100%	5,000,000	0
Dan Sansone	70%	1,442,000	0
Danny Shepherd	65%	1,082,000	0
Ron McAbee	65%	1,082,000	0
Bob Wason	55%	860,000	0

(1) Per EIP, no payment may exceed \$7,000,000 in any year to any participant. The amounts in this column equal the lesser of \$7,000,000 or 4 times the target bonus

## LONG-TERM EQUITY-BASED INCENTIVES

Our long-term equity-based incentive compensation program rewards the NEOs based on the future performance of our company, by providing potentially significant payments relative to creating value for our shareholders. The goals of the long-term incentive program are to

- help executive officers accumulate shares of our stock to ensure their interests are aligned with our shareholders' interests
- motivate financial performance over the long-term
- recognize and reward superior financial performance of our company
- provide a retention element to our compensation program
- promote compliance with the stock ownership guidelines for executives.

**2010 LONG-TERM INCENTIVE GRANTS.** The Compensation Committee has established a "standard percentage" of each NEO's base salary midpoint for his position (determined as described above) that is used when making a long-term award to each NEO. The standard percentage is based primarily upon the compensation analysis described in the section entitled "Benchmarking Total Compensation" above. The Compensation Committee sets the standard at approximately the 50th percentile of the awards made to individuals with similar positions in the market. The Compensation Committee then determines the targeted value of long-term incentive grants for each of the NEOs by multiplying the applicable standard percentage by the base salary midpoint of each NEO's position. The table below shows the standard percentages for each of our NEOs although the Compensation Committee retains the discretion to deviate from these percentages in the actual long-term incentive grants it makes each year.

	Standard Long-Term Award Expressed as a Percentage of Base Salary Midpoint for 2010
Don James	225%
Dan Sansone	100%
Danny Shepherd	100%
Ron McAbee	100%
Bob Wason	75%

The Omnibus Plan provides a variety of forms of incentives that the Compensation Committee may use, at its discretion, for granting long-term incentives. These incentives include

- stock options
- stock only stock appreciation rights (SOSARs)
- performance share units (PSUs)
- restricted stock

Subject to the limitations under the Omnibus Plan, the Compensation Committee may adjust the amount we award to reflect our company's past performance, based on total shareholder return or other quantifiable financial measures deemed appropriate by the Compensation Committee.

In 2010, the Compensation Committee granted a combination of SOSARs and PSUs to each of the NEOs. The total number of units granted was calibrated to approximate the standard award value described above. The number of units to be granted is determined by valuing SOSARs (Black-Scholes) and PSUs (Monte Carlo simulation model) under valuation principles similar to generally accepted accounting principles, subject to certain adjustments recommended by CSI. The Compensation Committee normally grants a number of units that produces a value that approximates the 50th percentile of the market. However, the Compensation Committee may make adjustments each year to the number of units granted. We generally award premium grants only when our 5-year average TSR performance approximates or exceeds the 75th percentile when compared to the S&P 500.

In 2010, the Compensation Committee determined that it was not appropriate to raise the value of long-term awards based on our 5 year total shareholder return performance. Therefore, grants were made at the standard award value, as shown in the table above. Expressed in terms of their value, approximately one-half of the 2010 grants consisted of SOSARs and one-half consisted of PSUs. These awards are reflected under the heading "Executive Compensation" in the Summary Compensation Table on page 50 and the table of Grants of Plan Based Awards on page 51. With respect to LTI grants made in 2011, slightly more than one-half of the units granted were PSUs and the balance were SOSARs resulting in a shift in favor of PSUs of approximately 78% of the value granted.

**2010 LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PAYMENTS.** In February 2010, the Compensation Committee authorized payment of the PSUs that were granted in 2007 and vested on December 31, 2009. The level of the payout was based on the company's

- 3-year average EP, which was approximately 61.7% of target and
- 3-year average total shareholder return performance, which was at the 46th percentile relative to the S&P 500 Index.

The following table reflects the goals against which performance was measured for payment for the PSUs granted in 2007 and payable in 2010. The percentage payable is determined by the interpolation of the two performance factors. The actual payment was determined to be 77%. The Compensation Committee has the authority to exercise downward discretion in determining payment.

**2010 LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PAYMENTS  
DETERMINING PERCENTAGE OF AWARD PAYABLE FOR AWARD PERIOD**

Company 3-Year Average Economic Profit as a Percent of Target Percent of Target	Company 3-Year Average Total Shareholder Return Percentile Rank Relative to the S&P 500 Index		
	25 <sup>th</sup> or Less Percentile Rank	50 <sup>th</sup> Percentile Rank	75 <sup>th</sup> or Greater Percentile Rank
<b>PERCENTAGE OF AWARD PAYABLE</b>			
<b>175% or greater</b>	100%	150%	200%
<b>150%</b>	75%	125%	175%
<b>100%</b>	50%	100%	150%
<b>50%</b>	25%	75%	125%
<b>25% or less</b>	0%	50%	100%

Beginning with the 2010 PSU awards, payments are to be based solely on our TSR percentile ranking relative to the S&P 500 Index.

In March 2010, payments were made for vested Deferred Stock Units (DSUs) from grants made in 2001, 2002, 2003 and 2004. All of the NEOs elected to defer payment of the DSUs through the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan (EDCP). All DSU payments deferred into the EDCP must remain in company stock. All the NEOs elected deferral until the year following the year of retirement.

**TIMING OF EQUITY-BASED INCENTIVE COMPENSATION** . At its February meeting, the Compensation Committee sets

- *performance targets for PSU grants and payments.* Establishing incentive compensation goals and granting equity-based awards have not been timed with the release of non-public material information.
- *goals and awards.* Typically, additional equity-based incentive grants have been made to executive officers at other times during the year only upon hire or promotion. All such equity-based awards are priced on the date of grant.

**STOCK OWNERSHIP GUIDELINES** . In order to align the interests of the NEOs with our shareholders' interests and to promote a long-term focus for these officers, our company has executive stock ownership guidelines for the officers of our company. The guidelines are based on management's and CSI's assessment of market practice. The stock ownership requirements are higher for the Chief Executive Officer than for the other NEOs.

The table below shows the guidelines for the NEOs, expressed as a multiple of base salary.

Name	Multiple of Base Salary Ownership Guidelines
Don James	7x
Dan Sansone	3x
Danny Shepherd	3x
Ron McAbee	3x
Bob Wason	3x

Types of ownership counted toward the guidelines include the following:

- direct holdings;
- stock-based qualified retirement plan holdings;
- stock-based holdings in the deferred compensation and nonqualified supplemental benefit plans; and

- indirect holdings, such as shares owned by a family member, shares held in trust for the benefit of the NEO or a family member, or shares held in trust for which such officer is trustee.

The “in the money” value of vested options do not count toward the satisfaction of these guidelines.

Annually, the CEO reviews compliance with the ownership guidelines and reports the results to the Compensation Committee. The Chief Executive Officer and each of the other NEOs meet or exceed our ownership guidelines.

Newly-appointed officers are expected to meet the applicable ownership requirement within five years of their appointment.

## **BENEFITS AND PERQUISITES**

NEOs participate in each of the benefit plans or arrangements that generally are made available to all salaried employees including

- medical and dental benefits
- life, accidental death and disability insurance
- pension and savings plans.

Our company pays 100% of the premiums for individual long-term disability policies that insure base pay and target bonus in excess of that insured under the group contract up to a limit of \$500,000 covered compensation. In addition, the NEOs have change-in-control agreements.

