
SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 [Amendment No.]

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material under § 240.14a-12

MOODY'S CORPORATION

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

- Fee paid previously with written preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:



March 5, 2014

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Moody's Corporation to be held on Tuesday, April 15, 2014, at 9:30 a.m. EDT at the Company's offices at 7 World Trade Center at 250 Greenwich Street, New York, New York.

The Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement accompanying this letter describe the business to be acted upon at the meeting. The Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2013 is also enclosed.

On March 5, 2014, we mailed to many of our stockholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the "Notice") containing instructions on how to access our 2014 Proxy Statement and 2013 Annual Report and vote online. The Notice included instructions on how to request a paper or e-mail copy of the proxy materials, including the Notice of Annual Meeting, Proxy Statement, Annual Report, and proxy card or voting instruction card. Stockholders who requested paper copies of the proxy materials or previously elected to receive the proxy materials electronically did not receive a Notice and will receive the proxy materials in the format requested.

Your vote is important. Whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting, we encourage you to review the proxy materials and hope you will vote as soon as possible. You may vote by proxy over the Internet or by telephone by using the instructions provided in the Notice. Alternatively, if you requested and received paper copies of the proxy materials by mail, you can also vote by mail by following the instructions on the proxy card or voting instruction card. Voting over the Internet, by telephone or by written proxy or voting instruction card will ensure your representation at the annual meeting regardless of whether you attend in person. Instructions regarding the three methods of voting are contained in the Notice or proxy card or voting instruction card.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "H McKinnell".

Henry A. McKinnell, Jr.
Chairman of the Board

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Ray McDaniel".

Raymond W. McDaniel, Jr.
President and Chief Executive Officer

MOODY'S CORPORATION
7 World Trade Center
250 Greenwich Street
New York, New York 10007
NOTICE OF 2014 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS


To Our Stockholders:

The 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Moody's Corporation will be held on Tuesday, April 15, 2014, at 9:30 a.m. EDT at the Company's offices at 7 World Trade Center at 250 Greenwich Street, New York, New York, for the following purposes, all as more fully described in the accompanying Proxy Statement:

1. To elect the Class I directors named in the Proxy Statement to serve a one-year term;
2. To ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm of the Company for the year 2014;
3. To vote on an advisory resolution approving executive compensation; and
4. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting.

The Board of Directors of the Company has fixed the close of business on February 20, 2014 as the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the meeting.

By Order of the Board of Directors,



Jane B. Clark
Corporate Secretary

March 5, 2014

IMPORTANT VOTING INFORMATION

Your Participation in Voting the Shares You Own Is Important

If you are the beneficial owner of your shares (meaning that your shares are held in the name of a bank, broker or other nominee), you may receive a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials from that firm containing instructions that you must follow in order for your shares to be voted. Certain institutions offer telephone and Internet voting. If you received the proxy materials in paper form, the materials include a voting instruction card so you can instruct the holder of record on how to vote your shares. The firm that holds your shares is not permitted to vote on the matters to be considered at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, other than to ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP, unless you provide specific instructions by following the instructions from your broker about voting your shares by telephone or Internet or completing and returning the voting instruction card. For your vote to be counted in the election of directors and on the advisory resolution approving executive compensation, you will need to communicate your voting decisions to your bank, broker or other holder of record before the date of the annual meeting.

Voting your shares is important to ensure that you have a say in the governance of the Company and to fulfill the objectives of the majority voting standard that Moody's Corporation applies in the election of directors. Please review the proxy materials and follow the relevant instructions to vote your shares. We hope you will exercise your rights and fully participate as a stockholder in the future of Moody's Corporation.

More Information Is Available

If you have any questions about the voting of your shares or the proxy voting process in general, please contact the bank, broker or other nominee through which you hold your shares. The SEC also has a website (<http://www.sec.gov/spotlight/proxymatters.shtml>) with more information about voting at annual meetings. Additionally, you may contact the Company's Investor Relations Department by sending an e-mail to ir@moodys.com.

**IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS
FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON APRIL 15, 2014**

The Proxy Statement and the Company's 2013 Annual Report to Stockholders are available at <https://materials.proxyvote.com/615369>. Your vote is very important. Whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting, we hope you will vote as soon as possible. You may vote your shares via a toll-free telephone number or over the Internet as instructed in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. Alternatively, if you received a paper copy of a proxy or voting instruction card by mail, you may submit your proxy or voting instruction card for the annual meeting by completing, signing, dating and returning your proxy or voting instruction card in the pre-addressed envelope provided. No postage is required if mailed in the United States. If you attend the meeting, you may vote in person, even if you have previously returned your proxy or voting instruction card or voted by telephone or the Internet.

Table of Contents**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS	1
General	1
Annual Meeting Admission	1
Internet Availability of Proxy Materials	1
Record Date	1
How to Vote	2
Special Voting Procedures for Certain Current and Former Employees	2
Quorum and Voting Requirements	2
Proxies	3
Delivery of Documents to Stockholders Sharing an Address	4
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	4
Board Meetings and Committees	4
Recommendation of Director Candidates	5
Board Leadership Structure	6
Codes of Business Conduct and Ethics	6
Director Independence	6
The Board’s Role in the Oversight of Company Risk	8
Executive Sessions	8
Communications with Directors	8
Succession Planning	9
Employee and Director Hedging Instruments	9
Rule 10b5-1 Trading Plans	9
THE AUDIT COMMITTEE	9
AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT	10
THE GOVERNANCE AND COMPENSATION COMMITTEE	10
REPORT OF THE GOVERNANCE AND COMPENSATION COMMITTEE	12
RELATIONSHIP OF COMPENSATION PRACTICES TO RISK MANAGEMENT	12
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	13
COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS	13
Stock Ownership Guidelines for Non-Management Directors	14
ITEM 1—ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	15
Qualifications and Skills of Directors	15
Nominees For Class I Directors Whose Terms Expire in 2015	17
Class II Directors Whose Terms Expire in 2015	18
Class III Directors Whose Terms Expire in 2016	19
ITEM 2—RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS	20
PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES	20
ITEM 3—ADVISORY RESOLUTION APPROVING EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	21
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	23
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	24

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	25
Executive Summary	25
Governance Highlights	26
Philosophy of the Executive Compensation Program	26
Elements of Moody's Compensation Program	27
2013 Compensation Decisions	30
Additional Executive Compensation Policies and Practices	39
SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE	43
GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS TABLE FOR 2013	46
OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END TABLE FOR 2013	47
OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED TABLE FOR 2013	48
PENSION BENEFITS TABLE FOR 2013	49
Moody's Corporation Retirement Account	50
Moody's Corporation Pension Benefit Equalization Plan	50
Moody's Corporation Supplemental Executive Benefit Plan	50
Moody's UK Group Personal Pension Plan	51
NONQUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION TABLE	52
Moody's Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan	52
POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE IN CONTROL	53
Moody's Corporation Career Transition Plan	53
Moody's Corporation Change in Control Severance Plan	55
Other Potential Payments Upon Termination of Employment	55
OTHER BUSINESS	58
STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR 2015 ANNUAL MEETING	58

**PROXY STATEMENT
ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
OF MOODY'S CORPORATION**

General

This Proxy Statement is being furnished to the holders of the common stock, par value \$.01 per share (the "Common Stock"), of Moody's Corporation ("Moody's" or the "Company") in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of the Company (the "Board of Directors" or the "Board") for use in voting at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders or any adjournment or postponement thereof (the "Annual Meeting"). The Annual Meeting will be held on Tuesday, April 15, 2014, at 9:30 a.m. EDT at the Company's principal executive offices located at 7 World Trade Center at 250 Greenwich Street, New York, New York 10007. To obtain directions to attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person, please contact the Company's Investor Relations Department by sending an e-mail to ir@moodys.com. This Proxy Statement and the accompanying proxy card are first being made available to stockholders on or about March 5, 2014. The Company's telephone number is (212) 553-0300.

Annual Meeting Admission

Stockholders will need an admission ticket to enter the Annual Meeting. For stockholders of record, an admission ticket is available over the Internet, or, if you requested paper copies, you will receive a printed proxy card and a printed admission ticket. If you plan to attend the Annual Meeting in person, please retain and bring the admission ticket.

If you are the beneficial owner of your shares (meaning that your shares are held in the name of a bank, broker or other nominee) and you plan to attend the Annual Meeting in person, you may obtain an admission ticket in advance by sending a written request, along with proof of share ownership such as a bank or brokerage account statement, to the Corporate Secretary of the Company at 7 World Trade Center at 250 Greenwich Street, New York, New York 10007. An admission ticket is also available over the Internet. Stockholders who do not have admission tickets will be admitted following verification of ownership at the door.

Internet Availability of Proxy Materials

Under U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") rules, we are furnishing proxy materials to our stockholders primarily via the Internet, instead of mailing printed copies of those materials to stockholders. On March 5, 2014, we mailed to our stockholders (other than those who previously requested e-mail or paper delivery) a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the "Notice") containing instructions on how to access and review our proxy materials, including this Proxy Statement and the Company's Annual Report. These materials are available at: <https://materials.proxyvote.com/615369>. The Notice also instructs you on how to access your proxy card to vote through the Internet or by telephone.

This process is designed to expedite stockholders' receipt of proxy materials, lower the cost of the Annual Meeting, and help conserve natural resources. If you received a Notice by mail, you will not receive a printed copy of the proxy materials unless you request one. If you would prefer to receive printed proxy materials, please follow the instructions included in the Notice. If you have previously elected to receive our proxy materials electronically, you will continue to receive these materials via e-mail unless you elect otherwise.

Record Date

The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on February 20, 2014 as the record date (the "Record Date") for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting. As of the close of business on the Record Date, there were 213,987,496 shares of Common Stock outstanding. Each holder of Common Stock entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting will be entitled to one vote per share.

Table of Contents

How to Vote

In addition to voting in person at the Annual Meeting, stockholders of record can vote by proxy by following the instructions in the Notice and using the Internet or by calling the toll-free telephone number that is available on the Internet. Alternatively, stockholders of record who requested a paper copy of the proxy materials can vote by proxy by mailing their signed proxy cards. The telephone and Internet voting procedures are designed to authenticate stockholders' identities, to allow stockholders to give their voting instructions and to confirm that stockholders' instructions have been recorded properly.

If your shares are held in the name of a bank, broker or other nominee, you may receive a Notice from that firm containing instructions that you must follow in order for your shares to be voted. Certain institutions offer telephone and Internet voting. If you received the proxy materials in paper form, the materials include a voting instruction card so you can instruct the holder of record on how to vote your shares. If you wish to vote in person at the Annual Meeting, you must obtain a legal proxy from the bank, broker or other nominee that holds your shares.

Special Voting Procedures for Certain Current and Former Employees

Many current and former employees of the Company have share balances in the Moody's Common Stock Fund of the Moody's Corporation Profit Participation Plan (the "Profit Participation Plan"). The voting procedures described above do not apply to these share balances. Instead, any proxy given by such an employee or former employee will serve as a voting instruction for the trustee of the Profit Participation Plan, as well as a proxy for any shares registered in that person's own name (including shares acquired under the Moody's Corporation Employee Stock Purchase Plan and/or pursuant to restricted stock awards). To allow sufficient time for voting by the trustee, Profit Participation Plan voting instructions must be received by April 11, 2014. If voting instructions have not been received by that date, or properly completed and executed voting instructions are not provided, the trustee will vote those Profit Participation Plan shares in the same proportion as the Profit Participation Plan shares for which it has received instructions, except as otherwise required by law.

Quorum and Voting Requirements

The holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Common Stock entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting, whether present in person or represented by proxy, will constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the Annual Meeting. If a quorum is not present at the Annual Meeting, the stockholders present may adjourn the Annual Meeting from time to time, without notice, other than by announcement at the meeting, until a quorum is present or represented. At any such adjourned meeting at which a quorum is present or represented, any business may be transacted that might have been transacted at the original meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present at the Annual Meeting. A broker "non-vote" occurs when a nominee (such as a bank, broker or other nominee) holding shares for a beneficial owner does not vote on a particular proposal because the nominee does not have discretionary voting power for that particular matter and has not received instructions from the beneficial owner.

Director Elections. Pursuant to the Company's by-laws, the nominees for director are required to receive a majority of the votes cast with respect to such nominees in order to be elected at the Annual Meeting. A majority of the votes cast means that the number of shares voted "for" a director must exceed the number of votes cast "against" that director. Abstentions have no effect on the election of directors. Brokers do not have discretionary authority to vote shares in the election of directors without instructions from the beneficial owner. Accordingly, shares resulting in broker non-votes, if any, are not votes cast and will have no effect on the outcome of director elections. In accordance with the Company's Director Resignation Policy, each director subject to election at the Annual Meeting was required to submit a contingent resignation which the Board of Directors will consider, following a review and recommendation from the Governance and Compensation Committee, in the event that the director fails to receive a majority of the votes cast.

Table of Contents

Ratification of the Appointment of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. The affirmative vote of the majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting is required to ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm of the Company for the year ending December 31, 2014. If a stockholder abstains from voting or directs the stockholder's proxy to abstain from voting on this matter, the abstention has the same effect as a vote against the matter. Brokers have discretionary authority to vote shares on this matter if they do not receive instructions from the beneficial owner.

Advisory Resolution Approving Executive Compensation. The affirmative vote of the majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting is required for the advisory resolution approving executive compensation. If a stockholder abstains from voting or directs the stockholder's proxy to abstain from voting on this matter, the abstention has the same effect as a vote against the matter. Brokers do not have discretionary authority to vote shares on this matter without instructions from the beneficial owner. Accordingly, shares resulting in broker non-votes, if any, are not entitled to vote on the matter and will have no effect on the outcome of the vote.

Proxies

The proxy provides that you may specify that your shares of Common Stock be voted "For," "Against" or "Abstain" from voting with respect to the director nominees and the other proposals. The Board of Directors recommends that you vote "For" the director nominees named in this Proxy Statement, "For" the ratification of the selection of the independent registered public accounting firm and "For" the advisory resolution approving executive compensation. All shares of Common Stock represented by properly executed proxies received prior to or at the Annual Meeting and not revoked will be voted in accordance with the instructions indicated in such proxies. Properly executed proxies that do not contain voting instructions will be voted in accordance with the recommendations of the Board of Directors, except as noted above with respect to shares held in the Profit Participation Plan.

It is not expected that any matter other than those referred to herein will be brought before the Annual Meeting. If, however, other matters are properly presented, the persons named as proxies will vote in accordance with their best judgment with respect to such matters.

Any stockholder of record who votes by telephone or the Internet or who executes and returns a proxy may revoke such proxy or change such vote at any time before it is voted at the Annual Meeting by (i) filing with the Corporate Secretary of the Company at 7 World Trade Center at 250 Greenwich Street, New York, New York 10007, written notice of such revocation, (ii) casting a new vote by telephone or the Internet or by submitting another proxy that is properly signed and bears a later date or (iii) attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person. A stockholder whose shares are owned beneficially through a bank, broker or other nominee should contact that entity to change or revoke a previously given proxy.

Proxies are being solicited hereby on behalf of the Board of Directors. The cost of the proxy solicitation will be borne by the Company, although stockholders who vote by telephone or the Internet may incur telephone or Internet access charges. In addition to solicitation by mail, directors, officers and employees of the Company may solicit proxies personally or by telephone, telecopy, e-mail or otherwise. Such directors, officers and employees will not be specifically compensated for such services. The Company has retained Georgeson Shareholder Communications Inc. to assist with the solicitation of proxies for a fee not to exceed approximately \$15,000, plus reimbursement for out-of-pocket expenses. Arrangements may also be made with custodians, nominees and fiduciaries to forward proxy solicitation materials to the beneficial owners of shares of Common Stock held of record by such custodians, nominees and fiduciaries, and the Company may reimburse such custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection therewith.

Table of Contents

Delivery of Documents to Stockholders Sharing an Address

If you are the beneficial owner, but not the record holder, of the Company's shares, your broker, bank or other nominee may seek to reduce duplicate mailings by delivering only one copy of the Company's Proxy Statement and Annual Report, or Notice, as applicable, to multiple stockholders who share an address unless that nominee has received contrary instructions from one or more of the stockholders. The Company will deliver promptly, upon written or oral request, a separate copy of the Proxy Statement and Annual Report, or Notice, as applicable, to a stockholder at a shared address to which a single copy of the documents was delivered. A stockholder who wishes to receive a separate copy of the Proxy Statement and Annual Report, or Notice, as applicable, now or in the future, should submit his request to the Company by sending an e-mail to ir@moodys.com, by submitting a written request to the Company's Investor Relations Department, at 7 World Trade Center at 250 Greenwich Street, New York, New York 10007 or contacting the Company's Investor Relations Department by telephone, at (212) 553-4857. Beneficial owners sharing an address who are receiving multiple copies of the Proxy Statement and Annual Report, or Notice, as applicable, and wish to receive a single copy of such materials in the future should contact their broker, bank or other nominee to request that only a single copy of each document be mailed to all stockholders at the shared address in the future. Please note that if you wish to receive paper proxy materials for the 2014 Annual Meeting, you should follow the instructions contained in the Notice.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

In order to address evolving best practices and new regulatory requirements, the Board of Directors annually reviews its corporate governance practices and the charters for its standing committees. As a result of this review, during 2013 the Board amended the Company's Corporate Governance Principles and the charters of its Governance and Compensation, Audit, and MIS Committees. A copy of the Corporate Governance Principles is available on the Company's website at www.moodys.com under the headings "About Moody's—Investor Relations—Investor Relations Home—Corporate Governance—Other Governance Documents." Copies of the charters of the Governance and Compensation Committee, the Audit Committee, and the MIS Committee are available on the Company's website at www.moodys.com under the headings "About Moody's—Investor Relations—Investor Relations Home—Corporate Governance—Committee Charters." Print copies of the Corporate Governance Principles and the committee charters may also be obtained upon request, addressed to the Corporate Secretary of the Company at 7 World Trade Center at 250 Greenwich Street, New York, New York 10007. The Audit Committee and the Governance and Compensation Committee assist the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities, as described below.

Board Meetings and Committees

During 2013, the Board of Directors met six times and had three standing committees: an Audit Committee, a Governance and Compensation Committee, which also performs the functions of a nominating committee, and the MIS Committee. All incumbent directors attended at least 84 percent of the total number of meetings of the Board and of all committees of the Board on which they served in 2013 (held during the period that they served). The Company's International Business Development Committee, whose members evaluated possible opportunities outside of the United States and recommended business development projects to the Board, was disbanded in 2013.

The MIS Committee's primary purpose is to oversee certain activities of the Company's subsidiary, Moody's Investors Service, a nationally recognized statistical rating organization ("MIS"), pursuant to Section 15E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), and to perform such other duties and responsibilities as may be assigned to it from time to time by the Board of Directors. All directors served as members of the MIS Committee in 2013. The MIS Committee met four times in 2013. Please refer to page 9 for additional information regarding the Audit Committee and to page 10 for additional information regarding the Governance and Compensation Committee.

Table of Contents

Directors are encouraged to attend the Annual Meeting. All of the individuals serving as directors at the time of the Company's 2013 annual meeting attended the meeting.

Recommendation of Director Candidates

The Governance and Compensation Committee considers and makes recommendations to the Board regarding the size, structure, composition and functioning of the Board and is responsible for overseeing the processes for the selection and nomination of director candidates, and for developing, recommending to the Board for approval, and periodically reviewing Board membership criteria. The Governance and Compensation Committee will consider director candidates recommended by stockholders of the Company. In considering a candidate for Board membership, whether proposed by stockholders or otherwise, the Governance and Compensation Committee examines the candidate's business experience, qualifications, attributes and skills relevant to the management and oversight of the Company's business, independence, the ability to represent diverse stockholder interests, judgment, integrity, the ability to commit sufficient time and attention to Board activities, and the absence of any potential conflicts with the Company's business and interests. The Governance and Compensation Committee also seeks diverse occupational and personal backgrounds for the Board. See "Qualifications and Skills of Directors" on page 15 for additional information on the Company's directors. To have a candidate considered by the Governance and Compensation Committee, a stockholder must submit the recommendation in writing and must include the following information:

- The name of the stockholder and evidence of the stockholder's ownership of Company stock, including the number of shares owned and the length of time of ownership; and
- The name of the candidate, the candidate's resume or a listing of his qualifications to be a director of the Company, and the candidate's consent to be named as a director if selected by the Governance and Compensation Committee and nominated by the Board.

The stockholder recommendation and information described above must be sent to the Corporate Secretary of the Company at 7 World Trade Center at 250 Greenwich Street, New York, New York 10007, and must be received by the Corporate Secretary not less than 120 days prior to the anniversary date of the Company's most recent annual meeting of stockholders. For the Company's 2015 annual meeting, this deadline is December 16, 2014.

The Governance and Compensation Committee identifies potential nominees by asking current directors and executive officers to notify the Committee if they become aware of persons, meeting the criteria described above, who might be available to serve on the Board. As described above, the Committee will also consider candidates recommended by stockholders on the same basis as those recommended by current directors and executives. The Governance and Compensation Committee also, from time to time, may engage firms that specialize in identifying director candidates for the Committee's consideration.

Once a person has been identified by or for the Governance and Compensation Committee as a potential candidate, the Committee may collect and review publicly available information regarding the person to assess whether the person should be considered further. If the Governance and Compensation Committee determines that the candidate warrants further consideration, the chairman or another member of the Committee contacts the person. Generally, if the person expresses a willingness to be considered and to serve on the Board, the Governance and Compensation Committee requests information from the candidate, reviews the candidate's accomplishments and qualifications, including in light of any other candidates whom the Committee might be considering, and conducts one or more interviews with the candidate. In certain instances, Committee members may contact one or more references provided by the candidate or may contact other members of the business community or other persons that may have greater first-hand knowledge of the candidate's accomplishments.

Table of Contents

Board Leadership Structure

The Company's Corporate Governance Principles permit the roles of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer to be filled by a single person or different individuals. This flexibility allows the Board to review the structure of the Board periodically and determine whether or not to separate the two roles based upon the Company's needs and circumstances from time to time.

