

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

☒ **Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 29, 2013

or

☐ **Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 001-11499

WATTS WATER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or
Organization)

04-2916536

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

815 Chestnut Street, North Andover, MA

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

01845

(Zip Code)

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code: **(978) 688-1811**

(Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal year, if changed since last report.)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☒

Accelerated filer ☐

Non-accelerated filer ☐

Smaller reporting company ☐

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding at November 4, 2013
Class A Common Stock, \$0.10 par value	28,810,548
Class B Common Stock, \$0.10 par value	6,489,290

WATTS WATER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX

Part I. Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements

Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 29, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (unaudited)

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Third Quarters and Nine Months Ended September 29, 2013 and September 30, 2012 (unaudited)

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Third Quarters and Nine Months Ended September 29, 2013 and September 30, 2012 (unaudited)

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 29, 2013 and September 30, 2012 (unaudited)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Part II. Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Item 6. Exhibits

Signatures

Exhibit Index

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Financial Statements

WATTS WATER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Amounts in millions, except share information)

(Unaudited)

	September 29, 2013	December 31, 2012
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 227.2	\$ 271.3
Short-term investment securities	—	2.1
Trade accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$10.3 million at September 29, 2013 and \$9.5 million at December 31, 2012	228.9	206.2
Inventories, net:		
Raw materials	109.4	110.8
Work in process	21.7	20.5
Finished goods	179.7	156.7
Total Inventories	310.8	288.0
Prepaid expenses and other assets	21.4	22.5
Deferred income taxes	23.3	21.5
Asset held for sale	1.3	—
Assets of discontinued operations	—	11.7
Total Current Assets	812.9	823.3
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT:		
Property, plant and equipment, at cost	530.6	510.2
Accumulated depreciation	(311.2)	(288.5)
Property, plant and equipment, net	219.4	221.7
OTHER ASSETS:		
Goodwill	509.8	504.0
Intangible assets, net	135.6	145.4
Deferred income taxes	3.6	4.8
Other, net	9.1	9.8
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 1,690.4	\$ 1,709.0
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable	\$ 134.6	\$ 131.3
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	129.6	116.6
Accrued compensation and benefits	44.0	41.9
Current portion of long-term debt	2.1	77.1
Liabilities of discontinued operations	—	1.5
Total Current Liabilities	310.3	368.4
LONG-TERM DEBT, NET OF CURRENT PORTION	306.2	307.5
DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	43.1	44.9
OTHER NONCURRENT LIABILITIES	44.9	48.7
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:		
Preferred Stock, \$0.10 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued or outstanding	—	—
Class A Common Stock, \$0.10 par value; 80,000,000 shares authorized; 1 vote per share; issued and outstanding 28,784,168 shares at September 29, 2013 and 28,673,639 shares at December 31, 2012	2.9	2.9
Class B Common Stock, \$0.10 par value; 25,000,000 shares authorized; 10 votes per share; issued and outstanding, 6,504,290 shares at September 29, 2013 and 6,588,680 at December 31, 2012	0.6	0.6
Additional paid-in capital	468.2	448.7
Retained earnings	512.6	498.1
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	1.6	(10.8)
Total Stockholders' Equity	985.9	939.5
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$ 1,690.4	\$ 1,709.0

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

WATTS WATER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Amounts in millions, except per share information)
(Unaudited)

	Third Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 29, 2013	September 30, 2012	September 29, 2013	September 30, 2012
Net sales	\$ 371.8	\$ 352.8	\$ 1,097.5	\$ 1,072.9
Cost of goods sold	237.9	225.1	701.9	688.0
GROSS PROFIT	133.9	127.7	395.6	384.9
Selling, general and administrative expenses	100.7	91.7	294.0	285.9
Restructuring and other charges, net	2.8	(0.5)	7.0	1.8
Adjustment to gain on disposal of business	—	1.6	—	1.6
Goodwill and other long-lived asset impairment charges	0.2	2.4	0.2	3.0
OPERATING INCOME	30.2	32.5	94.4	92.6
Other (income) expense:				
Interest income	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.4)	(0.5)
Interest expense	5.1	6.1	16.6	18.4
Other expense (income), net	0.3	(0.6)	1.7	(1.5)
Total other expense	5.3	5.4	17.9	16.4
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE				
INCOME TAXES	24.9	27.1	76.5	76.2
Provision for income taxes	7.4	8.8	23.8	24.0
NET INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	17.5	18.3	52.7	52.2
(Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of tax	(2.1)	0.4	(2.3)	0.7
NET INCOME	\$ 15.4	\$ 18.7	\$ 50.4	\$ 52.9
BASIC EPS				
Net income (loss) per share:				
Continuing operations	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.52	\$ 1.48	\$ 1.44
Discontinued operations	(0.06)	0.01	(0.06)	0.02
NET INCOME	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.53	\$ 1.42	\$ 1.46
Weighted average number of shares	35.4	35.1	35.5	36.1
DILUTED EPS				
Net income (loss) per share:				
Continuing operations	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.52	\$ 1.48	\$ 1.44
Discontinued operations	(0.06)	0.01	(0.07)	0.02
NET INCOME	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.53	\$ 1.41	\$ 1.46
Weighted average number of shares	35.6	35.2	35.6	36.3
Dividends per share	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.33

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

WATTS WATER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Amounts in millions)

(Unaudited)

	Third Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 29, 2013	September 30, 2012	September 29, 2013	September 30, 2012
Net income	\$ 15.4	\$ 18.7	\$ 50.4	\$ 52.9
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:				
Foreign currency translation adjustments	24.4	25.1	12.0	1.9
Defined benefit pension plans:				
Amortization of net losses included in net periodic pension cost	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.5
Other comprehensive income, net of tax	24.5	25.3	12.4	2.4
Comprehensive income	<u>\$ 39.9</u>	<u>\$ 44.0</u>	<u>\$ 62.8</u>	<u>\$ 55.3</u>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

WATTS WATER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Amounts in millions)
(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended	
	September 29, 2013	September 30, 2012
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$ 50.4	\$ 52.9
Less: (Loss) income from discontinued operations, net of taxes	(2.3)	0.7
Net income from continuing operations	52.7	52.2
Adjustments to reconcile net income from continuing operations to net cash provided by continuing operating activities:		
Depreciation	25.4	24.2
Amortization of intangibles	11.0	11.8
Stock-based compensation	6.6	4.2
Deferred income tax benefit	(3.0)	(1.1)
Loss on disposal and impairment of goodwill, property, plant and equipment and other	0.2	3.5
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects from business acquisitions and divestitures:		
Accounts receivable	(20.8)	(10.9)
Inventories	(20.2)	(17.8)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	2.1	(8.5)
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	13.7	13.9
Net cash provided by continuing operations	67.7	71.5
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(22.6)	(18.6)
Proceeds from the sale of property, plant and equipment	1.4	0.2
Investments in securities	—	(2.1)
Proceeds from the sale of asset held for sale	—	0.7
Proceeds from sale of securities	2.1	4.1
Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired	—	(17.5)
Net cash used in investing activities	(19.1)	(33.2)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Proceeds from long-term debt	—	9.2
Payments of long-term debt	(76.4)	(23.4)
Payment of capital leases and other	(3.9)	(2.1)
Proceeds from share transactions under employee stock plans	9.4	9.4
Tax benefit of stock awards exercised	1.7	1.6
Dividends	(13.1)	(12.1)
Payments to repurchase common stock	(20.0)	(65.8)
Net cash used in financing activities	(102.3)	(83.2)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	1.8	1.6
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities of discontinued operations	(0.6)	2.2
Net cash provided by investing activities of discontinued operations	7.9	—
DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(44.6)	(41.1)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	271.8	250.6
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	<u>\$ 227.2</u>	<u>\$ 209.5</u>
NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Acquisition of businesses:		
Fair value of assets acquired	\$ —	\$ 26.8
Cash paid, net of cash acquired	—	17.5
Liabilities assumed	\$ —	\$ 9.3
Acquisitions of fixed assets under financing agreement	\$ 0.7	\$ 0.8
Issuance of stock under management stock purchase plan	\$ 0.5	\$ 0.7
CASH PAID FOR:		
Interest	\$ 12.3	\$ 12.7
Income taxes	\$ 25.1	\$ 20.8

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

WATTS WATER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included in the Watts Water Technologies, Inc. (the Company) Consolidated Balance Sheet as of September 29, 2013, the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the third quarters and nine months ended September 29, 2013 and September 30, 2012, the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the third quarters and nine months ended September 29, 2013 and September 30, 2012, and the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 29, 2013 and September 30, 2012.

The consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2012 has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements at that date. The accounting policies followed by the Company are described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. The financial statements included in this report should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. Operating results for the interim periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the year ending December 31, 2013.

The Company operates on a 52-week fiscal year ending on December 31st. Any quarterly or nine month data contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q generally reflect the results of operations for a 13-week period or 39-week period, respectively.

2. Accounting Policies

Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Goodwill and Long-Lived Assets

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill by geographic segment are as follows:

	September 29, 2013							
	Gross Balance			Accumulated Impairment Losses			Net Goodwill	
	Balance	Acquired	Foreign	Balance	Balance	Impairment	Balance	
	January 1, 2013	During the Period	Currency Translation and Other	September 29, 2013	January 1, 2013	Loss During the Period	September 29, 2013	September 29, 2013
(in millions)								
North America	\$ 225.6	\$ —	\$ (0.5)	\$ 225.1	\$ (24.2)	\$ —	\$ (24.2)	\$ 200.9
Europe, Middle East and Africa (EMEA)	289.7	—	6.1	295.8	—	—	—	295.8
Asia	12.9	—	0.2	13.1	—	—	—	13.1
Total	<u>\$ 528.2</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 5.8</u>	<u>\$ 534.0</u>	<u>\$ (24.2)</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ (24.2)</u>	<u>\$ 509.8</u>

	September 30, 2012							
	Gross Balance			Accumulated Impairment Losses			Net Goodwill	
	Balance	Acquired	Foreign	Balance	Balance	Impairment	Balance	
	January 1, 2012	During the Period	Currency Translation and Other	September 30, 2012	January 1, 2012	Loss During the Period	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2012
(in millions)								
North America	\$ 213.8	\$ 13.3	\$ 0.3	\$ 227.4	\$ (23.2)	\$ (1.0)	\$ (24.2)	\$ 203.2
EMEA	281.1	—	(0.2)	280.9	—	—	—	280.9
Asia	12.7	—	—	12.7	—	—	—	12.7
Total	<u>\$ 507.6</u>	<u>\$ 13.3</u>	<u>\$ 0.1</u>	<u>\$ 521.0</u>	<u>\$ (23.2)</u>	<u>\$ (1.0)</u>	<u>\$ (24.2)</u>	<u>\$ 496.8</u>

On January 31, 2012, the Company completed the acquisition of tekmar Control Systems (tekmar) in a share purchase transaction. The initial purchase price paid was CAD \$18.0 million, with post-closing adjustments related to working capital and an earnout based on the attainment of certain future earnings levels. The initial purchase price paid was equal to approximately \$17.8 million based on the exchange rate of Canadian dollar to U.S. dollar as of January 31, 2012. The total purchase price will not exceed CAD \$26.2

million. The Company accounted for the transaction as a business combination. In January 2013, the Company completed a purchase price allocation that resulted in the recognition of \$11.7 million in goodwill and \$10.1 million in intangible assets.

Goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets are tested for impairment at least annually or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate that it is “more likely than not” that they might be impaired, such as from a change in business conditions. The Company performs its annual impairment assessment of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets in the fourth quarter of each year.

As of October 28, 2012, the annual impairment analysis date, the fair value of the EMEA reporting unit exceeded the carrying value by a significant amount. The EMEA reporting unit represents the EMEA geographic segment excluding the Blücher reporting unit. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, operating results for the EMEA reporting unit had been hindered by the downturn in the economic environment in Europe and continued to fall below the expected operating results and growth rates used in the calculation of the present value of future cash flow projections, triggering the decision to update the impairment analysis. As a result of the updated fair value assessment, it was determined that the fair value of the EMEA reporting unit did decrease from year end but continues to exceed its carrying value by approximately 15%. The Company also performed an analysis on the long-lived assets in the EMEA reporting unit as a result of the triggering event and concluded that these assets were not impaired.