We provide company-owned cars to the NEOs for their use. Additionally, we pay for the insurance, maintenance and fuel for such vehicles. Executives reimburse our company for personal use of these vehicles. We also make the company-owned aircraft available to the CEO and senior executives for business travel. The aircraft is available to the CEO and the other NEOs for personal use at the expense of the NEO. On one occasion during 2010, one NEO used the aircraft for personal purposes and statutory costs were charged to that NEO. The CEO did not use the aircraft for personal purposes. We do not provide other perquisites to the NEOs such as country club memberships or financial planning services.

The Compensation Committee reviews our policies and determines whether and to what extent perquisites should be continued.

## **CHANGE-IN-CONTROL PROTECTION**

We do not have written employment agreements with executives. Instead, each of our NEOs has change-in-control (CIC) protection that provides for severance payments and accelerated vesting or payment of equity-based incentive awards only if the change-in-control event actually occurs. We provide such protections in order to minimize disruptions during a pending or anticipated CIC. In 2010, we did not consider the amount of severance payments or the number of incentive awards subject to acceleration of vesting under the change-in-control agreements in determining the other compensation elements to which the NEOs were entitled. For a detailed description of the change-in-control provisions, refer to “Payments upon Termination or Change-in-Control” on page 57. Any CIC protection agreements executed during 2011 will not provide for tax gross-up benefits, will not include long-term incentives in determining severance amounts, and will require a “double trigger” for payment of severance provisions.

## **RETIREMENT AND PENSION BENEFITS**

Our company provides the following retirement and pension benefits to our NEOs:

<b>BENEFIT</b>	<b>BACKGROUND</b>
Retirement Income Plan	This pension plan is available to all salaried employees of our company hired prior to July 15, 2007.
Unfunded Supplemental Benefit Plan	The Unfunded Supplemental Benefit Plan provides for benefits that are not permitted under the Retirement Income and the 401(k) Plans due to Internal Revenue Service pay and benefit limitations for qualified plans. This Plan is designed to provide retirement income benefits, as a percentage of pay, which are similar for all employees regardless of compensation levels. The Unfunded Supplemental Benefit Plan eliminates the effect of tax limitations on the payment of retirement benefits, except to the extent that it is an unfunded plan and a general obligation of our company.
Supplemental Executive Retirement Agreement (SERA)	Only Mr. James has a SERA. The effect of the SERA is to give Mr. James 1.2

years of service credit for every year he participates in the Retirement Income Plan. The purpose of the SERA is to provide an incentive and retention device. The Plan will provide Mr. James with a full career retirement benefit. After February 2011, no additional service years will be credited under his SERA.

A discussion of all retirement benefits provided to the NEOs is set forth under the heading “Retirement and Pension Benefits” on page 55.

### **THE ROLE OF THE CEO IN THE COMPENSATION DETERMINATION PROCESS**

The CEO is responsible for conducting an annual performance evaluation of each of the other NEOs. The evaluations take into account such items, among others, as the

- performance of the business unit or function for which the executive officer is responsible
- safety, health and environmental performance
- effective management of our company’s natural resources
- adherence to our company’s mission and values

In addition, the CEO can request input from CSI regarding competitive practices. Based on the foregoing and the results of the competitive benchmarking report, the CEO makes a recommendation to the Compensation Committee for the compensation of each of the other NEOs, broken out into

- base salary
- annual performance-based bonus
- long-term equity-based incentive awards.

The Compensation Committee meets during the year to discuss and consider the recommendations of the CEO as well as the compensation information described above and then sets the compensation of the NEOs. The CEO participates in these meetings. While the Compensation Committee gives appropriate consideration to the information presented by the CEO, the Compensation Committee will adjust the recommendations and set the compensation for the other NEOs based on its review of the relevant compensation information and considerations.

In executive session (excluding the CEO), the Compensation Committee separately reviews the performance of the CEO and determines his compensation, including his base salary, annual performance-based bonus, and long-term equity-based incentive awards. In setting such compensation, the Compensation Committee takes into account the recommendations made by CSI. After the Compensation Committee has determined the total compensation for the CEO, the Chair of the Compensation Committee presents the CEO's overall compensation package to the entire Board of Directors for ratification.

## **CONSIDERING RISK**

Our compensation programs are discretionary, balanced, focused, and give considerable weight to the long-term performance of our company. Under this structure, the highest amount of compensation can only be achieved through consistent superior performance over sustained periods of time. Goals and objectives reflect a balanced mix of quantitative and qualitative performance measures to avoid excessive weight on a single performance measure. Likewise, the elements of compensation are balanced among current cash payments and long-term equity-based incentive awards. The Compensation Committee retains the discretion to adjust compensation for quality of performance and adherence to our company's values.

## **ACCOUNTING AND TAX CONSIDERATIONS**

**COMPLIANCE WITH INTERNAL REVENUE CODE SECTION 162(m)** . In administering the compensation program for executive officers, the Compensation Committee considers the applicability of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, the consequences under financial accounting standards, the tax consequences in our analysis of total compensation and the mix of compensation elements, base, bonus and long-term incentives. Section 162(m) prohibits public companies from taking a tax deduction for compensation that is paid to any one of certain employees in excess of one million dollars, unless the compensation qualifies as performance-based compensation within the meaning of the Internal Revenue Code. Our CEO has elected to defer any base salary in excess of \$1,000,000. To preserve the deductibility of compensation, we intend that bonus payments made according to the EIP and, generally, grants of long-term incentives under our Omnibus Plan, qualify as "qualified performance-based compensation." The Compensation Committee has the discretion to design and implement compensation elements that may not be deductible under Section 162(m) if the Compensation Committee determines that, despite the tax consequences, those elements are in our best interest to adopt.

**EXPENSING OF STOCK OPTIONS.** We consider the tax and financial accounting implications to our company in allocating awards among various compensation vehicles and seek to preserve the tax deduction for compensatory awards. For example, we do not issue incentive stock options (ISOs), even though ISOs provide potential tax advantages to the recipient, because of the negative tax and accounting consequences to our company.

## EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

### SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The following table sets forth, for the three most recently completed fiscal years, information concerning the compensation of our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, and our three other most highly compensated executive officers (collectively, the NEOs) employed as of December 31, 2010, determined on the basis of their total compensation for 2010.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards(1) (\$)	Option Awards(1) (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation(2) (\$)	Change in Pension Value And Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings(3) (\$)	All Other Compensation(4) (\$)	Total (\$)
Donald M. James	2010	1,250,004	0	1,305,806	1,460,460	0	3,889,276	167,346	8,072,892
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	2009	1,250,004	0	1,366,571	3,469,689	0	4,763,796	239,799	11,089,819
	2008	1,241,670	0	1,334,021	1,482,000	0	5,047,044	431,049	9,535,784
Daniel F. Sansone	2010	512,504	0	263,420	303,660	0	548,007	33,445	1,661,036
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2009	500,004	0	284,378	713,563	0	660,490	40,715	2,199,150
	2008	495,838	0	273,645	308,058	0	679,337	86,279	1,843,157
Danny R. Shepherd	2010	413,338	0	243,250	281,970	0	297,065	30,490	1,266,113
Executive Vice President, Construction Materials	2009	400,008	0	263,347	661,089	0	499,332	36,297	1,860,073
	2008	391,674	0	253,122	285,532	0	648,608	60,887	1,693,823
Ronald G. McAbee(5)	2010	413,338	0	243,250	281,970	0	237,718	28,136	1,204,412
Senior Vice President, Construction Materials — West	2009	400,008	0	263,347	661,089	0	521,104	36,876	1,882,424
	2008	391,673	0	253,122	285,532	0	868,631	71,305	1,870,263
Robert A. Wason IV	2010	389,174	0	156,116	179,545	0	399,882	28,126	1,152,843
Senior Vice President, General Counsel	2009	377,504	0	168,250	436,746	0	500,026	33,693	1,516,219
	2008	362,504	0	136,139	153,338	0	374,835	64,192	1,091,008