Dr. McKinnell serves as Chairman of the Board and Mr. McDaniel serves as President and Chief Executive Officer of Moody's Corporation. In 2011 and 2012, the Board discussed whether to separate the roles, taking into account numerous considerations that bear upon the issue, including stockholders' support at the Company's 2011 annual meeting of a stockholder proposal recommending that, whenever possible, the Company's chairman be independent. In light of these considerations, the Board determined to appoint an independent Chairman of the Board. The Board believes that strong, independent Board leadership is a critical aspect of effective corporate governance. The role and responsibilities of the Chairman of the Board are detailed in the Company's Corporate Governance Principles.

Codes of Business Conduct and Ethics

The Company has adopted a code of ethics that applies to its Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Controller, or persons performing similar functions. The Company has also adopted a code of business conduct and ethics that applies to the Company's directors, officers and employees. A current copy of each of these codes is available on the Company's website at www.moody.com under the headings "About Moody's—Investor Relations—Investor Relations Home—Corporate Governance—Other Governance Documents." A copy of each is also available in print to stockholders upon request, addressed to the Corporate Secretary of the Company at 7 World Trade Center at 250 Greenwich Street, New York, New York 10007. The Company intends to satisfy disclosure requirements regarding any amendments to, or waivers from, the codes of ethics by posting such information on the Company's website at www.moody.com under the headings "About Moody's—Investor Relations—Investor Relations Home—Corporate Governance—Other Governance Documents."

Director Independence

To assist it in making determinations of a director's independence, the Board has adopted independence standards which are set forth below and are also included in the Company's Corporate Governance Principles. The Board has determined that Mr. Anderson, Mr. Bermudez, Dr. Duffie, Mr. Glauber, Ms. Hill, Mr. Kist, Dr. McKinnell, Ms. Seidman and Mr. Wulff, and thus a majority of the directors on the Board, are independent under these standards. The standards adopted by the Board incorporate the director independence criteria included in the NYSE listing standards, as well as additional criteria established by the Board. Both the Audit Committee and the Governance and Compensation Committee are composed entirely of independent directors. In accordance with NYSE requirements and the independence standards adopted by the Board, all members of the Audit Committee and the Governance and Compensation Committee meet additional heightened independence standards applicable to audit committee and compensation committee members.

An "independent" director is a director whom the Board has determined has no material relationship with the Company or any of its consolidated subsidiaries (for purposes of this section, collectively referred to as the "Company"), either directly, or as a partner, stockholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company. For purposes of this definition, the Board has determined that a director is not independent if:

1. the director is, or in the past three years has been, an employee of the Company, or an immediate family member of the director is, or in the past three years has been, an executive officer of the Company;
2. (a) the director, or an immediate family member of the director, is a current partner of the Company's outside auditor; (b) the director is a current employee of the Company's outside auditor; (c) a member of the director's immediate family is a current employee of the Company's outside auditor and

Table of Contents

- personally works on the Company's audit; or (d) the director or an immediate family member of the director was in the past three years a partner or employee of the Company's outside auditor and personally worked on the Company's audit within that time;
3. the director, or a member of the director's immediate family, is or in the past three years has been, an executive officer of another company where any of the Company's present executive officers serves or served on the compensation committee at the same time;
 4. the director, or a member of the director's immediate family, has received, during any 12-month period in the past three years, any direct compensation from the Company in excess of \$120,000, other than compensation for Board service, compensation received by the director's immediate family member for service as an employee (other than an executive officer) of the Company, and pension or other forms of deferred compensation for prior service with the Company;
 5. the director is a current executive officer or employee, or a member of the director's immediate family is a current executive officer of another company that makes payments to or receives payments from the Company, or during any of the last three fiscal years, has made payments to or received payments from the Company, for property or services in an amount that, in any single fiscal year, exceeded the greater of \$1 million or 2% of the other company's consolidated gross revenues; or
 6. the director, or the director's spouse, is an executive officer of a non-profit organization to which the Company or the Company foundation makes, or in the past three years has made, contributions that, in any single fiscal year, exceeded the greater of \$1 million or 2% of the non-profit organization's consolidated gross revenues. (Amounts that the Company foundation contributes under matching gifts programs are not included in the contributions calculated for purposes of this standard.)

An "immediate family" member includes a director's spouse, parents, children, siblings, mother- and father-in-law, sons- and daughters-in-law, brothers- and sisters-in-law, and anyone (other than a domestic employee) who shares the director's home.

In addition, a director is not considered independent for purposes of serving on the Audit Committee, and may not serve on the Audit Committee, if the director: (a) accepts, directly or indirectly, from Moody's Corporation or any of its subsidiaries, any consulting, advisory, or other compensatory fee, other than Board and committee fees and fixed amounts of compensation under a retirement plan (including deferred compensation) for prior service with Moody's Corporation; or (b) is an "affiliated person" of Moody's Corporation or any of its subsidiaries; each as determined in accordance with SEC regulations.

Furthermore, in determining whether a director is considered independent for purposes of serving on the Governance and Compensation Committee, the Board must consider all factors specifically relevant to determining whether the director has a relationship with the Company that is material to that director's ability to be independent from management in connection with the duties of a compensation committee member, including, but not limited to: (a) the source of the director's compensation, including any consulting, advisory or other compensatory fee paid by the Company to the director; and (b) whether the director is affiliated with Moody's Corporation, any of its subsidiaries or an affiliate of any subsidiary; each as determined in accordance with SEC regulations.

In assessing independence, the Board took into account that Mr. Anderson, Mr. Bermudez, Dr. Duffie, Mr. Glauber, Ms. Hill, Mr. Kist, Ms. Seidman and Mr. Wulff each served during 2013, or currently serves, as directors, employees, or faculty members of entities that are rated or have issued securities rated by MIS, as listed in the Company's Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy posted on the Company's website under the headings "About Moody's—Investor Relations—Corporate Governance—Other Governance Documents," and that associated fees from each such entity accounted for less than 1% of the Company's 2013 revenue. The Board also took into account that, during the last three years, Moody's purchased Cisco Systems, Inc. ("Cisco") products through a third-party vendor. Ms. Hill served as an employee of Cisco for a portion of 2013. Moody's

Table of Contents

use of Cisco products predates Ms. Hill's service on the Board. Payments that Moody's made to the third-party vendor for these products accounted for significantly less than 1% of Moody's and Cisco's annual revenues in the last three years. The Board found nothing in the relationships to be contrary to the standards for determining independence as contained in the NYSE's requirements and the Company's Corporate Governance Principles. A copy of these standards is found in Attachment A to the Company's Corporate Governance Principles on the Company's website at www.moody's.com under the headings "About Moody's—Investor Relations—Investor Relations Home—Corporate Governance—Other Governance Documents."

The Board's Role in the Oversight of Company Risk

The Board of Directors oversees the Company's enterprise-wide approach to the major risks facing the Company and oversees the Company's policies for assessing and managing its exposure to risk. The Board periodically reviews these risks and the Company's risk management processes. The Board also considers risk in evaluating the Company's strategy. The Board's responsibilities include reviewing the Company's practices with respect to risk assessment and risk management and reviewing contingent liabilities and risks that may be material to the Company. The Audit Committee reviews the Company's financial and compliance risks and major legislative and regulatory developments which could materially impact the Company. The Governance and Compensation Committee oversees management's assessment of whether the Company's compensation structure, policies and programs create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company and reviews the results of this assessment.

Under the oversight of the Board and its committees, the Chief Executive Officer has established an Enterprise-Wide Risk Committee, comprised of the Chief Executive Officer and his direct reports, which include the Chief Risk Officer. The Enterprise Risk Committee reviews the work of the Enterprise Risk Function which is managed by the Chief Risk Officer with the assistance of the Head of Corporate Planning and Treasury and the Head of the Internal Audit Function. The Chief Risk Officer chairs a subcommittee consisting of senior executives from each of the Company's major business units and support functions, who periodically report on risks and their mitigations within their areas of responsibility. Among other things, the Enterprise Risk Function is responsible for identifying and monitoring important existing and emerging risks to the achievement of the Company's strategic and operative objectives; formulating appropriate policies and monitoring and reporting frameworks to support effective management of important risks; reviewing and evaluating the effectiveness of management processes and action plans to address such risks; advising on and recommending to executive management any significant actions or initiatives that they believe are necessary to effectively manage risk; and ensuring that activities of discrete risk management disciplines within the Company are appropriately coordinated. The Chief Risk Officer presented the Enterprise Risk Committee's analysis to the Board at two meetings in 2013. Additionally, the Audit Committee and the Governance and Compensation Committee each reviewed risks within their areas of responsibility at separate meetings in 2013.

Significant risk issues evaluated by and/or major changes proposed by the Enterprise-Wide Risk Committee and the Chief Risk Officer are discussed at various Board meetings throughout the year.

Executive Sessions

The independent directors routinely meet in executive session at regularly scheduled Board meetings. Dr. McKinnell, the independent Chairman of the Board, establishes the agenda for and presides at these sessions and has the authority to call additional sessions as appropriate.

Communications with Directors

The Board of Directors has established a process to receive communications from stockholders and other interested parties. Stockholders and other interested parties may communicate with the Board of Directors or with all non-management directors as a group, or with a specific director or directors (including the Chairman of the Board), by writing to them c/o the Corporate Secretary of the Company at 7 World Trade Center at 250 Greenwich Street, New York, New York 10007.

Table of Contents

All communications received as set forth in the preceding paragraph will be opened by the Corporate Secretary in the office of the Company's General Counsel for the sole purpose of determining whether the contents represent a message to the Company's directors. Any contents that are not in the nature of advertising, promotions of a product or service, or patently offensive material will be forwarded promptly to the addressee.

Succession Planning

The Board and the Governance and Compensation Committee review succession planning annually in conjunction with the Board's review of strategic planning.

Employee and Director Hedging Instruments

Employees and directors are prohibited from purchasing financial instruments that are designed to hedge or offset market value decreases of Moody's equity securities granted as compensation or held directly or indirectly by the employees or directors.

Rule 10b5-1 Trading Plans

Our CEO and CFO, and certain other officers of the Company, enter into Rule 10b5-1 stock trading plans from time to time. These plans allow executives to adopt predetermined plans for trading shares of Company stock in advance of learning any material non-public information. The use of these trading plans permits diversification, retirement and tax planning activities. The transactions under the plans will be disclosed publicly through Form 4 filings with the SEC.

THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Audit Committee represents and assists the Board of Directors in its oversight responsibilities relating to: the integrity of the Company's financial statements and the financial information provided to the Company's stockholders and others; the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements; the Company's internal controls; the Company's practices with respect to financial risk assessment and risk management, and the review of contingent liabilities and risks that might be material to the Company; and the audit process, including the qualifications and independence of the Company's principal external auditors (the "Independent Auditors"), and the performance of the Independent Auditors and the Company's internal audit function. The Audit Committee is responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the Independent Auditors and, as such, the Independent Auditors report directly to the Audit Committee. In determining whether to reappoint KPMG as the Company's independent auditor, the Audit Committee took into consideration a number of factors, including the length of time the firm has been engaged, the quality of the Audit Committee's ongoing discussions with KPMG and an assessment of the professional qualifications and past performance of the lead audit partner.

The Audit Committee has established a policy setting forth the requirements for the pre-approval of audit and permissible non-audit services to be provided by the independent registered public accounting firm. Under the policy, the Audit Committee pre-approves the annual audit engagement terms and fees, as well as any other audit services and specified categories of non-audit services, subject to certain pre-approved fee levels. In addition, pursuant to the policy, the Audit Committee has authorized its Chairman to pre-approve other audit and permissible non-audit services up to \$50,000 per engagement and a maximum of \$250,000 per year. The policy requires that the Audit Committee Chairman report any pre-approval decisions to the full Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting. For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Audit Committee or the Chairman pre-approved all of the services provided by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, which are described on page 20. The Audit Committee also is responsible for overseeing the audit fee negotiation associated with the retention of KPMG to perform the annual audit engagement.

Table of Contents

The members of the Audit Committee are Mr. Anderson (Chairman), Mr. Bermudez, Dr. Duffie, Mr. Glauber, Ms. Hill, Mr. Kist, Dr. McKinnell, Ms. Seidman and Mr. Wulff, each of whom is independent under NYSE and SEC rules and under the Company's Corporate Governance Principles. The Board of Directors has determined that each of Mr. Anderson, Mr. Bermudez, Mr. Glauber, Mr. Kist, Dr. McKinnell, Ms. Seidman and Mr. Wulff is an "audit committee financial expert" under the SEC's rules. The Audit Committee held eight meetings during 2013.

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the audited financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2013 (the "Audited Financial Statements"), management's assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, and the independent auditors' evaluation of the Company's system of internal control over financial reporting. In addition, the Audit Committee has discussed with KPMG LLP, which reports directly to the Audit Committee, the matters that independent registered public accounting firms must communicate to audit committees under applicable Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("PCAOB") standards.

The Audit Committee also has discussed with KPMG LLP its independence from the Company, including the matters contained in the written disclosures and letter required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding independent registered public accounting firms' communications with audit committees about independence. The Audit Committee also has discussed with management of the Company and KPMG LLP such other matters and received such assurances from them as it deemed appropriate. The Audit Committee considered whether the rendering of non-audit services by KPMG LLP to the Company is compatible with maintaining the independence of KPMG LLP from the Company.

Following the foregoing review and discussions, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Audited Financial Statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 for filing with the SEC.

The Audit Committee

Basil L. Anderson, *Chairman*

Jorge A. Bermudez

Darrell Duffie

Robert R. Glauber

Kathryn M. Hill

Ewald Kist

Henry A. McKinnell, Jr.

Leslie F. Seidman

John K. Wulff

THE GOVERNANCE AND COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

The role of the Governance and Compensation Committee is to identify and evaluate possible candidates to serve on the Board and to recommend the Company's director nominees for approval by the Board and the Company's stockholders. The Governance and Compensation Committee also considers and makes recommendations to the Board of Directors concerning the size, structure, composition and functioning of the Board and its committees, oversees the evaluation of the Board, and develops and reviews the Company's Corporate Governance Principles.

The Governance and Compensation Committee oversees the Company's overall compensation structure, policies and programs, assesses whether the Company's compensation structure establishes appropriate incentives for management and employees, and assesses the results of the most recent vote on the Company's

Table of Contents

advisory resolution approving executive compensation. The Committee also oversees the evaluation of senior management (including by reviewing and approving performance goals for the Company's CEO and other executive officers, and by evaluating their performance against approved goals, which, with respect to the CEO, the Committee does in consultation with the Chairman of the Board) and oversees and makes the final decisions regarding compensation arrangements for the CEO and for certain other executive officers. The CEO makes recommendations to the Committee regarding the amount and form of executive compensation (except with respect to his compensation). For a description of this process, see the Compensation Discussion and Analysis (the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" or "CD&A"), beginning on page 25. The Committee annually reviews the compensation of directors for service on the Board and its committees and recommends changes in compensation to the Board. The Committee administers and makes recommendations to the Board with respect to the Company's incentive compensation and equity-based compensation plans that are subject to Board approval, including the Company's key employees' stock incentive plans. The Committee has authority to establish, amend and terminate the Company's employee benefit plans, programs and practices, and the Committee may delegate to management the responsibilities it has with respect to the Company's employee benefit plans, programs and practices as the Committee deems appropriate. The Committee makes the final decisions regarding named executive officer compensation.

The Committee is empowered to retain, at the Company's expense, such consultants, counsel or other outside advisors as it determines appropriate to assist it in the performance of its functions. In 2013, the Committee retained the services of Meridian Compensation Partners LLC, an independent compensation consulting company, to provide advice and information about executive and director compensation, including the competitiveness of pay levels, executive compensation design and governance issues, and market trends, as well as technical and compliance considerations. Meridian reports directly and solely to the Committee. Meridian exclusively provides executive and director compensation consulting services and does not provide any other services to the Company. The Committee regularly reviews the current engagements and the objectivity and independence of the advice that Meridian provides to the Committee on executive and director compensation. The Committee considered the six specific independence factors adopted by the SEC under Dodd-Frank and other factors it deemed relevant, and the Committee found no conflicts of interest.

During 2013, management continued to engage Aon Hewitt as management's compensation consultant. During 2013, Aon Hewitt worked with the Chief HR Officer and her staff to develop market data and to assist in the design and development of Moody's executive compensation programs. The Committee takes into account that Aon Hewitt provides executive compensation-related services to management when it evaluates the information and analyses provided by Aon Hewitt.

The members of the Governance and Compensation Committee are Mr. Wulff (Chairman), Mr. Anderson, Mr. Bermudez, Dr. Duffie, Mr. Glauber, Ms. Hill, Mr. Kist, Dr. McKinnell and Ms. Seidman, each of whom is independent under NYSE rules and under the Company's Corporate Governance Principles. The Governance and Compensation Committee met seven times during 2013.

REPORT OF THE GOVERNANCE AND COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

The Governance and Compensation Committee, which is composed solely of independent members of the Board of Directors, assists the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibility relating to, among other things, establishing and reviewing compensation of the Company's executive officers. In this context, the Governance and Compensation Committee reviewed and discussed with management the Company's Compensation Discussion and Analysis, beginning on page 25. Following the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Governance and Compensation Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

The Governance and Compensation Committee

John K. Wulff, *Chairman*
Basil L. Anderson
Jorge A. Bermudez
Darrell Duffie
Robert R. Glauber
Kathryn M. Hill
Ewald Kist
Henry A. McKinnell, Jr.
Leslie F. Seidman

RELATIONSHIP OF COMPENSATION PRACTICES TO RISK MANAGEMENT

When structuring its overall compensation practices for employees of the Company generally, consideration is given as to whether the structure creates incentives for risk-taking behavior and therefore impacts the Company's risk management practices. Attention is given to the elements and the mix of pay as well as seeing that employees' awards align with stockholders' value.

In order to assess whether the Company's compensation practices and programs create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company, management established a compensation risk committee led by the Chief Human Resources Officer to assess the risk related to the Company's compensation plans, practices and programs. As part of this annual review, the compensation risk committee assessed the following items: (i) the relative proportion of variable to fixed components of compensation, (ii) the mix of performance periods (short-term, medium-term and long-term), (iii) the mix of payment mechanisms (cash, options, restricted stock, performance shares), (iv) the performance metrics used, linking the creation of value and earnings quality and sustainability, (v) the process of setting goals, degree of difficulty, spreads between thresholds, targets and maximum payouts, (vi) the maximum payout levels and caps, (vii) the clawback policy, (viii) the retirement program design and (ix) the equity ownership and equity ownership guidelines. These items were assessed in the context of the most significant risks currently facing the Company, to determine if the compensation plans, practices and programs incentivize employees to take undue risks. The committee then took into account controls and procedures that operate to monitor and mitigate against risk. The Chief Human Resources Officer presented the compensation risk committee's conclusions to the Governance and Compensation Committee.

The Governance and Compensation Committee reviewed these conclusions through a risk assessment lens. As a result of these reviews, the Company does not believe that the Company's compensation practices and programs create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company, nor does it believe that the practices and programs are designed to promote risk taking.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

The Audit Committee is charged with monitoring and reviewing issues involving potential conflicts of interest, and reviewing and approving all related person transactions, as defined in applicable SEC rules. Under SEC rules, related persons include any director, executive officer, any nominee for director, any person owning 5% or more of the Company's Common Stock, and any immediate family members of such persons. In addition, under the Company's Code of Business Conduct and Code of Ethics, special rules apply to executive officers and directors who engage in conduct that creates an actual, apparent or potential conflict of interest. Before engaging in such conduct, such executive officers and directors must make full disclosure of all the facts and circumstances to the Company's General Counsel and the Chairman of the Audit Committee, and obtain the prior written approval of the Audit Committee. All conduct is reviewed in a manner so as to (i) maintain the Company's credibility in the market, (ii) maintain the independence of the Company's employees and (iii) see that all business decisions are made solely on the basis of the best interests of the Company and not for personal benefit.

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS

The following table sets forth, for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, the total compensation of the non-management members of the Company's Board of Directors.

Name	Year	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash \$(1)	Stock Awards \$(2)	Option Award (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in	All Other Compensation \$(3)	Total (\$)
						Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)		
Basil L. Anderson	2013	\$ 95,000	\$130,004	—	—	—	—	\$225,004
Jorge A. Bermudez	2013	75,000	130,004	—	—	—	—	205,004
Darrell Duffie	2013	95,000	130,004	—	—	—	—	225,004
Robert R. Glauber	2013	75,000	130,004	—	—	—	—	205,004
Kathryn M. Hill	2013	75,000	130,004	—	—	—	—	205,004
Ewald Kist	2013	75,000	130,004	—	—	—	20,000	225,004
Henry A. McKinnell, Jr.	2013	125,000	180,009	—	—	—	—	305,009
Leslie F. Seidman	2013	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
John K. Wulff	2013	95,000	130,004	—	—	—	—	225,004

(1) In 2013, the Company's non-management directors received an annual cash retainer of \$75,000, payable in quarterly installments. The Chairmen of the Audit Committee, the Governance and Compensation Committee and the MIS Committee received an additional annual cash fee of \$20,000, also payable in quarterly installments. The Chairman of the Board received an additional annual cash fee of \$50,000, payable in quarterly installments. There were no separate meeting fees paid in 2013. Ms. Seidman was elected to the Board on December 17, 2013 and did not receive any fees in 2013.

A non-management director may elect to defer receipt of all or a portion of his annual cash retainer until after termination of service on the Company's Board of Directors. Deferred amounts are credited to an account and receive the rate of return earned by one or more investment options in the Moody's Corporation Profit Participation Plan as selected by the director. Upon a change in control of the Company, a lump sum payment will be made to each director for the amount credited to the director's deferred account on the date of the change in control, and the total amount credited to each director's deferred account from the date of the change in control until the date such director ceases to be a director, will be paid in a lump sum at that time. In addition, any notice by a director to change or terminate an election to defer his annual retainer given on or before the date of the change in control, will be effective as of the date of the change in control rather than the end of the calendar year.