Based on the operating results for the three months ended September 29, 2013 and the expected savings from the European operations restructuring program approved by the Board on July 30, 2013, it was determined that the fair value of the EMEA reporting unit continues to exceed its carrying value. Should the EMEA reporting unit’s operating results decline further for any reason, including if the European marketplace deteriorates beyond current expectations or should interest rates increase significantly, then the reporting unit’s goodwill may be at risk for impairment in the future. The EMEA reporting unit’s goodwill balance as of September 29, 2013 was \$218.2 million.

During the third quarter of 2012, the Company recorded a pre-tax goodwill impairment charge of \$1.0 million relating to the Blue Ridge Atlantic Enterprises, Inc. (BRAE) reporting unit in North America as it continued to fall below expectations and triggered the decision to update the impairment analysis. The Company also reviewed the BRAE earnout calculation and recorded a \$1.0 million reduction in the contingent earnout liability during the third quarter of 2012 (see Note 5).

Intangible assets with estimable lives and other long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset or asset group may not be recoverable. Recoverability of intangible assets with estimable lives and other long-lived assets are measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset or asset group to future net undiscounted pretax cash flows expected to be generated by the asset or asset group. If these comparisons indicate that an asset is not recoverable, the impairment loss recognized is the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset or asset group exceeds the related estimated fair value. Estimated fair value is based on either discounted future pretax operating cash flows or appraised values, depending on the nature of the asset. The Company determines the discount rate for this analysis based on the weighted average cost of capital based on the market and guideline public companies for the related business, and does not allocate interest charges to the asset or asset group being measured. Judgment is required to estimate future operating cash flows.

The Company recorded impairment charges related to certain assets held for sale of \$0.2 million and \$1.4 million in the third quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Intangible assets include the following:

	September 29, 2013			December 31, 2012		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
	(in millions)					
Patents	\$ 16.5	\$ (12.4)	\$ 4.1	\$ 16.5	\$ (11.7)	\$ 4.8
Customer relationships	132.3	(73.7)	58.6	131.4	(65.9)	65.5
Technology	27.1	(10.4)	16.7	27.4	(9.0)	18.4
Trade Names	13.6	(2.7)	10.9	13.5	(1.8)	11.7
Other	8.7	(5.6)	3.1	8.7	(5.5)	3.2
Total amortizable intangibles	198.2	(104.8)	93.4	197.5	(93.9)	103.6
Indefinite-lived intangible assets	42.2	—	42.2	41.8	—	41.8
Total	<u>\$ 240.4</u>	<u>\$ (104.8)</u>	<u>\$ 135.6</u>	<u>\$ 239.3</u>	<u>\$ (93.9)</u>	<u>\$ 145.4</u>

Aggregate amortization expense for amortizable intangible assets for the third quarters of 2013 and 2012 was \$3.7 million and \$3.6 million, respectively, and for the first nine months of 2013 and 2012 was \$11.0 million and \$11.8 million, respectively. Additionally, future amortization expense for the next five years on amortizable intangible assets is expected to be approximately \$3.7 million for the remainder of 2013, \$14.6 million for 2014, \$14.3 million for 2015, \$13.8 million for 2016 and \$13.5 million for 2017. Amortization expense is recorded on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the intangible assets. The weighted-average remaining life of total amortizable intangible assets is 8.6 years. Patents, customer relationships, technology, trade names and other

amortizable intangibles have weighted-average remaining lives of 5.8 years, 5.8 years, 11.6 years, 11.1 years and 40.9 years, respectively. Indefinite-lived intangible assets primarily include trademarks and trade names.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company maintains two stock incentive plans under which key employees have been granted incentive stock options (ISOs) and nonqualified stock options (NSOs) to purchase the Company's Class A common stock. Only one plan, the Second Amended and Restated 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, is currently available for the grant of new stock options, which are currently being granted only to employees. Under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, options become exercisable over a four-year period at the rate of 25% per year and expire ten years after the grant date. ISOs and NSOs granted under the plans may have exercise prices of not less than 100% of the fair market value of the Class A Common Stock on the date of grant. The Company's current practice is to grant all options at fair market value on the grant date. The Company issued 340,367 stock options during the third quarter and 349,867 during the first nine months of 2013.

The fair value of each option issued under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan is estimated on the date of grant, using the Black-Scholes-Merton Model, based on the following weighted average assumptions:

	2013	2012
Expected life (years)	6.0	6.0
Expected stock price volatility	40.3%	41.2%
Expected dividend yield	1.0%	1.2%
Risk-free interest rate	1.7%	0.9%

The above assumptions were used to determine the weighted average grant-date fair value of stock options of \$20.30 and \$13.49 in 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The Company has also granted shares of restricted stock and deferred shares to key employees and stock awards to non-employee members of the Company's Board of Directors under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. Stock awards to non-employee members of the Company's Board of Directors are fully vested upon grant. Employees' restricted stock awards and deferred shares vest over a three-year period at the rate of one-third per year. The restricted stock awards and deferred shares are amortized to expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. The Company issued 116,851 shares of restricted stock and 11,492 deferred shares in the third quarter and 120,018 shares of restricted stock and 11,492 deferred shares in the first nine months of 2013 under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan.

The Company also has a Management Stock Purchase Plan that allows for the purchase of restricted stock units (RSUs) by key employees. On an annual basis, key employees may elect to receive a portion of their annual incentive compensation in RSUs instead of cash. Each RSU represents one share of Class A common stock and is purchased by the employee at 67% of the fair market value of the Company's Class A common stock on the date of grant. RSUs vest either annually over a three-year period from the grant date or upon the third anniversary of the grant date and receipt of the shares underlying RSUs is deferred for a minimum of three years or such greater number of years as is chosen by the employee. An aggregate of 2,000,000 shares of Class A common stock may be issued under the Management Stock Purchase Plan. The Company granted 45,196 RSUs and 63,739 RSUs in the nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The fair value of each RSU issued under the Management Stock Purchase Plan is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes-Merton Model based on the following weighted average assumptions:

	2013	2012
Expected life (years)	3.0	3.0
Expected stock price volatility	34.1%	38.3%
Expected dividend yield	0.9%	1.1%
Risk-free interest rate	0.4%	0.4%

The above assumptions were used to determine the weighted average grant-date fair value of RSUs of \$18.05 and \$15.68 in 2013 and 2012, respectively.

A more detailed description of each of these plans can be found in Note 12 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Shipping and Handling

The Company's shipping costs included in selling, general and administrative expenses were \$10.0 million and \$9.4 million for the third quarters of 2013 and 2012, respectively, and were \$29.3 million and \$28.2 million for the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Research and Development

Research and development costs included in selling, general and administrative expenses were \$5.2 million and \$4.9 million for the third quarters of 2013 and 2012, respectively, and were \$16.1 million and \$15.3 million for the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Taxes, Other than Income Taxes

Taxes assessed by governmental authorities on sale transactions are recorded on a net basis and excluded from sales in the Company's consolidated statements of operations.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carry forwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

New Accounting Standards

In July 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2013-11, "Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists", which is intended to eliminate the diversity in practice in the presentation of unrecognized tax benefits in those instances. ASU 2013-11 is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2013. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In March 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-05, "Parent's Accounting for the Cumulative Translation Adjustment upon Derecognition of Certain Subsidiaries or Groups of Assets within a Foreign Entity or of an Investment in a Foreign Entity." This ASU is intended to eliminate diversity in practice on the release of cumulative translation adjustment into net income when a parent either sells a part or all of its investment in a foreign entity or no longer holds a controlling financial interest. In addition, the amendments in this ASU resolve the diversity in practice for the treatment of business combinations achieved in stages (sometimes also referred to as step acquisitions) involving a foreign entity. The provisions of this ASU are effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2013, with early adoption permitted, and must be applied prospectively. The Company early adopted the ASU in 2013.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02, "Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income", which requires additional disclosures about amounts reclassified out of OCI by component, either on the face of the income statement or as a separate footnote to the financial statements. ASU 2013-02 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2012. The adoption of this guidance has not had a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

3. Discontinued Operations

On August 1, 2013, the Company completed the sale of all of the outstanding shares of an indirectly wholly-owned subsidiary, Watts Insulation GmbH (Austroflex), receiving net cash proceeds of \$7.9 million. Austroflex is an Austrian-based manufacturer of pre-insulated flexible pipe systems for district heating, solar applications and under-floor radiant heating systems. Austroflex did not meet performance expectations since its purchase approximately three years ago. The loss after tax on disposal of the business was approximately \$2.2 million. Further, during the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company wrote down Austroflex's long-lived assets by \$14.8 million. The Company evaluated the operations of Austroflex and determined that it would not have a substantial continuing involvement in Austroflex's operations and cash flows. Austroflex's results of operations have been presented as discontinued operations in the quarter ended September 29, 2013 and all comparative periods presented have been adjusted in the consolidated interim financial statements to reflect Austroflex's results as discontinued operations.

On December 21, 2012, the Company completed the sale of all of the outstanding shares of its indirectly wholly-owned subsidiary, Flomatic Corporation (Flomatic). The sale excluded the backflow product line of Flomatic, which was retained by the Company. Flomatic, located in Glens Falls, New York, specializes in manufacturing and selling check valves, foot valves and automatic hydraulic control valves for the well water industry. The Company acquired Flomatic as part of its acquisition of Danfoss Socla S.A.S. (Socla) in April 2011. The Company evaluated the operations of Flomatic and determined that it would not have a substantial continuing involvement in Flomatic's operations and cash flows. As a result, Flomatic's cash flows and operations were eliminated from the continuing operations of the Company and classified as discontinued operations for all periods presented.

Pretax profit or losses in discontinued operations are as follows:

	Third Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 29, 2013	September 30, 2012	September 29, 2013	September 30, 2012
	(in millions)			
Flomatic pretax profit in discontinued operations	\$ —	\$ 0.4	\$ —	\$ 1.1
Austroflex pretax (loss) profit in discontinued operations	(2.1)	0.2	(2.4)	(0.1)
Total pretax (loss) profit in discontinued operations	<u>\$ (2.1)</u>	<u>\$ 0.6</u>	<u>\$ (2.4)</u>	<u>\$ 1.0</u>

Revenues reported in discontinued operations are as follows:

	Third Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 29, 2013	September 30, 2012	September 29, 2013	September 30, 2012
	(in millions)			
Flomatic revenues	\$ —	\$ 3.4	\$ —	\$ 10.1
Austroflex revenues	<u>1.8</u>	<u>5.0</u>	<u>9.5</u>	<u>13.6</u>
Total revenues	<u>\$ 1.8</u>	<u>\$ 8.4</u>	<u>\$ 9.5</u>	<u>\$ 23.7</u>

4. Financial Instruments and Derivative Instruments

The Company measures certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value on a recurring basis, including deferred compensation plan assets and related liability, and contingent consideration. There were no designated cash flow hedges as of September 29, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The fair values of these certain financial assets and liabilities were determined using the following inputs at September 29, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	Fair Value Measurements at September 29, 2013 Using:			
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)		Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
	Total			
	(in millions)			
Assets				
Plan asset for deferred compensation(1)	\$ 4.5	\$ 4.5	\$ —	\$ —
Total assets	<u>\$ 4.5</u>	<u>\$ 4.5</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>
Liabilities				
Plan liability for deferred compensation(2)	\$ 4.5	\$ 4.5	\$ —	\$ —
Contingent consideration(3)	4.0	—	—	4.0
Total liabilities	<u>\$ 8.5</u>	<u>\$ 4.5</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 4.0</u>

	Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2012 Using:			
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	Significant Other Observable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs
	Total	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
		(in millions)		
Assets				
Plan asset for deferred compensation(1)	\$ 4.2	\$ 4.2	\$ —	\$ —
Total assets	<u>\$ 4.2</u>	<u>\$ 4.2</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>
Liabilities				
Plan liability for deferred compensation(2)	\$ 4.2	\$ 4.2	\$ —	\$ —
Contingent consideration(3)	5.2	—	—	5.2
Total liabilities	<u>\$ 9.4</u>	<u>\$ 4.2</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 5.2</u>

(1) Included in other, net on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

(2) Included in accrued compensation and benefits on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

(3) Included in other noncurrent liabilities and accrued expenses and other liabilities on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

Table of Contents

The table below provides a summary of the changes in fair value of all financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the period December 31, 2012 to September 29, 2013.