- (1) Pursuant to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, we have provided a grant date fair value for Stock Awards and Option Awards in accordance with the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 718. For Option Awards (including SOSARs), the fair value is estimated as of the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, which requires the use of certain assumptions, including the risk-free interest rate, dividend yield, volatility and expected term. The risk-free interest rate is based on the yield at the date of grant of a U.S. Treasury security with a maturity period equal to or approximating the option's expected term. The dividend yield assumption is based on our historical dividend payouts. The volatility assumption is based on the historical volatility, and expectations regarding future volatility, of our common stock over a period equal to the option's expected term and the market-based implied volatility derived from options trading on our common stock. The expected term of options granted is based on historical experience and expectations about future exercises and represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. For Performance Share Awards, the fair value is estimated on the date of grant using a Monte Carlo simulation model. We do not believe that the fair values estimated on the grant date, either by the Black-Scholes model or any other model, are necessarily indicative of the values that might eventually be realized by an executive.
- (2) No payments pursuant to the Executive Incentive Plan (EIP) were made in 2011, 2010 or 2009 for the previous year's performance. See discussion of EIP plan under heading "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" above.
- (3) Includes only the amount of change in pension value because our company does not provide any above market earnings on deferred compensation balances. The year over year change in pension value is attributable to three primary factors which are change in accrued benefit, aging (one year closer to retirement) and actuarial assumptions. With respect to Mr. James, in 2010, the amount due to the increase in his accrued benefit is \$1,561,000, to aging is \$1,364,000 and to changes in actuarial assumptions (principally the discount rate) is \$964,000.
- (4) Includes qualified defined contribution plans contributions, company-paid life insurance premiums, deferred stock unit dividend equivalents granted in 2010 and personal use of company automobile, as set forth in the following table. One of the NEOs used the company aircraft once for personal use in 2010.
- (5) Mr. McAbee retired from our company effective on February 1, 2011.

**FOOTNOTE 4 BREAKOUT DETAIL OF ALL OTHER COMPENSATION**

Name	Non-Qualified Thrift Plan Contributions (\$)	Qualified Thrift Plan Contribution (\$)	Company Paid Life Insurance Premiums (\$)	DSU Dividend Equivalents (\$)	Personal Use of Company Automobile (\$)	Personal Use of Company Aircraft (\$)	Total (\$)
Don James	40,200	9,800	1,440	111,151	4,755	0	167,346
Dan Sansone	10,700	9,800	1,440	11,505	0	0	33,445
Danny Shepherd	6,734	9,800	1,440	10,833	1,233	450	30,490
Ron McAbee	6,734	9,800	1,440	10,162	0	0	28,136
Bob Wason	5,767	9,800	1,440	10,162	957	0	28,126

The following table sets forth the grants of plan-based awards in 2010 to our NEOs:

**GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS**

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards (# of shares)			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards(1) (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards(2) (\$)
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)				
Don James	2/11/2010	0	1,250,000	5,000,000	0	32,370	64,740	0	121,200	43.05	2,766,266
Dan Sansone	2/11/2010	0	360,500	1,442,000	0	6,530	13,060	0	25,200	43.05	567,080
Danny Shepherd	2/11/2010	0	270,400	1,082,000	0	6,030	12,060	0	23,400	43.05	525,220
Ron McAbee	2/11/2010	0	270,400	1,082,000	0	6,030	12,060	0	23,400	43.05	525,220
Bob Wason	2/11/2010	0	215,050	860,000	0	3,870	7,740	0	14,900	43.05	335,611

(1) Exercise price was determined using the closing price of our common stock on the grant date as required under the Omnibus Plan.

(2) Amount represents the grant date fair values for the SOSARs and PSUs calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The grant date fair value of \$12.05 for the SOSARs was calculated using a Black-Scholes pricing model. The assumptions used to determine the value of the options include: an expected volatility of 27.58% (derived using the daily closing stock prices over a period consistent with the expected term, for the seven and one-half years ending 12/31/2007, the past two years of extremely high volatility is not representative of management's expectation of future volatility), a dividend yield of 2.00%, an interest rate of 3.15% (the rate of the U.S. constant maturity rates, as published on the federal reserve.gov site, for a period approximating the expected term) and an expected time of exercise of seven and one-half years from grant date. The grant date fair value of \$40.34 for the PSUs was calculated using a Monte Carlo simulation model. Fair value was calculated on the standard grant.

**OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED**

Certain information concerning each exercise of stock options and each vesting of stock during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, for each of the NEOs on an aggregate basis is set forth in the table below.

Name	OPTION AWARDS		STOCK AWARDS	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise(1) (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting(2) (#)	Value Realized on Vesting(3) (\$)
Don James	126,650	324,520	36,540	1,570,631
Dan Sansone	4,500	11,034	4,836	207,704
Danny Shepherd	0	0	3,704	159,022
Ron McAbee	19,000	92,112	4,353	186,964
Bob Wason	0	0	3,468	149,060

(1) Calculated by multiplying the difference between the fair market value of our common stock on the date of exercise and the option exercise price by the number of options exercised.

(2) Represents the Deferred Stock Units (DSUs) and the Performance Share Units (PSUs). Both DSUs and PSUs were paid 100% in stock.

(3) Calculated by multiplying the number of units vested by the high/low average price of our common stock on the vesting date for DSUs and by the closing price of our common stock for PSUs.

## DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN

Our Executive Deferred Compensation Plan was established in 1998 to allow executives to defer a portion of their current year's compensation in a tax-efficient manner. We believe that providing a tax deferral plan gives our executives flexibility in tax and financial planning and provides an additional benefit at little cost to our shareholders. Our company does not make any contributions to the plan on behalf of the participants. Because our company purchases assets that mirror, to the extent possible, participants' deemed investment elections under the plan, the only costs to our company related to the plan are administrative costs and any contributions that may be necessary to true-up account balances with deemed investment results. The plan allows executives with annual compensation (base salary and average annual short-term bonus) of \$200,000 or more to defer receipt of up to 50% of base salary, up to 100% of annual cash bonus and, beginning in 2007, up to 100% (net of FICA and any applicable local taxes) of long-term incentive awards, which are not excluded from deferral eligibility by the Internal Revenue Code (or regulations thereunder), until a date selected by the participant. The amounts deferred are deemed invested as designated by participants in our company's common stock (a "phantom stock" account) or in dollar-denominated accounts that mirror the gains or losses of the various investment options available under our company's 401(k) plan. The plan does not offer any guaranteed return to participants.

The plan is funded by a "rabbi trust" arrangement owned by our company, which holds assets that correspond to the deemed investments of the plan participants. Participants have an unsecured contractual commitment from our company for payment when the amounts accrue. Upon the death or disability of a participant or upon a change in control of our company, all deferred amounts and all earnings related thereto will be paid to the participant or participant's beneficiaries in a single lump sum cash payment.