Table of Contents

- (2) On February 13, 2013, the non-management directors, except Dr. McKinnell, received a grant of \$130,004 worth of restricted stock issued from the 1998 Moody's Corporation Non-Employee Directors' Stock Incentive Plan (the "1998 Directors Plan") which was equal to 2,800 restricted shares of Common Stock. Also on February 13, 2013, Dr. McKinnell received a grant of \$180,009 worth of restricted stock issued from the 1998 Directors Plan which was equal to 3,877 restricted shares of Common Stock. The Governance and Compensation Committee authorized the grant of restricted stock awards for February 13, 2013 on December 10, 2012, and the grant was subsequently approved by the Board on December 11, 2012. The grant of restricted stock awards was effective on February 13, 2013, the third trading day following the date of the public dissemination of the Company's financial results for 2012. In each case, the number of restricted shares of Common Stock based on the award value has been computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.

The aggregate number of stock awards outstanding as of December 31, 2013 for each individual who served as a non-management director of the Company during 2013 was as follows:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Number of Shares Underlying Options</u>	<u>Number of Shares of Unvested Restricted Stock</u>
Basil L. Anderson	—	2,800
Jorge A. Bermudez	—	2,800
Darrell Duffie	—	2,800
Robert R. Glauber	—	2,800
Kathryn M. Hill	—	2,800
Ewald Kist	—	2,800
Henry A. McKinnell, Jr.	—	3,877
Leslie F. Seidman	—	0
John K. Wulff	—	2,800

- (3) For non-management directors who reside outside of North America, there is an additional international travel allowance of \$20,000. Perquisites and other personal benefits provided to each individual who served as a non-management director in 2013 were, in the aggregate, less than \$10,000 per director. Each non-management director is reimbursed for travel, meals and hotel expenses incurred in connection with attending meetings of the Company's Board of Directors or its committees. For the meetings held at the Company's executive offices, the Company pays for travel for each non-management director and one guest of each director, as well as for their accommodations, meals, Company-arranged activities and other incidental expenses.

Stock Ownership Guidelines For Non-Management Directors

In July 2004, Moody's adopted stock ownership guidelines for its executives, including the Named Executive Officers (the "NEOs"), and its non-management directors, encouraging them to acquire and maintain a meaningful stake in the Company. These guidelines were updated in July 2012. Moody's believes that these guidelines encourage its executive officers and non-management directors to act as owners, thereby better aligning their interests with those of the Company's stockholders.

- The guidelines are intended to satisfy an individual's need for portfolio diversification, while ensuring an ownership level sufficient to assure stockholders of their commitment to value creation.
- Non-management directors are expected, within five years, to acquire and hold shares of the Company's Common Stock equal in value to five times the annual cash retainer.
- Restricted shares and shares owned by immediate family members or through the Company's tax-qualified savings and retirement plans count toward satisfying the guidelines.
- Stock options, whether vested or unvested, do not count toward satisfying the guidelines.

ITEM 1—ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

At the 2013 annual meeting, the Company's stockholders voted to phase out the classification of the Board of Directors and to provide instead for the annual election of directors, beginning with the 2014 annual meeting. Directors elected to three-year terms prior to or at the 2013 annual meeting are entitled to complete their terms before standing for re-election. Beginning with the 2016 annual meeting, all directors will stand for election annually for one-year terms.

The Board of Directors has nominated Jorge A. Bermudez, Kathryn M. Hill and Leslie F. Seidman, for election as Class I directors, for a one-year term expiring in 2015. If elected, the nominees will hold office until each of their terms expires and until a successor is elected and qualified. All three nominees are currently members of the Board of Directors, and Mr. Bermudez was previously elected by the stockholders. Ms. Seidman was recommended to the Governance and Compensation Committee by a third-party search firm. The Governance and Compensation Committee evaluates the qualifications and skill of other potential candidates in light of the Board's current composition and consideration of the Company's current and future business and operations. The Company expects the nominees for election as director to be able to serve if elected. If a nominee is unable to serve, proxies will be voted for the election of such other person for director as the Board may recommend in the place of such nominee.

Robert R. Glauber, a director of the Company since 1998, will not be standing for re-election, and will retire from the Board effective as of the Annual Meeting. The Board has reduced the number of directors to nine effective immediately prior to the commencement of the Annual Meeting.

Qualifications and Skills of Directors

The Board believes that the Board, as a whole, should possess a combination of skills, professional experience and diversity of backgrounds necessary to oversee the Company's business. In addition, the Board believes that there are certain attributes that every director should possess, as reflected in the Board's membership criteria. Accordingly, the Board and the Governance and Compensation Committee consider the qualifications of directors and director candidates individually and in the broader context of the Board's overall composition and the Company's current and future business and operations.

The Governance and Compensation Committee is responsible for developing and recommending Board membership criteria to the Board for approval. The criteria, which are set forth in the Company's Corporate Governance Principles, include the candidate's business experience, qualifications, attributes and skills relevant to the management and oversight of the Company's business, independence, the ability to represent diverse stockholder interests, judgment and integrity, the ability to commit sufficient time and attention to Board activities, and the absence of any potential conflicts with the Company's business and interests. In addition, the Board and the Governance and Compensation Committee annually evaluate the composition of the Board to assess the skills and experience that are currently represented on the Board, as well as the skills and experience that the Board will find valuable in the future, given the Company's current situation and strategic plans. The Board and the Governance and Compensation Committee seek a variety of occupational and personal backgrounds on the Board in order to obtain a range of viewpoints and perspectives and to enhance the diversity of the Board. This annual evaluation of the Board's composition enables the Board and the Governance and Compensation Committee to update the skills and experience they seek in the Board as a whole, and in individual directors, as the Company's needs evolve and change over time and to assess the effectiveness of efforts at pursuing diversity. In identifying director candidates from time to time, the Board and the Governance and Compensation Committee may identify specific skills and experience that they believe the Company should seek in order to constitute a balanced and effective board.

In considering and nominating incumbent directors for reelection to the Board, the Board and the Governance and Compensation Committee have considered a variety of factors. These include the nominee's independence, financial literacy, personal and professional accomplishments, experience in light of the needs of

Table of Contents

the Company and past performance on the Board. With respect to the Company's incumbent directors, the Board has determined that they have the following skills and qualifications that support their service on the Board:

- (i) Mr. Bermudez brings a history of executive experience at a major international financial services company. As the head of risk for a major global financial institution, he was involved in the debt restructuring of various sovereigns around the world. He also managed a global business with a presence in over 100 countries. As a result, Mr. Bermudez brings a deep understanding of credit risk and years of financial expertise, as well as risk management experience to the Board;
- (ii) Ms. Hill has significant experience in business management and leading engineering and operations organizations. She served as Senior Vice President, Executive Advisor of Cisco Systems Inc. from December 2011 to March 2013. She previously served as Cisco's Senior Vice President, Development Strategy & Operations from 2009 to December 2011, and prior to that, as Senior Vice President of Cisco Systems' Access Networking and Services Group, where she led the Access Routing, Ethernet Switching, Security, Wireless and Small Business technology groups. She brings extensive leadership experience and a strong background in information technology and business operations to the Board; and
- (iii) Ms. Seidman brings regulatory and financial expertise to the Board. She served as the Chairman of the Financial Accounting Standards Board and served as an executive at a major bank and as an auditor for a major accounting firm. As a result of these positions, Ms. Seidman brings to the Board significant knowledge of global accounting and financial reporting matters in addition to regulatory and senior management experience.

With respect to the continuing directors, the Board has considered the following:

- (i) Mr. Anderson has over a decade of experience as an executive officer, including as a chief financial officer, of several public companies where he held significant policy making positions. He also has experience as an operating executive in charge of an international business based in Paris, France. In addition, Mr. Anderson serves as a director with several NYSE or NASDAQ listed companies. As a result of these positions, he brings to the Board expertise as a strategist, management and operations experience, and a perspective on international business operations and corporate governance in the public company context;
- (ii) Dr. Duffie has significant expertise in a number of areas that are directly relevant to the Company's core business operations and has experience as a consultant to financial services firms. He is a recognized expert in the behavior and performance of global credit and securities markets and his opinions regarding financial regulatory reform have been solicited by various arms of the US government, including the Senate Banking Committee, the US Treasury Department, the House Financial Services Committee, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the US Commodity Futures Trading Commission, the SEC, the President's National Economic Council and the New York Federal Reserve Bank. He also has authored a book on over-the-counter markets, two books on credit risk, and one book on bank failures and has published numerous academic research publications, articles and papers on asset and credit valuation and performance. This work allows Dr. Duffie to provide insight into various aspects of the Company's business operations, the expanding nature of the work of MA and MIS and policy issues related to the financial services industry; and
- (iii) Mr. Kist served as Chairman of the Executive Board of a major foreign financial services company for a number of years and held various executive officer positions, including president and vice chairman, at a major international bank. Accordingly, Mr. Kist brings to the Board financial expertise and knowledge of the range of issues facing a large company operating in a regulated industry and a perspective on running a company with international operations;
- (iv) Mr. McDaniel, who is both President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, began his career at the Company serving as a ratings analyst and has served in numerous capacities at the Company over the past three decades. As a result, he brings to the Board a deep understanding of the Company's business and operations as well as a historical perspective on the Company's strategy. Since 2005 he

Table of Contents

has also served as a director of John Wiley & Sons, Inc., which develops, publishes and sells products in print and electronic media for the educational, professional, scientific, technical, medical and consumer markets worldwide. This has helped to provide perspective on public company governance issues.

- (v) Dr. McKinnell served for five years as the chief executive officer of a public pharmaceutical company with worldwide operations, and prior to that position, served as president, chief operating officer, chief financial officer and executive vice president. As a result of these positions, Dr. McKinnell brings to the Board financial expertise, management experience and leadership skills. In addition, because the pharmaceutical business, like the Company's, operates in a highly regulated industry, Dr. McKinnell brings to the Board an appreciation of what a complex regulatory environment means for the Company's operations. Dr. McKinnell has also served as a director of several public companies, contributing to his perspective on corporate governance matters; and
- (vi) Mr. Wulff also brings executive officer experience and financial expertise to the Board. He served as the chairman of a public company for several years. He also was the chief financial officer of a major chemical corporation for five years and, prior to holding that position, served as the company's vice president and principal accounting officer. In addition, Mr. Wulff served as a partner at a major accounting firm and as a member of the Financial Accounting Standards Board. As a result of these positions, Mr. Wulff brings to the Board significant knowledge of accounting and financial reporting matters in addition to regulatory and senior management experience.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the election as directors of each of the Class I nominees listed below.

The principal occupation and certain other information (including age as of the date of this Proxy Statement) about the nominees and other directors of the Company whose terms of office continue after the Annual Meeting are set forth below.

DIRECTOR NOMINEES

Class I Directors Whose Terms Expire in 2015

Jorge A. Bermudez
Director since April 2011

Jorge A. Bermudez, age 62, is a member of the Audit, Governance and Compensation, and MIS Committees of the Board of Directors. He served as Chief Risk Officer of Citigroup, Inc., a global financial services company, from November 2007 to March 2008. Before serving as Chief Risk Officer, Mr. Bermudez was Chief Executive Officer of Citigroup's Commercial Business Group in North America and Citibank Texas from 2005 to 2007. He served as Senior Advisor, Citigroup International from 2004 to 2006, as Chief Executive Officer of Citigroup Latin America from 2002 to 2004, Chief Executive Officer, eBusiness, Global Cash Management and Trade from 1998 to 2002 and Head of Citibank Corporate and Investment Bank, South America from 1996 to 1998. Mr. Bermudez joined Citigroup in 1975 and held leadership positions in other divisions, including equity investments, credit policy and corporate banking from 1984 to 1996. Mr. Bermudez currently is a director of the Electric Reliability Council of Texas (2010-present), the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas (2012-present) and chairman of the Board of Trustees of the Community Foundation of the Brazos Valley. He served as a director of Citibank N.A. from 2005 to 2008, the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas, Houston Branch from 2009 to 2011 and the Association of Former Students, Texas A&M University from 2006 to 2012.

Kathryn M. Hill
Director since October 2011

Kathryn M. Hill, age 57, is a member of the Audit, Governance and Compensation and MIS Committees of the Board of Directors. Ms. Hill has over 30 years of experience in business management and leading engineering and operations organizations. Ms. Hill served in a number of positions at Cisco Systems, Inc. from

Table of Contents

1997 to 2013, including, among others, Executive Advisor from 2011 to 2013, Senior Vice President, Development Strategy and Operations from 2009 to 2011, Senior Vice President, Access Networking and Services Group from 2008 to 2009 and Senior Vice President, Ethernet Systems and Wireless Technology Group from 2005 to 2008. Cisco designs, manufactures and sells Internet Protocol (IP)-based networking and other products related to the communications and information technology industry and provides services associated with these products. Prior to Cisco, Ms. Hill had a number of engineering roles at various technology companies. Ms. Hill is a member of the Board of Trustees for the Anita Borg Institute for Women and Technology. Ms. Hill currently serves as a director of NetApp, Inc. (2013-present).

Leslie F. Seidman

Director since December 2013

Leslie F. Seidman, age 51, is Executive Director of the Center for Excellence in Financial Reporting at Pace University's Lubin School of Business. She served as the Chairman of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) from December 2010 to June 30, 2013. Ms. Seidman was first appointed to the FASB as a member in July 2003 and was reappointed to a second term in July 2006. She served as Acting Chairman from October 1, 2010 to December 2010. From 2000 to 2003 Ms. Seidman was the founder and managing member of Leslie F. Seidman Consulting, LLC, a financial reporting consulting firm that served global financial institutions, law firms and accounting firms. From 1994 to 1999, Ms. Seidman served on the FASB staff in various capacities including as Assistant Director of Research and Technical Activities. From 1987 to 1996, Ms. Seidman served as Vice President, Accounting Policy and in other roles at J.P. Morgan & Company, Inc. (now JPMorgan Chase & Co.) and from 1984 to 1987 Ms. Seidman served as an auditor for Arthur Young & Co. (now Ernst & Young, LLP). Ms. Seidman is a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, the American Women's Society of CPAs, Financial Executives International, the International Women's Forum, and the Institute of Management Accountants.

CONTINUING DIRECTORS

Class II Directors Whose Terms Expire in 2015

Ewald Kist

Director since July 2004

Ewald Kist, age 70, is a member of the Audit, Governance and Compensation and MIS Committees of the Board of Directors. Mr. Kist was Chairman of ING Groep N.V. ("ING Group"), a financial services company, from 2000 until his retirement in June 2004. Before serving as Chairman of ING Group, Mr. Kist was Vice Chairman from 1999 to 2000 and served as a member of the Executive Board from 1993 to 1999. Prior to the merger of Nationale Nederlanden and NMB Postbank Group to form ING Group in 1992, Mr. Kist served in a variety of capacities at Nationale Nederlanden beginning in 1969, including Chairman from 1991 to 1992, General Management—the Netherlands from 1989 to 1991 and President Nationale Nederlanden U.S. Corporation from 1986 to 1989. Mr. Kist currently is a director of The DSM Corporation (2004-present), Royal Philips Electronics (2004-present) and Stage Entertainment (2007-present). He served as a director of Dutch National Bank from 2004 until 2012.

Henry A. McKinnell, Jr., Ph.D.

Director since October 1997

Henry A. McKinnell, Jr., age 71, is Chairman of the Board of Directors and serves as a member of the Audit, Governance and Compensation and MIS Committees. Dr. McKinnell served as the Chief Executive Officer of Optimer Pharmaceuticals, Inc. from February 2013 until October 31, 2013. He served as Chairman of the Board of Pfizer Inc., a pharmaceutical company, from May 2001 until his retirement in December 2006 and Chief Executive Officer from January 2001 to July 2006. He served as President of Pfizer Inc. from May 1999 to May 2001, and as President of Pfizer Pharmaceuticals Group from January 1997 to April 2001. Dr. McKinnell served as Chief Operating Officer of Pfizer Inc. from May 1999 to December 2000 and as Executive Vice

Table of Contents

President from 1992 to 1999. Dr. McKinnell currently is the Chairman of the board of Emmaus Life Sciences, Inc. (member of the board from May 2010—present) and the Accordia Global Health Foundation (member of the board from September 2003-present). He is Chairman Emeritus of the Connecticut Science Center and is a member of the Academic Alliance for AIDS Care and Prevention in Africa. He served as Chairman of Optimer Pharmaceuticals, Inc. until 2013 and director of Angiotech Pharmaceuticals, Inc. until 2011, Pfizer Inc. and ExxonMobil Corporation until 2007 and John Wiley & Sons until 2005.

John K. Wulff

Director since April 2004

John K. Wulff, age 65, is Chairman of the Governance and Compensation Committee and serves as a member of the Audit and MIS Committees of the Board of Directors. Mr. Wulff is the former Chairman of the board of Hercules Incorporated, a manufacturer and supplier of specialty chemical products, a position held from December 2003 until Ashland Inc.'s acquisition of Hercules in November 2008. Mr. Wulff was first elected as a director of Hercules in July 2003, and served as interim Chairman from October 2003 to December 2003. Mr. Wulff served as a member of the Financial Accounting Standards Board from July 2001 until June 2003. From January 1996 until March 2001, Mr. Wulff was Chief Financial Officer of Union Carbide Corporation. During his 14 years with Union Carbide, Mr. Wulff also served as Vice President and Principal Accounting Officer from January 1989 to December 1995, and Controller from July 1987 to January 1989. From April 1977 until June 1987, Mr. Wulff was a partner with KPMG and predecessor accounting and consulting firms. Mr. Wulff currently is a director of Celanese Corporation (2006-present) and Chemtura Corporation (2009-present). He served as a director of Sunoco, Inc. from 2004 until 2012.

Class III Directors Whose Terms Expire in 2016

Basil L. Anderson

Director since April 2004

Basil L. Anderson, age 68, is Chairman of the Audit Committee and is a member of the Governance and Compensation and MIS Committees of the Board of Directors. Mr. Anderson served as Vice Chairman of Staples, Inc., an office products company, from September 2001 until his retirement in March 2006. Prior to joining Staples, Mr. Anderson served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Campbell Soup Company from April 1996 to February 2001. Prior to joining Campbell Soup, Mr. Anderson was with Scott Paper Company where he served in a variety of capacities beginning in 1975, including Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from December 1993 to December 1995. Mr. Anderson currently is a director of Staples, Inc. (1997-present), Becton Dickinson (2004-present) and Hasbro, Inc. (2002-present). He served as director of CRA International Inc. from 2004 until January 2010.

Darrell Duffie, Ph.D.

Director since October 2008

Darrell Duffie, Ph.D., age 59, is Chairman of the MIS Committee and is a member of the Audit and Governance and Compensation Committees of the Board of Directors. He is the Dean Witter Distinguished Professor of Finance at Stanford University Graduate School of Business and has been on the finance faculty at Stanford since receiving his Ph.D. from Stanford in 1984. He has authored books and research articles on topics in finance and related fields. Dr. Duffie is a member of The Federal Reserve Bank of New York Financial Advisory Roundtable, and the Board of The Pacific Institute of Mathematical Sciences and is a Fellow and member of the Council of the Econometric Society and a Fellow of the American Academy of Arts & Sciences. He is Chairman of the Market Participants Group on Reference Rate Reform. Dr. Duffie served as a trustee of iShares Trust and a director of iShares, Inc. from 2008 to 2011 and was President of the American Finance Association in 2009.

Table of Contents

Raymond W. McDaniel, Jr.
Director since April 2003

Raymond W. McDaniel, Jr., age 56, has served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since April 2012, and served as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer from April 2005 until April 2012. He currently serves on the MIS Committee of the Board of Directors. Mr. McDaniel served as the Company's President from October 2004 until April 2005 and the Company's Chief Operating Officer from January 2004 until April 2005. He has served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a subsidiary of the Company, since October 2007 and held the additional title of President from November 2001 to August 2007 and December 2008 to November 2010. Mr. McDaniel served as the Company's Executive Vice President from April 2003 to January 2004, and as Senior Vice President, Global Ratings and Research from November 2000 until April 2003. He served as Senior Managing Director, Global Ratings and Research, of Moody's Investors Service from November 2000 until November 2001 and as Managing Director, International from 1996 to November 2000. Mr. McDaniel currently is a director of John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (2005-present).

ITEM 2—RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

The Audit Committee appointed KPMG LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm to audit the consolidated financial statements of the Company for the year ending December 31, 2014. KPMG LLP audited the consolidated financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2013.

As a matter of good corporate governance, the Audit Committee has requested the Board of Directors to submit the selection of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for 2014 to stockholders for ratification. If the appointment of KPMG LLP is not ratified by stockholders, the Audit Committee will re-evaluate its selection and will determine whether to maintain KPMG LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm or to appoint another independent registered public accounting firm. A representative of KPMG LLP is expected to be present at the Annual Meeting. Such representative will have the opportunity to make a statement if he so desires and is expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for 2014.

PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

Audit Fees

The aggregate fees for professional services rendered for (i) the integrated audit of the Company's annual financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, (ii) the review of the financial statements included in the Company's Reports on Forms 10-Q and 8-K, and (iii) statutory audits of subsidiaries, were approximately \$2.8 and \$2.4 million in 2013 and 2012, respectively. These fees included amounts accrued but not billed of \$1.9 million and \$1.6 million in the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Audit-Related Fees

The aggregate fees billed for audit-related services rendered to the Company were approximately \$0.1 million in both of the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. Such services included employee benefit plan audits.

Table of Contents

Tax Fees

The aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered for tax services rendered by the auditors for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were approximately \$0 and \$0, respectively.

All Other Fees

The aggregate fees billed for all other services rendered to the Company by KPMG LLP for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were approximately \$0 and \$0, respectively.

ITEM 3—ADVISORY RESOLUTION APPROVING EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

We are asking stockholders to vote on an advisory resolution approving the compensation of the Company's executives who are named in the Summary Compensation Table which appears on page 43 (referred to as the "Named Executive Officers" or "NEOs") in this Proxy Statement. As described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis ("CD&A") section of this Proxy Statement, the goal of the Governance and Compensation Committee (the "Committee") in setting executive compensation is to provide a competitive total compensation package that assists in the retention of the Company's executives and motivates them to perform at a superior level while encouraging behavior that is in the long-term best interests of the Company and its stockholders. Consistent with this philosophy, a significant portion of the total compensation opportunity for each of Moody's executives is performance-based and dependent upon the Company's achievement of specified goals that are both financial and operating (non-financial) in nature.