	Balance December 31, 2012	Purchases, sales, settlements, net	Total realized and unrealized (gains) losses included in:		Balance September 29, 2013
			Earnings (in millions)	Comprehensive income	
Contingent consideration	\$ 5.2	\$ (1.2)	\$ 0.3	\$ (0.3)	\$ 4.0

In connection with the tekmar acquisition in January 2012, a contingent liability of \$5.1 million was recognized as the estimate of the acquisition date fair value of the contingent consideration. This liability was classified as Level 3 under the fair value hierarchy as it was based on the probability of achievement of a future performance metric as of the date of the acquisition, which was not observable in the market. Failure to meet the performance metrics would reduce this liability to zero, while complete achievement would increase this liability to the full remaining purchase price of CAD \$8.2 million. A portion of the contingent consideration was paid out during 2013, in the amount of \$1.2 million, based on performance metrics achieved in 2012. The contingent liability was increased by \$0.3 million during the nine month period based on performance metrics achieved to date.

Short-term investment securities as of December 31, 2012 consist of a certificate of deposit with a remaining maturity of greater than three months at the date of purchase, for which the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value. There were no short-term investment securities as of September 29, 2013.

Cash equivalents consist of instruments with remaining maturities of three months or less at the date of purchase and consist primarily of certificates of deposit and money market funds, for which the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

The Company uses financial instruments from time to time to enhance its ability to manage risk, including foreign currency and commodity pricing exposures, which exist as part of its ongoing business operations. The use of derivatives exposes the Company to counterparty credit risk for nonperformance and to market risk related to changes in currency exchange rates and commodity prices. The Company manages its exposure to counterparty credit risk through diversification of counterparties. The Company's counterparties in derivative transactions are substantial commercial banks with significant experience using such derivative instruments. The impact of market risk on the fair value and cash flows of the Company's derivative instruments is monitored and the Company restricts the use of derivative financial instruments to hedging activities. The Company does not enter into contracts for trading purposes nor does the Company enter into any contracts for speculative purposes. The use of derivative instruments is approved by senior management under written guidelines.

The Company has exposure to a number of foreign currency rates, including the Canadian Dollar, the Euro, the Chinese Yuan and the British Pound Sterling. To manage this risk, the Company generally uses a layering methodology whereby at the end of any quarter the Company has generally entered into forward exchange contracts which hedge approximately 50% of the projected intercompany purchase transactions for the next twelve months. The Company primarily uses this strategy for purchases between Canada and the U.S. The average volume of contracts can vary but generally is approximately \$2 million to \$10 million in open contracts at the end of any given quarter. At September 29, 2013, the Company had contracts for notional amounts aggregating to \$2.3 million. The Company accounts for the forward exchange contracts as an economic hedge. Realized and unrealized gains and losses on the contracts are recognized in other (income) expense in the consolidated statement of operations. These contracts do not subject the Company to significant market risk from exchange movement because they offset gains and losses on the related foreign currency denominated transactions.

Fair Value

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, trade receivables and trade payables approximate fair value because of the short maturity of these financial instruments.

The fair values of the Company's 5.85% senior notes due 2016, and 5.05% senior notes due 2020, are based on a discounted cash flow model using comparable industrial companies, the Company's credit metrics, the Company's size, as well as current market interest rates quoted in active markets and are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. The fair value of the Company's variable rate debt approximates its carrying value. The carrying amount and the estimated fair market value of the Company's long-term debt, including the current portion, are as follows:

	September 29, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	(in millions)			
Carrying amount	\$	308.3	\$	384.6
Estimated fair value	\$	336.3	\$	420.8

5. Restructuring and Other Charges, Net

The Company's Board of Directors approves all major restructuring programs that involve the discontinuance of product lines or the shutdown of facilities. From time to time, the Company takes additional restructuring actions, including involuntary terminations that are not part of a major program. The Company accounts for these costs in the period that the individual employees are notified or the liability is incurred. These costs are included in restructuring and other charges in the Company's consolidated statements of operations.

On July 30, 2013, the Board of Directors authorized the initiation of a restructuring program with respect to our European operations to reduce our European manufacturing footprint by approximately 10%, improve organizational and operational efficiency and better align costs with expected revenues in response to changing market conditions. The restructuring program ('2013 Actions') is expected to include a pre-tax charge to earnings totaling approximately \$14.0 million, approximately \$9.8 million of which is expected to be recorded through fiscal 2014 and the remainder of which is expected to be recorded during fiscal 2015. This total charge is expected to include costs for severance benefits, relocation, clean-up, professional fees and certain asset write-downs. The total net after-tax charge for the restructuring program is expected to be approximately \$10.0 million. The restructuring program is expected to be completed by the end of the fourth quarter of fiscal 2015. Certain aspects of the restructuring program are subject to further analysis and determinations by local management and consultation and negotiation with various workers' councils.

The Company also periodically initiates other actions which are not part of a major program. In 2011, 2012 and the first nine months of 2013, the Company initiated restructuring activities in Europe and North America to relocate certain manufacturing activities. Total expected costs are \$4.4 million, including severance and relocation costs. The net after tax charge of \$3.1 million will be incurred through mid-2014.

A summary of the pre-tax cost by restructuring program is as follows:

	Third Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 29, 2013	September 30, 2012	September 29, 2013	September 30, 2012
	(in millions)			
Restructuring costs:				
2011 Actions	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 0.6
2013 Actions	2.5	—	3.1	—
Other Actions	0.3	0.5	3.9	2.2
Total restructuring charges	2.8	0.5	7.0	2.8
Adjustment related to contingent liability reduction	—	(1.0)	—	(1.0)
Total restructuring and other charges, net	\$ 2.8	\$ (0.5)	\$ 7.0	\$ 1.8

The Company recorded pre-tax restructuring and other charges, net in its business segments as follows:

	Third Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 29, 2013	September 30, 2012	September 29, 2013	September 30, 2012
	(in millions)			
North America	\$ —	\$ (0.8)	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.1
EMEA	2.8	0.3	6.7	1.7
Total	\$ 2.8	\$ (0.5)	\$ 7.0	\$ 1.8

Adjustment to gain on disposal of business. During the quarter ended September 30, 2012, the Company recorded a charge of \$1.6 million related to an adjustment to the gain on disposal of Tianjin Watts Valve Company Ltd. (TWVC) within the Asia segment. In 2011, the Company had sold its equity ownership and remaining assets of TWVC and recognized a net pre-tax gain of \$7.7 million and an after-tax gain of approximately \$11.4 million relating mainly to the recognition of a cumulative translation adjustment and a tax benefit related to the reversal of a tax claw back in China. This gain was adjusted in 2012.

2013 Actions

Details of the Company's 2013 European footprint program reserve, which for the nine months ended September 29, 2013 only relates to severance, is as follows:

	Nine Months Ended September, 2013 (in millions)
Balance at March 31, 2013	\$ —
Net pre-tax restructuring charges	0.6
Utilization and foreign currency impact	(0.3)
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$ 0.3
Net pre-tax restructuring charges	2.5
Utilization and foreign currency impact	(0.8)
Balance at September 29, 2013	\$ 2.0

The following table summarizes total expected, incurred and remaining pre-tax costs for 2013 European footprint program actions by type, and all attributable to the EMEA reportable segment:

	Severance	Legal and consultancy	Asset write-downs (in millions)	Facility exit and other	Total
Expected costs	\$ 12.0	\$ 1.6	\$ 0.2	\$ 0.2	\$ 14.0
Costs incurred—second quarter 2013	(0.6)	—	—	—	(0.6)
Costs incurred— third quarter 2013	(2.5)	—	—	—	(2.5)
Remaining costs at September 29, 2013	\$ 8.9	\$ 1.6	\$ 0.2	\$ 0.2	\$ 10.9

6. Earnings per Share

The following tables set forth the reconciliation of the calculation of earnings per share:

	For the Third Quarter Ended September 29, 2013			For the Third Quarter Ended September 30, 2012		
	Income (Numerator)	Shares (Denominator)	Per Share Amount	Income (Numerator)	Shares (Denominator)	Per Share Amount
	(amounts in millions, except per share amounts)					
Basic EPS						
Net income:						
Continuing operations	\$ 17.5	35.4	\$ 0.49	\$ 18.3	35.1	\$ 0.52
Discontinued operations	(2.1)		(0.06)	0.4		0.01
Net income	<u>\$ 15.4</u>		<u>\$ 0.43</u>	<u>\$ 18.7</u>		<u>\$ 0.53</u>
Effect of dilutive securities						
Common stock equivalents		<u>0.2</u>			<u>0.1</u>	
Diluted EPS						
Net income:						
Continuing operations	\$ 17.5		\$ 0.49	\$ 18.3		\$ 0.52
Discontinued operations	(2.1)		(0.06)	0.4		0.01
Net income	<u>\$ 15.4</u>	35.6	<u>\$ 0.43</u>	<u>\$ 18.7</u>	35.2	<u>\$ 0.53</u>

Table of Contents

Options to purchase 0.2 million and 0.3 million shares of Class A common stock were outstanding during the third quarters of 2013 and 2012, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted EPS because to do so would be anti-dilutive.

	For the First Nine Months Ended September 29, 2013			For the First Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012		
	Income (Numerator)	Shares (Denominator)	Per Share Amount	Income (Numerator)	Shares (Denominator)	Per Share Amount
	(amounts in millions, except per share amounts)					
Basic EPS						
Net income:						
Continuing operations	\$ 52.7	35.5	\$ 1.48	\$ 52.2	36.1	\$ 1.44
Discontinued operations	(2.3)		(0.06)	0.7		0.02
Net income	<u>\$ 50.4</u>		<u>\$ 1.42</u>	<u>\$ 52.9</u>		<u>\$ 1.46</u>
Effect of dilutive securities						
Common stock equivalents		<u>0.1</u>			<u>0.2</u>	
Diluted EPS						
Net income:						
Continuing operations	\$ 52.7		\$ 1.48	\$ 52.2		\$ 1.44
Discontinued operations	(2.3)		(0.07)	0.7		0.02
Net income	<u>\$ 50.4</u>	<u>35.6</u>	<u>\$ 1.41</u>	<u>\$ 52.9</u>	<u>36.3</u>	<u>\$ 1.46</u>

Options to purchase 0.3 million and 0.3 million shares of Class A common stock were outstanding during the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted EPS because to do so would be anti-dilutive.

On April 30, 2013, the Company announced that its Board of Directors has authorized the repurchase of up to \$90 million of the Company's Class A common stock from time to time on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. The timing and number of any shares repurchased will be determined by the Company's management based on its evaluation of market conditions. Repurchases may also be made under a Rule 10b5-1 plan, which would permit shares to be repurchased when the Company might otherwise be precluded from doing so under insider trading laws. The repurchase program may be suspended or discontinued at any time, subject to the terms of any Rule 10b5-1 plan the Company may enter into with respect to the repurchase program. During the quarter ended September 29, 2013, the Company repurchased approximately 189,000 shares of Class A common stock at a cost of approximately \$10.0 million. During the first nine month of 2013, the Company repurchased approximately 402,000 shares of Class A common stock at a cost of approximately \$20.0 million.

On May 16, 2012, the Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program of up to two million shares of the Company's Class A common stock. The stock repurchase program was completed in July 2012, as the Company repurchased the entire 2.0 million shares of Class A common stock at a cost of approximately \$65.8 million.

7. Segment Information

The Company operates in three geographic segments: North America, EMEA, and Asia. Each of these segments is managed separately and has separate financial results that are reviewed by the Company's chief operating decision-maker. All intercompany sales transactions have been eliminated. Sales by region are based upon location of the entity recording the sale. The accounting policies for each segment are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies.