Effective for deferrals made after January 1, 2007, the plan permits executives to defer PSUs and DSUs into the plan, which would, absent such deferral, be distributed to the executives. The PSU and DSU deferrals, other than described below, will be credited to the plan participant accounts in the form of phantom stock and an equal number of shares of our common stock will be deposited by our company into the rabbi trust. The only exceptions are the PSU distributions that were paid in 2007, which were distributed one-half in cash and one-half in stock, and accordingly, deferrals were proportionately allocated between the cash account and the stock account. Deferrals of long-term incentive compensation payments are invested in phantom stock of our company and may not be reallocated to an alternative investment option while in the plan.

The following table shows the contributions, earnings, distributions and year-end account values for the NEOs under the plan.

NONQUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN					
Name	Executive Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$)	Registrant Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$)	Aggregate Earnings in Last Fiscal Year (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last Fiscal Year End(1)(\$)
Don James	1,696,288	0	(732,360)	0	9,360,417
Dan Sansone	107,568	0	81,285	(132,644)	2,257,177
Danny Shepherd	71,010	0	(45,897)	0	622,015
Ron McAbee	100,471	0	(6,458)	(115,971)	591,665
Bob Wason	97,805	0	123,403	0	1,837,302

(1) Includes both the executive contributions and the earnings on those contributions. Cash-based salary and cash annual bonus amounts contributed by the executives are included in the amounts reported in the Summary Compensation Table in the year of deferral. PSU and DSU deferrals are included as compensation in the year of the grant. Above-market earnings are not reported as our company does not provide for such earnings on deferred compensation.

## OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END

Certain information concerning unexercised options, stock that has not vested and equity incentive plan awards for each of the NEOs outstanding as of December 31, 2010 is set forth in the table below:

OPTION AWARDS						STOCK AWARDS			
Option Grant	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Underlying Unexercised	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That	Market Value of Shares or Stock That Have Not Vested(12)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not

Name	Date	(Exercisable)	(Unexercisable)	Unearned		Date	Have Not		Vested(13)	Vested(12)
				Options (#)	(\$)		Vested(11)	(\$)		
Don James	2/9/2001	73,350	0		44.9000	2/9/2011	7,510(4)	333,144	8,137(8)	360,957
	2/7/2002	200,000	0		45.9500	2/7/2012	14,616(5)	648,366	59,780(9)	2,651,841
	2/13/2003	145,000	0		31.4650	2/13/2013	30,524(6)	1,354,045	64,740(10)	2,871,866
	2/12/2004	130,000	0		46.7600	2/12/2014				
	2/10/2005	146,000	0		57.0950	2/10/2015				
	12/8/2005	118,000	0		68.6300	12/8/2015				
	1/24/2006	169,800	0		69.3100	1/24/2016				
	2/8/2007	111,250	0		109.200	2/8/2017				
	2/7/2008	50,000(1)	25,000		70.6900	2/7/2018				
	2/12/2009	78,462(2)	156,928		47.4700	2/12/2019				
2/11/2010	0(3)	121,200		43.0500	2/11/2020					
Dan										
Sansone	2/9/2001	14,500	0		44.9000	2/9/2011	751(4)	33,314	1,669(8)	74,037
	2/7/2002	19,000	0		45.9500	2/7/2012	1,461(5)	64,810	12,440(9)	551,838
	2/13/2003	15,000	0		31.4650	2/13/2013	3,267(6)	144,924	13,060(10)	579,342
	2/12/2004	12,000	0		46.7600	2/12/2014				
	2/10/2005	14,000	0		57.0950	2/10/2015				
	5/13/2005	12,000	0		54.8350	5/13/2015				
	12/8/2005	51,000	0		68.6300	12/8/2015				
	2/8/2007	22,040	0		109.200	2/8/2017				
	2/7/2008	10,393(1)	5,197		70.6900	2/7/2018				
	2/12/2009	16,135(2)	32,275		47.4700	2/12/2019				
2/11/2010	0(3)	25,200		43.0500	2/11/2020					
Danny										
Shepherd	5/1/2002	3,000	0		46.2750	5/1/2012	2,557(6)	113,429	1,544(8)	68,492
	2/13/2003	2,200	0		31.4650	2/13/2013	3,339(7)	148,118	11,520(9)	511,027
	2/12/2004	10,000	0		46.7600	2/12/2014	12,060(10)	534,982	12,068(10)	534,982
	2/10/2005	11,000	0		57.0950	2/10/2015				
	12/8/2005	22,000	0		68.6300	12/8/2015				
	2/8/2007	19,560	0		109.200	2/8/2017				
	2/7/2008	9,633(1)	4,817		70.6900	2/7/2018				
	2/12/2009	14,949(2)	29,901		47.4700	2/12/2019				
	2/11/2010	0(3)	23,400		43.0500	2/11/2020				
	Ron									
McAbee	2/9/2001	7,500	0		44.9000	2/9/2011	751(4)	33,314	1,544(8)	68,492
	2/7/2002	15,000	0		45.9500	2/7/2012	1,461(5)	68,810	11,520(9)	511,027
	2/13/2003	11,000	0		31.4650	2/13/2013	2,557(6)	113,429	12,060(10)	534,982
	2/12/2004	15,000	0		46.7600	2/12/2014				
	2/10/2005	15,000	0		57.0950	2/10/2015				
	12/8/2005	30,000	0		68.6300	12/8/2015				
	2/8/2007	19,560	0		109.200	2/8/2017				
	2/7/2008	9,663(1)	4,817		70.6900	2/7/2018				
	2/12/2009	14,949(2)	29,901		47.4700	2/12/2019				
	2/11/2010	0(3)	23,400		43.0500	2/11/2020				
Bob Wason										
Wason	2/9/2001	16,000	0		44.9000	2/9/2011	751(4)	33,314	830(8)	36,819
	2/7/2002	16,000	0		45.9500	2/7/2012	1,461(5)	64,810	7,360(9)	326,490
	2/13/2003	11,000	0		31.4650	2/13/2013	2,557(6)	113,429	7,740(10)	343,346
	2/12/2004	10,000	0		46.7600	2/12/2014				
	2/10/2005	11,000	0		57.0950	2/10/2015				
	12/8/2005	22,000	0		68.6300	12/8/2015				
	2/8/2007	11,380	0		109.200	2/8/2017				
	2/7/2008	5,173(1)	2,587		70.6900	2/7/2018				
	2/12/2009	9,875(2)	19,755		47.4700	2/12/2019				
	2/11/2010	0(3)	14,900		43.0500	2/11/2020				

Options in footnotes 1 through 3 vest at a rate of  $33\frac{1}{3}\%$  per year in years 1–3.

(1) Options with vesting dates of 2/7/09, 2/7/10, and 2/7/11.

(2) Options with vesting dates of 2/12/10, 2/12/11, and 2/12/12.

(3) Options with vesting dates of 2/11/11, 2/11/12, and 2/11/13.

DSUs in footnotes 4 through 7 vest at the rate of 20% per year in years 6–10.

(4) DSUs with vesting dates of 3/1/07, 3/1/08, 3/1/09, 3/1/10, and 3/1/11.

(5) DSUs with vesting dates of 3/1/08, 3/1/09, 3/1/10, 3/1/11, and 3/1/12.

(6) DSUs with vesting dates of 3/1/09, 3/1/10, 3/1/11, 3/1/12, and 3/1/13.

(7) DSUs with vesting dates of 3/1/10, 3/1/11, 3/1/12, 3/1/13, and 3/1/14.

PSUs in footnotes 8—10 cliff vest 100% after a three-year performance period.

(8) PSUs with vesting date of 12/31/10.

(9) PSUs with vesting date of 12/31/11.

(10) PSUs with vesting date of 12/31/12.

(11) DSUs include dividend equivalents through 12/31/10.

(12) Based on closing price of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on December 31, 2010, \$44.36.