Moody's delivered strong financial performance throughout 2013, including growth in all lines of business, with management achieving the financial goals set for them. The Company's full-year 2013 results reflected strong financial performance in Moody's Investors Service, with revenue increasing 9% from the prior period, and growth in all areas of Moody's Analytics with revenue up 8% from full-year 2012. The Company confronted obstacles as worldwide economic conditions and changes in monetary and fiscal policies impacted the credit markets and MIS and other credit rating agencies continued to be the subject of heightened scrutiny, especially in Europe, and increased regulation.

The Company operates under governance standards that it believes best serve its stockholders, while also incorporating certain "best practices" in governance and executive compensation, including the following:

- **Long-Term Performance-Based Shares** —For each of the past four years, the Company has granted three-year performance-based share awards with performance thresholds based on the Company's cumulative profitability (measured in EPS or EBITDA), Moody's Investors Service's ratings accuracy performance, and Moody's Analytics' cumulative sales over the relevant three-year period. Dividends do not accrue on unvested shares;
- **Balanced Mix of Performance-Based Equity Awards** —NEOs are granted a balanced mix of long-term equity awards split 40% in the form of stock options and 60% in performance-based share awards;
- **Clawback Policy** —Annual cash incentive payments and performance-based share awards are subject to the Company's clawback policy under which amounts can be recouped in the case of a financial restatement, fraud or other misconduct;
- **Limited Executive Employment Agreements** —The Company does not maintain employment agreements with its executives, including the NEOs, except for Mr. Madelain's statutorily required agreement under United Kingdom law;
- **No Automatic Cash-Based Payments Upon a Change in Control** —The Company does not provide "single-trigger" cash payments that are prompted solely by a change in control;
- **Limited Executive Perquisites** — The Company does not provide perquisites or other personal benefits with an aggregate value of more than \$10,000 to its NEOs, other than Mr. Madelain, who received a modest car allowance (as is the practice in the United Kingdom for corporate officers);

Table of Contents

- **No Tax Gross-Ups on Perquisites and Change-in-Control** —The Company does not provide any tax gross-ups on perquisites or change-in-control payments;
- **Stock Ownership Guidelines** —The Company has robust stock ownership guidelines for its executives (including the NEOs) and non-management directors, as well as a requirement that executives and non-management directors who are subject to the Company’s guidelines must retain a significant percentage (75%) of the net shares received through equity awards until satisfying their ownership goals; and
- **Anti-Hedging Policy** —The Company prohibits executive officers and directors from hedging Company shares, engaging in speculative transactions or pledging shares through a margin account.

We urge stockholders to read the CD&A beginning on page 25 of this Proxy Statement, which describes in more detail how our executive compensation policies and procedures operate and are designed to achieve our compensation objectives, as well as the Summary Compensation Table and related compensation tables and narrative, beginning on page 43, which provide detailed information on the compensation of our Named Executive Officers. The Committee and the Board of Directors believe that the policies and procedures articulated in the CD&A are effective in achieving our goals and that the compensation of our Named Executive Officers reported in this Proxy Statement has supported and contributed to the Company’s success.

In accordance with Section 14A of the Exchange Act, and as a matter of good corporate governance, the Board is asking stockholders to vote at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders on the following advisory resolution approving executive compensation:

RESOLVED, that the stockholders of Moody’s Corporation (the “Company”) approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company’s Named Executive Officers disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Summary Compensation Table and the related compensation tables and narrative in the Proxy Statement for the Company’s 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

This advisory resolution, commonly referred to as a “say-on-pay” resolution, is non-binding on the Board. Although non-binding, the Board and the Committee will review and consider the voting results when evaluating the Company’s executive compensation program.

After consideration of the vote of stockholders at the Company’s 2011 annual meeting of stockholders and other factors, the Board determined to hold a vote on an advisory resolution approving executive compensation annually, although it may determine to vary the practice based on factors such as discussions with stockholders. Accordingly, unless the Board modifies its policy on the frequency of future “say-on-pay” advisory votes, the next vote on an advisory resolution approving executive compensation will be held at the Company’s 2015 annual meeting of stockholders.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the advisory resolution approving executive compensation.

Table of Contents

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The table below sets forth the number of shares of Common Stock beneficially owned as of the dates indicated below by (i) each director and nominee for director of the Company, (ii) each named executive officer listed in the Summary Compensation Table below (the “Named Executive Officers” or “NEOs”), (iii) all directors and executive officers of the Company as a group, and (iv) each person who is known to the Company to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock (the “Company’s 5% Owners”). Stock ownership information is based on (a) the number of shares of Common Stock beneficially owned by directors and executive officers as of December 31, 2013 (based on information supplied to the Company by them), calculated in accordance with SEC rules, and (b) the number of shares of Common Stock held by the Company’s 5% Owners, based on information filed with the SEC by the Company’s 5% Owners. Unless otherwise indicated and except for the interests of individuals’ spouses, the stockholders listed below have sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares indicated as owned by them. Percentages are based upon the number of shares of Common Stock outstanding on December 31, 2013, and, where applicable, the number of shares of Common Stock that the indicated person or group had a right to acquire within 60 days of such date. The table also sets forth ownership information concerning “Stock Units,” the value of which is measured by the price of the Common Stock. Stock Units do not confer voting rights and are not considered “beneficially owned” shares under SEC rules.

Name	Aggregate Amount of Shares Beneficially Owned(1)	Stock Units(2)	Percentage of Shares Outstanding(3)
Mark E. Almeida	392,554	—	*
Basil L. Anderson	32,032	11,436	*
Jorge A. Bermudez	9,029	—	*
Darrell Duffie	21,453	—	*
Robert R. Glauber	37,681	1,730	*
John J. Goggins	274,985	—	*
Kathryn M. Hill	7,127	—	*
Linda S. Huber	388,386	—	*
Ewald Kist	29,279	—	*
Michel Madelain	274,954	—	*
Raymond W. McDaniel, Jr.	1,173,536(4)	—	*
Henry A. McKinnell, Jr.	112,153	1,733	*
Leslie F. Seidman	0(5)	—	*
John K. Wulff	42,032	—	*
All current directors and executive officers as a group (18 persons)	3,345,800	14,899	1.6%
Berkshire Hathaway, Inc.	24,669,778(6)(7)		11.5%
Warren E. Buffett, National Indemnity Company, GEICO Corporation, Government Employees Insurance Company, 3555 Farnam Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68131			
Capital World Investors	17,239,000(8)	—	8.1%
333 South Hope Street, Los Angeles, California 90071			
The Vanguard Group	13,902,945(9)	—	6.5%
P.O. Box 2600, V26, Valley Forge, Pennsylvania 19482-2600			
BlackRock Inc.	12,252,513(10)	—	5.7%
40 East 52 nd Street, New York, New York 10022			

* Represents less than 1% of the outstanding Common Stock.

(1) Includes the maximum number of shares of Common Stock that may be acquired within 60 days of December 31, 2013, upon the exercise of vested stock options as follows: Mr. Almeida—278,772;

Table of Contents

Mr. Goggins—245,008; Ms. Huber—347,964; Mr. Madelain—229,0133; Mr. McDaniel—986,045; and all current directors and executive officers as a group—2,507,257. Also includes the following shares of restricted stock over which the Named Executive Officers and directors had voting (but not dispositive) power as of December 31, 2013: Mr. Almeida—3,979; Mr. Anderson—2,800; Mr. Bermudez—2,800; Dr. Duffie—2,800; Mr. Glauber—2,800; Mr. Goggins—3,979; Ms. Hill—2,800; Ms. Huber—4,421; Mr. Kist—2,800; Mr. Madelain—3,979; Mr. McDaniel—0; Dr. McKinnell—3,877; Ms. Seidman—0; and Mr. Wulff—2,800; and all current directors and executive officers as a group—91,934.

- (2) Consists of stock units (payable to non-management directors after retirement), the value of which is measured by the price of the Common Stock, received under various non-management director compensation arrangements of the Company and its predecessor. These units do not confer voting rights and are not considered “beneficially owned” shares of Common Stock under SEC rules. Additional stock units accrue over time to reflect the deemed reinvestment of dividends.
- (3) Percentages are based upon the number of shares outstanding as of December 31, 2013.
- (4) This amount includes 2,000 shares of Common Stock owned by Mr. McDaniel’s spouse.
- (5) Leslie Seidman was elected on December 17, 2013 and reported holding no shares on her Form 3. She began receiving compensation as of January 1, 2014.
- (6) As set forth in Amendment No. 3 to the Schedule 13G jointly filed with the SEC on February 14, 2014 by Warren E. Buffett, Berkshire Hathaway Inc., National Indemnity Company, GEICO Corporation and Government Employees Insurance Company, (a) each of Mr. Buffett, Berkshire Hathaway Inc. and National Indemnity Company had shared voting power and shared dispositive power with respect to 24,669,778 shares reported in such Amendment No. 3 to the Schedule 13G and (b) each of GEICO Corporation and Government Employees Insurance Company had shared voting power and shared dispositive power with respect to 11,973,928 of such 24,669,778 shares.
- (7) This address is listed in Amendment No. 3 to the Schedule 13G jointly filed with the SEC on February 14, 2014 as the address of each of Mr. Buffett and Berkshire Hathaway Inc.. The address of National Indemnity Company is listed as 3024 Harney Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68131; and the address of GEICO Corporation and Government Employees Insurance Company is listed as 1 GEICO Plaza, Washington, D.C. 20076.
- (8) As set forth in Amendment No. 5 to the Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 13, 2014 by Capital World Investors, Capital World had sole voting power with respect to all 17,239,000 shares as of December 31, 2013.
- (9) As set forth in Amendment No. 1 to the Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 11, 2014 by The Vanguard Group, The Vanguard Group had sole voting power of 313,822 shares and sole dispositive power of 13,610,715 of such 13,902,945 shares as of December 31, 2013.
- (10) As set forth in Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on January 29, 2014 by BlackRock Inc., BlackRock Inc. had sole voting power of 10,042,624 shares and sole dispositive power of 12,252,513 of such 12,252,513 shares as of December 31, 2013.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires the Company’s directors, executive officers and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of a registered class of the Company’s equity securities to file with the SEC reports on Forms 3, 4 and 5 concerning their ownership of, and transactions in, the Common Stock and other equity securities of the Company. As a practical matter, the Company assists its directors and executives by monitoring transactions and completing and filing reports on their behalf.

Based solely on the Company’s review of copies of such reports furnished to the Company and written representations that no other reports are required, the Company believes that all of its executive officers, directors and those greater-than-10% stockholders that filed any reports for the year ended December 31, 2013 reported all transactions on a timely basis, with the exception of a filing by Michel Madelain reporting a transaction made on July 26, 2012 pursuant to a Rule 10b5-1 trading plan, which was not timely filed due to an administrative error.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Moody's executive compensation programs are designed to foster and maintain a strong, capable, experienced and motivated executive team with the ability to manage the business during challenging times and to evolve the Company's practices as changes in the market warrant by aligning compensation with business performance. This discussion and analysis provides a guide to Moody's executive compensation program and explains the decisions of the Governance and Compensation Committee (the "Committee") regarding compensation reported for 2013 for Raymond W. McDaniel, Jr., the Chief Executive Officer (referred to as the "CEO"), and the other executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table on page 43 (together with the CEO, referred to as the "Named Executive Officers" or "NEOs").

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Strong Business Results Considered

Moody's delivered strong financial performance throughout 2013, including growth in all lines of business, with management achieving the financial goals set for them. The Company's full-year 2013 results reflected strong financial performance in Moody's Investors Service ("MIS"), with revenue increasing 9% from the prior period, and growth in all areas of Moody's Analytics ("MA") with revenue up 8% from full-year 2012. The Company confronted obstacles as worldwide economic conditions and changes in monetary and fiscal policies impacted the credit markets and MIS and other credit rating agencies continued to be the subject of heightened scrutiny, especially in Europe, and increased regulation.

The highlights of the Company's improved financial performance include:

- 2013 revenue of \$2,972.5 million increased 9% from \$2,730.3 million for 2012.
- 2013 operating income of \$1,234.6 million increased 15% from \$1,077.4 million for 2012.
- 2013 diluted earnings per share ("EPS") of \$3.60 grew 18% from \$3.05 in 2012.

These operating and financial performance achievements formed the basis for the Committee's award determinations.

- For the NEOs (all of whom were NEOs in 2012 as well), cash incentive awards ranged from approximately 134.7% of target to 155.0% of target. This level of payout reflects Moody's strong business performance and results outlined above.
- The grant date fair value of the long-term equity incentive award granted to the CEO in February 2013 was approximately 15% higher than the 2012 award value. The grants awarded to the NEO group (excluding the CEO) in February 2013 increased on average by approximately 6% from the value of the February 2012 awards. This increase reflected the Company's 2012 performance, as well as a review conducted by the Committee's compensation consultant, which indicated that Moody's equity awards had fallen behind those of its peer group. In order to remain competitive, and in light of the strong 2012 financial performance, the Committee determined an increase was appropriate.
- The performance share cycle ended December 31, 2013 resulted in a payout of 131.9% of target for MIS, 156.0% of target for Moody's Shared Services ("MSS") and 158.2% of target for MA of performance shares granted for the 2011-2013 performance cycle, due to surpassing the three-year performance targets on a combined basis.

Table of Contents

GOVERNANCE HIGHLIGHTS

The Company operates under governance standards that it believes best serve its stockholders, while also incorporating certain “best practices” in governance and executive compensation, including the following:

- **Long-Term Performance-Based Shares** —For each of the past four years, the Company has granted three-year performance-based share awards with performance thresholds based on the Company’s cumulative profitability (measured in EPS or EBITDA), Moody’s Investors Service’s ratings accuracy performance, and Moody’s Analytics’ cumulative sales over the relevant three-year period. Dividends do not accrue on unvested shares;
- **Balanced Mix of Performance-Based Equity Awards** —NEOs are granted a balanced mix of long-term equity awards split 40% in the form of stock options and 60% in performance-based share awards;
- **Clawback Policy** —Annual cash incentive payments and performance-based share awards are subject to the Company’s clawback policy under which amounts can be recouped in the case of a financial restatement, fraud or other misconduct;
- **Limited Executive Employment Agreements** —The Company does not maintain employment agreements with its executives, including the NEOs, except for Mr. Madelain’s statutorily required agreement under United Kingdom law;
- **No Automatic Cash-Based Payments Upon a Change in Control** —The Company does not provide “single-trigger” cash payments that are prompted solely by a change in control;
- **Limited Executive Perquisites** —The Company does not provide perquisites or other personal benefits with an aggregate value of more than \$10,000 to its NEOs, other than Mr. Madelain, who received a modest car allowance (as is the practice in the United Kingdom for corporate officers);
- **No Tax Gross-Ups on Perquisites and Change-in-Control** —The Company does not provide any tax gross-ups on perquisites or change-in-control payments;
- **Stock Ownership Guidelines** —The Company has robust stock ownership guidelines for its executives (including the NEOs) and non-management directors, as well as a requirement that executives and non-management directors who are subject to the Company’s guidelines must retain a significant percentage (75%) of the net shares received through equity awards until satisfying their ownership goals; and
- **Anti-Hedging Policy** —The Company prohibits executive officers and directors from hedging Company shares, engaging in speculative transactions or pledging shares through a margin account.

PHILOSOPHY OF THE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION PROGRAM

Moody’s executive compensation program is designed to:

- **Link** a substantial part of each executive’s realized compensation to the achievement of the Company’s financial and operating objectives and to the individual’s performance.
- **Align** executives’ rewards with changes in the value of stockholders’ investments.
 - We implement this **linkage** and **alignment** by:
 - awarding the NEOs annual cash incentive compensation that is based on the Company’s performance against financial objectives specified at the beginning of the performance year and an evaluation of individual, qualitative and largely operational (non-financial) accomplishments and performance during that year;
 - using Company performance (which for 2013 was based on the Company’s operating income and EPS) to determine the overall funding of the annual cash incentive compensation pool that will be distributed to the NEOs;

Table of Contents

- establishing the targeted long-term equity award mix for the CEO and all NEOs at 40% options and 60% performance shares, in order to tie realizable compensation directly to pre-established performance goals and future increases in shareholder value;
 - providing long-term equity-based incentives in the form of performance shares that will be earned following the completion of a three-year performance period only if certain performance goals are achieved or exceeded and stock options that will deliver value to the executives only if shareholder value increases from the date the awards are granted; and
 - basing thresholds for 2013-2015 performance shares on the Company's EBITDA (including future acquisitions, if any), MIS's ratings accuracy performance and MA's sales over the three-year period (including future acquisitions, if any). The weights of these metrics vary depending on each NEO's role and responsibilities.
- Provide a **competitive** total compensation package that will **motivate** the Company's executives to perform at a superior level and will assist in incentivizing and retaining the executives. When designing the total compensation package, we compare data to that of a group of select peer companies and the broader financial services industry, as discussed further in the "Peer and Market Review" section beginning on page 36. Additionally, when making NEO compensation decisions, we consider each NEO's skills, experience, tenure and performance during the prior year.

ELEMENTS OF MOODY'S COMPENSATION PROGRAM

The following table lists the elements of Moody's 2013 executive compensation program and the primary purpose of each:

Element	Form	Objectives and Basis
<i>Base Salary</i>	Cash	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Base salary is intended to provide a level of pay that is appropriate given professional status, job content, market value, accomplishments and internal equity. • Moody's generally sets base salaries for each NEO at the approximate median of salaries of executives in similar positions within the peer group and/or the broader financial services market, but has discretion to pay above or below the targeted amount based on factors such as experience, performance and retention. Moody's believes it is important to exercise discretion and judgment in determining base salary levels in order to attract and retain superior talent and to reward officers with a greater scope of responsibilities or deeper experience than their peers within the peer group and/or the broader financial services market.
<i>Annual Cash Incentives</i>	Cash	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annual cash incentives are intended to reward performance and assist in motivation and retention of management. • Individual target amounts are set at the approximate median based upon a competitive review against the peer group and/or the broader financial services market, as well as internal pay equity. • Award payouts are finalized at the Committee's February meeting following the performance year in question to reflect both the Company's financial performance and the outcome of a review of each NEO's performance against his or her annual objectives; actual payouts are typically made at the beginning of March following the performance year in question. • Awards customarily are made under the 2004 Plan, which stockholders re-approved in 2010, although the Committee retains the right to pay discretionary cash incentives outside of the 2004 Plan when circumstances warrant.

Table of Contents

Element	Form	Objectives and Basis
<i>Long-Term Incentive Compensation</i>	Performance Shares and Stock Options	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To help balance the need to motivate the NEOs to drive long-term stockholder value, manage the number of shares used to deliver equity awards, and allow the Company to measure and reward a broader set of long-term goals, the Committee delivers equity incentive compensation in part through stock options and in part through performance-based shares as measured by grant date value. The aggregate grant date value of long-term equity incentive compensation awards generally is targeted at the approximate median of executives in the peer group and/or the broader financial services market, although the Committee retains discretion to adjust awards based on the Company’s performance, the NEO’s role in that performance, the need for retention of that NEO, and company affordability. Stock options have a strike price of no less than 100% of the average market price of our common stock on the date of grant and vest based on continued service over four years in annual 25% increments, which means that executives: (i) will realize value from their awards only if the market price of the Company’s stock appreciates above the options’ exercise price after the options have vested, and (ii) will be motivated to remain with the Company due to the multi-year vesting schedule. Stock options expire ten years after grant date. Performance shares will be earned following the completion of a three-year performance period only if pre-established performance goals are met or exceeded. For the 2013-2015 performance period, these performance thresholds are based on the Company’s aggregate EBITDA (including future acquisitions, if any), MIS’s ratings accuracy performance, and MA’s aggregate sales over the three-year period. The weights of these metrics vary depending on each NEO’s role and responsibilities. Equity award grants are made three business days after the release of the Company’s year-end earnings.
<i>Perquisites</i>	Limited	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Moody’s does not provide any NEO perquisites or other personal benefits with an incremental cost greater than \$10,000, other than a modest car allowance provided to Mr. Madelain (as is the practice in the UK for corporate officers).
<i>Retirement Benefits</i>	Broad-based and non-tax qualified plans	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Defined Contribution Plans. Moody’s offers its U.S. employees, including the NEOs, the opportunity to participate in a tax-qualified defined contribution plan, the Profit Participation Plan, and offers highly compensated senior management, including the NEOs who reside in the U.S., a voluntary deferred compensation plan (the “Moody’s Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan,” or “DCP”). The primary purpose of the DCP is to allow certain employees to make pre-tax deferrals into a nonqualified plan and to receive the maximum company match on compensation without regard to IRS limits that apply to the Profit Participation Plan. The Company match only applies to deferrals in excess of the IRS limit on compensation that can be taken into account under a tax-qualified defined contribution plan. In addition, the Company will credit to the DCP employer contributions that would have been made to the Profit Participation Plan but for the application of the IRS compensation limit. Additional information regarding the DCP is found on page 52.

Table of Contents

Element	Form	Objectives and Basis
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Defined Benefit Plans. Moody’s also maintains defined benefit pension plans that are closed to new participants. These plans are the Moody’s Corporation Retirement Account (the “Retirement Account”), the Pension Benefit Equalization Plan (“PBEP”) and the Supplemental Executive Benefit Plan (“SEBP”). The Retirement Account, together with the PBEP, provides income upon retirement based on a percentage of annual compensation. The Retirement Account has been closed to new participants since December 31, 2007 and the SEBP, which has only three participants, was closed to new participants as of January 1, 2008. The SEBP is a non-tax qualified defined benefit pension plan designed to ensure the payment of a competitive level of income and disability payments to participants. More details regarding the Retirement Account, the PBEP and the SEBP are provided in the narrative following the Pension Benefits Table for 2013 on page 49. Mr. Madelain participates in Moody’s UK Group Personal Pension Plan, described on page 51.