The following is a summary of the Company's significant accounts and balances by segment, reconciled to the consolidated totals:

	Third Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 29, 2013	September 30, 2012	September 29, 2013	September 30, 2012
	(in millions)			
Net Sales				
North America	\$ 220.5	\$ 204.0	\$ 657.9	\$ 629.1
EMEA	142.7	141.5	416.0	425.0
Asia	8.6	7.3	23.6	18.8
Consolidated net sales	<u>\$ 371.8</u>	<u>\$ 352.8</u>	<u>\$ 1,097.5</u>	<u>\$ 1,072.9</u>
Operating income (loss)				
North America	\$ 23.5	\$ 25.5	\$ 79.0	\$ 71.6
EMEA	13.5	14.9	34.2	40.0
Asia	2.0	0.8	7.3	4.3
Subtotal reportable segments	<u>39.0</u>	<u>41.2</u>	<u>120.5</u>	<u>115.9</u>
Corporate (*)	<u>(8.8)</u>	<u>(8.7)</u>	<u>(26.1)</u>	<u>(23.3)</u>
Consolidated operating income	<u>30.2</u>	<u>32.5</u>	<u>94.4</u>	<u>92.6</u>

Interest income	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.5
Interest expense	(5.1)	(6.1)	(16.6)	(18.4)
Other income (expense), net	(0.3)	0.6	(1.7)	1.5
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	<u>\$ 24.9</u>	<u>\$ 27.1</u>	<u>\$ 76.5</u>	<u>\$ 76.2</u>
Capital Expenditures				
North America	\$ 2.4	\$ 5.7	\$ 15.2	\$ 10.0
EMEA	2.0	2.9	6.3	7.5
Asia	0.2	0.5	1.1	1.1
Consolidated capital expenditures	<u>\$ 4.6</u>	<u>\$ 9.1</u>	<u>\$ 22.6</u>	<u>\$ 18.6</u>
Depreciation and Amortization				
North America	\$ 5.2	\$ 5.0	\$ 15.3	\$ 14.6
EMEA	6.4	6.2	19.3	19.9
Asia	0.5	0.5	1.8	1.5
Consolidated depreciation and amortization	<u>\$ 12.1</u>	<u>\$ 11.7</u>	<u>\$ 36.4</u>	<u>\$ 36.0</u>
Identifiable Assets (at end of period)				
North America			\$ 703.6	\$ 794.6
EMEA			864.5	781.3
Asia			122.3	91.3
Discontinued operations			—	23.8
Consolidated identifiable assets			<u>\$ 1,690.4</u>	<u>\$ 1,691.0</u>
Property, plant and equipment, net (at end of period)				
North America			\$ 84.7	\$ 76.3
EMEA			120.1	125.2
Asia			14.6	14.6
Consolidated property, plant and equipment, net			<u>\$ 219.4</u>	<u>\$ 216.1</u>

* Corporate expenses are primarily for administrative compensation expense, internal controls costs, professional fees, including legal and audit expenses, shareholder services and benefit administration costs. These costs are not allocated to the geographic segments as they are viewed as corporate functions that support all activities.

The above operating segments are presented on a basis consistent with the presentation included in the Company's December 31, 2012 consolidated financial statements included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

The following includes U.S. net sales and U.S. property, plant and equipment of the Company's North America segment:

	Third Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 29, 2013	September 30, 2012	September 29, 2013	September 30, 2012
	(in millions)			
U.S. net sales	\$ 198.0	\$ 181.4	\$ 592.4	\$ 564.4
U.S. property, plant and equipment (at end of period)			\$ 79.7	\$ 70.6

The following includes intersegment sales for North America, EMEA and Asia:

	Third Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 29, 2013	September 30, 2012	September 29, 2013	September 30, 2012
	(in millions)			
Intersegment Sales				
North America	\$ 1.3	\$ 1.3	\$ 3.9	\$ 3.9
EMEA	2.6	3.3	7.7	7.9
Asia	39.7	35.9	128.8	102.3
Intersegment sales	<u>\$ 43.6</u>	<u>\$ 40.5</u>	<u>\$ 140.4</u>	<u>\$ 114.1</u>

The EMEA segment includes \$1.3 million in assets held for sale at September 29, 2013. North America segment includes \$2.4 million in assets held for sale at September 30, 2012.

8. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) consists of the following:

	Foreign Currency Translation	Pension Adjustment (in millions)	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
Balance December 31, 2012	\$ 14.4	\$ (25.2)	\$ (10.8)
Change in period	(19.9)	0.2	(19.7)
Balance March 31, 2013	\$ (5.5)	\$ (25.0)	\$ (30.5)
Change in period	7.5	0.1	7.6
Balance June 30, 2013	\$ 2.0	\$ (24.9)	\$ (22.9)
Change in period	24.4	0.1	24.5
Balance September 29, 2013	<u>\$ 26.4</u>	<u>\$ (24.8)</u>	<u>\$ 1.6</u>
 Balance December 31, 2011	 \$ 0.1	 \$ (19.1)	 \$ (19.0)
Change in period	16.5	0.2	16.7
Balance April 1, 2012	\$ 16.6	\$ (18.9)	\$ (2.3)
Change in period	(39.7)	0.1	(39.6)
Balance July 1, 2012	\$ (23.1)	\$ (18.8)	\$ (41.9)
Change in period	25.1	0.2	25.3
Balance September 30, 2012	<u>\$ 2.0</u>	<u>\$ (18.6)</u>	<u>\$ (16.6)</u>

9. Debt

The Company's credit agreement (the Credit Agreement) provides for a multi-currency \$300.0 million, five-year, senior unsecured revolving credit facility which may be increased by an additional \$150.0 million under certain circumstances and subject to the terms of the Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement has a sublimit of up to \$75.0 million in letters of credit. The Credit Agreement matures on June 18, 2015.

Borrowings outstanding under the Credit Agreement bear interest at a fluctuating rate per annum equal to (i) in the case of Eurocurrency rate loans, the British Bankers' Association LIBOR rate plus an applicable percentage, ranging from 1.70% to 2.30%, determined by reference to the Company's consolidated leverage ratio plus, in the case of certain lenders, a mandatory cost calculated in accordance with the terms of the Credit Agreement, or (ii) in the case of base rate loans and swing line loans, the highest of (a) the federal funds rate plus 0.5%, (b) the rate of interest in effect for such day as announced by Bank of America, N.A. as its "prime rate," and (c) the British Bankers' Association LIBOR rate plus 1.0%, plus an applicable percentage, ranging from 0.70% to 1.30%, determined by reference to the Company's consolidated leverage ratio. In addition to paying interest under the Credit Agreement, the Company is also required to pay certain fees in connection with the credit facility, including, but not limited to, a facility fee and letter of credit fees. Under the Credit Agreement, the Company is required to satisfy and maintain specified financial ratios and other financial condition tests. The Company may repay loans outstanding under the Credit Agreement from time to time without premium or penalty, other than customary breakage costs, if any, and subject to the terms of the Credit Agreement. As of September 29, 2013, the Company was in compliance with all covenants related to the Credit Agreement and had \$270.4 million of unused and available credit under the Credit Agreement and \$29.6 million of stand-by letters of credit outstanding on the Credit Agreement. The Company did not have any borrowings outstanding under the Credit Agreement at September 29, 2013.

The Company is a party to several note agreements as further detailed in Note 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. These note agreements require the Company to maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of consolidated EBITDA plus consolidated rent expense during the period to consolidated fixed charges. Consolidated fixed charges are the sum of consolidated interest expense for the period and consolidated rent expense. As of September 29, 2013, the Company was in compliance with all covenants regarding these note agreements. The Company repaid the \$75.0 million of unsecured senior notes that matured on May 15, 2013 during the period ended June 30, 2013 with available cash.

10. Contingencies and Environmental Remediation

Accrual and Disclosure Policy

The Company is a defendant in numerous legal matters arising from its ordinary course of operations, including those involving product liability, environmental matters and commercial disputes.

The Company reviews its lawsuits and other legal proceedings on an ongoing basis and follows appropriate accounting guidance when making accrual and disclosure decisions. The Company establishes accruals for matters when the Company assesses that it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated, net of any applicable insurance proceeds. The Company does not establish accruals for such matters when the Company does not believe both that it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. The Company's assessment of whether a loss is probable is based on its assessment of the ultimate outcome of the matter following all appeals.

Under the FASB issued ASC 450 "Contingencies", an event is "reasonably possible" if "the chance of the future event or events occurring is more than remote but less than likely" and an event is "remote" if "the chance of the future event or events occurring is slight". Thus, references to the upper end of the range of reasonable possible loss for cases in which the Company is able to estimate a range of reasonably possible loss mean the upper end of the range of loss for cases for which the Company believes the risk of loss is more than slight.

There may continue to be exposure to loss in excess of any amount accrued. When it is possible to estimate the reasonably possible loss or range of loss above the amount accrued for the matters disclosed, that estimate is aggregated and disclosed. The Company records legal costs associated with its legal contingencies as incurred, except for legal costs associated with product liability claims which are included in the product liability accrual.

As of September 29, 2013, the Company estimates that the aggregate amount of reasonably possible loss in excess of the amount accrued for its legal contingencies is approximately \$6.5 million pre-tax. With respect to the estimate of reasonably possible loss, management has estimated the upper end of the range of reasonably possible loss based on (i) the amount of money damages claimed, where applicable, (ii) the allegations and factual development to date, (iii) available defenses based on the allegations, and/or (iv) other potentially liable parties. This estimate is based upon currently available information and is subject to significant judgment and a variety of assumptions, and known and unknown uncertainties. The matters underlying the estimate will change from time to time, and actual results may vary significantly from the current estimate. In the event of an unfavorable outcome in one or more of the matters described below, the ultimate liability may be in excess of amounts currently accrued, if any, and may be material to the Company's operating results or cash flows for a particular quarterly or annual period. However, based on information currently known to it, management believes that the ultimate outcome of all matters, as they are resolved over time, is not likely to have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the Company, though the outcome could be material to the Company's operating results for any particular period depending, in part, upon the operating results for such period.

Trabakoolas et al., v. Watts Water Technologies, Inc., et al.,

On March 8, 2012, Watts Water Technologies, Inc., Watts Regulator Co., and Watts Plumbing Technologies (Taizho) Co., Ltd., among other companies, were named as defendants in a putative nationwide class action complaint filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California seeking to recover damages and other relief based on the alleged failure of toilet connectors. The complaint seeks among other items, damages in an unspecified amount, replacement costs, injunctive relief, and attorneys' fees and costs. No class certification hearing has been scheduled and the matter is currently in the discovery phase. On August 22, 2013, the Court stayed the action for 45 days, to allow the parties to explore the possibility of settlement. On October 8, 2013, this stay was extended until November 7, 2013, in order to allow the parties additional time to explore settlement. The Company intends to request a further extension of the stay for this purpose. If the stay is lifted, the Company intends to continue to vigorously contest the allegations in this case.

The Company is unable to estimate a range of reasonably possible loss for the above matter in which damages have not been specified because: (i) the proceedings are in the early stages; (ii) there is uncertainty as to the likelihood of a class being certified or the ultimate size of the class; (iii) there are significant factual issues to be resolved; and (iv) there are novel legal issues presented.

Product Liability

The Company is subject to a variety of potential liabilities in connection with product liability cases. The Company maintains product liability and other insurance coverage, which the Company believes to be generally in accordance with industry practices. For product liability cases in the U.S., management establishes its product liability accrual, which includes legal costs associated with accrued claims, by utilizing third-party actuarial valuations which incorporate historical trend factors and the Company's specific claims experience derived from loss reports provided by third-party administrators. In other countries, the Company maintains insurance coverage with relatively high deductible payments, as product liability claims tend to be smaller than those experienced in the U.S. Changes in the nature of claims or the actual settlement amounts could affect the adequacy of this estimate and require changes to the provisions. Because the liability is an estimate, the ultimate liability may be more or less than reported.

Environmental Remediation

The Company has been named as a potentially responsible party with respect to a limited number of identified contaminated sites. The levels of contamination vary significantly from site to site as do the related levels of remediation efforts. Environmental liabilities

are recorded based on the most probable cost, if known, or on the estimated minimum cost of remediation. Accruals are not discounted to their present value, unless the amount and timing of expenditures are fixed and reliably determinable. The Company accrues estimated environmental liabilities based on assumptions, which are subject to a number of factors and uncertainties. Circumstances that can affect the reliability and precision of these estimates include identification of additional sites, environmental regulations, level of cleanup required, technologies available, number and financial condition of other contributors to remediation and the time period over which remediation may occur. The Company recognizes changes in estimates as new remediation requirements are defined or as new information becomes available.

Asbestos Litigation

The Company is defending 42 lawsuits in different jurisdictions, alleging injury or death as a result of exposure to asbestos. The complaints in these cases typically name a large number of defendants and do not identify any particular Company products as a source of asbestos exposure. To date, the Company has obtained a dismissal in every case before it has reached trial because discovery has failed to yield evidence of substantial exposure to any Company products.

Other Litigation

Other lawsuits and proceedings or claims, arising from the ordinary course of operations, are also pending or threatened against the Company.

11. Defined Benefit Plans

The Company sponsors funded and unfunded non-contributing defined benefit pension plans that together cover substantially all of its U.S. employees. Benefits are based primarily on years of service and employees' compensation. The funding policy of the Company for these plans is to contribute an annual amount that does not exceed the maximum amount that can be deducted for federal income tax purposes. On October 31, 2011, the Company's Board of Directors voted to cease accruals effective December 31, 2011 under both the Company's Pension Plan and Supplemental Employees Retirement Plan.