(13) Vested PSUs adjusted for company performance through 12/31/2010. Unvested PSUs adjusted to maximum allowed under the agreements.

## RETIREMENT AND PENSION BENEFITS

Generally most full-time salaried employees of our company that were hired prior to July 15, 2007, including all of the NEOs, participate in our company's funded, and as appropriate, unfunded pension plans. Retirement benefits become payable as early as the date on which participants both attain age 55 and complete one year of service.

The following table provides for each named executive the number of years of credited service and the present value of accumulated benefits as of December 31, 2010 under each plan in which the executive participates. The narrative that follows this table provides a description of the material features of each plan.

### PENSION BENEFITS

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years of Credited Service (#)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit(1) (\$)	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$)
Don James	Retirement Income Plan	18	883,060	0
	Supplemental Benefit Plan	18	12,881,347	0
	Supp. Executive Retirement Agreement	21 7/12	16,358,316	0
Dan Sansone	Retirement Income Plan	22 10/12	871,462	0
	Supplemental Benefit Plan	22 10/12	2,950,000	0
Danny Shepherd	Retirement Income Plan	27 8/12	1,037,532	0
	Supplemental Benefit Plan	27 8/12	1,886,544	0
Ron McAbee	Retirement Income Plan	36 11/12	1,589,686	0
	Supplemental Benefit Plan	36 11/12	4,118,370	0
Bob Wason	Retirement Income Plan	22 9/12	912,658	0
	Supplemental Benefit Plan	22 9/12	1,855,424	0

(1) The present value of accumulated benefits are based on benefits payable at age 62, the earliest age under the plans at which benefits are not reduced, or current age if the participant is older than age 62. The following FASB ASC Topic 715 "Compensation — Retirement Benefits" (formerly SFAS No. 87), assumptions as of 12/31/2010 were used to determine the present values:

(i) discount rate of 4.55%;

(ii) mortality based on the RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table projected 13 years using Scale AA;

(iii) present values for lump sums are based on projected segmented interest rates and the prescribed 2010 IRS Mortality Table;

(iv) supplemental Executive Retirement Agreement and Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan benefits assumed to be paid as a 10 Year Term Certain Annuity; and

(v) for the Retirement Income Plan, 40% of the 12/31/2000 benefit assumed to be paid as a lump sum, with the remainder of the accrued benefit assumed to be paid as a single life annuity.

## **RETIREMENT INCOME PLAN**

The Retirement Income Plan for Salaried Employees (Retirement Plan), provides benefits under a funded noncontributory defined benefit plan and covers most salaried employees, including all executive officers, hired prior to July 15, 2007. Employees hired after July 15, 2007 are covered under a 401(k) Plan that includes company matching of employee contributions and an annual discretionary profit-sharing contribution to all eligible participants. In order to attract and retain high quality employees, we believe it is necessary for our company to provide an attractive employee benefits package that includes a competitive retirement program.

The normal retirement date is defined in the Retirement Plan as the first day of the calendar month immediately following a participant's 65th birthday; however, service continues to accrue under the Retirement Plan if the participant works beyond age 65 (subject to a maximum service cap of 40 years). The amount of benefit is based on earnings, service and the age at which a participant commences receiving a benefit. Eligible earnings under the Retirement Plan, or "Final Average Earnings," is the average of a participant's highest 36 consecutive months of earnings and includes base monthly salary and any awards under the EIP, as reflected in the "Salary" and "Non-equity Incentive Plan Compensation" columns of the Summary Compensation Table. Under Section 415 of the Internal Revenue Code, the maximum annual benefit allowable under the Plan for an employee retiring at age 65 in 2010 is \$195,000, an amount which may change in subsequent years as determined by the Internal Revenue Service. In addition, Section 401 of the Internal Revenue Code limits the amount of a participant's compensation that may be taken into account under the Plan to \$245,000, an amount that is also subject to change by the Internal Revenue Service.

The Retirement Plan formula provides a monthly benefit equal to 0.9% of final average earnings per year of service accrued prior to age 45, plus 1.2% of final average earnings per year of service accrued after age 44, plus .5% of Final Average Earnings in excess of 50% of the Social Security Wage Base applied to all years of service. A vested participant may commence receiving early retirement benefits under the Retirement Plan as early as age 55. The amount of early retirement reduction depends on the age of a participant when active employment ceases. If active employment ceases after age 55 and retirement income commences at age 62, or later, the monthly benefit is not reduced. However, if the benefit commences prior to age 62, the monthly benefit is reduced at a rate of 7% per year for commencement between ages 55 and 62. If active employment ceases prior to age 55, the monthly benefit is actuarially reduced for commencement between ages 55 and 65.

A participant must have either five years of vested service (as defined in the Retirement Plan) or be at least age 55 with one year of service, to be vested and eligible for a benefit. The normal form of retirement benefit under the Retirement Plan for an unmarried participant is a single life annuity, which is a monthly payment for life. The normal form of retirement benefit under the Retirement Plan for a married participant is a 75% joint and survivor annuity, which is a monthly payment for the life of the participant, and thereafter 75% of that amount to the surviving spouse payable for his or her lifetime. The Retirement Plan also permits the participant to elect, with spousal consent, other annuity options and a lump sum payment for benefits accrued prior to 2001. The optional forms of payment are subject to actuarial adjustment.

## **UNFUNDED SUPPLEMENTAL BENEFIT PLAN**

The Unfunded Supplemental Benefit Plan for Salaried Employees (Supplemental Plan), enables our company to pay any person whose pension under the Retirement Plan has been reduced as a result of the limitations imposed by Sections 401 and 415 of the Internal Revenue Code, an amount equal to the difference between the amount the person would have received under the Retirement Plan had there been no limitations and the amount the person will receive under the Retirement Plan after giving effect to the limitations.

The Supplemental Plan is unfunded and amounts payable to the employees covered thereby are considered to be general obligations of our company; however, the Supplemental Plan contains provisions that allow for the funding of a rabbi trust to improve the security of the benefit, to some extent, upon the occurrence of a CIC event (as defined in the Supplemental Plan).

The determination of the benefit amount and the payment options under the Supplemental Plan are the same as the Retirement Plan, except as follows. Effective January 1, 2007, the Supplemental Plan was amended to allow existing

participants to make an election to receive supplemental pension benefits in the form of installment payments over a period of 10 years, thereby accelerating payout somewhat and minimizing to some extent the risk of future non-payment. The installment payments are actuarially equivalent to the various annuity options available under the Retirement Plan. New participants in the Supplemental Benefit Plan on or after January 1, 2007 automatically will receive their supplemental pension benefits in the form of installment payments over a period of 10 years and have no other payment options.

## SUPPLEMENTAL EXECUTIVE RETIREMENT AGREEMENT

Mr. James is entitled to benefits under a Supplemental Executive Retirement Agreement (SERA), that provides for additional retirement benefits based on the formula in the Retirement Plan using his actual years of service multiplied by 1.2. The maximum benefit service provided by the combination of the SERA and the Retirement Plan is 40 years. The SERA is an unfunded, noncontributory defined benefit plan.

The SERA was established in 2001 as an additional retention incentive for the CEO. This program supplements the monthly retirement benefits Mr. James could receive under the Retirement Plan and the Supplemental Plan, as Mr. James was hired by our company later in his career and would not have otherwise been able to accrue the normal retirement benefit provided under those programs.