Weighting of Elements—Fixed versus “At Risk” Compensation

For 2013, the Company reviewed data from its peer group and the broader financial services market, as discussed in further detail in the “Peer and Market Review” section beginning on page 36. The Committee, based on the recommendations of the CEO (excluding with respect to his own pay) and the Committee’s independent compensation consulting advisor, Meridian Compensation Partners LLC, has determined the large majority of an NEO’s total direct compensation package (which includes salary, stock awards, option awards and non-equity incentive plan compensation) should be “at risk,” meaning the amounts that may ultimately be realized by an executive can vary based on performance. The “at risk” elements of an NEO’s direct compensation are delivered in the form of annual cash incentives and long-term equity awards consisting of stock options and performance shares. The Committee concluded that approximately 20% to 30% of the NEO group’s target total direct compensation should be fixed and approximately 70% to 80% should be in the form of “at risk” compensation for 2013. The Company did not have a target weight for each element of compensation in 2013.

Name	% that is Base Salary	Total Target Direct Compensation(1)		
		% that is Target Annual Incentive	% that is Target Equity	% that is At Risk(2)
Raymond W. McDaniel	16%	24%	60%	84%
Linda S. Huber	24%	26%	50%	76%
Michel Madelain	25%	26%	49%	75%
Mark E. Almeida	25%	26%	49%	75%
John J. Goggins	31%	24%	45%	69%

(1) Total Target Direct Compensation is the sum of the base salary, target annual cash incentive and grant date fair value of equity awards.

(2) Includes annual incentive target award amount and target equity grant.

Table of Contents

2013 COMPENSATION DECISIONS

Base Salary

The base salaries paid to the NEOs during 2013, below, also are reported in the Summary Compensation Table on page 43.

Name	Base Salary		Percentage Change
	2012 Base Salary	2013 Base Salary	
Raymond W. McDaniel	\$974,000	\$1,000,000	2.7%
Linda S. Huber	568,000	591,000	4.0%
Michel Madelain(1)	516,777	548,599	6.2%
Mark E. Almeida	497,000	507,000	2.0%
John J. Goggins	468,000	487,000	4.1%

- (1) Mr. Madelain's compensation figures are shown in the table in U.S. dollars. However, certain elements of his compensation were paid in British pounds sterling. An exchange rate of 1.6574 from The Federal Reserve Bank as of December 31, 2013 was used to calculate the U.S. dollar amount. For the purpose of comparing 2012 Base Salary to 2013 Base Salary, the same exchange rate as of December 31, 2013 has been used.

The Committee determined that a 2.7% base salary increase was appropriate for Mr. McDaniel in order to keep his total direct compensation in line with competitive benchmarks in light of Mr. McDaniel's individual performance in the prior year. The Committee increased salaries approximately 2.0-6.2% for the other NEOs in order to maintain competitive, base salary compensation levels.

Annual Cash Incentives

Each NEO has an annual cash incentive target that can be earned based upon performance against both financial and individual operational objectives. Cash incentives for 2013 were paid out at 134.7% to 155.0% of target based upon financial and individual performance and the results of an Institutional Investor Satisfaction survey.

Process for Determining Annual Cash Incentives

- Funding of Cash Incentive Pool* . The cash incentive pool is funded based on the Company's financial performance against its goals; for 2013, goals were set equal to budget. The target performance goals that the Committee sets are intended to motivate performance by being aspirational and challenging, but achievable. When the Committee set the NEOs' targets, the members believed that exceeding the targets would require extraordinary efforts individually and collectively by the NEOs. Therefore, in order to receive the maximum cash incentive payments, management would have to exceed targets by approximately 20%, reflecting extraordinary performance. For 2013, funding of the cash incentive pool was based on a combination of Company operating income, Moody's Analytics operating income, Moody's Investors Service operating income and EPS goals relative to target; the weighting of each measure differed depending on the individual's area of responsibility. Company operating income, MIS and MA operating income, and Company EPS goals serve as the basis for targets in order for cash incentive payouts to reflect achievement against expectations for profitability. The NEO cash incentive pool for 2013 was funded at 130% due to the fact that the metrics exceeded targets. The performance goals and results are described below under "Company Performance."
- Adjustment to Cash Incentive Pool* . After the cash incentive pool is funded, the Committee can make adjustments to the formulaic payout percentage based on the results of a fixed-income Institutional Investor Satisfaction Survey conducted on behalf of the Company by an independent third party. The results of that survey are described below under "Institutional Investor Satisfaction." For 2013, the

Table of Contents

NEO cash incentive formulaic payout percentage was adjusted upward by 10% of aggregate bonus targets based on improved Institutional Investor Satisfaction Survey results. This resulted in the NEO cash incentive pool being funded at 140%.

- *Allocation of Cash Incentive Pool*. The amount of cash incentive funding awarded to each individual NEO is determined based upon an assessment of that individual's performance against qualitative, largely operational objectives established for the year. These are described below under "Individual Performance."

2013 Annual Cash Incentive Program Performance Results

- **Company Performance.** Company performance for corporate-level executives (Messrs. McDaniel and Goggins and Ms. Huber) was measured 50% based on Company operating income results and 50% based on EPS results, reflecting those executives' roles in both the overall financial and operating results of the Company. Performance for executives primarily responsible for Moody's two business units (Messrs. Madelain and Almeida) was measured 25% based on Company operating income results, 25% based on EPS results and 50% based on MIS or MA operating income, respectively, in order to further enhance the connection between their direct business unit responsibilities and their annual incentive compensation.

Name	Performance Metric			EPS
	MCO Operating Income	MA Operating Income	MIS Operating Income	
Raymond W. McDaniel	50%	—	—	50%
Linda S. Huber	50%	—	—	50%
Michel Madelain	25%	—	50%	25%
Mark E. Almeida	25%	50%	—	25%
John J. Goggins	50%	—	—	50%

- For 2013, performance in-line with the Company's budget for operating income and EPS would result in 100% funding of the target cash incentive pool. For the 2013 plan year, maximum incentive funding opportunity was 200% of target. Performance below the threshold would result in no funding.
- Moody's operating income and EPS goals for 2013 were \$1,269.7 million and \$3.50 (growth of 17.9% and 17.1%), respectively. The Company actually achieved operating income of \$1,318.7 million and diluted EPS of \$3.74 (growth of 22.4% and 25.2%, respectively). All operating income and EPS figures are expressed on an adjusted basis for this purpose. The operating income and EPS figures exclude the impact of FX rates, a litigation settlement from the first quarter, a legacy tax benefit in 2012 and 2013, as well as acquisition related costs.
- The MIS and MA operating income goals were \$1,096.7 million and \$173.0 million, respectively. The actual operating income results were \$1,141.2 million for MIS and \$177.6 million for MA.

Annual Incentive Pool Funding Metrics

	Threshold Funding	Target Funding	Maximum Funding	Actual Funding/Performance
MCO Operating Income	\$1,108.1 million	\$1,269.7 million	\$1,485.2 million	\$ 1,318.7 million
MIS Operating Income	\$ 954.4 million	\$1,096.7 million	\$1,286.4 million	\$ 1,141.2 million
MA Operating Income	\$ 153.7 million	\$ 173.0 million	\$ 198.8 million	\$ 177.6 million
Diluted EPS	\$ 3.05	\$ 3.50	\$ 4.10	\$ 3.74

- **Institutional Investor Satisfaction.** In 2009, the Committee added an Institutional Investor Satisfaction Survey (performed by an independent third party) modifier to the NEOs' annual cash incentive program in order to take into account input from users of the Company's products in setting compensation. The survey is comprised of approximately 100 questions in total, five of which were

Table of Contents

used for compensation analysis. Respondents were asked for their perceptions of Moody's and Moody's competitors in the market where the respondent is based. The questions used as a basis for the compensation modifier were as follows: (1) Overall, how has Moody's met your business needs and expectations over the past 12 months? (2) Does Moody's provide predictive ratings? (3) Is Moody's an authoritative source on issues or events affecting the market? (4) Does Moody's clearly and consistently communicate information about its rating decisions, methodology and models? and (5) Does Moody's provide high quality and insightful research? This survey modifier adjusts the total funding of the annual cash incentive program by up to 10% based on achievements versus the Company's customer value goals.

- The Company's institutional investor goals for 2013 were consistent with 2012. The two primary goals were management's ability to (i) continue to enhance positive investor impressions of Moody's products and services and (ii) reduce less favorable impressions of the Company in the marketplace. The survey results do not produce a direct numerical adjustment by the Committee; it is a subjective analysis of (i) the degree to which positive impressions increased and negative impressions decreased versus the previous year; and (ii) the degree to which the impressions of Moody's were meaningfully different relative to the impressions of Moody's competitors included in the survey. For 2013, the Committee adjusted the cash incentive pool upward by 10%, reflecting overall survey results that improved versus the Company's prior year performance.
- **Individual Performance.** The Committee retains the discretion to set individual award payouts under the 2004 Plan based upon its subjective evaluation of the NEO's satisfaction of his or her performance against operational objectives. For that reason, and after considering the recommendation of the CEO (except with respect to his award), the Committee may adjust the recommended award amount. This adjustment could result in actual 2004 Plan awards deviating from the performance achievement award level. In addition to corporate financial performance, the individual performance goals evaluated when determining each NEO's actual annual incentive award payouts are described below.
 - *Mr. McDaniel* : The Committee determined, based on Mr. McDaniel's achievement of (i) exceeding revenue, operating income and EPS targets for the Company, (ii) managing regulatory processes, with a focus on technology-related ratings initiatives, so that MIS can continue to operate independently and successfully, (iii) coordinating management of and communication of litigation risk with market participants and employees, (iv) supporting growth and diversification of the Company's business by advancing MA's strategic thinking, (v) continuing improvement of investor satisfaction results and (vi) improving overall operating effectiveness by enhancing workforce quality and productivity, to pay Mr. McDaniel 150% of his target annual cash incentive.
 - *Ms. Huber* : The Committee determined, based on Ms. Huber's (i) contributions to the Company's operating income and EPS performance that exceeded growth targets by 4.5% and 8.1%, respectively, (ii) coordination of outreach relating to Moody's ratings and financial performance and co-management of communication initiatives, (iii) successful completion of a public debt offering for \$500 million at a competitive rate, (iv) execution of a successful share repurchase program, (v) contributions to product and business development, (vi) focus to improve operational efficiency and workforce effectiveness, (vii) risk management with respect to IT assets, data protection and information security programs, and (viii) management of budget and the Company's capital position, while maintaining financial flexibility, to pay Ms. Huber 141.7% of her target annual cash incentive.
 - *Mr. Almeida* : The Committee determined that, based on Mr. Almeida's (i) contributions to both MA's and the Company's operating income and EPS performance that exceeded growth targets by 3.5%, 4.5% and 8.1%, respectively, (ii) continued work on product and business development, (iii) focus on ensuring compliance with corporate policies and improvement of internal audit results, emphasizing improvements relating to newly acquired operations, (iv) continued development of the MA organizational culture, (v) contributions to the promotional campaign for MA with MCO stockholders, in an effort to educate the investor population about the work and strengths of MA, and (vi) attention to achieving further organizational maturity across MA and

Table of Contents

among individual business units, emphasizing values of collaboration, customer focus, innovation, commercial effectiveness and operational effectiveness, to pay Mr. Almeida 134.7% of his target annual cash incentive.

- *Mr. Madelain* : The Committee determined that, based on Mr. Madelain's (i) contributions to both MIS's and the Company's operating income and EPS performance that exceeded growth targets by 4.7%, 4.5% and 8.1% respectively, as well as MIS's performance, (ii) continued work to maintain and enhance ratings quality, (iii) implementation of initiatives relating to the efficiency and security of the rating agency, (iv) supporting data governance and quality initiatives, (v) focus on workforce effectiveness, (vi) supervision of the effective implementation of the global regulatory frameworks and (v) focus on business development, to pay Mr. Madelain 155.0% of his target annual cash incentive.
- *Mr. Goggins* : The Committee determined that, based on Mr. Goggins' (i) management of the Company's legal risk and all material litigation matters and governmental investigations, (ii) mitigation of contingent liabilities for products and services, (iii) coordination with market participants about regulatory affairs and engagement with regulators and legislators globally, (iv) management of all key components of the Company's compliance function and preparation for examinations by regulatory bodies, and (v) support of MIS and MA business initiatives, to pay Mr. Goggins 154.5% of his target annual cash incentive.

2013 Annual Cash Incentive Program Payouts

This year's Company financial performance resulted in funding for the NEOs under the 2004 Plan, with the resulting annual cash incentive awards as shown in the table below:

<u>Name</u>	<u>2013 Target Cash Incentive Under 2004 Plan</u>	<u>2013 Maximum Cash Incentive Under 2004 Plan</u>	<u>2013 Actual Cash Incentive Paid Under 2004 Plan</u>
Raymond W. McDaniel	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 2,250,000
Linda S. Huber	638,000	1,276,000	903,800
Michel Madelain(1)	578,433	1,156,865	896,819
Mark E. Almeida	531,000	1,062,000	715,200
John J. Goggins	390,000	780,000	602,500

- (1) Mr. Madelain's compensation figures are shown in the table in U.S. dollars. However, certain elements of his compensation were paid in British pounds sterling. An exchange rate of 1.6574 from The Federal Reserve Bank as of December 31, 2013 was used to calculate the U.S. dollar amount.

Long-Term Equity Incentive Compensation

2013 Long-Term Equity Incentive Mix

For 2013, equity grants were made in February based upon the Committee's evaluation of 2012 performance and the level of each NEO's Target Total Direct Compensation in comparison to the peer group and the financial services industry. In 2013, the aggregate long-term equity compensation awards granted for the NEO group in February were between the 25th and 75th percentile of executives in the comparative groups. Since 2010, long-term equity grants have been awarded in the form of stock options and three-year performance shares. For 2013, the Committee determined that, for all of the NEOs, 60% of equity value would continue to be granted in the form of performance-based shares in order to maintain the NEOs' focus on financial and non-financial performance. The remaining 40% of the equity value would continue to be granted in stock options. The Committee determined the continued focus on share price appreciation aligns the NEOs with Moody's stockholders. In making these decisions, the Committee also considered the need to manage the number of shares used to deliver equity awards, and to allow the Company to measure and to balance incentives based on financial and operational goals with rewards that are tied more directly to shareholder value. Furthermore, the Committee maintained the maximum payout for the three-year performance share plan at 225% of the target number of performance shares granted.

Table of Contents

Stock options. Stock options vest based on continued service over four years in annual 25% increments, which means (i) executives will realize value from their awards only if the market price of the Company's stock appreciates above the options' exercise price after the options have vested and (ii) executives are motivated to remain with the Company due to the multi-year vesting schedule. The Committee believes that because value is realized only if the Company's stock price rises, stock options are performance-based compensation. Stock options expire ten years after the grant date.

Performance shares . The performance shares will be earned following the completion of a three-year performance period if certain cumulative performance goals are achieved or exceeded. For the 2013-2015 performance period, aggregate EBITDA (including future acquisitions, if any), MIS's ratings accuracy performance and aggregate MA's sales served as the performance thresholds. The Committee decided, based on the recommendation of the CEO, to maintain the performance metric of Company profitability so that it would be measured by EBITDA (including future acquisitions, if any). It was determined that the use of EBITDA, instead of EPS which was one of the measures used prior to 2012, better aligns management incentives with the Company's strategy in the event acquisitions are made. The Committee also decided that the impact of MA acquisitions would be included when measuring MA Sales, with acquired sales receiving a lower weighting than organic sales. In 2010 and 2011, acquisitions were not included in MA Sales targets and therefore not included in the final MA Sales measurement. MIS ratings accuracy continued to be measured as it has in the past. These three metrics were chosen because they incentivize management to consider the long and medium-term impact of business decisions and the metrics provide a balance of financial and operational factors for business success.

The weights of these three performance goals vary depending on each NEO's role and responsibilities. The weighting of each performance measure differs based on the corporate entity in which a participant operates, as reflected in the table below:

Performance Measure	<u>MIS</u>	<u>MA</u>	<u>MCO/Shared Services</u>
	Michel Madelain	Mark E. Almeida	Raymond W. McDaniel Linda S. Huber John J. Goggins
MCO Profitability	50%	50%	60%
MIS Ratings Quality	50%	0%	20%
MA Sales	0%	50%	20%

The Committee believes these weights to be appropriate based on the individuals' more direct involvement with certain corporate entities. All NEOs have an incentive to contribute to the overall Company's profitability, while Mr. Madelain's and Mr. Almeida's performance shares are more directly tied to the MIS and MA entities that they operate, respectively.

2013 Long-Term Equity Incentive Grant Levels. In determining the value of equity granted to the NEOs, in addition to the items noted in the following paragraph, the Committee considered the share utilization practices of the Company's peer group and endeavored to balance aligning the interests of NEOs with stockholders while also motivating the NEOs to improve the Company's current market position. As a result, the Committee recommended (based on a recommendation from the CEO, other than with respect to his own pay), and the Board approved, equity grants comprised of stock options and performance shares, with total economic values approximately 6% higher than total economic values of the annual equity grants approved in February 2012, in order to bring equity award values more in line with market levels. The 2013 equity grant level for each NEO was within the 25th to 75th percentile range of the peer group and financial services industry data. The NEO's individual awards are reported in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards for 2013 table on page 46.

Because the annual grants are made in February, each individual award determination considered (i) the Company's 2012 performance, (ii) the NEO's role in that performance, including the achievement of individual goals described above in "Annual Cash Incentives," and (iii) retention objectives for that NEO. The awards are intended to align the interests of NEOs with that of the Company's stockholders. Annual awards are determined by an examination of the present period as well as by considering expectations of the future.

Table of Contents

2011-2013 Performance Share Payouts

The 2011-2013 performance period for performance shares granted in 2011 ended on December 31, 2013, with the NEOs receiving between 131.9% and 158.2% of their performance share target amounts based on the Company's results. The weighting that was assigned to the three performance goals at the time the performance shares were originally granted vary depending on each NEO's role and responsibilities. The threshold, target and maximum performance goals, as well as actual results, for the Profitability and MA Sales performance criteria are set forth in the table below. MIS Rating Performance is evaluated based on internally developed metrics that are proprietary and competitively sensitive, and therefore are not disclosed in the table below. The threshold, target and maximum MIS Ratings Performance goals were set to reflect a degree of difficulty that was comparable to the standard applied in setting the performance goals for the other criteria, with target performance levels being difficult but obtainable, based on historical results under this metric.

2011-2013 Performance Share Metrics

	<u>Threshold</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Maximum</u>	<u>Actual Performance</u>
Profitability (EPS) (ex. acquisitions)	\$ 6.15	\$ 7.23	\$ 8.68	\$ 9.11
MA Sales (ex. acquisitions)(\$ in millions)	\$ 2,085	\$2,369	\$ 2,748	\$ 2,431

As a result of the level of performance that was achieved, the number of shares that vest in March 2014 (subject to continued service through the vesting date) for each NEO relative to the target number of shares granted is reflected in the table below:

<u>Name</u>	<u>2011-2013 Performance Share Award at Target (# of shares)</u>	<u>2011-2013 Performance Share Award to Vest (# of shares)</u>
Raymond W. McDaniel	45,985	71,737
Linda S. Huber	21,843	34,075
Michel Madelain	17,994	23,734
Mark E. Almeida	17,022	26,929
John J. Goggins	12,934	20,177

The NEO's individual performance share awards are reported in the Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal-Year End Table For 2013 on page 47.

The Role of the Governance and Compensation Committee, Its Consultant and Management

The Committee, which is comprised entirely of independent directors, has responsibility for oversight of the Company's compensation program and has final authority for evaluating and setting compensation for NEOs. To assist in this process, it considers recommendations made by the CEO (except with respect to his own compensation) and uses market data and analyses that the Committee's compensation consultant provides in order to help formulate target compensation levels. The Committee has engaged Meridian Compensation Partners LLC, an independent compensation consulting company, to advise the Committee on matters related to executive and director compensation. Meridian is engaged directly by and reports to the Committee. Meridian does not offer or provide any other services to the Company and the Committee determined that the retention of Meridian has not raised any conflicts of interest.

The Committee's independent consultant reviewed an analysis of the annual comparison of the elements of Moody's executive compensation structure and practices to those of the Company's peer group, as set forth below, and the broader financial services industry. Based on its review, the consultant concluded that the Company's executive compensation program structure is consistent with industry practices.

Table of Contents

Determining Compensation Levels

Peer and Market Review

Moody's current peer group and its selection criteria have been largely consistent since 2008. Since 2010, the independent consultant annually reviews the peer group with the Committee. Based on the consultant's review, management recommends and the Committee may approve changes to the peer group. Modest adjustments were made in the composition of the peer group used in evaluating 2013 compensation, as three entities were removed (Alliance Bernstein Holding LP, Corporate Executive Board Company and Fair Isaac Corporation) and three entities were added (IHS Inc., Gartner Inc. and Nielsen Holdings N.V.). The Committee also determined to select McGraw-Hill Financial as a peer after the then proposed split of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. was to be completed. The changes to the peer group were deemed to be appropriate in regards to the size, revenue and market capitalization of Moody's Corporation.

CME Group Inc.	Gartner Inc.	NASDAQ OMX Group Inc.
Dun & Bradstreet Corp.	IHS Inc.	Nielsen Holdings N.V.
Eaton Vance Corp.	Invesco Ltd.	NYSE Euronext
Equifax Inc.	McGraw-Hill Financial Inc.	Price (T. Rowe) Group
FactSet Research Systems Inc.	Morningstar Inc.	SEI Investments Co.
Federated Investors, Inc.	MSCI	Thomson Reuters Corp.
		Verisk Analytics, Inc.

This group, the Committee believes, better reflects the companies with which Moody's competes for business and executive talent. This group also better reflects the companies against which Moody's financial performance is measured, as it includes firms that:

- Provide analytics products and services in addition to credit risk analysis;
- Provide company and industry credit research and business information services;
- Had median revenue equal to \$1.663 billion (Moody's 2012 revenue equaled \$2.73 billion); and
- Had a median market capitalization of \$6.45 billion as of December 31, 2012 (Moody's market capitalization equaled approximately \$11.09 billion as of December 31, 2012).