The components of net periodic benefit cost are as follows:

	Third Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 29, 2013	September 30, 2012	September 29, 2013	September 30, 2012
	(in millions)			
Service cost — administrative costs	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.2	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.6
Interest costs on benefits obligation	1.4	1.4	4.2	4.2
Expected return on assets	(1.7)	(1.7)	(5.1)	(5.2)
Net actuarial loss amortization	0.2	0.1	0.6	0.4
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —

The information related to the Company's pension funds cash flow is as follows:

	Nine Months Ended	
	September 29, 2013	September 30, 2012
	(in millions)	
Employer contributions	\$ 0.6	\$ 0.6

The Company expects to contribute approximately \$0.2 million to its pension plans for the remainder of 2013.

12. Subsequent Events

Dividend Declared

On October 29, 2013, the Company declared a quarterly dividend of thirteen cents (\$0.13) per share on each outstanding share of Class A common stock and Class B common stock payable on November 29, 2013 to stockholders of record at the close of business on November 18, 2013.

Item 2. Management's Discussion And Analysis Of Financial Condition And Results Of Operations

Overview

The following discussion and analysis are provided to increase understanding of, and should be read in conjunction with, the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements and notes. In this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, references to "the Company," "Watts," "we," "us" or "our" refer to Watts Water Technologies, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

We operate on a 52-week calendar year ending on December 31. Any quarterly data contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q generally reflects the results of operations for a 13-week period or 39-week period, respectively.

We are a leading supplier of products for use in the water quality, water safety, water flow control and water conservation markets in both North America and EMEA (Europe, Middle East and Africa), with a growing presence in Asia. For over 138 years, we have designed and manufactured products that promote comfort and safety of people and the quality and conservation of water used in commercial and residential applications. We earn revenue and income almost exclusively from the sale of our products. Our principal product lines are:

- Residential & commercial flow control products — includes products typically sold into plumbing and hot water applications such as backflow preventers, water pressure regulators, temperature and pressure relief valves, and thermostatic mixing valves.
- HVAC & gas products — includes hydronic and electric heating systems for under-floor radiant applications, hydronic pump groups for boiler manufacturers and alternative energy control packages, and flexible stainless steel connectors for natural and liquid propane gas in commercial food service and residential applications. HVAC is an acronym for heating, ventilation and air conditioning.
- Drains & water re-use products — includes drainage products and engineered rain water harvesting solutions for commercial, industrial, marine and residential applications.
- Water quality products — includes point-of-use and point-of-entry water filtration, conditioning and scale prevention systems for both commercial and residential applications.

Our business is reported in three geographic segments: North America, EMEA and Asia. We distribute our products through three primary distribution channels: wholesale, do-it-yourself (DIY) and original equipment manufacturers (OEMs). Interest rates, the unemployment rate and credit availability have an indirect effect on the demand for our products due to the effect such rates have on the number of new residential and commercial construction starts and remodeling projects. All of these activities have an impact on our levels of sales and earnings. An additional factor that has an effect on our sales and operating income is fluctuation in foreign currency exchange rates, as approximately 47% of our sales in the third quarter ended September 29, 2013, and certain portions of our costs, assets and liabilities are denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar.

During the third quarter of 2013, sales increased \$19.0 million as compared to the third quarter of 2012, primarily from an organic increase in sales of \$12.7 million and a net increase in sales due to foreign exchange of \$6.3 million. The foreign exchange impact was primarily due to the appreciation of the euro against the U.S. dollar. Organic sales increased by 3.6% compared to last year's comparable period, primarily from increased sales in North America. Organic sales in the third quarter of 2013 increased in North America by \$17.5 million, or 8.6%, and increased in Asia by \$1.1 million, or 15.1%, offset by a decrease in EMEA of \$5.9 million, or 4.2%. Organic sales growth excludes the impacts of acquisitions, divestitures and foreign exchange from year-over-year comparisons. We believe this provides investors with a more complete understanding of underlying sales trends by providing sales growth on a consistent basis. Gross margins decreased in the third quarter of 2013 as compared to 2012 by 0.2 percentage points. The decrease is due primarily to inefficiencies in North America related to our lead free transition program and retail pricing pressure offset partially by overall product mix and production efficiencies in EMEA. Operating income of \$30.2 million decreased by 7.1% in the third quarter of 2013 as compared to the third quarter of 2012, driven by increased SG&A costs which more than offset increased gross profit. Foreign exchange movements were immaterial year to year.

We believe that the factors relating to our future growth include the demand for clean water around the world, a healthy economic environment that fosters residential and commercial construction, regulatory requirements relating to the quality and conservation of water, continued enforcement of plumbing and building codes, our ability to grow organically in select attractive market segments and geographic regions and the successful completion of selective acquisitions, both in our core markets as well as in new complementary markets. Our acquisition strategy focuses on businesses that manufacture preferred brand name products that address our themes of water quality, water conservation, water safety, water flow control, HVAC and related complementary markets. We target businesses that will provide us with one or more of the following: an entry into new markets, an increase in shelf space with existing customers, a new or improved technology or an expansion of the breadth of our water quality, water conservation, water safety and water flow control and HVAC products for the residential and commercial construction markets. We have completed 36 acquisitions since 1999.

Products representing a majority of our sales are subject to regulatory standards and code enforcement, which typically require that these products meet stringent performance criteria. Together with our commissioned manufacturers' representatives, we have consistently advocated for the development and enforcement of such plumbing codes. We are focused on maintaining stringent quality control and testing procedures at each of our manufacturing facilities in order to manufacture products in compliance with code requirements and take advantage of the resulting demand for compliant products. We believe that the product development, product testing capability and investment in plant and

equipment needed to manufacture products in compliance with code requirements, represent a competitive advantage for us.

Historically, we have faced a risk relating to our ability to respond to raw material cost fluctuations. We manage this risk by monitoring related market prices, working with our suppliers to achieve the maximum level of stability in their costs and related pricing, seeking alternative supply sources when necessary, purchasing forward commitments for raw materials, when available, implementing cost reduction programs and passing increases in costs to our customers in the form of price increases.

Another risk we face in all areas of our business is competition. We consider brand preference, engineering specifications, code requirements, price, technological expertise, delivery times, quality and breadth of product offerings to be the primary competitive factors. We believe that product development, product testing capability, breadth of product offerings and investment in plant and equipment needed to manufacture products in compliance with code requirements represent a competitive advantage for us. We expect to spend approximately \$34 million during 2013 for purchases of capital equipment, including our continuing conversion of a portion of our manufacturing facilities to lead free production.

Recent Events

Dividend Declared

On October 29, 2013, we declared a quarterly dividend of thirteen cents (\$0.13) per share on each outstanding share of Class A common stock and Class B common stock payable on November 29, 2013 to stockholders of record at the close of business on November 18, 2013.

Results of Operations

Third Quarter Ended September 29, 2013 Compared to Third Quarter Ended September 30, 2012

Net Sales. Our business is reported in three geographic segments: North America, EMEA and Asia. Our net sales in each of these segments for each of the third quarters of 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	Third Quarter Ended September 29, 2013		Third Quarter Ended September 30, 2012		Change	% Change to Consolidated Net Sales
	Net Sales	% Sales	Net Sales	% Sales		
	(dollars in millions)					
North America	\$ 220.5	59.3%	\$ 204.0	57.8%	\$ 16.5	4.7%
EMEA	142.7	38.4	141.5	40.1	1.2	0.3
Asia	8.6	2.3	7.3	2.1	1.3	0.4
Total	\$ 371.8	100.0%	\$ 352.8	100.0%	\$ 19.0	5.4%

The change in net sales was attributable to the following:

					Change As a % of Consolidated Net Sales				Change As a % of Segment Net Sales		
	North America	EMEA	Asia	Total	North America	EMEA	Asia	Total	North America	EMEA	Asia
	(dollars in millions)										
Organic	\$ 17.5	\$ (5.9)	\$ 1.1	\$ 12.7	5.0%	(1.7)%	0.3%	3.6%	8.6%	(4.2)%	15.1%
Foreign exchange	(1.0)	7.1	0.2	6.3	(0.3)	2.0	0.1	1.8	(0.5)	5.0	2.7
Total	<u>\$ 16.5</u>	<u>\$ 1.2</u>	<u>\$ 1.3</u>	<u>\$ 19.0</u>	<u>4.7%</u>	<u>0.3%</u>	<u>0.4%</u>	<u>5.4%</u>	<u>8.1%</u>	<u>0.8%</u>	<u>17.8%</u>

Our products are sold to wholesalers, DIY chains, and OEMs. The change in organic net sales by channel was attributable to the following:

					Change As a % of Prior Year Sales		
	Wholesale	DIY	OEMs	Total	Wholesale	DIY	OEMs
	(dollars in millions)						
North America	\$ 14.1	\$ 1.9	\$ 1.5	\$ 17.5	9.6%	4.7%	9.0%
EMEA	0.6	(0.2)	(6.3)	(5.9)	0.9	(5.0)	(9.1)
Asia	1.1	—	—	1.1	15.1	—	—
Total	<u>\$ 15.8</u>	<u>\$ 1.7</u>	<u>\$ (4.8)</u>	<u>\$ 12.7</u>	<u>7.1%</u>	<u>3.8%</u>	<u>(5.6)%</u>

Organic net sales in the North America wholesale, DIY and OEM markets increased in the third quarter of 2013, compared to the third quarter of 2012, mainly from increased sales in residential and commercial flow product lines and from our customers continuing to transition to lead free products. Pricing pressure in the retail channel offset unit volume increases during the quarter.

Organic net sales in the EMEA wholesale and DIY market were essentially flat in the third quarter of 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012 with increased drain sales in the Nordic region being offset partially by lower sales in France. Organic net sales into the EMEA OEM market decreased as compared to the third quarter of 2012 as large drains projects in 2012 did not recur again in 2013 and due to some softening in the German market.

The net increase in sales due to foreign exchange was primarily due to the appreciation of the euro against the U.S. dollar. We cannot predict whether the euro will appreciate or depreciate against the U.S. dollar in future periods or whether future foreign exchange rate fluctuations will have a positive or negative impact on our net sales.

Gross Profit. Gross profit and gross profit as a percent of net sales (gross margin) for the third quarters of 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	Third Quarter Ended	
	September 29, 2013	September 30, 2012
	(dollars in millions)	
Gross profit	\$ 133.9	\$ 127.7
Gross margin	36.0%	36.2%

North America's gross margin decreased compared to the third quarter of 2012 due primarily to inefficiencies related to our lead free transition program and retail pricing pressure offset partially by product mix and volume growth. EMEA's gross margin increased primarily due to production efficiencies offsetting lower overhead absorption related to reduced volumes.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative, or SG&A, expenses for the third quarter of 2013 increased \$9.0 million, or 9.8%, compared to the third quarter of 2012. The increase in SG&A expenses was attributable to the following:

(in millions)	% Change
---------------	----------

Organic	\$	7.5	8.2%
Foreign exchange		1.5	1.6
Total	\$	<u>9.0</u>	<u>9.8%</u>

The organic increase in SG&A expenses was primarily due to increased product liability cost of \$3.5 million, increased commission and freight costs of \$2.4 million associated with increased sales, increased personnel costs of \$2.0 million and increased legal costs of \$1.6 million, partially offset by decreased bad debt expense of \$1.3 million and nonrecurring prior year retention costs of \$0.8 million related to our retired CFO. Increased product liability cost in North America of \$3.5 million is based on an updated actuarial analysis that incorporated a recent increase in reported claims, in which the majority relates to legacy products. Increased personnel costs primarily relate to investments in new positions and increased stock incentive plan costs. The increase in legal expenses was primarily for settlements resolved during the quarter. The increase in SG&A expenses from foreign exchange was primarily due to the

appreciation of the euro against the U.S. dollar in 2013. Total SG&A expenses, as a percentage of sales, were 27.1% in the third quarter of 2013 and 26.0% in the third quarter of 2012.

Restructuring and Other Charges, Net. In the third quarter of 2013, we recorded a net charge of \$2.8 million primarily for involuntary terminations and other costs incurred as part of our Europe restructuring plans, as compared to a benefit of \$0.5 million for the third quarter of 2012. The benefit of \$0.5 million related to the reduction of the expected BRAE contingent earnout liability of \$1.0 million offset by involuntary terminations and other costs incurred as part of our Europe and North America restructuring plans. For a more detailed description of our current restructuring plans, see Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Adjustment to Gain on Disposal of Business. In the third quarter of 2012, we incurred a \$1.6 million charge related to an adjustment made to the gain on disposal of Tianjin Watts Valve Company Ltd. (TWVC).