## ELIGIBILITY FOR EARLY RETIREMENT

The following NEOs are currently eligible for early retirement under the following plans. Eligible under the Retirement Plan and the Supplemental Plan are Don James (age 62), Dan Sansone (age 58), Danny Shepherd (age 59) and Bob Wason (age 59). Mr. James also is eligible for early retirement under the SERA. Ron McAbee retired effective on February 1, 2011.

## PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE IN CONTROL

This section describes and estimates payments that could be made to the NEOs under different termination and change-in-control (CIC) events. The estimated payments would be made under the terms of our company's compensation and benefits programs or the CIC severance agreements with each of the NEOs. The amount of potential payments is calculated as if the different events occurred as of December 31, 2010 and assumes that the price of our company's common stock is the closing market price as of December 31, 2010.

## DESCRIPTION OF TERMINATION AND CHANGE-IN-CONTROL EVENTS

The following charts list different types of termination and CIC events that can affect the treatment of payments under our company's compensation and benefit programs. These events also affect payments to the NEOs under their CIC agreements. Except for Messrs. James, Sansone and Wason, no payments are made under the CIC agreements unless, within two years of the CIC, the officer is involuntarily terminated or he voluntarily terminates for good reason (as described below). The agreements with Messrs. James, Sansone and Wason provide for a 30-day window immediately following the first anniversary of the CIC during which they may elect to terminate their employment and receive the benefits provided under the CIC agreement.

## TERMINATION EVENTS

- **Retirement or Retirement Eligible** — Termination of a NEO who is at least 55 years old and has at least one year of credited service.
- **Lay Off** — Termination by our company of a NEO who is not retirement eligible.
- **Resignation** — Voluntary termination by a NEO who is not retirement eligible.
- **Death or Disability** — Termination of a NEO due to death or disability.

23

- **Involuntary Termination** — Termination of a NEO for cause. Cause includes individual performance below minimum performance standards and misconduct.

The following chart describes the treatment of different pay and benefit elements in connection with the non-CIC termination events shown.

## TERMINATION PAY AND BENEFITS PROGRAMS

PROGRAM	RETIREMENT/ RETIREMENT ELIGIBLE	LAY OFF (INVOLUNTARY TERMINATION NOT FOR CAUSE)	RESIGNATION	DEATH OR DISABILITY	INVOLUNTARY TERMINATION (FOR CAUSE)
<b>Pension:</b>	Participant may commence benefit payment	Participant is considered Terminated Vested	Participant is considered Terminated Vested	Spouse may commence survivor benefit on or after the	Participant may commence benefit payment or will be Terminated Vested
• Retirement Plan					
• Supplemental Plan					
• SERA					

				date that the Participant would have attained age 55	depending on age
<b>Executive Deferred Compensation</b>	Payment commences the year after retirement in the form elected	Payout made the year following the year of termination in a lump sum	Payout made the year following the year of termination in a lump sum	Payment commences the year after death or disability in the form elected	Payout made the year following the year of termination in a lump sum
<b>EIP</b>	Eligible to receive full payment	Eligible to receive full payment	Eligible to receive full payment	Eligible to receive full payment	No payment
<b>Stock Options / SOSARs</b>	Full term to exercise vested options; if 62 or older, non-vested options continue to vest; noncompetition agreement may be required for exercising vested options	Non-vested options forfeited; 30 days to exercise vested options	Non-vested options forfeited; 30 days to exercise vested options	Vesting accelerated. Under death, estate has one year to exercise. Under disability, have full remaining term to exercise.	Forfeit all, vested and non-vested
<b>DSUs</b>	If age 62 or older, vesting is accelerated; otherwise forfeit non-vested DSUs	Non-vested are forfeited	Non-vested are forfeited	Vesting is accelerated on a pro-rata basis	Non-vested are forfeited
<b>PSUs</b>	Vesting is accelerated	Non-vested are forfeited	Non-vested are forfeited	Vesting is accelerated	Forfeit all, vested and non-vested
<b>Thrift Plan</b>	May take payment or defer until age 70½	May take payment or defer until age 70½	May take payment or defer until age 70½	Account distributed by March 1 of the following year	May take payment or defer until age 70½
<b>401(k) and Profit Sharing Retirement Plan (eff. 7/15/07)</b>	May take payment or defer until age 70½	May take payment or defer until age 70½	May take payment or defer until age 70½	Account distributed by March 1 of the following year	May take payment or defer until age 70½
<b>Supplemental Thrift Plan</b>	May take payment or defer until age 70½	May take payment or defer until age 70½	May take payment or defer until age 70½	Account distributed by March 1 of the following year	May take payment or defer until age 70½
<b>Severance Benefits</b>	None	None	None	None	None
<b>Health Benefits</b>	May continue to age 65 if age + service equals at least 70	Coverage ceases; eligible for coverage extension under COBRA	Coverage ceases; eligible for coverage extension under COBRA	Under age 55, 3 months spousal extension, then COBRA; over age 55, same as retiree	Under age 55, same as resignation; over age 55, same as retiree

## CIC-RELATED EVENTS

- A CIC occurs under our certain of our company's compensation plans upon:
  - (i) acquisition by any person or group of more than 50% of the total fair market value or voting power of our common stock. A transfer or issuance of our stock is counted only if the stock remains outstanding after the transaction. An increase in stock ownership as a result of the company's acquisition of its own stock in exchange for property is counted for purposes of the change in ownership standard; or
  - (ii) (a) acquisition by a person or group during a 12-month period of stock possessing 30% of the total voting power of our stock, or (b) replacement of a majority of our Board of Directors during any 12-month period by directors not endorsed by a majority of the members of our Board prior to the date of the appointment or election; or
  - (iii) acquisition by a person or group during a 12-month period of assets from our company having a total gross fair market value of 40% of the total gross fair market value of our assets immediately prior to such acquisition. An exception exists for a transfer of our assets to a shareholder controlled entity, including transfer to a person owning 50% or more of the total value or voting power of our shares.

- For purposes of our CIC agreements and certain of our other compensation plans, a CIC is defined as: (a) the acquisition by a person or group of 20% or more of the then outstanding common stock or voting securities of our company; or (b) a change in the majority of members of the Board of Directors; or (c) consummation of a reorganization, merger or consolidation or sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of our company's assets unless our company's shareholders before such business combination or sale own more than 60% of the outstanding common stock following the business combination or sale.
- Involuntary CIC Termination or Voluntary CIC Termination for Good Reason — Employment is terminated within two years of a CIC, other than for cause, or the employee voluntarily terminates for Good Reason.

“Good reason” for voluntary termination within two years of a CIC is generally satisfied when there is a reduction in salary, incentive compensation opportunity or benefits, relocation of over 35 miles or a diminution in duties and responsibilities.

The following table describes treatment of payments under pay and benefit programs upon a CIC, and upon a termination (voluntary or involuntary) upon a CIC.