The Committee continually seeks to improve the criteria upon which the peer group is selected. The Committee reviewed the peer company selection criteria and as part of that review established a new revenue threshold for non-financial companies. In addition to reviewing compensation practices and pay levels within the Company's peer group, the Committee looks at the broader financial services industry's compensation data furnished by management's compensation consultant, Aon Hewitt, and reviewed by the Committee's consultant. This additional compensation data is based on Aon Hewitt's survey data from approximately fifty companies and is used only for reference when evaluating pay for the Company's NEOs.

Meridian provided the Committee and management with total direct compensation data from these comparison groups along with analysis of each element of compensation. The comparison groups' information is reviewed in quartile ranges, beginning with the 25th percentile. In 2013, the targeted total direct compensation opportunity in aggregate for the CEO individually was between the 25th percentile and the 50th percentile and, for the NEOs as a group, between the 25th percentile and the 75th percentile as compared to the peer group. The Committee periodically benchmarks benefits and perquisites and believes benefits to be in-line with market practice and perquisites to be below current market practice.

Table of Contents

Compensation Philosophy

The Company's compensation philosophy generally is to target the 50th percentile for base salary, target annual incentives, long-term incentives and target total compensation, although as noted above, for 2013 long-term equity awards were between the 25th and 75th percentile. The Company has also found that targeting the 50th percentile range has allowed it to retain key talent and remain competitive in the marketplace. However, an executive's positioning against market may be above or below our target positioning based on a number of factors specific to the individual, including performance, market conditions and the unique nature of Moody's business.

Market data is just one of the reference points used by the Committee when establishing targeted total direct compensation. The Committee also reviews each NEO's:

- skills,
- experience,
- tenure, and
- performance during the prior year.

These factors contribute to variations in actual and target compensation levels. Based on the Committee's analysis of the above, and consideration of a recommendation from the CEO (other than with respect to his own compensation), the Committee establishes a targeted total direct compensation level for each NEO that it believes is competitive.

Chief Executive Officer Compensation

The Committee begins its analysis of total direct compensation for the CEO by analyzing the compensation of executive officers at companies included in its peer group, as well as in the broader financial services market. In light of the CEO's broad responsibilities requiring oversight of the entire organization and based on the achievements detailed on page 32 under "Individual Performance," the Committee determined that a higher total direct compensation package was warranted as compared with the other NEOs.


The mix of Mr. McDaniel's total direct compensation package has changed in recent years. From 2007 through 2010, his base salary was set at \$936,000. This salary was maintained at a consistent level in order to reduce the fixed portion of his total direct compensation and increase the at-risk percentage of his total direct compensation. In 2011, the Committee determined that a 2% base salary increase, along with a 2% incentive compensation target increase, were appropriate for Mr. McDaniel in order to move his total direct compensation more in line with the 50th percentile of the peer group and in recognition of the fact that he had not had a salary increase in three years. The Committee determined that base salary increases of 2% and 2.7% were appropriate for Mr. McDaniel in 2012 and 2013, respectively, in order to keep his total direct compensation in line with competitive benchmarks.

In terms of his equity grants, 40% of Mr. McDaniel's 2013 equity was comprised of stock options and 60% was comprised of performance shares. While the total "at risk" component of Mr. McDaniel's target compensation remained approximately 84%, the equity portion of his target compensation increased from 57% to 60%. This increase was due to the Committee's objective of better aligning the CEO's compensation with long-term Company performance.

The Committee believes this current compensation mix and structure serves to incentivize the CEO and more closely ties his awards with Company and individual performance. For instance, the increases to Mr. McDaniel's annual incentive payouts as well as the increase to his 2013 equity award were directly tied to improved Company financial results, as the Company's operating income and EPS goals served and continue to

Table of Contents

serve as the metrics determining funding of the annual cash incentive pool and prior year results impact equity award decisions. The following two graphs illustrate this relationship from 2009 to 2012. However, due primarily to the significantly smaller increase in the amount shown in the “Change in Pension Value” column of the Summary Compensation Table for 2013, the CEO’s total compensation decreased in 2013 despite the fact that the Company’s operating income and EPS both increased.



In addition, the following graphs compare the total one and three year cumulative stockholder returns of the Company to the performance of Standard & Poor’s Stock 500 Composite Index.





The comparisons included in the MCO Stock Total Return graphs above assume that \$100.00 was invested in the Company’s common stock and in the S&P 500 Composite Index on December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2010. The comparison also assumes the reinvestment of dividends, if any. The 1-year total return for the common stock was 58.21% during the performance period as compared with a total return during the same period of 32.36% for the S&P 500 Index. The 3-year total return for the common stock was 210% during the performance period as compared with a total return during the same period of 58% for the S&P 500 Index.

As compared to its peer group, Moody’s Total Shareholder Return (defined as the annualized rate of share price appreciation plus the reinvestment of dividends) was in the 88th percentile among the peers for the one-year period ending December 31, 2013 and in the 100th percentile (i.e., the highest among peers) for the three-year period ending December 31, 2013.

Mr. McDaniel’s targeted total direct compensation for 2013 was between the 25th percentile and 50th percentile as compared to the Company’s peer group provided to the Committee by its compensation consultant. His actual total direct compensation was slightly below the median of the benchmark target total direct compensation levels of the comparative group. In light of the individual achievements listed on page 32 and the description of Company achievements on page 25, the Committee believes Mr. McDaniel’s total direct compensation package to be appropriate.

Pension Value. With respect to Mr. McDaniel’s increase in pension value, as shown in the Summary Compensation Table (“SCT”) on page 43, this increase is partially related to improved Company performance, as an executive’s annual cash incentive award is included in the covered pay for the retirement calculation, an additional year of service and a one year increase in age. A portion of the increase this year was also due to a change in the discount rate used to value the plan for financial reporting purposes. The remaining three participants in the SEBP are expected to retire in the next decade and more than 50% of the SEBP’s liability will be paid during that period as lump sum distributions.

ADDITIONAL EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION POLICIES AND PRACTICES

2013 “Say-on-Pay” Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

Moody’s provided stockholders a “say-on-pay” advisory vote to approve its executive compensation in 2013 in accordance with Section 14A of the Exchange Act. At Moody’s 2013 annual meeting, stockholders expressed substantial support for the compensation of the NEOs, with approximately 95% of the votes cast for approval of the NEOs’ executive compensation. The Committee evaluated the results of the 2013 advisory vote and believes the strong shareholder support signals approval of the current pay programs in place at Moody’s. The Committee

Table of Contents

also considers many other factors in evaluating Moody's executive compensation programs as discussed in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis, including the Committee's assessment of the alignment of our compensation programs with our corporate business objectives, evaluations of our programs by the Committee's external consultant, and review of peer group data, each of which is evaluated in the context of the Committee's fiduciary duty to act as the directors determine to be in stockholders' best interests. While each of these factors bore on the Committee's decisions regarding the NEOs' compensation, the Committee did not make any changes to the Company's executive compensation program and policies as a result of the 2013 "say-on-pay" advisory vote.

Clawback Policy

The Board has the right to make retroactive adjustments to any annual cash incentive awards granted after July 28, 2008 or performance shares granted after January 1, 2010, where payment or settlement of any such award was predicated upon the achievement of specified financial results and those results must later be revised. Where the results are revised by reason of a significant or material restatement, recoupment can be sought against executive officers, as defined in accordance with SEC rules; where the results are revised by reason of a restatement resulting from fraud or other misconduct, recoupment can be sought against the person engaging in such misconduct, as well as against any executive officer. The value with respect to which recoupment may be sought shall be determined by the Board. The Committee will continue to review the Company's clawback policy as new SEC rules are adopted.

Employment Agreements

Moody's does not enter into employment agreements with its U.S. executives, including Messrs. McDaniel, Almeida and Goggins and Ms. Huber. All of the Company's U.S. executives are "at will" employees. Moody's Investors Service Limited entered into a statutorily required employment agreement with Mr. Madelain on August 28, 1996.

Severance Policy

Moody's provides severance benefits to NEOs under the Moody's Career Transition Plan (the "Moody's Career Transition Plan" or "CTP") and the Moody's Corporation Change in Control Severance Plan (the "Moody's Corporation Change in Control Severance Plan" or the "CICP"), each of which is described below.

Career Transition Plan

All NEOs in the U.S. participate in the CTP, an ERISA-covered plan that is available to all full-time and regular part-time employees on the United States payroll. The NEOs do not receive any severance benefits outside those provided under the CTP and CICP (discussed below). The CTP is designed to compensate eligible employees in the following situations:

- where there has been a reduction in the Company's workforce or elimination of specific jobs;
- where the individual's job performance has not met expectations (but does not involve a basis for terminating his employment for cause); or
- where the Company has agreed with an individual that it is in the mutual best interests of the parties to sever the employment relationship.

While having such a plan in place is in the best long-term interest of stockholders, the plan is not designed to reward individuals who have not performed to expectations or who have engaged in conduct that is detrimental to the Company and its stockholders, and the plan contains provisions to safeguard against this by providing that no severance is payable when termination is for "cause".

Moody's believes that these payment arrangements are similar to the general practice among the Company's peer group, although it has not benchmarked the severance practices of Moody's peer companies.

Table of Contents

Change in Control Arrangements

On December 14, 2010, the Board of Directors approved the adoption of the CICIP. The purpose of the CICIP is to offer its participants, which include the Company's executive officers and other key employees selected by the Committee, protection in the event of a termination of employment in connection with a Change in Control (as defined in the CICIP). The CICIP has been adopted to enhance the alignment of the interests of management and stockholders by allowing executives to remain objective when facing the prospect of a sale and potential job elimination. Under the CICIP, participants are entitled to severance benefits triggered only if a participant's employment is terminated within 90 days prior to or two years following a change in control of the Company by the Company or its successor without Cause, or by the participant for Good Reason (both terms as defined in the CICIP) (i.e., a "double-trigger"). For the CEO, severance benefits under the CICIP consist of a lump sum cash payment equal to three times the sum of his base salary and target annual incentive for the year of termination, plus three years of continued coverage under the Company's medical and dental insurance plans. For other executives, including the other NEOs, the severance benefits consist of a lump sum cash payment equal to two times the sum of their base salaries and target annual incentives, plus two years of continued medical and dental coverage. Executive officers are not entitled to receive (either under the CICIP or any other arrangement) an excise tax gross-up with respect to change in control benefits.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

In July 2004, Moody's adopted stock ownership guidelines for its executives, including the NEOs, and its non-management directors, encouraging them to acquire and maintain a meaningful stake in the Company. These guidelines were revised in February 2008 and again in July 2012 to reflect new management structures. Moody's believes that these guidelines encourage its executive officers to act as owners, thereby better aligning the executives' interests with those of the Company's stockholders.

- The guidelines are intended to satisfy an individual's need for portfolio diversification, while ensuring an ownership level sufficient to assure stockholders of the individual's commitment to value creation.
- Executive officers are expected, within five years of appointment to officer level, to acquire and hold shares of the Company's Common Stock equal in value to a specified multiple of their base salary (which varies based on position). Ownership is expected to be increased in line with base salary increases.
- The current ownership level multiples, as adjusted in 2012, are: (i) six times base salary for the CEO, (ii) three times base salary for the remaining Named Executive Officers, as well as all direct reports of the CEO, (iii) one times base salary for the remaining officers subject to the guidelines, and (iv) five times the annual cash retainer for non-management directors.
- Restricted shares and shares owned by immediate family members or through the Company's tax-qualified savings and retirement plans count toward satisfying the guidelines.
- Stock options, whether vested or unvested, do not count toward satisfying the guidelines.
- Unearned performance shares do not count toward satisfying the guidelines.
- In 2012, a "hold until met" requirement was added to the guidelines for all individuals subject to the stock ownership guidelines. This requires executives to hold 75% of net shares that they are awarded until their ownership multiple is met, including when an executive's holdings no longer satisfy the required ownership multiple due to a decline in stock price.

As of December 31, 2013, each of the NEOs was in compliance with the guidelines. The guidelines for an individual executive officer may be suspended at the discretion of the Board of Directors in situations that it deems appropriate.

Table of Contents

Anti-Hedging and Anti-Pledging Policy

All executive officers and directors are subject to a securities trading policy under which they are prohibited from entering into the following transactions with respect to Moody's securities, including any publicly traded securities of a Moody's subsidiary:

- making "short sales" of Moody's securities;
- engaging in speculative transactions or entering into any transaction (including purchasing forward contracts, equity swaps, puts or calls) that are designed to offset any decrease in market value of, or otherwise hedge, Moody's securities; and
- pledging Moody's securities through margin accounts.

Tax Deductibility Policy

Section 162(m) of the Tax Code limits income tax deductibility of compensation in excess of \$1 million that is paid to any employee who, as of the close of the taxable year, was the CEO or whose total direct compensation is required to be reported to stockholders under the Exchange Act by reason of such employee being among the three highest compensated executive officers for the taxable year (other than the CEO and CFO), except to the extent the compensation qualifies as "performance-based" as defined under the income tax regulations. Stock options awarded under the Company's stockholder-approved stock incentive plans are intended to be performance-based for purposes of the federal income tax laws and any amounts required to be included in an executive's income upon the exercise of options are not expected to count toward the \$1 million limitation. The performance shares awarded by the Company are likewise intended to qualify as performance-based compensation and therefore be fully tax deductible. Similarly, bonuses under Moody's annual cash incentive plan are intended to qualify as performance-based compensation. For other compensation to be performance-based under the regulations, such compensation must be contingent on the attainment of performance goals the material terms of which are approved by stockholders and the specific objectives of which are established by, and attainment of which objectives are certified by, a committee of the Board which consists entirely of non-employee directors.

While Moody's generally seeks to ensure the deductibility of the incentive compensation paid to the Company's executives, the Committee intends to retain the flexibility necessary to provide cash and equity compensation in line with competitive practice. In addition, there are ambiguities in how the conditions to qualifying as "performance-based" will be interpreted and administered under the income tax regulations, so that amounts that Moody's intends or expects to qualify as deductible may not so qualify. Accordingly, there is no certainty that elements of compensation discussed in this proxy statement will in fact be deductible.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The following table sets forth, for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the total compensation of the Company's Named Executive Officers. The Named Executive Officers for 2013, 2012 and 2011 include Moody's Principal Executive Officer, its Principal Financial Officer and the three most highly compensated executive officers of the Company (other than the Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer) who were serving as executive officers at the end of the last completed fiscal year.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)(1)	Stock Awards (\$)(2)	Option Awards (\$)(3)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)(4)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)(5)	All Other Compensation (\$)(6)	Total (\$)
Raymond W. McDaniel President and Chief Executive Officer	2013	\$1,000,000	—	\$2,249,998	\$1,499,996	\$ 2,250,000	\$ 1,341,861	\$ 70,134	\$ 8,411,989
	2012	974,000	—	1,949,998	1,300,006	2,502,110	5,074,400	53,840	11,854,354
	2011	954,800	—	1,380,010	1,379,995	2,375,400	5,755,706	71,131	11,917,042
Linda S. Huber Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2013	591,000	—	722,404	481,604	903,800	471,165	36,259	3,206,232
	2012	568,000	—	688,185	458,803	995,400	1,011,341	29,000	3,750,729
	2011	546,300	—	655,508	436,995	952,000	902,700	41,597	3,535,100
Michel Madelain(7) President and COO of Moody's Investors Service	2013	548,599	—	656,381	437,601	896,819	—	91,126	2,630,526
	2012	507,049	—	610,192	406,793	1,015,562	—	62,412	2,602,008
	2011	465,955	—	540,000	360,004	738,008	—	53,733	2,157,700
Mark E. Almeida President of Moody's Analytics	2013	507,000	—	610,183	406,801	715,200	60,695	30,070	2,329,949
	2012	497,000	—	581,389	387,598	674,300	206,689	22,721	2,369,697
	2011	477,500	—	510,830	340,557	730,900	321,628	33,188	2,414,603
John J. Goggins Executive Vice President and General Counsel	2013	487,000	—	427,806	285,200	602,500	532,279	26,506	2,361,291
	2012	468,000	—	407,413	271,594	676,600	1,117,924	21,583	2,963,114
	2011	443,658	—	388,149	258,761	583,100	1,096,407	31,138	2,801,213

- (1) The amounts reported in the Bonus column represent discretionary bonuses paid to the Named Executive Officers. Payments under the Company's annual cash incentive program are reported in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column.
- (2) The amounts shown in the Stock Awards column represent the full grant date fair market value of performance share grants. The full grant date fair value is based on the fair market value of the stock, which is defined as the arithmetic mean of the high and low prices of the Common Stock. All grants of performance shares were made under the Company's 2001 Key Employees' Stock Incentive Plan (as amended and restated on December 11, 2012, the "2001 Stock Incentive Plan").

On February 13, 2013, the fair market value of the Common Stock was \$46.43 and the following grants of performance shares of Common Stock were received by Mr. McDaniel—48,460, Ms. Huber—15,559 shares, Mr. Madelain—14,137 shares, Mr. Almeida—13,142 shares, and Mr. Goggins—9,214 shares. These performance share awards are subject to performance metrics of EBITDA, MA's sales growth and MIS's ratings accuracy performance during the three calendar year period ending December 31, 2015. Because the achievement or non-achievement of these performance metrics depends upon the occurrence of future events, the actual final payout of these performance share awards are not known at this time. As such, the total grant date fair value of the performance shares is calculated using the target number of shares underlying these awards and the per share grant date price on the date of grant of \$46.43. No cash dividends will be paid when the underlying shares vest.

At maximum achievement, the grant date fair value of the awards would have been 225% of the amount reported for each executive, or for Mr. McDaniel—\$5,062,495, Ms. Huber—\$1,625,421, Mr. Madelain—\$1,476,845, Mr. Almeida—\$1,372,935, and Mr. Goggins—\$962,587.

Table of Contents

- (3) The amounts shown in the Option Awards column represent the full grant date fair value of non-qualified options granted in each year indicated. The February 13, 2013 grant date fair value for stock options is based on the Black-Scholes option valuation model, applying the following assumptions: a stock price of \$46.43; a stock-price volatility factor of 42.61%; a risk-free rate of return of 1.53%; a dividend yield of 1.72%; and an expected time of exercise of 7.2 years from the date of grant. The February 13, 2012 grant date fair value for stock options is based on the Black-Scholes option valuation model, applying the following assumptions: a stock price of \$38.61; a stock-price volatility factor of 43.5%; a risk-free rate of return of 1.55%; a dividend yield of 1.66%; and an expected time of exercise of 7.4 years from the date of grant. The February 8, 2011 grant date fair value for stock options is based on the Black-Scholes option valuation model, applying the following assumptions: a stock price of \$30.01; a stock-price volatility factor of 40.85%; a risk-free rate of return of 3.34%; a dividend yield of 1.53%; and an expected time of exercise of 7.6 years from the date of grant. The Black-Scholes model is premised on the immediate exercisability and transferability of the options, neither of which applies to the options set out in the table above. The actual amounts realized, if any, will depend on the extent to which the stock price exceeds the option exercise price at the time the option is exercised.
- (4) The amounts reported in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column represent the amounts earned by the Named Executive Officers for 2013, 2012 and 2011 under the Company's annual cash incentive program. The amounts for 2013, 2012, and 2011 were actually paid on March 5, 2014, March 5, 2013, and March 6, 2012, respectively. For a description of this program, see "Annual Cash Incentives" in the CD&A on page 30.
- (5) The amounts reported in the Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings column represent the aggregate change during 2013 in the actuarial present value of the Named Executive Officers' accumulated benefits under the Company's Retirement Account, Pension Benefit Equalization Plan and SEBP. For a description of these plans, see the Pension Benefits Table on page 49. The change in the actuarial present value year over year is largely driven by the impact on the SEBP component of the following variables: one additional year of service and pay; one less year of discounting in the present value calculation; and annual assumption changes (such as the discount rate or mortality assumption). The values for each of the NEOs for 2013 have decreased significantly since the year-end 2011 and 2012 proxy disclosures, due primarily to changes in the actuarial assumptions. The discount rate that is the basis for the proxy calculations has increased 75 basis points since year-end 2012 resulting in values significantly lower than in the last few years. In addition to these typical factors that affect the actuarial present values from one year to the next, plan changes can also have an impact. The PBEP and SEBP plans comply with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code. SEBP participants elected either an annuity or a lump sum form of payment that will apply at retirement, and the PBEP was amended so it will automatically provide lump sum distributions to terminated participants at the later of age 55 or six months following termination from Moody's. The SEBP was closed as of January 1, 2008 to new participants and the only NEO's who participate in the plan are Mr. McDaniel, Ms. Huber and Mr. Goggins. These amounts do not include any non-qualified deferred compensation earnings as there were no above market earnings for the NEO's in Moody's Deferred Compensation Plan.
- (6) The amounts reported in the All Other Compensation column comprise the following compensation items:

Name	Year	Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits (a)	Company Contributions to Defined Contribution Plans(b)	Dividends or Other Earnings Paid on Stock Awards(c)	Termination Benefits	Total
Raymond W. McDaniel	2013	—	\$ 70,134	\$ 0	—	\$70,134
Linda S. Huber	2013	—	33,253	3,006	—	36,259
Michel Madelain	2013	\$ 15,414	72,730	2,982	—	91,126
Mark E. Almeida	2013	—	27,364	2,706	—	30,070
John J. Goggins	2013	—	23,800	2,706	—	26,506

Table of Contents

- (a) For all the NEOs, except Michel Madelain, perquisites and other personal benefits provided in fiscal 2013 were, in the aggregate, less than \$10,000 per individual. For Michel Madelain, the perquisite amounts represents a car allowance (as is the practice in the UK for executive officers) paid in British pounds sterling. An exchange rate of 1.6574 from the Federal Reserve Bank as of December 31, 2013 was used to calculate the 2013 U.S. dollar amount.
 - (b) These amounts represent the aggregate annual Company contributions to the accounts of the Named Executive Officers under the Company's Profit Participation Plan and the non-qualified Deferred Compensation Plan in the United States. The Profit Participation Plan and the Deferred Compensation Plan are tax-qualified defined contribution plans. The amount described with respect to Mr. Madelain was contributed by the Company's subsidiary in the UK to the Moody's Group Personal Pension Plan. An exchange rate of 1.6574 from The Federal Reserve Bank as of December 31, 2013 was used to calculate the U.S. dollar amount.
 - (c) These amounts represent dividend equivalents paid on restricted stock awards that vested during 2013, 2012 and 2011.
- (7) Mr. Madelain's compensation figures are shown in the table in U.S. dollars. However, certain elements of his compensation was paid in British pounds sterling. An exchange rate of 1.6574 from The Federal Reserve Bank as of December 31, 2013 was used to calculate the 2013 U.S. dollar amount.