Goodwill and Other Long-Lived Asset Impairment Charges. In the third quarter of 2013 we recorded asset impairment charges of \$0.2 million related to certain assets held for sale. In the third quarter of 2012, we recorded asset impairment charges of \$2.4 million primarily related to goodwill impairment at BRAE of \$1.0 million and \$1.4 million of impairment charges related to certain assets held for sale.

Operating Income. Operating income (loss) by geographic segment for the third quarters of 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	Third Quarter Ended		Change	% Change to Consolidated Operating Income
	September 29, 2013	September 30, 2012		
	(dollars in millions)			
North America	\$ 23.5	\$ 25.5	\$ (2.0)	(6.2)%
EMEA	13.5	14.9	(1.4)	(4.3)
Asia	2.0	0.8	1.2	3.7
Corporate	(8.8)	(8.7)	(0.1)	(0.3)
Total	<u>\$ 30.2</u>	<u>\$ 32.5</u>	<u>\$ (2.3)</u>	<u>(7.1)%</u>

The increase (decrease) in operating income (loss) is attributable to the following:

	Change As a % of Consolidated Operating Income					Change As a % of Segment Operating Income				
	North America	EMEA	Asia	Corp.	Total	North America	EMEA	Asia	Corp.	Total
	(dollars in millions)					(dollars in millions)				
Organic	\$ (3.3)	\$ 0.3	\$ (0.4)	\$ (0.1)	\$ (3.5)	(10.2)%	0.9%	(1.2)%	(0.3)%	(10.8)%
Foreign exchange	(0.2)	0.8	—	—	0.6	(0.6)	2.5	—	—	1.9
Restructuring, impairment charges and other	1.5	(2.5)	1.6	—	(0.6)	4.6	(7.7)	4.9	—	1.8
Total	<u>\$ (2.0)</u>	<u>\$ (1.4)</u>	<u>\$ 1.2</u>	<u>\$ (0.1)</u>	<u>\$ (2.3)</u>	<u>(6.2)%</u>	<u>(4.3)%</u>	<u>3.7%</u>	<u>(0.3)%</u>	<u>(7.1)%</u>

The decrease in consolidated operating income was due primarily to an increase in SG&A expenses. The decrease in North America's organic operating income was driven by higher product liability costs, inefficiencies related to our lead free transition program and retail pricing pressure, offset by product mix, higher sales volume and product efficiencies. The EMEA organic operating income increase was due to productivity efficiencies, SG&A expense reductions and selected price increases partially offset by lower gross profit from volume declines.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$1.0 million, or 16.4%, for the third quarter of 2013 as compared to the third quarter of 2012 due to a lower balance outstanding on our stand-by letters of credit and the retirement in mid-May 2013 of \$75 million in unsecured senior notes.

Other expense (income), net. Other expense (income), net increased \$0.9 million for the third quarter of 2013 as compared to the third quarter of 2012, primarily due to foreign currency transaction losses in Asia as a result of the appreciation of the Chinese yuan against the U.S. dollar in 2013.

Income Taxes. Our effective income tax rate for continuing operations decreased to 29.7% in the third quarter of 2013, from 32.5% for the third quarter of 2012. The decrease was largely due to the revaluation of our Danish subsidiary's deferred tax liabilities as a result of a tax rate reduction in Denmark.

Net Income. Net income from continuing operations for the third quarter of 2013 was \$17.5 million, or \$0.49 per common share, compared to \$18.3 million, or \$0.52 per common share, for the third quarter of 2012. Results for the third quarter of 2013 include an after-tax charge of \$2.1 million, or \$0.06 per common share, for restructuring and other charges and goodwill and other long-lived asset impairment charges, compared to \$3.0 million, or \$0.09 per common share, for the third quarter of 2012.

Nine Months Ended September 29, 2013 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012

Net Sales. Our business is reported in three geographic segments: North America, EMEA and Asia. Our net sales in each of these segments for each of the first nine months of 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	Nine Months Ended September 29, 2013		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012		Change	% Change to Consolidated Net Sales
	Net Sales	% Sales	Net Sales	% Sales		
			(dollars in millions)			
North America	\$ 657.9	59.9%	\$ 629.1	58.6%	\$ 28.8	2.7%
EMEA	416.0	37.9	425.0	39.6	(9.0)	(0.8)
Asia	23.6	2.2	18.8	1.8	4.8	0.4
Total	<u>\$ 1,097.5</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$ 1,072.9</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$ 24.6</u>	<u>2.3%</u>

The change in net sales was attributable to the following:

	Change As a % of Consolidated Net Sales				Change As a % of Segment Net Sales		
	North America	EMEA	Asia	Total	North America	EMEA	Asia
					(dollars in millions)		
Organic	\$ 29.4	\$ (19.5)	\$ 4.5	\$ 14.4	2.7%	(1.8)%	0.4%
Foreign exchange	(1.3)	10.5	0.3	9.5	(0.1)	1.0	—
Acquired	0.7	—	—	0.7	0.1	—	—
Total	<u>\$ 28.8</u>	<u>\$ (9.0)</u>	<u>\$ 4.8</u>	<u>\$ 24.6</u>	<u>2.7%</u>	<u>(0.8)%</u>	<u>0.4%</u>

Our products are sold to wholesalers, DIY chains, and OEMs. The change in organic net sales by channel was attributable to the following:

	Change As a % of Prior Year Sales			
	Wholesale	DIY	OEMs	Total
	(dollars in millions)			
North America	\$ 24.4	\$ 1.9	\$ 3.1	\$ 29.4
EMEA	(4.9)	(2.8)	(11.8)	(19.5)
Asia	4.5	—	—	4.5
Total	<u>\$ 24.0</u>	<u>\$ (0.9)</u>	<u>\$ (8.7)</u>	<u>\$ 14.4</u>

Organic net sales in the North America wholesale market increased in the first nine months of 2013, compared to the first nine months of 2012, mainly from increased sales in residential and commercial flow products and in HVAC product lines. Organic sales increased in the North America DIY market in the first nine months of 2013 compared to the first nine months of 2012 through unit sales increases that were partially offset by pricing degradation. Organic net sales in the North America OEM market increased compared to the first nine months of 2012 due primarily to an increase in residential and commercial flow product sales.

Organic net sales in the EMEA wholesale market decreased in the first nine months of 2013 as compared to the same period in 2012 primarily due to the economic market conditions in France and Germany, partially offset by continued growth in our drains and export business. Organic net sales into the EMEA OEM market decreased as compared to the first nine months of 2012 primarily due to a slower HVAC market in Germany and fewer large project sales in the drains business.

The net increase in sales due to foreign exchange was primarily due to the appreciation of the euro against the U.S. dollar. We cannot predict whether the euro will appreciate or depreciate against the U.S. dollar in future periods or whether future foreign exchange rate fluctuations will have a positive or negative impact on our net sales.

Acquired net sales growth in North America was due to the inclusion of tekmar sales for an entire nine months in the 2013 period.

Gross Profit. Gross profit and gross profit as a percent of net sales (gross margin) for the first nine months of 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	Nine Months Ended	
	September 29, 2013	September 30, 2012
	(dollars in millions)	
Gross profit	\$ 395.6	\$ 384.9
Gross margin	36.0%	35.9%

North America's gross margin increased slightly compared to the first nine months of 2012 due primarily to improved productivity and product mix over the nine months offset by inefficiencies related to our lead free conversion program and pricing pressures in the

North America retail channel. EMEA's gross margin increased slightly due primarily to production efficiencies and select price increases, offsetting lower overhead absorption related to reduced volumes.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative, or SG&A, expenses for the first nine months of 2013 increased \$8.1 million, or 2.8%, compared to the first nine months of 2012. The increase in SG&A expenses was attributable to the following:

	(in millions)	% Change
Organic	\$ 5.5	1.9%
Foreign exchange	2.3	0.8
Acquired	0.3	0.1
Total	<u>\$ 8.1</u>	<u>2.8%</u>

The organic increase in SG&A expenses was primarily due to increased personnel costs of \$2.6 million, increased freight and commission costs of \$3.0 million associated with increased sales and increased product liability cost of \$2.7 million and legal settlement costs of \$1.6 million, partially offset by decreased depreciation and amortization expense of \$1.2 million costs, nonrecurring prior year retention costs of \$0.8 million related to our retired CFO, decreased bad debt expense of \$0.5 million and advertising costs of \$0.9 million. Increased product liability cost in North America of \$2.7 million is based on an updated actuarial analysis that incorporated a recent increase in reported claims, in which the majority relates to legacy products. Increased personnel costs primarily relate to investments in new positions and increased stock incentive plan costs. The increase in SG&A expenses from foreign exchange was primarily due to the appreciation of the euro against the U.S. dollar in 2013. Acquired SG&A expenses were related to the tekmar acquisition. Total SG&A expenses, as a percentage of sales, were 26.8% in the first nine months of 2013 and 26.6% in the first nine months of 2012.

Restructuring and Other Charges, Net. In the first nine months of 2013, we recorded a net charge of \$7.0 million primarily for involuntary terminations and other costs incurred as part of our Europe and North America restructuring plans, as compared to \$1.8 million of restructuring and other charges for the first nine months of 2012. For a more detailed description of our current restructuring plans, see Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Adjustment to Gain on Disposal of Business. In the first nine months of 2012, we incurred a \$1.6 million charge related to an adjustment made to the gain on disposal of TWVC.

Goodwill and Other Long-Lived Asset Impairment Charges. In the first nine months of 2013 we recorded asset impairment charges of \$0.2 million related to certain assets held for sale, as compared to asset impairment charges of \$3.0 million for the first nine months of 2012 primarily related to goodwill impairment at BRAE of \$1.0 million and \$1.4 million of impairment charges related to certain assets held for sale.

Operating Income. Operating income (loss) by geographic segment for the first nine months of 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	Nine Months Ended			% Change to Consolidated Operating Income
	September 29, 2013	September 30, 2012	Change	
	(dollars in millions)			
North America	\$ 79.0	\$ 71.6	\$ 7.4	8.0%
EMEA	34.2	40.0	(5.8)	(6.3)
Asia	7.3	4.3	3.0	3.2
Corporate	(26.1)	(23.3)	(2.8)	(3.0)
Total	\$ 94.4	\$ 92.6	\$ 1.8	1.9%

The increase (decrease) in operating income (loss) is attributable to the following:

	Change					Change					Change			
	As a % of Consolidated Operating Income					As a % of Segment Operating Income					As a % of Segment Operating Income			
	North America	EMEA	Asia	Corp.	Total	North America	EMEA	Asia	Corp.	Total	North America	EMEA	Asia	Corp.
	(dollars in millions)													
Organic	\$ 5.5	\$ (2.5)	\$ 1.3	\$ (2.8)	\$ 1.5	5.9%	(2.7)%	1.4%	(3.0)%	1.6%	7.7%	(6.3)%	30.2%	12.0%
Foreign exchange	(0.3)	1.1	0.1	—	0.9	(0.3)	1.2	0.1	—	1.0	(0.4)	2.8	2.3	—
Acquired	0.1	—	—	—	0.1	0.1	—	—	—	0.1	0.1	—	—	—
Restructuring, impairment charges and other	2.1	(4.4)	1.6	—	(0.7)	2.3	(4.8)	1.7	—	(0.8)	2.9	(11.0)	37.3	—
Total	<u>\$ 7.4</u>	<u>\$ (5.8)</u>	<u>\$ 3.0</u>	<u>\$ (2.8)</u>	<u>\$ 1.8</u>	<u>8.0%</u>	<u>(6.3)%</u>	<u>3.2%</u>	<u>(3.0)%</u>	<u>1.9%</u>	<u>10.3%</u>	<u>(14.5)%</u>	<u>69.8%</u>	<u>12.0%</u>

The increase in consolidated operating income was due primarily to an increase in gross profit from increased sales volume offset by the increase in SG&A and restructuring expenses as previously discussed. The increase in North America's organic operating income

was driven by improved gross profit driven by higher sales volume offset by inefficiencies related to our lead free conversion program and pricing pressures in the North America retail channel. The EMEA operating income decrease was due to lower gross profit from a sales volume decline and higher restructuring costs, partially offset by SG&A expense reductions. Corporate costs increased over the prior year due to personnel costs of \$3.0 million for investments in new positions and higher stock incentive plan costs. The acquired operating income was related to the tekmar acquisition.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$1.8 million, or 9.8%, for the first nine months of 2013 as compared to the first nine months of 2012 due to the lower balance outstanding on our stand-by letters of credit and the retirement in mid-May 2013 of \$75.0 million unsecured senior notes.