#### CHANGE IN CONTROL (CIC) PAY AND BENEFITS PROGRAMS

PLAN OR PROGRAM	CIC	CIC WITH TERMINATION (OTHER THAN CAUSE)
<b>Pension:</b> • Retirement Plan • Supplemental Plan • SERA	No impact	Service ceases except to the extent that additional service is provided under the terms of the CIC agreements
<b>Executive Deferred Compensation Plan</b>	Accelerate all deferred amounts and pay lump sum within 10 business days	Accelerate all deferred amounts and pay lump sum within 10 business days
<b>EIP</b>	The amount paid will be equal to the greater of (A) the average bonus during the three preceding years, (B) the target bonus, or (C) the bonus determined under the Plan for the year in which the CIC occurs	The amount paid will be equal to the greater of (A) the average bonus during the three preceding years, (B) the target bonus, or (C) the bonus determined under the Plan for the year in which the CIC occurs
<b>Stock Options / SOSARs</b>	Immediately deemed fully vested and exercisable; remaining term to exercise	Immediately deemed fully vested and exercisable; remaining term to exercise
<b>DSUs</b>	All immediately deemed non-forfeitable; pay on 90th day following the CIC	All immediately deemed non-forfeitable; pay on 90th day following the CIC
<b>PSUs</b>	Vesting is accelerated; pay within 2½ months after end of the year in which the CIC occurs	Vesting is accelerated; pay within 2½ months after end of the year in which the CIC occurs
<b>Thrift Plan</b>	No impact	Service ceases except to the extent that additional service is provided under the terms of the CIC agreements. Participant entitled to distribution
<b>401(k) and Profit Sharing Retirement Plan (eff. 7/15/07)</b>	No impact	Service ceases except to the extent that additional service is provided under the terms of the CIC Agreements. Participant is entitled to distribution
<b>Supplemental Thrift Plan</b>	No impact	Participant entitled to distribution
<b>Severance Benefits</b>	No impact	Payment is 3 times the NEOs annual base salary, short-term bonus and LTI amount For agreements executed during 2011 only, payment is 3 times NEOs annual base salary and short-term bonus
<b>Health Benefits</b>	No impact	3 year coverage extension

## POTENTIAL PAYMENTS

This section describes and estimates payments that would have become payable to the NEOs upon a termination or change-in-control as of December 31, 2010.

## PENSION BENEFITS

The monthly amounts that would have become payable to our NEOs if the termination event occurred as of December 31, 2010 under the Retirement Plan, the Supplemental Plan, and the SERA are itemized in the chart set forth below. The amounts shown in the chart are monthly benefit amounts whereas the pension values shown in the Summary Compensation and Pension Benefits Tables are present values of all the monthly values anticipated to be paid over the lifetimes of our NEOs and their spouses in the event of their death while actively employed. These plans are described in the notes following the Pension Benefits Table. All the NEOs were retirement eligible on December 31, 2010. The benefits were determined using the same assumptions used to compute benefit values in the Pension Benefit Table with three exceptions. First, the benefit payments were assumed to commence as soon as possible following December 31, 2010, instead of at normal retirement. Second, approximate early retirement reductions were applied. Finally, the benefits were not adjusted to reflect optional forms of payment. All benefits are the amounts that would be paid monthly over the NEOs life, except for the value of CIC enhanced benefits which would be paid in a lump sum.

## PENSION BENEFITS TABLE

Name		Retirement (Monthly Payments) (\$)	Resignation or Involuntary Retirement (monthly payments) (\$)	Death (monthly payments to a spouse) (\$)	CIC (Value of Enhanced Benefits)(1) (\$)
Don James	Retirement Plan	5,622	Same as Retirement	3,654	0
	Supplemental Plan	96,476	Same as Retirement	62,709	2,773,979
	SERA	122,517	Same as Retirement	79,636	3,328,774
	Defined Contribution	0	None	0	150,000
Dan Sansone	Retirement Plan	4,973	Same as Retirement	3,232	0
	Supplemental Plan	19,375	Same as Retirement	12,594	1,878,345
	Defined Contribution	0	None	0	61,500
Danny Shepherd	Retirement Plan	6,132	Same as Retirement	3,986	0
	Supplemental Plan	12,922	Same as Retirement	8,399	1,216,878
	Defined Contribution	0	None	0	49,602
Ron McAbee	Retirement Plan	10,640	Same as Retirement	6,916	0
	Supplemental Plan	32,201	Same as Retirement	20,931	563,718
	Defined Contribution	0	None	0	49,602
Bob Wason	Retirement Plan	5,386	Same as Retirement	3,501	0
	Supplemental Plan	12,664	Same as Retirement	8,232	1,250,011
	Defined Contribution	0	None	0	46,701

(1) Value of retirement and defined contribution enhancements is payable in lump sum in the event of a CIC. In accordance with CIC agreements, lump-sum values for Supplemental Plan and SERA pension benefits are based upon credit for three years of service for each named executive, except for Mr. James, who would receive credit for 6.6 years of service. The defined contribution amounts represent three years of company matching contributions for each executive.

## LONG-TERM INCENTIVES

### DEFERRED STOCK UNITS (DSUs)

The chart below shows the number of DSUs for which vesting would be accelerated under certain events.

Name	RETIREMENT		CIC (WITH OR WITHOUT TERMINATION)	
	Number of Deferred Stock Units with Accelerated Vesting	Total Number of Deferred Stock Units Following Accelerated Vesting	Number of Deferred Stock Units with Accelerated Vesting	Total Number of Deferred Stock Units Following Accelerated Vesting
Don James	0	0	52,650	52,650
Dan Sansone	0	0	5,479	5,479
Danny Shepherd	0	0	5,896	5,896
Ron McAbee	4,769	4,769	4,769	4,769
Bob Wason	0	0	4,769	4,769



## PERFORMANCE SHARE UNITS (PSUs)

The chart below shows the number of PSUs for which vesting would be accelerated under certain events. Unvested PSUs were adjusted to the maximum allowed under the agreements because the performance was unknown at December 31, 2010.

Name	RETIREMENT		CIC (WITH OR WITHOUT TERMINATION)	
	Number of Performance Share Units with Accelerated Vesting	Total Number of Performance Share Units Following Accelerated Vesting	Number of Performance Share Units with Accelerated Vesting	Total Number of Performance Share Units Following Accelerated Vesting
Don James	61,433	69,570	124,520	132,657
Dan Sansone	12,646	14,315	25,500	27,169
Danny Shepherd	11,700	13,244	23,580	25,124
Ron McAbee	23,580	25,124	23,580	25,124
Bob Wason	7,487	8,317	15,100	15,930

## STOCK OPTIONS AND SOSARs

Stock options and SOSARs would be treated as described in the termination and CIC charts above. The chart below shows the number of stock options for which vesting would be accelerated under certain events.

Name	RETIREMENT		CIC (WITH OR WITHOUT TERMINATION)	
	Number of Options with Accelerated Vesting	Total Number of Options Following Accelerated Vesting	Number of Options with Accelerated Vesting	Total Number of Options Following Accelerated Vesting
Don James	170,019	1,391,881	303,128	1,524,990
Dan Sansone	35,114	221,182	62,672	248,740
Danny Shepherd	32,551	124,893	58,118	150,460
Ron McAbee	58,118	195,760	58,118	195,760
Bob Wason	20,724	133,152	37,242	149,670

## EXECUTIVE DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN

The aggregate balances reported in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan Table would be payable to the NEOs as described in the termination events and CIC-Related Events chart above. There is no enhancement or acceleration of payments under these plans associated with termination or CIC events, other than the lump sum payment opportunity described in the above charts. The lump sums that would be payable are those that are reported in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan Table.

## HEALTH BENEFITS

Because Messrs. James, Sansone, McAbee, Shepherd and Wason are eligible for early retirement and health care benefits are provided to early retirees, there is no incremental payment associated with the termination or CIC events.

## SEVERANCE BENEFITS

Our company has previously entered into individual CIC agreements with each of our NEOs. In addition to the treatment of the benefits described above, our NEOs are entitled to a severance benefit, if within two years of a CIC they are involuntarily terminated, without cause or they voluntarily terminate for Good Reason. Further, Messrs. James, Sansone and Wason may elect to voluntarily terminate their employment during the 30 days following the first anniversary of a CIC, and receive severance benefits. In any case, benefits are not paid unless the NEO releases us from any claims he may have against us.