Table of Contents

GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS TABLE FOR 2013

The following table sets forth, for the year ended December 31, 2013, information concerning each grant of an award made to the Company's Named Executive Officers in 2013 under any plan.

Name	Grant Date	Authoriza- tion Date (1)	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(2)			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(3)			All Other Option Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units(4)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards(5) (\$/Sh)	Closing Price on Day of Grant (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards(6)
			Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maxi- mum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maxi- mum (#)				
Raymond W. McDaniel	2/13/2013	12/10/2012				12,115	48,460	109,035				\$2,249,998
	2/13/2013	12/10/2012							85,324	\$ 46.43	\$ 46.79	1,499,996
	N/A		375,000	1,500,000	3,000,000							
Linda S. Huber	2/13/2013	12/10/2012				3,890	15,559	35,008				722,404
	2/13/2013	12/10/2012							27,395	\$ 46.43	\$ 46.79	481,604
	N/A		159,500	638,000	1,276,000							
Michel Madelain	2/13/2013	12/10/2012				3,534	14,137	31,808				656,381
	2/13/2013	12/10/2012							24,892	\$ 46.43	\$ 46.79	437,601
	N/A		144,608	578,433	1,156,866							
Mark E. Almeida	2/13/2013	12/10/2012				3,286	13,142	29,570				610,183
	2/13/2013	12/10/2012							23,140	\$ 46.43	\$ 46.79	406,801
	N/A		132,750	531,000	1,062,000							
John J. Goggins	2/13/2013	12/10/2012				2,304	9,214	20,732				427,806
	2/13/2013	12/10/2012							16,223	\$ 46.43	\$ 46.79	285,200
	N/A		97,500	390,000	780,000							

- (1) The Governance and Compensation Committee authorized the grant of stock options and performance shares for 2013 on December 10, 2012, to be effective on February 13, 2013, the third trading day following the date of the public dissemination of the Company's financial results for 2012.
- (2) These cash incentive awards were granted in 2013 under the Company's annual cash incentive program. The Governance and Compensation Committee established performance metrics for operating income and EPS growth that determine the aggregate funding of the program. The Governance and Compensation Committee considers other factors including individual performance when determining the final award amounts for annual incentive awards. For additional information on the annual cash incentive program, see the CD&A beginning on page 25. These awards were earned during 2013 and are paid in March 2014.
- (3) These performance share awards were granted in 2013 under the Company's 2001 Stock Incentive Plan. The Governance and Compensation Committee determined the target performance share amounts and set performance measures over the three-year performance period ending December 31, 2015. For Mr. McDaniel, Ms. Huber and Mr. Goggins, performance is based on EBITDA, MA's sales growth and MIS's ratings accuracy performance. For Mr. Madelain, performance is based on EBITDA and MIS's ratings accuracy performance. For Mr. Almeida, performance is based on EBITDA and MA's sales growth. At maximum achievement, the grant date fair value of the awards would have been 225% of the amount reported for each executive, or for Mr. McDaniel—\$5,062,495, Ms. Huber—\$1,625,421, Mr. Madelain—\$1,476,845, Mr. Almeida—\$1,372,935, and Mr. Goggins—\$962,587.
- (4) These stock option awards were made under the Company's 2001 Stock Incentive Plan. They vest in four equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant, February 13, 2014 and expire on February 13, 2023.
- (5) The exercise price of these awards is equal to the arithmetic mean of the high and low market price of the Company's Common Stock on the grant date.
- (6) The February 13, 2013 grant date fair value for stock options is based on the Black-Scholes option valuation model, applying the following assumptions; an expected stock-price volatility factor of 42.61%; a risk-free rate of return of 1.53%; a dividend yield of 1.72%; and an expected time of exercise of 7.2 years from the date of grant. The Black-Scholes model is premised on the immediate exercisability and transferability of the options, neither of which applies to the options set out in the table above. The actual amounts realized, if any, will depend on the extent to which the stock price exceeds the option exercise price at the time the option is exercised.

Table of Contents

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END TABLE FOR 2013

The following table sets forth information concerning unexercised options, stock that has not vested and equity incentive plan awards for each of the Company's Named Executive Officers outstanding as of December 31, 2013. The market value of the shares that have not vested is based on the closing market price of the Company's Common Stock on December 31, 2013 on the New York Stock Exchange.

Name	Option Awards(1)						Stock Awards						
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Grant Date	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)(2)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(3)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (#)(4)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)(3)	Grant Date		
Raymond W. McDaniel	167,500	0		41.6875	2/22/2005	2/22/2015	45,985	3,608,443			2/8/2011		
	114,223	0		63.0900	2/8/2006	2/8/2016					50,505	3,963,127	2/13/2012
	102,800	0		72.7150	2/12/2007	2/12/2017					48,460	3,802,656	2/13/2013
	185,000	0		38.0700	2/12/2008	2/12/2018							
	180,863	0		25.3700	2/10/2009	2/10/2019							
	66,219	22,073		26.7800	2/9/2010	2/9/2020							
	55,421	55,422		30.0100	2/8/2011	2/8/2021							
	21,452	64,357		38.6100	2/13/2012	2/13/2022							
0	85,324		46.4300	2/13/2013	2/13/2023								
Linda S. Huber	45,000	0		63.0900	2/8/2006	2/8/2016	4,421	346,916			9/7/2010		
	44,500	0		72.7150	2/12/2007	2/12/2017			21,843	1,714,020			2/8/2011
	85,000	0		38.0700	2/12/2008	2/12/2018					17,824	1,398,649	2/13/2012
	88,681	0		25.3700	2/10/2009	2/10/2019					15,559	1,220,915	2/13/2013
	27,351	9,117		26.7800	2/9/2010	2/9/2020							
	17,550	17,550		30.0100	2/8/2011	2/8/2021							
	7,571	22,713		38.6100	2/13/2012	2/13/2022							
	0	27,395		46.4300	2/13/2013	2/13/2023							
Michel Madelain	13,625	0		63.0900	2/8/2006	2/8/2016	3,979	312,232			9/7/2010		
	12,750	0		72.7150	2/12/2007	2/12/2017			17,994	1,411,989			2/8/2011
	62,500	0		38.0700	2/12/2008	2/12/2018					15,804	1,240,140	2/13/2012
	70,012	0		25.3700	2/10/2009	2/10/2019					14,137	1,109,330	2/13/2013
	21,593	7,198		26.7800	2/9/2010	2/9/2020							
	14,458	14,458		30.0100	2/8/2011	2/8/2021							
	6,712	20,139		38.6100	2/13/2012	2/13/2022							
	0	24,892		46.4300	2/13/2013	2/13/2023							
Mark E. Almeida	36,850	0		41.6875	2/22/2005	2/22/2015	3,979	312,232			9/7/2010		
	25,000	0		63.0900	2/8/2006	2/8/2016			17,022	1,335,716			2/8/2011
	22,750	0		72.7150	2/12/2007	2/12/2017					15,058	1,181,601	2/13/2012
	62,500	0		38.0700	2/12/2008	2/12/2018					13,142	1,031,253	2/13/2013
	65,344	0		25.3700	2/10/2009	2/10/2019							
	20,427	6,809		26.7800	2/9/2010	2/9/2020							
	13,677	13,677		30.0100	2/8/2011	2/8/2021							
	6,396	19,188		38.6100	2/13/2012	2/13/2022							
0	23,140		46.4300	2/13/2013	2/13/2023								
John J. Goggins	43,550	0		41.6875	2/22/2005	2/22/2015	3,979	312,232			9/7/2010		
	24,500	0		63.0900	2/8/2006	2/8/2016			12,934	1,014,931			2/8/2011
	24,250	0		72.7150	2/12/2007	2/12/2017					10,552	828,015	2/13/2012
	50,000	0		38.0700	2/12/2008	2/12/2018					9,214	723,023	2/13/2013
	52,509	0		25.3700	2/10/2009	2/10/2019							
	16,194	5,399		26.7800	2/9/2010	2/9/2020							
	10,392	10,392		30.0100	2/8/2011	2/8/2021							
	4,481	13,446		38.6100	2/13/2012	2/13/2022							
0	16,223		46.4300	2/13/2013	2/13/2023								

Table of Contents

- (1) Option awards are exercisable in four equal, annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant. The grant date for options is ten years earlier than the Option Expiration Date reported in the table.
- (2) For the restricted stock grant made in September 2010, the awards vest in four equal annual installments on the first trading day in March in each of the years 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014.
- (3) Value is calculated based on the closing price of the Common Stock on December 31, 2013, \$78.47.
- (4) Represents performance share awards that pay out subject to the attainment of performance objectives and vesting requirements measured over a three-year period. The performance shares granted on February 8, 2011 vest in March 2014 for the performance period ending December 31, 2013, the performance shares granted on February 13, 2012 vest in March 2015 for the performance period ending December 31, 2014, and the performance shares granted on February 13, 2013 vest in March 2016 for the performance period ending December 31, 2015.

OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED TABLE FOR 2013

The following table sets forth information concerning the number of shares of Common Stock acquired and the value realized upon the exercise of stock options and the number of shares of Common Stock acquired and the value realized upon vesting of restricted stock awards during 2013 for each of the Company's Named Executive Officers on an aggregated basis. In the case of stock options, the value realized is based on the market price of the Company's Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange at the time of exercise and the option exercise price; in the case of restricted stock awards, the value realized is based on the average high and low market price of the Company's Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the vesting date.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)(1)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
Raymond W. McDaniel	165,000	\$ 5,313,545	—	\$ —
Linda S. Huber	66,667	1,982,973	4,421	213,755
Michel Madelain	34,033	1,043,364	3,979	192,385
Mark E. Almeida	33,000	1,080,658	3,979	192,385
John J. Goggins	46,500	1,214,399	3,979	192,385

- (1) The performance shares granted for the 2011-2013 performance period vested on March 1, 2014 and therefore are not reflected in the above table. The performance shares for the 2010-2012 performance period reported in this table in last year's proxy statement vested on December 31, 2012, although they were distributed in 2013.

Table of Contents

PENSION BENEFITS TABLE FOR 2013

The following table sets forth information with respect to each defined benefit pension plan that provides for payments or other benefits to the Named Executive Officers at, following, or in connection with retirement.

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service (#)(1)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit at 12/31/13 (\$)	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$)
Raymond W. McDaniel	Retirement Account	25.5000	\$ 465,683	—
	Pension Benefit Equalization Plan	25.5000	3,173,337	—
	Supplemental Executive Benefit Plan	26.8333	24,718,463	—
Linda S. Huber	Retirement Account	7.5833	137,472	—
	Pension Benefit Equalization Plan	7.5833	558,845	—
	Supplemental Executive Benefit Plan	8.6667	3,137,353	—
Michel Madelain(2)	Moody's Group Personal Pension Plan	—	—	—
Mark E. Almeida	Retirement Account	24.5000	412,369	—
	Pension Benefit Equalization Plan	24.5000	921,304	—
	Supplemental Executive Benefit Plan	—	—	—
John J. Goggins	Retirement Account	13.8333	235,097	—
	Pension Benefit Equalization Plan	13.8333	566,209	—
	Supplemental Executive Benefit Plan	14.9167	4,053,861	—

- (1) The credited service for the Retirement Account and the PBEP is based on service from the date the individual became a participant in the plan. Individuals become participants in the plan on the first day of the month coincident with or next following the completion of one year of service. The SEBP provides credited service from an individual's date of hire with Moody's. For Messrs. McDaniel and Almeida, the date of participation in the Retirement Account is based on an earlier plan provision that provided for individuals to become participants on the January 1 or July 1 following the completion of one year of service.
- (2) The Company provides retirement benefits to the NEOs under three defined benefit pension plans, except for Michel Madelain, who is not a U.S. employee. As reflected in footnote (6) to the Summary Compensation Table, an amount was contributed by the Company's subsidiary in the UK to the Moody's Group Personal Pension Plan on Mr. Madelain's behalf. Using an exchange rate of 1.6574 from the Federal Reserve Bank as of December 31, 2013, the amount contributed was \$72,730 in 2013.

The Company provides retirement benefits to the Named Executive Officers under three defined benefit pension plans, except for Mr. Madelain, who is not a U.S. employee: the Retirement Account, the PBEP and the SEBP. The Retirement Account is a broad-based tax-qualified defined benefit pension plan. The PBEP is a non-tax-qualified defined benefit pension plan that restores benefits to participants that would otherwise be lost under the Retirement Account due to limitations under the federal income tax laws on the provision of benefits under tax-qualified defined benefit pension plans. The Retirement Account, together with the PBEP, is a "cash balance" design that provides retirement income based on a percentage of annual compensation that is credited to a notional account that is then credited with periodic interest credits. The SEBP is a non-tax-qualified supplemental executive retirement plan that provides additional pension benefits for designated senior executive officers of the Company. An amount was contributed by the Company's subsidiary in the UK to the Moody's Group Personal Pension Plan, described below, on Mr. Madelain's behalf.

The PBEP and SEBP are intended to comply with the requirements of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code. SEBP participants elected either an annuity or a lump sum form of payment that will apply at retirement, and the PBEP generally provides lump sum distributions to terminated participants at the later of age 55 or six months following termination from Moody's.

Table of Contents

The assumptions made in computing the present value of the accumulated benefits of the Named Executive Officers, except as described in the following sentence, are incorporated herein by reference to the discussion of those assumptions under the heading “Pension and Other Post-Retirement Benefits” in the Management’s Discussion and Analysis and Note 11 to the financial statements as contained in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Commission on February 26, 2014. The assumed retirement age used in computing the present value of the accumulated benefits of the Named Executive Officers was age 65 in the case of the Retirement Account and the PBEP and age 55 in the case of the SEBP.

The material terms in effect in 2013 of the Retirement Account, the PBEP, the SEBP and Moody’s Group Personal Pension Plan are described below. Future benefit accruals under these plans are subject to change.

Moody’s Corporation Retirement Account

All U.S. employees hired prior to January 1, 2008 and who have been continuously employed are eligible to participate in the Retirement Account after attaining age 21 and completing one year of service with the Company. Participants earn one month of credited service for each month or fraction thereof from the date they become eligible to participate in the plan. The Retirement Account is a cash balance plan providing benefits that grow monthly as hypothetical account balances, which are credited with interest and pay-based credits. Interest credits are based on a 30-year Treasury interest rate equivalent with a minimum compounded annual interest rate of 4.5%. Pay-based credits are amounts allocated to each participant’s hypothetical account based upon a percentage of monthly pensionable compensation. The percentage of compensation allocated annually ranges from 3% to 12.5%. Each participant’s pay-based credit percentage is based on their attained age and credited service. Compensation is based on actual earnings which include base salary, regular bonus (or annual incentive award), overtime and commissions. Severance pay, contingent payments and other forms of special remuneration are excluded.

Participants vest in their benefits after completing three years of service with the Company. Upon termination of employment, a participant may elect to receive an immediate lump sum distribution equal to 50% of his cash balance account. The remaining 50% of the cash balance account must be received in the form of an annuity upon retirement at age 55 or later. The normal retirement age under the Retirement Account is age 65, but participants who have attained age 55 with at least 10 years of service may elect to retire early. Upon retirement, participants may choose among the various actuarially equivalent forms of annuities offered under the plan.

Moody’s Corporation Pension Benefit Equalization Plan

The PBEP is a non-tax-qualified defined benefit pension plan that restores benefits to participants whose pensionable compensation exceeds the limitations under the federal income tax laws on the provision of benefits under tax-qualified defined benefit pension plans. For 2013, this limitation was \$255,000. The benefit-related provisions of the PBEP are the same as those of the Retirement Account except for the form of payment which must be as a lump sum. Upon attaining age 55 with at least 10 years of service, participants may elect to retire. The PBEP was amended as of January 1, 2008 to provide that any participant who is an active employee of the Company or any subsidiary after December 31, 2004 shall receive all of his benefits under the PBEP in a lump sum on the six month anniversary of his separation from service with the Company or a subsidiary.

Moody’s Corporation Supplemental Executive Benefit Plan

The SEBP is closed to new participants and the only Named Executive Officers participating in the plan are Mr. McDaniel, Ms. Huber and Mr. Goggins. The SEBP is a non-tax-qualified defined benefit pension plan designed to ensure the payment of a competitive level of retirement income and disability benefits to participants.

Table of Contents

Historically, a key management employee of the Company who was deemed to be responsible for the management, growth, or protection of the Company's business, and who was designated in writing by the Chief Executive Officer and approved by the Governance and Compensation Committee was eligible to participate in the plan on the effective date of his designation. The target retirement benefit for a participant is equal to 2% of average final compensation for each year of credited service up to 30 years of credited service, for a maximum benefit of 60% of average final compensation. This target benefit is offset by other pension benefits earned under the Retirement Account and PBEP, as well as benefits payable from Social Security and other pension benefits payable by the Company.

Participants earn one month of credited service for each month or fraction thereof that they are employed by the Company. Eligible compensation includes base salary, annual incentive awards, commissions, lump sum payments in lieu of foregone merit increases, "bonus buyouts" as the result of job changes and any portion of such amounts voluntarily deferred or reduced by the participant under any Company employee benefit plan. Average final compensation is the highest consecutive 60 months of eligible compensation in the last 120 months of employment.

The SEBP also provides a temporary disability benefit in the event of a participant's total and permanent disability. This disability benefit is equal to 60% of the 12 months of compensation earned by the participant immediately prior to the date of disability. The disability benefit is offset by any other disability income and/or pension income the participant is already receiving. Payment of the temporary disability benefit continues during the participant's period of disability, but no later than age 65. During the period of total and permanent disability, a participant continues to earn credited service for retirement purposes.

Participants vest in their benefits after completing five years of service with the Company. Benefits are payable at the later of age 55 or termination of employment. For participants who terminate their employment prior to attaining age 55, benefits must commence at age 55 and their SEBP benefit will be reduced by 60% for early retirement. If a participant or vested former participant retires directly from the Company after age 55 and before age 60 without the Company's consent, his retirement benefit is reduced by 3% for each year or fraction thereof that retirement commences prior to reaching age 60. If a participant retires directly from the Company on or after age 55 with the Company's consent, benefits are not reduced for commencement prior to age 60.

The normal form of payment under the SEBP is a single-life annuity for non-married participants or a fully subsidized 50% joint and survivor annuity for married participants. Participants may receive up to 100% of their benefit in the form of a lump-sum distribution.

Moody's UK Group Personal Pension Plan

Group Personal Pension Plan (the "GPPP") enables employees in the United Kingdom to contribute to a pension arrangement. The GPPP is a collection of individual pension policies. Each member has his or own individual pension policy within the GPPP and, if the employee changes employer, the employee may be able to continue to contribute to the policy if he so wishes. Membership in the GPPP is automatic for all employees in the UK who are directly employed by Moody's. Moody's UK makes contributions representing a percentage of uncapped salary at a rate dependent upon the employee's contribution. The rates are as follows:

<u>Employee</u>	<u>Employer</u>
3%	6%
4%	8%
5%	10%
6%	12% (maximum employer contribution; no maximum for employee)

Moody's UK will stop paying contributions to the GPPP when an employee leaves service, or on the date of contractual retirement, if earlier. As a condition to membership in the GPPP, an employee is required to make regular contributions of at least 3% of salary.

Table of Contents

Employee contributions qualify for full tax relief via a salary sacrifice arrangement called Pension Sense. Employees are not taxed on the contributions paid into the GPPP by Moody's UK.

NONQUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION TABLE(1)

The following table sets forth information concerning the nonqualified deferred compensation of the Named Executive Officers in 2013.

Name	Executive Contributions	Registrant Contributions	Aggregate Earnings in Last Fiscal Year (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last Fiscal Year End (\$)
	in Last Fiscal Year (\$)	in Last Fiscal Year (\$)	in Last Fiscal Year (\$)	in Last Fiscal Year (\$)	in Last Fiscal Year (\$)
Raymond W. McDaniel	—	—	\$24,117	—	\$191,004
Linda S. Huber	—	—	10,938	—	85,659
Michel Madelain	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mark E. Almeida	—	—	79,000	—	327,388
John J. Goggins	—	—	11,757	—	102,221

- (1) Nonqualified deferred compensation earnings are included in the "Aggregate Earnings in Last Fiscal Year" column of this table. Company contributions to the accounts of the NEOs under the Company's nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan also are reflected in column (b) of footnote (6) to the Summary Compensation Table. Contributions of \$136,464 for Mr. Almeida and \$54,818 for Mr. Goggins were reported as compensation in the Company's Summary Compensation Table for prior years.

Moody's Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company implemented the Moody's Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan. Each year, employees expected to earn annual compensation in excess of the IRS compensation limit for allowable pre-tax deferrals into the Moody's Profit Participation Plan are notified of their eligibility to participate in the DCP.

The primary purpose of the DCP is to allow these employees to continue pre-tax deductions into a nonqualified plan and receive the maximum company match on compensation which exceeds the IRS limits for allowable pre-tax deferrals into the Moody's Profit Participation Plan. A limited group of highly compensated members of senior management have the option of immediate deferral of up to 50% of base salary and/or bonus. However, the Company match only applies to deferrals on compensation in excess of the IRS limit on compensation. In addition, the Company will credit to the DCP employer contributions that would have been made to the Profit Participation Plan but for the application of the IRS compensation limit.