Other expense (income), net. Other expense (income), net increased \$3.2 million for the first nine months of 2013 as compared to the first nine months of 2012, primarily due to foreign currency transaction losses in Asia as a result of the appreciation of the Chinese yuan against the U.S. dollar in 2013. In addition, a favorable customs settlement recorded in 2012 did not repeat in 2013.

Income Taxes. Our effective income tax rate for continuing operations decreased to 31.1% in the first nine months ended September 29, 2013, from 31.5% for the first nine months ended September 30, 2012. The rate remained fairly steady with the reduction of the Danish tax rate in 2013 helping to keep the rate at a slightly lower than historic level.

Net Income. Net income from continuing operations for the first nine months of 2013 was \$52.7 million, or \$1.48 per common share, compared to \$52.2 million, or \$1.44 per common share, for the first nine months of 2012. Results for the first nine months of 2013 include an after-tax charge of \$5.1 million, or \$0.14 per common share, for restructuring and other charges and goodwill and other long-lived asset impairment charges, compared to \$4.8 million, or \$0.13 per common share, for the first nine months of 2012. Further, the effects of the share repurchase programs contributed \$0.03 per common share in the first nine months of 2013.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We generated \$67.7 million of net cash from operating activities in the first nine months of 2013 as compared to cash generation of \$71.5 million in the first nine months of 2012. This decrease is primarily due to the net change in working capital driven by increased accounts receivable due to increased sales volume and increased inventory relating to the incremental inventory build for the lead free transition program. During the nine month period ended September 29, 2013 we invested an incremental \$28 million of inventory in preparation of the transition of our customers to lead free inventory.

We used \$19.1 million of net cash for investing activities for the first nine months of 2013, for capital equipment, primarily related to our new lead free foundry. For the last quarter of fiscal year 2013, we expect to invest approximately \$11 million in capital equipment as part of our ongoing commitment to improve our operating capabilities.

We used \$102.3 million of net cash for financing activities for the first nine months of 2013 primarily for the repayment of the \$75.0 million of unsecured senior notes that matured on May 15, 2013, payments to repurchase approximately 402,000 shares of Class A common stock at a cost of approximately \$20.0 million and payment of dividends of \$13.1 million, offset by proceeds of \$9.4 million from option exercises under the employee stock plans.

Our credit agreement (the Credit Agreement) provides for a multi-currency \$300.0 million, five-year, senior unsecured revolving credit facility which may be increased by an additional \$150.0 million under certain circumstances and subject to the terms of the Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement has a sublimit of up to \$75.0 million in letters of credit. The Credit Agreement matures on June 18, 2015.

Borrowings outstanding under the Credit Agreement bear interest at a fluctuating rate per annum equal to (i) in the case of Eurocurrency rate loans, the British Bankers' Association LIBOR rate plus an applicable percentage, ranging from 1.70% to 2.30%, determined by reference to our consolidated leverage ratio plus, in the case of certain lenders, a mandatory cost calculated in accordance with the terms of the Credit Agreement, or (ii) in the case of base rate loans and swing line loans, the highest of (a) the federal funds rate plus 0.5%, (b) the rate of interest in effect for such day as announced by Bank of America, N.A. as its "prime rate," and (c) the British Bankers' Association LIBOR rate plus 1.0%, plus an applicable percentage, ranging from 0.70% to 1.30%, determined by reference to our consolidated leverage ratio. In addition to paying interest under the Credit Agreement, we are also required to pay certain fees in connection with the credit facility, including, but not limited to, a facility fee and letter of credit fees. Under the Credit Agreement, we are required to satisfy and maintain specified financial ratios and other financial condition tests. We may repay loans outstanding under the Credit Agreement from time to time without premium or penalty, other than customary breakage costs, if any, and subject to the terms of the Credit Agreement. As of September 29, 2013, we had \$29.6 million of stand-by letters of credit outstanding under the Credit Agreement. As of September 29, 2013, we were in compliance with all covenants related to the Credit Agreement and had \$270.4 million of unused and available credit under the Credit Agreement.

Working capital (defined as current assets less current liabilities) as of September 29, 2013 was \$502.6 million compared to \$454.9 million as of December 31, 2012. Cash and cash equivalents decreased to \$227.2 million as of September 29, 2013, compared to \$271.3 million as of December 31, 2012. The decrease in cash and cash equivalents was driven primarily by the repayment of debt, repurchase of stock and an increase in inventory and capital spending related to our lead free transition program, offset partially by

cash generated from operations. The ratio of current assets to current liabilities was 2.6 to 1 as of September 29, 2013 and 2.2 to 1 as of December 31, 2012.

As of September 29, 2013, we held \$227.2 million in cash and cash equivalents. Of this amount, approximately \$196.6 million of cash and cash equivalents was held by foreign subsidiaries. Our ability to fund operations from this balance could be limited by possible tax implications of moving proceeds across jurisdictions. Our U.S. operations currently generate sufficient cash flows to meet our domestic obligations. We also have the ability to borrow funds at reasonable interest rates or utilize the committed funds under our Credit Agreement. However, if amounts held by foreign subsidiaries were needed to fund operations in the United States, we could be required to accrue and pay taxes to repatriate these funds. Such charges may include a federal tax of up to 35.0% on dividends received in the U.S., potential state income taxes and an additional withholding tax payable to foreign jurisdictions of up to 10.0%. However, our intent is to permanently reinvest undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries and we do not have any current plans to repatriate them to fund operations in the United States.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

We believe free cash flow to be an appropriate supplemental measure of our operating performance because it provides investors with a measure of our ability to generate cash, repay debt, pay dividends, repurchase stock and fund acquisitions. Other companies may define free cash flow differently. Free cash flow does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP. Therefore it should not be considered an alternative to net cash provided by operations as an indication of our performance. The cash conversion rate of free cash flow to net income is also a measure of our performance in cash flow generation.

A reconciliation of net cash provided by operating activities to free cash flow and calculation of our cash conversion rate is provided below:

	Nine Months Ended	
	September 29, 2013	September 30, 2012
	(in millions)	
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 67.7	\$ 71.5
Less: additions to property, plant, and equipment	(22.6)	(18.6)
Plus: proceeds from the sale of property, plant, and equipment	1.4	0.9
Free cash flow	\$ 46.5	\$ 53.8
Net income from continuing operations	\$ 52.7	\$ 52.2
Cash conversion rate of free cash flow to net income from continuing operations	88.2%	103.1%

Our free cash flow decreased in the first nine months of 2013 when compared to the first nine months of 2012 primarily due to cash investment in working capital and additional capital spending, primarily related to the lead free transition program.

Our net debt to capitalization ratio (a non-GAAP financial measure) at September 29, 2013 was 7.6%, compared to 10.8% at December 31, 2012. The decrease in net debt to capitalization ratio is due to a reduction in net debt and incremental net income recorded during the period. Management believes the net debt to capitalization ratio is an appropriate supplemental measure because it helps investors understand our ability to meet our financing needs and serves as a basis to evaluate our financial structure. Our computation may not be comparable to other companies that may define their net debt to capitalization ratios differently.

A reconciliation of long-term debt (including current portion) to net debt and our net debt to capitalization ratio is provided below:

	September 29, 2013	December 31, 2012
	(in millions)	
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 2.1	\$ 77.1
Plus: long-term debt, net of current portion	306.2	307.5
Less: cash and cash equivalents	(227.2)	(271.3)
Net debt	\$ 81.1	\$ 113.3
Net debt	\$ 81.1	\$ 113.3
Plus: total stockholders' equity	985.9	939.5
Capitalization	\$ 1,067.0	\$ 1,052.8
Net debt to capitalization ratio	7.6%	10.8%

We maintain letters of credit that guarantee our performance or payment to third parties in accordance with specified terms and conditions. Amounts outstanding were approximately \$29.6 million as of September 29, 2013 and \$34.8 million at December 31, 2012. Our letters of credit are primarily associated with insurance coverage and, to a lesser extent, foreign purchases and generally expire within one year of issuance. These instruments may exist or expire without being drawn down; therefore they do not necessarily represent future cash flow obligations.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Except for operating lease commitments, we have no off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that are material to investors.

Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Key Estimates

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP requires management to make judgments, assumptions and estimates that affect the amounts reported. A critical accounting estimate is an assumption about highly uncertain matters and could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements if another, also reasonable, amount were used, or, a change in the estimate is reasonably likely from period to period. We base our assumptions on historical experience and on other estimates that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ significantly from these estimates. There were no changes in accounting policies or significant changes in accounting estimates during the first nine months of 2013.

We periodically discuss the development, selection and disclosure of the estimates with our Audit Committee. Management believes the following critical accounting policies reflect its more significant estimates and assumptions.

Revenue recognition

We recognize revenue when all of the following criteria are met: (1) we have entered into a binding agreement, (2) the product has shipped and title has passed, (3) the sales price to the customer is fixed or is determinable and (4) collectability is reasonably assured. We recognize revenue based upon a determination that all criteria for revenue recognition have been met, which, based on the majority of our shipping terms, is considered to have occurred upon shipment of the finished product. Some shipping terms require the goods to be received by the customer before title passes. In those instances, revenues are not recognized until the customer has received the goods. We record estimated reductions to revenue for customer returns and allowances and for customer programs. Provisions for returns and allowances are made at the time of sale, derived from historical trends and form a portion of the allowance for doubtful accounts. Customer programs, which are primarily annual volume incentive plans, allow customers to earn credit for attaining agreed upon purchase targets from us. We record estimated reductions to revenue, made at the time of sale, for customer programs based on estimated purchase targets.

Allowance for doubtful accounts

The allowance for doubtful accounts is established to represent our best estimate of the net realizable value of the outstanding accounts receivable. The development of our allowance for doubtful accounts varies by region but in general is based on a review of past due amounts, historical write-off experience, as well as aging trends affecting specific accounts and general operational factors affecting all accounts. In addition, factors are developed in certain regions utilizing historical trends of sales and returns and allowances and cash discount activities to derive a reserve for returns and allowances and cash discounts.

We uniformly consider current economic trends and changes in customer payment terms when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts. We also aggressively monitor the creditworthiness of our largest customers, and periodically review customer credit limits to reduce risk. If circumstances relating to specific customers change or unanticipated changes occur in the general business environment, our estimates of the recoverability of receivables could be further adjusted.

Inventory valuation

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market with costs determined primarily on a first-in first-out basis. We utilize both specific product identification and historical product demand as the basis for determining our excess or obsolete inventory reserve. We identify all inventories that exceed a range of one to four years in sales. This is determined by comparing the current inventory balance against unit sales for the trailing twelve months. New products added to inventory within the past twelve months are excluded from this analysis. A portion of our products contain recoverable materials, therefore the excess and obsolete reserve is established net of any recoverable amounts. Changes in market conditions, lower-than-expected customer demand, changes in regulation such as the lead to lead free requirements in the U.S., or changes in technology or features could result in additional obsolete inventory that is not saleable and could require additional inventory reserve provisions.

In certain countries, additional inventory reserves are maintained for potential shrinkage experienced in the manufacturing process. The reserve is established based on the prior year's inventory losses adjusted for any change in the gross inventory balance.

Goodwill and other intangibles

We have made numerous acquisitions over the years which included the recognition of a significant amount of goodwill. Goodwill is tested for impairment annually or more frequently if an event or circumstance indicates that an impairment loss may have been incurred. Application of the goodwill impairment test requires judgment, including the identification of reporting units, assignment of assets and liabilities to reporting units, and determination of the fair value of each reporting unit. We estimate the fair value of our reporting units using an income approach based on the present value of estimated future cash flows. We believe this approach yields the most appropriate evidence of fair value as our reporting units are not easily compared to other corporations involved in similar businesses. We have determined we have eight reporting units including Residential and Commercial, Dormont, Drains & Water Re-use, BRAE, Water Quality, EMEA, Blücher, and Asia. Our Water Quality reporting unit does not have goodwill.

We review goodwill for impairment as of month end October utilizing either qualitative or quantitative analyses. We have the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If, after assessing the totality of events and circumstances, we determine it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is greater than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step (quantitative) impairment test is unnecessary.