The CIC severance payment is three times the NEO's base annual salary, short-term bonus, and LTI amount, as each is defined in the CIC agreements, and includes the continuation of health, medical and other fringe benefits for a period of two years following termination. If any portion of the severance payment is an "excess parachute payment," as defined under Internal Revenue Code Section 280G, we will pay on behalf of the NEO an additional amount to make the NEO whole for golden parachute tax liability — a "280G tax gross-up." The Compensation Committee has determined that any new CIC agreements that may be executed during 2011 will not include the following provisions: modified single trigger payment of severance amounts, inclusion of long-term incentive value in severance calculation or tax gross-ups.

The table below reflects an estimate of the severance payments that would be made to our NEOs if they were terminated as of December 31, 2010 in connection with a CIC.

Name	Severance Amount (\$)
Don James	15,601,250
Dan Sansone	4,412,000
Danny Shepherd	3,649,600
Ron McAbee	3,649,600
Bob Wason	2,876,950

The table below reflects an estimate of the value of 280G tax gross-up amounts due and payable to the Internal Revenue Service in connection with a CIC that results in severance payments.

Name	280G Tax Gross-Up(1) (\$)
Don James	0(2)
Dan Sansone	2,863,710
Danny Shepherd	2,500,060
Ron McAbee	1,793,577
Bob Wason	1,851,763

(1) Based on payment of equity components of compensation valued at \$44.36 per share, the reported fair market value of our company's common stock as of December 31, 2010.

(2) No 280G tax gross-up is triggered as the estimated severance amount is not in excess of the IRS cap.

## DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

We use a combination of cash and stock-based compensation to attract and retain qualified candidates to serve on our Board of Directors. In setting director compensation, our company considers the significant amount of time that directors expend on fulfilling their duties to our company, as well as the limited pool of, and competition among public companies for, well-qualified Board members. Additional amounts are paid to committee chairs in recognition of the substantial responsibilities of the chair. Directors are subject to a minimum share ownership requirement. Within five years of becoming a director, each director is required to own at least 5,000 shares of our company's common stock. Shares or units held by a director under a deferred compensation plan are included in calculating the director's ownership.

**CASH COMPENSATION PAID TO BOARD MEMBERS** . Members of the Board who are not employees of our company are paid a retainer of \$45,000 per year, plus the following fees:

- \$5,000 Board meeting fee for in-person attendance;
- \$3,000 Committee meeting fee for in-person attendance;
- \$1,500 Board and committee fees for telephonic meetings or actions by written consent;
- \$20,000 Audit Committee chair retainer fee;
- \$10,000 Compensation Committee chair retainer fee;
- \$5,000 Retainer fee for all other committee chairs; and
- \$1,500 Presiding Director fee per meeting.

**DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN** . We maintain a Deferred Compensation Plan for directors who are not employees of our company (Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan), under which such directors are permitted to defer the cash compensation to which they are entitled for specified periods or until they cease to be directors. The deferred amounts, at the election of the director, are either: (i) credited with interest at prescribed rates; or (ii) converted into a number of DSUs equivalent to the number of shares of our company's common stock (based on the market price at the time of deferral) that could be purchased with the amount deferred. Whenever a dividend is paid on our common stock, the DSU accounts are credited with an additional number of stock units corresponding to the amount of the dividend. At the end of the deferral period, the DSUs are settled in shares of our company's common stock, and interest-based deferrals are settled in cash. The Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan also provides for a lump-sum settlement of a director's deferred compensation account in stock or cash, as applicable, if following a Change of Control (as defined in the Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan): (i) the participating director ceases to be a member of the Board; (ii) the Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan is terminated; or (iii) our company's capital structure is changed materially. The Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan was approved by our company's shareholders in 1993.

**DEFERRED STOCK UNITS**. Equity-based grants are awarded to our non-management directors on an annual basis. These grants represent a significant portion of their compensation package. We believe that equity grants promote a greater alignment of interests between our directors and our shareholders through increasing their ownership of our common stock. Further, we believe that equity grants support our ability to attract and retain qualified individuals to serve as directors of our company by affording them an opportunity to share in our future success.

In June 2010, 1,803 DSUs were granted to each non-management director pursuant to the Omnibus Plan, which was approved by our shareholders in 2006. These units become nonforfeitable on the third anniversary of the grant; however, payment is deferred until the director ceases to serve on the Board or a CIC occurs. The DSUs are an unfunded, unsecured obligation of our company, and no shares have been set aside for these grants. The non-management directors have no right to receive the DSUs until the restrictions imposed either lapse or are waived.

Generally, the restrictions expire when the non-management director ceases to be a director because of retirement (age 70 or above), death, disability or a CIC. However, the Compensation Committee, subject to Board approval, may waive restrictions in the event the non-management director fails to remain a director for any reason other than retirement at the mandatory age, death or disability. During the period the shares are restricted, the non-management directors have no right to vote the shares. Dividend equivalents are credited as additional DSUs quarterly when dividends are paid on our stock. The DSUs are settled in shares of our common stock when the restrictions expire.

In prior years, grants to our directors were made under the Restricted Stock Plan for Non-employee Directors or the Deferred Stock Plan for Non-employee Directors. No further grants will be made under either of these plans.

## DIRECTOR SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The table below summarizes the compensation paid by our company to non-employee directors for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

Name(1)	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards(2) (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earning (\$)	All Other Compensation(3) (\$)	Total (\$)
Philip J. Carroll	104,000	89,771	0	0	0	6,923	200,694
Phillip W. Farmer	112,500	89,771	0	0	0	7,170	209,441
H. Allen Franklin	99,000	89,771	0	0	0	11,269	200,040
Ann McLaughlin Korologos	85,000	89,771	0	0	0	5,162	179,933
Douglas J. McGregor	103,500	89,771	0	0	0	16,552	209,823
James V. Napier	97,000	89,771	0	0	0	8,375	195,146
Richard T. O'Brien	92,500	89,771	0	0	0	2,900	185,171
James T. Prokopanko	84,500	89,771	0	0	0	904	175,175
Donald B. Rice	103,500	89,771	0	0	0	13,284	206,555
Vincent J. Trosino	95,500	89,771	0	0	0	8,880	194,151
Kathleen Wilson-Thompson	88,000	89,771	0	0	0	904	178,675

(1) Donald M. James, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board, is not included in this table as he is an employee of our company and receives no additional compensation for his service as a director. Mr. James' compensation is shown in the Summary Compensation Table on page 50.

(2) This column represents the accounting expense for the awards granted in 2010; therefore, the values shown here are not representative of the amounts that may eventually be realized by a director. Pursuant to the rules of the SEC, we have provided a grant date fair value for stock awards in accordance with the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 718. For DSUs, the fair value is estimated on the date of grant based on the closing market price of our stock on the grant date. At December 31, 2010, the aggregate number of restricted stock units and DSUs accumulated on account for all years of service, including dividend equivalent units, were:

Name	Units
Philip J. Carroll	7,915
Phillip W. Farmer	7,587
H. Allen Franklin	12,327
Ann McLaughlin Korologos	6,026
Douglas J. McGregor	17,690
James V. Napier	8,929
Richard T. O'Brien	3,842
James T. Prokopanko	1,825
Donald B. Rice	11,093
Vincent J. Trosino	7,901
Kathleen Wilson-Thompson	1,825

(3) *None of our directors received perquisites or other personal benefits in excess of \$10,000. The amounts set forth in this column represent the accounting expense for the dividend equivalents earned in 2010 by our directors for outstanding equity awards which earn dividend equivalents.*