Each participant may select one or more deemed investment funds offered under the DCP for the investment of the participant's account and future contributions. The deemed investment funds are substantially the same as the funds available in the Profit Participation Plan. The DCP is unfunded and no cash amounts are paid into or set aside in a trust or similar fund under the DCP. All amounts deducted from a participant's earnings, along with any Company contributions, are retained as part of the Company's general assets and are credited to the participant's bookkeeping account under the DCP. The value of a participant's account increases or decreases in value based upon the fair market value of the deemed investment funds as of the end of the year. The forms of distribution under the DCP are either a lump sum or installment payments after termination, as well as an alternative for participants to elect in-service distribution at the time deferral elections are made.

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE IN CONTROL

The information below reflects the amount of compensation that would become payable to each of the Named Executive Officers under certain existing plans and arrangements if the executive's employment had terminated under the specified circumstances or if there had been a change in control on December 31, 2013, given the named executive's compensation and, if applicable, based on the Company's closing stock price on that date. These benefits are in addition to benefits that may be available to the executive prior to the occurrence of any termination of employment, including under exercisable stock options held by the executive, and benefits available generally to salaried employees, such as distributions under the Company's tax-qualified defined contribution plan and accrued vacation pay. In addition, in connection with any event including or other than those described below, the Company may determine to enter into an agreement or to establish an arrangement providing additional benefits or amounts, or altering the terms of benefits described below, as the Company determines appropriate. A "change in control" is defined as: (i) the date any one person, or more than one person acting as a group acquires (or has acquired during the 12-month period ending on the date of the most recent acquisition by such person or persons) ownership of stock of Moody's Corporation possessing 50 percent or more of the total voting power of the stock of Moody's Corporation, (ii) the date a majority of members of the Board is replaced during any 12-month period by directors whose appointment or election is not endorsed by a majority of the members of the Board before the date of the appointment or election, or (iii) the date any one person, or more than one person acting as a group acquires (or has acquired during the 12-month period ending on the date of the most recent acquisition by such person or persons) assets from the Company that have a total gross fair market value equal to or more than 40 percent of the total gross fair market value of all of the assets of the Company immediately before such acquisition or acquisitions.

The actual amounts that would be paid upon a Named Executive Officer's termination of employment can be determined only at the time of such executive's separation from the Company. Due to the number of factors that affect the nature and amount of any benefits provided upon the events discussed below, any actual amounts paid or distributed may be higher or lower than reported below. Factors that could affect these amounts include the timing during the year of any such event, the Company's stock price and the executive's then current compensation.

Moody's Corporation Career Transition Plan

Each of the Company's Named Executive Officers currently participates in the Moody's Corporation Career Transition Plan. This plan generally provides for the payment of benefits if an eligible executive officer's employment terminates for one of several specified events: a reduction in force, a job elimination, unsatisfactory job performance (not constituting cause), or a mutually agreed-upon resignation.

The CTP provides payments and benefits to individuals for what Moody's believes to be a reasonable period for them to find comparable employment. It also affords both Moody's and the individual the motivation to resolve any potential claims or other issues between the parties with finality, which helps minimize distractions for management and protect the interests of stockholders.

The plan does not cover employment terminations resulting from a unilateral resignation, a termination of employment for cause, a sale, merger, spin-off, reorganization, liquidation, or dissolution of the Company, or where the Named Executive Officer takes a comparable position with an affiliate of the Company. "Cause" means willful malfeasance or misconduct, a continuing failure to perform his duties, a failure to observe the material policies of the Company, or the commission of a felony or any misdemeanor involving moral turpitude. In the event of an eligible termination of employment, a Named Executive Officer may be paid 52 weeks of salary continuation (26 weeks if the executive officer is terminated by the Company for unsatisfactory performance), payable at the times the executive officer's salary would have been paid if employment had not terminated. For this purpose, salary consists of the Named Executive Officer's annual base salary at the time of termination of employment. In addition, the Named Executive Officer may receive continued medical, dental and life insurance benefits during the applicable salary continuation period and will be entitled to such outplacement

Table of Contents

services during the salary continuation period as are being generally provided by the Company to its employees. In addition, the executive is entitled to receive any benefits that he or she otherwise would have been entitled to receive under Moody's retirement plans, although these benefits are not increased or accelerated.

Except in the case of a termination of employment by the Company for unsatisfactory performance, the Named Executive Officer also may receive:

- a prorated portion of the actual annual cash incentive for the year of termination of employment that would have been payable to the executive officer under the annual cash incentive plan in which the executive officer was participating at the time of termination, provided that the executive officer was employed for at least six full months during the calendar year of termination;
- financial planning and counseling services during the salary continuation period to the same extent afforded immediately prior to termination of employment.

The plan gives the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer the discretion to reduce or increase the benefits otherwise payable to, or otherwise modify the terms and conditions applicable to, a Named Executive Officer (other than himself) under the plan. As a matter of policy, if Mr. McDaniel intended to increase the benefits payable, any such proposal would be reviewed by the Committee.

The receipt of any benefits under the plan is contingent upon the affected Named Executive Officer signing a severance and release agreement that prohibits him from engaging in conduct that is detrimental to the Company, such as working for certain competitors, soliciting customers or employees after employment ends, and disclosing confidential information, the disclosure of which would result in competitive harm to the Company. These provisions extend for the one-year period during which the Named Executive Officer would be receiving payments pursuant to the CTP.

The estimated payments and benefits payable to the Named Executive Officers assuming an event triggering payment under the CTP as of the last day of 2013 are reported in the discussion of Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control beginning on page 53.

The estimated payments and benefits that would be provided to each Named Executive Officer still serving in that capacity under each circumstance that is covered by the Career Transition Plan are listed in the tables below.

Potential Payments and Benefits Upon a Termination of Employment by Reason of a Reduction in Force, Job Elimination, or a Mutually Agreed Upon Resignation(1)

Name	Salary Continuation (\$)	Annual Cash Incentive (\$)	Medical, Dental, and Life Insurance Benefits (\$)	Out- Placement Services (\$)	Total (\$)
Raymond W. McDaniel	\$1,000,000	\$1,500,000	\$ 13,987	\$40,000	\$2,553,987
Linda S. Huber	591,000	638,000	42	40,000	1,269,042
Michel Madelain	548,599	578,433	—	40,000	1,167,032
Mark E. Almeida	507,000	531,000	8,504	40,000	1,086,504
John J. Goggins	487,000	390,000	13,987	40,000	930,987

(1) For purposes of this analysis, the following assumptions were used:

- the date of termination of employment was December 31, 2013;
- each NEO's base salary was the amount as of December 31, 2013 and is continued for a period of 52 weeks; and
- each NEO's annual cash incentive is equal to 100% of the target amount under the annual cash incentive program.

**Potential Payments and Benefits Upon a Termination of Employment
by Reason of Unsatisfactory Job Performance
(Not Constituting Cause)(1)**

<u>Name</u>	<u>Salary Continuation (\$)</u>	<u>Medical, Dental, and Life Insurance Benefits (\$)</u>	<u>Out- Placement Services (\$)</u>	<u>Total (\$)</u>
Raymond W. McDaniel	\$ 500,000	\$ 6,994	\$40,000	\$546,994
Linda S. Huber	295,500	21	40,000	335,521
Michel Madelain	274,300	—	40,000	314,300
Mark E. Almeida	253,500	4,252	40,000	297,752
John J. Goggins	243,500	6,994	40,000	290,494

(1) For purposes of this analysis, the following assumptions were used:

- the date of termination of employment was December 31, 2013; and
- each NEO's base salary was the amount as of December 31, 2013 and is continued for a period of 26 weeks.

Moody's Corporation Change in Control Severance Plan

On December 14, 2010, the Board of Directors approved the adoption of the Moody's Corporation Change in Control Severance Plan (the "CICP"). The purpose of the CICP is to offer its participants, which include the Company's executive officers and other key employees selected by the Committee, protection in the event of a Change in Control (as defined in the CICP). The CICP has been adopted to enhance the alignment of the interests of management and stockholders by allowing executives to remain objective when facing the prospect of a sale and potential job elimination. The CICP has an initial two-year term that will automatically renew each year for an additional year, unless the Company determines not to renew the CICP beyond its then current term. Under the CICP, participants are entitled to severance benefits triggered only if a participant's employment is terminated within 90 days prior to or two years following a change in control of the Company by the Company or its successor without Cause, or by the participant for Good Reason (both terms as defined in the CICP). Severance benefits will not be payable if a participant is terminated for Cause or voluntarily resigns without Good Reason. For the CEO, severance benefits under the CICP consist of a lump sum cash payment equal to three times the sum of his base salary and target bonus for the year of termination, plus three years of continued coverage under the Company's medical and dental insurance plans. For other executives, including the other NEOs, the severance benefits consist of a lump sum cash payment equal to two times the sum of their base salaries and target annual incentives, plus two years of continued medical and dental coverage. Payment and retention of severance benefits under the CICP are contingent on the participant executing and not revoking a general release of claims against the Company and agreeing not to compete with the Company or solicit Company customers or employees for a period of two years following the date of the participant's termination of employment.

Other Potential Payments Upon Termination of Employment

The Company's 2001 Stock Incentive Plan provides for vesting of outstanding stock options and restricted stock awards under certain circumstances as follows:

- in the event of the death or disability of a Named Executive Officer after the first anniversary of the date of grant of a stock option, the unvested portion of such stock option will immediately vest in full and such portion may thereafter be exercised during the shorter of (a) the remaining stated term of the stock option or (b) five years after the date of death or disability;

Table of Contents

- in the event of the retirement of a Named Executive Officer after the first anniversary of the date of grant of a stock option, the unvested portion of such stock option will continue to vest during the shorter of (a) the remaining stated term of the stock option or (b) five years after the date of retirement;
- in the event of a termination for any reason other than death, disability or retirement, an unexercised stock option may thereafter be exercised during the period ending 30 days after the date of termination, but only to the extent such stock option was exercisable at the time of termination;
- in the event of the death, disability, or retirement of a Named Executive Officer after the first anniversary of the date of grant of a restricted stock award, the award will immediately vest in full;
- in the event of termination for any reason other than death, disability or retirement, after the first anniversary of the date of grant of a restricted stock award, the award shall be forfeited;
- in the event of a change in control of the Company, the unvested portion of all outstanding stock options and restricted stock awards granted prior to January 1, 2013 vest in full;
- in the event of a change in control of the Company, (i) unless otherwise determined by the Committee, if the acquirer assumes or substitutes an award of equivalent value, the unvested portion of all outstanding stock options and restricted stock awards granted on or after January 1, 2013 vest in full if the Named Executive Officer's employment is terminated by us without "cause" or by the Named Executive Officer for "good reason" within 90 days before or two years after the change in control, or (ii) if the acquirer does not assume or substitute awards of equivalent value, the unvested portion of the awards shall vest in full;
- in the event of the death, disability or retirement of a Named Executive Officer, the NEO shall have such rights in his or her performance shares, if any, as may be prescribed by the award agreement;
- in the event of a termination for any reason other than death, disability or retirement prior to the end of any applicable performance period, an NEO's performance shares shall be forfeited, unless, subject to the 2001 Plan, the Governance and Compensation Committee, in its sole discretion, shall determine otherwise; and
- in the event of a change in control of the Company, performance shares shall become payable in such manner as shall be set forth in the award agreement.

Potential Payments and Benefits Upon a Termination of Employment Following a Change in Control of the Company(1)

Name	Salary Continuation (\$)	Annual Cash Incentive (\$)	Medical, Dental and	Stock Options (\$)(2)	Restricted Stock	Performance Share Awards (\$)	Total (\$)
			Life Insurance Benefits (\$)		Awards (\$)(2)		
Raymond W. McDaniel	\$3,000,000	\$4,500,000	\$ 41,961	\$9,125,754	\$ 0	\$11,374,227	\$28,041,942
Linda S. Huber	1,182,000	1,276,000	84	3,104,807	346,916	4,333,584	10,243,391
Michel Madelain	1,097,198	1,156,866	—	2,672,980	312,232	3,761,459	9,000,735
Mark E. Almeida	1,014,000	1,062,000	17,008	2,520,984	312,232	3,548,570	8,474,794
John J. Goggins	974,000	780,000	27,974	1,838,413	312,232	2,565,969	6,498,588

(1) For purposes of this analysis, the following assumptions were used:

- the date of termination of employment was December 31, 2013;

Table of Contents

- for the CEO, Mr. McDaniel, that he executed a general release and two-year non-compete agreement under the CICP and received a salary lump sum payout equal to three times his base salary as of December 31, 2013, an annual cash incentive lump-sum payout equal to three times his 2010 annual target cash incentive and three years continuation of current elected coverage under the medical, dental and life insurance programs;
 - for each NEO, other than Mr. McDaniel, that he or she executed a general release and two-year non-compete agreement under the CICP and received a salary lump-sum payout equal to two times the executive's base salary as of December 31, 2013, an annual cash incentive lump-sum payout equal to two times the executive's 2013 annual target cash incentive, and two years continuation of current elected coverage under the medical, dental and life insurance programs;
 - the market price per share of the Company's Common Stock on December 31, 2013 was \$78.47 per share, the closing price of the Common Stock on that date;
 - unvested equity awards vest in full because the NEO is terminated without cause in connection with the change in control; and
 - performance shares paid at target.
- (2) Value reflects benefit received upon Change in Control regardless of whether the executive's employment is terminated.

Potential Payments and Benefits Upon a Termination of Employment by Reason of Death, Disability or Retirement(1)

Name	Stock Options	Restricted Stock	Performance Share	Total
	(\$)	Awards (\$)	Awards (\$)	
Raymond W. McDaniel	\$6,391,974	\$ 0	\$6,250,528	\$12,642,502
Linda S. Huber	2,227,071	346,916	2,646,453	5,220,440
Michel Madelain	1,875,440	312,232	2,238,749	4,426,421
Mark E. Almeida	1,779,578	312,232	2,123,451	4,215,261
John J. Goggins	1,318,628	312,232	1,566,941	3,197,801

- (1) For purposes of this analysis, the following assumptions were used:
- the date of termination of employment was December 31, 2013;
 - the market price per share of the Company's Common Stock on December 31, 2013 was \$78.47 per share, the closing price of the Common Stock on that date; and
 - performance shares paid at target.

OTHER BUSINESS

The Board of Directors knows of no business other than the matters set forth herein that will be presented at the Annual Meeting. Inasmuch as matters not known at this time may come before the Annual Meeting, the enclosed proxy confers discretionary authority with respect to such matters as may properly come before the Annual Meeting, and it is the intention of the persons named in the proxy to vote in accordance with their best judgment on such matters.

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR 2015 ANNUAL MEETING

Stockholder proposals which are being submitted for inclusion in the Company's proxy statement and form of proxy for the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders must be received by the Company at its principal executive offices no later than 5:30 p.m. EST on November 5, 2014. Such proposals when submitted must be in full compliance with applicable laws, including Rule 14a-8 of the Exchange Act.

Under the Company's By-Laws, notices of matters which are being submitted other than for inclusion in the Company's proxy statement and form of proxy for the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders must be received by the Corporate Secretary of the Company at its principal executive offices no earlier than January 15, 2015 and no later than February 4, 2015. If the 2015 annual meeting is more than 20 days before or more than 70 days after the anniversary date of this year's Annual Meeting, such notices must be received no earlier than the 90th day prior to such annual meeting and no later than the close of business on the later of the 70th day prior to such annual meeting or the 10th day following the day of public announcement of the meeting date. Such matters when submitted must be in full compliance with applicable law and the Company's By-Laws. The chairman of the meeting may refuse to acknowledge or introduce any such matter if notice of the matter is not received within the applicable deadlines or does not comply with the Company's By-Laws. If a stockholder does not meet these deadlines, or does not satisfy the requirements of Rule 14a-4 of the Exchange Act, the persons named as proxies will be allowed to use their discretionary voting authority when and if the matter is raised at the meeting.

March 5, 2014

ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS OF
MOODY'S CORPORATION

April 15, 2014

GO GREEN

e-Consent makes it easy to go paperless. With e-Consent, you can quickly access your proxy materials, statements and other eligible documents online, while reducing costs, clutter and paper waste. Enroll today via www.amstock.com to enjoy online access.

NOTICE OF INTERNET AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS :

The Notice of Meeting, proxy statement and proxy card are available at <http://www.astproxyportal.com/ast/26180/>

Please sign, date and mail your proxy card in the envelope provided as soon as possible.

i Please detach along perforated line and mail in the envelope provided. i

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" THE ELECTION OF THE DIRECTORS AND "FOR" PROPOSALS 2 AND 3. PLEASE SIGN, DATE AND RETURN PROMPTLY IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. PLEASE MARK YOUR VOTE IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS SHOWN HERE

YOU ARE ENCOURAGED TO SPECIFY YOUR CHOICES BY MARKING THE APPROPRIATE BOXES. WHERE A CHOICE IS NOT SPECIFIED, THE PROXIES WILL VOTE YOUR SHARES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS' RECOMMENDATIONS.

	FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
1. ELECTION OF THREE CLASS I DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY:			
JORGE A. BERMUDEZ	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
KATHRYN M. HILL	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
LESLIE F. SEIDMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM OF THE COMPANY FOR 2014.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. ADVISORY RESOLUTION APPROVING EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

In their discretion, the proxies are authorized to vote upon such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting. This proxy when properly executed will be voted as directed herein by the undersigned shareholder. **If no direction is made, this proxy will be voted FOR THE NOMINEES in Proposal 1 and FOR Proposals 2 and 3.**

To change the address on your account, please check the box at the right and indicate your new address in the address space above. Please note that changes to the registered name(s) on the account may not be submitted via this method.

Signature of Stockholder _____ Date _____ Signature of Stockholder _____ Date _____

Note: Please sign exactly as your name or names appear on this Proxy. When shares are held jointly, each holder should sign. When signing as executor, administrator, trustee or guardian, please give full title as such. If the signer is a corporation, please sign full corporate name by duly authorized officer, giving full title as such. If signer is a partnership, please sign in partnership name by authorized person.

MOODY'S CORPORATION
PROXY SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS FOR
ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD TUESDAY, APRIL 15, 2014

As an alternative to completing this form, you may enter your vote instruction by telephone at 1-800-PROXIES, or via the Internet at WWW.PROXYVOTE.COM and follow the simple instructions. Use the Company Number and Account Number shown on your proxy card.

The undersigned hereby appoints Raymond W. McDaniel, Jr., Linda S. Huber and John J. Goggins, and each of them, as proxies, each with full power of substitution, to represent the undersigned and vote all the shares of common stock of Moody's Corporation which the undersigned is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on April 15, 2014 at 9:30 a.m., local time, at the Company's offices at 7 World Trade Center at 250 Greenwich Street, New York, New York 10007, and any adjournment or postponement thereof. The undersigned directs the named proxies to vote as directed on the reverse side of this card on the specified proposals and in their discretion on any other business which may properly come before the meeting and any adjournment or postponement thereof.

This card also constitutes voting instructions to the Trustee of the Moody's Corporation Profit Participation Plan to vote, in person or by proxy, the proportionate interest of the undersigned in the shares of common stock of Moody's Corporation held by the Trustee under the plan, as described in the Proxy Statement.

(Continued and to be marked, signed and dated, on the reverse side.)

**ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS OF
MOODY'S CORPORATION**

April 15, 2014

PROXY VOTING INSTRUCTIONS

INTERNET – Access “ www.proxyvote.com ” and follow the on-screen instructions or scan the QR code with your smartphone. Have your proxy card available when you access the web page.

TELEPHONE – Call toll-free **1-800-PROXIES** (1-800-776-9437) in the United States or **1-718-921-8500** from foreign countries from any touch-tone telephone and follow the instructions. Have your proxy card available when you call.

Vote online/phone until 11:59 PM EDT the day before the meeting.

MAIL – Sign, date and mail your proxy card in the envelope provided as soon as possible.

IN PERSON – You may vote your shares in person by attending the Annual Meeting.

GO GREEN – e-Consent makes it easy to go paperless. With e-Consent, you can quickly access your proxy materials, statements and other eligible documents online, while reducing costs, clutter and paper waste. Enroll today via www.amstock.com to enjoy online access.

COMPANY NUMBER	
ACCOUNT NUMBER	

NOTICE OF INTERNET AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS:

The Notice of Meeting, proxy statement and proxy card are available at
<http://www.astproxyportal.com/ast/26180/>

i Please detach along perforated line and mail in the envelope provided **IF** you are not voting via telephone or the Internet. i

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE “FOR” THE ELECTION OF THE DIRECTORS AND “FOR” PROPOSALS 2 AND 3.
PLEASE SIGN, DATE AND RETURN PROMPTLY IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. PLEASE MARK YOUR VOTE IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS SHOWN HERE

YOU ARE ENCOURAGED TO SPECIFY YOUR CHOICES BY MARKING THE APPROPRIATE BOXES. WHERE A CHOICE IS NOT SPECIFIED, THE PROXIES WILL VOTE YOUR SHARES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS’ RECOMMENDATIONS.

	FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
1. ELECTION OF THREE CLASS I DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY:			
JORGE A. BERMUDEZ	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
KATHRYN M. HILL	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
LESLIE F. SEIDMAN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM OF THE COMPANY FOR 2014.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. ADVISORY RESOLUTION APPROVING EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

In their discretion, the proxies are authorized to vote upon such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting. This proxy when properly executed will be voted as directed herein by the undersigned shareholder. **If no direction is made, this proxy will be voted FOR THE NOMINEES in Proposal 1 and FOR Proposals 2 and 3.**

To change the address on your account, please check the box at the right and indicate your new address in the address space above. Please note that changes to the registered name(s) on the account may not be submitted via this method.

Signature of Stockholder _____ Date _____ Signature of Stockholder _____ Date _____

Note: Please sign exactly as your name or names appear on this Proxy. When shares are held jointly, each holder should sign. When signing as executor, administrator, trustee or guardian, please give full title as such. If the signer is a corporation, please sign full corporate name by duly authorized officer, giving full title as such. If signer is a partnership, please sign in partnership name by authorized person.



ADMISSION TICKET

MOODY'S CORPORATION

7 World Trade Center

250 Greenwich Street

New York, New York 10007

Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Tuesday, April 15, 2014

9:30 a.m. EDT

*To obtain directions to attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person,
please contact the Company's Investor Relations Department by sending an e-mail to ir@moodys.com.*