We first identify those reporting units that we believe could pass a qualitative assessment to determine whether further impairment testing is necessary. For each reporting unit identified, our qualitative analysis includes:

- 1) A review of the most recent fair value calculation to identify the extent of the cushion between fair value and carrying amount, to determine if a substantial cushion existed.
- 2) A review of events and circumstances that have occurred since the most recent fair value calculation to determine if those events or circumstances would have affected our previous fair value assessment. Items identified and reviewed include macroeconomic conditions, industry and market changes, cost factor changes, events that affect the reporting unit, financial performance against expectations and the reporting unit's performance relative to peers.

We then compile this information and make our assessment of whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If we determine it is not more likely than not, then no further quantitative analysis is required.

The second analysis for goodwill impairment involves a quantitative two-step process. The first step of the impairment test requires a comparison of the fair value of each of our reporting units to the respective carrying value. If the carrying value of a reporting unit is less than its fair value, no indication of impairment exists and a second step is not performed. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit is higher than its fair value, there is an indication that impairment may exist and a second step must be performed. In the second step, the impairment is computed by comparing the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill with the carrying amount of the goodwill. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill is greater than the implied fair value of its goodwill, an impairment loss must be recognized for the excess and charged to operations.

Inherent in our development of the present value of future cash flow projections are assumptions and estimates derived from a review of our operating results, business plans, expected growth rates, cost of capital and tax rates. We also make certain assumptions about future economic conditions and other market data. We develop our assumptions based on our historical results including sales growth, operating profits, working capital levels and tax rates.

We believe that the discounted cash flow model is sensitive to the selected discount rate. We use third-party valuation specialists to help develop appropriate discount rates for each reporting unit. We use standard valuation practices to arrive at a weighted average cost of capital based on the market and guideline public companies. The higher the discount rate, the lower the discounted cash flows. While we believe that our estimates of future cash flows are reasonable, different assumptions could significantly affect our valuations and result in impairments in the future.

As of October 28, 2012, the annual impairment analysis date, the fair value of the EMEA reporting unit exceeded the carrying value by a significant amount. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, operating results for the EMEA reporting unit had been hindered by the downturn in the economic environment in Europe and continued to fall below the expected operating results and growth rates used in the calculation of the present value of future cash flow projections, triggering the decision to update the impairment analysis. As a result of the updated fair value assessment, it was determined that the fair value of the EMEA reporting unit did decrease from year end but continues to exceed its carrying value by approximately 15%. We also performed an analysis on the long-lived assets in the EMEA reporting unit as a result of the triggering event and concluded that these assets were not impaired.

Based on the operating results for the three months ended September 29, 2013 and the expected savings from the European operations restructuring program approved by the Board on July 30, 2013, it was determined that the fair value of the EMEA reporting unit continues to exceed its carrying value.

Should the EMEA reporting unit's operating results decline further for any reason, including if the European marketplace deteriorates beyond our current expectations or should interest rates increase significantly, then the reporting unit's goodwill may be at risk for impairment in the future. The EMEA reporting unit's goodwill balance as of September 29, 2013 was \$218.2 million.

Product liability and workers' compensation costs

Because of retention requirements associated with our insurance policies, we are generally self-insured for potential product liability claims and for workers' compensation costs associated with workplace accidents. We are subject to a variety of potential liabilities in connection with product liability cases and we maintain product liability and other insurance coverage, which we believe to be generally in accordance with industry practices. For product liability cases in the U.S., management establishes its product liability accrual, which includes legal costs associated with accrued claims, by utilizing third-party actuarial valuations that incorporate historical trend factors and our specific claims experience derived from loss reports provided by third-party administrators. In other countries, we maintain insurance coverage with relatively high deductible payments, as product liability claims tend to be smaller than those experienced in the U.S. Changes in the nature of claims or the actual settlement amounts could affect the adequacy of this estimate and require changes to the provisions. Because the liability is an estimate, the ultimate liability may be more or less than reported.

Workers' compensation liabilities in the U.S. are recognized for claims incurred (including claims incurred but not reported), legal costs associated with accrued claims, and for changes in the status of individual case reserves. At the time a workers' compensation claim is filed, a liability is estimated to settle the claim. The liability for workers' compensation claims is determined based on management's estimates of the nature and severity of the claims and based on analysis provided by third-party administrators and by various state statutes and reserve requirements. We have developed our own trend factors based on our specific claims experience, discounted based on risk-free interest rates. We employ third-party actuarial valuations to help us estimate our workers' compensation accrual. In other countries where workers' compensation costs are applicable, we maintain insurance coverage with limited deductible payments. Because the liability is an estimate, the ultimate liability may be more or less than reported and is subject to changes in discount rates.

We determine the trend factors for product liability and workers' compensation liabilities based on consultation with outside actuaries.

We maintain excess liability insurance with outside insurance carriers to minimize our risks related to catastrophic claims in excess of all self-insured positions. Any material change in the aforementioned factors could have an adverse impact on our operating results.

Legal contingencies

We are a defendant in numerous legal matters including those involving environmental law and product liability as discussed in more detail in Part I, Item 1, "Business - Product Liability, Environmental and Other Litigation Matters," of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. As required by GAAP, we determine whether an estimated loss from a loss contingency should be accrued by assessing whether a loss is deemed probable and the loss amount can be reasonably estimated, net of any applicable insurance proceeds. When it is possible to estimate reasonably possible loss or range of loss above the amount accrued, that estimate is aggregated and disclosed. Estimates of potential outcomes of these contingencies are developed in consultation with outside counsel. While this assessment is based upon all available information, litigation is inherently uncertain and the actual liability to fully resolve this litigation cannot be predicted with any assurance of accuracy. In the event of an unfavorable outcome in one or more legal matters, the ultimate liability may be in excess of amounts currently accrued, if any, and may be material to our operating results or cash flows for a particular quarterly or annual period.

Pension benefits

We account for our pension plans in accordance with GAAP, which involves recording a liability or asset based on the projected benefit obligation and the fair value of plan assets. Assumptions are made regarding the valuation of benefit obligations and the performance of plan assets. The primary assumptions are as follows:

- Weighted average discount rate—this rate is used to estimate the current value of future benefits. This rate is adjusted based on movement in long-term interest rates.
- Expected long-term rate of return on assets—this rate is used to estimate future growth in investments and investment earnings. The expected return is based upon a combination of historical market performance and anticipated future returns for a portfolio reflecting the mix of equity, debt and other investments indicative of our plan assets.

We determine these assumptions based on consultation with outside actuaries and investment advisors. Any variance in these assumptions could have a significant impact on future recognized pension costs, assets and liabilities. On October 31, 2011, our Board of Directors voted to cease accruals of additional benefits effective December 31, 2011 under both the Pension Plan and Supplemental Employees Retirement Plan.

Income taxes

We estimate and use our expected annual effective income tax rates to accrue income taxes. Effective tax rates are determined based on budgeted earnings before taxes, including our best estimate of permanent items that will affect the effective rate for the year. Management periodically reviews these rates with outside tax advisors and changes are made if material variances from expectations are identified.

We recognize deferred taxes for the expected future consequences of events that have been reflected in the consolidated financial statements. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between the book values and tax bases of particular assets and liabilities, using tax rates in effect for the years in which the differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is provided to offset any net deferred tax assets if, based upon the available evidence, it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. We consider estimated future taxable income and ongoing prudent tax planning strategies in assessing the need for a valuation allowance.

New Accounting Standards

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-11, “Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists”, which is intended to eliminate the diversity in practice in the presentation of unrecognized tax benefits in those instances. ASU 2013-11 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2013. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company’s financial statements.

In March 2013, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2013-05, “Parent’s Accounting for the Cumulative Translation Adjustment upon Derecognition of Certain Subsidiaries or Groups of Assets within a Foreign Entity or of an Investment in a Foreign Entity.” This ASU is intended to eliminate diversity in practice on the release of cumulative translation adjustment into net income when a parent either sells a part or all of its investment in a foreign entity or no longer holds a controlling financial interest. In addition, the amendments in this ASU resolve the diversity in practice for the treatment of business combinations achieved in stages (sometimes also referred to as step acquisitions) involving a foreign entity. The provisions of this ASU are effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2013, with early adoption permitted, and must be applied prospectively. We early adopted the ASU in fiscal year 2013.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02, “Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income”, which requires additional disclosures about amounts reclassified out of OCI by component, either on the face of the income statement or as a separate footnote to the financial statements. ASU 2013-02 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2012. The adoption of this guidance has not had a material impact on our financial statements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We use derivative financial instruments primarily to reduce exposure to adverse fluctuations in foreign exchange rates, interest rates and costs of certain raw materials used in the manufacturing process. We do not enter into derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. As a matter of policy, all derivative positions are used to reduce risk by hedging underlying economic exposure. The derivatives we use are instruments with liquid markets.

Our consolidated earnings, which are reported in United States dollars, are subject to translation risks due to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. This risk is concentrated primarily in the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and the euro; the U.S. dollar and the Canadian dollar; and the U.S. dollar and the Chinese yuan.

Our non-U.S. subsidiaries transact most business, including certain intercompany transactions, in foreign currencies. Such transactions are principally purchases or sales of materials and are denominated in European currencies or the U.S. or Canadian dollar. We use foreign currency forward exchange contracts to manage the risk related to intercompany purchases that occur during the course of a year and certain open foreign currency denominated commitments to sell products to third parties. Realized and unrealized gains and losses on the contracts we recognized in other (income) expense are not material.

We have historically had a low exposure on the cost of our debt to changes in interest rates. Information about our long-term debt, including principal amounts and related interest rates, appears in Notes 4 and 9 of this report and in Note 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

We purchase significant amounts of bronze ingot, brass rod, cast iron, steel and plastic, which are utilized in manufacturing our many product lines. Our operating results can be adversely affected by changes in commodity prices if we are unable to pass on related price increases to our customers. We manage this risk by monitoring related market prices, working with our suppliers to achieve the maximum level of stability in their costs and related pricing, seeking alternative supply sources when necessary, purchasing forward commitments for raw materials, when available, implementing cost reduction programs, value engineering, and passing increases in costs onto our customers in the form of price increases.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As required by Rule 13a-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or Exchange Act, as of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer (our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, respectively), of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, we recognize that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and our management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating and implementing possible controls and procedures. The effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures is also necessarily limited by the staff and other resources available to us and the geographic diversity of our operations. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective, in that they provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act are accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended September 29, 2013, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. In connection with these rules, we will continue to review and document our disclosure controls and procedures, including our internal control over financial reporting, and may from time to time make changes aimed at enhancing their effectiveness and to ensure that our systems evolve with our business.

Part II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

As disclosed in Part I, Item 1, “Product Liability, Environmental and Other Litigation Matters” of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, we are party to certain litigation. There have been no material developments with respect to our contingencies and environmental remediation proceedings during the quarter ended September 29, 2013.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

This report may include statements that are not historical facts and are considered forward-looking within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements reflect our current views about future results of operations and other forward-looking information. In some cases you can identify these statements by forward-looking words such as “anticipate,” “believe,” “could,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “may,” “should” and “would” or similar words. You should not rely on forward-looking statements because our actual results may differ materially from those indicated by these forward-looking statements as a result of a number of important factors. These factors include, but are not limited to, the following: the current economic and financial condition, which can affect levels of housing starts and remodeling, affecting the markets where our products are sold, manufactured, or marketed; difficulties in converting lead free products; shortages in and pricing of raw materials and supplies; loss of market share through competition; introduction of competing products by other companies; pressure on prices from competitors, suppliers, and/or customers; changes in variable interest rates on our borrowings; identification and disclosure of material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting; failure to expand our markets through acquisitions; failure or delay in developing new products; lack of acceptance of new products; failure to manufacture products that meet required performance and safety standards; foreign exchange rate fluctuations; cyclicality of industries, such as plumbing and heating wholesalers and home improvement retailers, in which we market certain of our products; environmental compliance costs; product liability costs; the results and timing of our restructuring plans; changes in the status of current litigation, and other risks and uncertainties discussed in Part I, “Item 1A. Risk Factors” and in Note 14 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed with the Securities Exchange Commission, and in other reports we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

We satisfy the minimum withholding tax obligation due upon the vesting of shares of restricted stock and the conversion of restricted stock units into shares of Class A common stock by automatically withholding from the shares being issued a number of shares with an aggregate fair market value on the date of such vesting or conversion that would satisfy the withholding amount due.

The following table includes information with respect to shares of our Class A common stock withheld to satisfy withholding tax obligations during the three-month period ended September 29, 2013.

Period	Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities			
	(a) Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit)	(c) Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	(d) Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares (or Units) that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
July 1, 2013 — July 28, 2013	31	\$ 50.95	—	—
July 29, 2013 — August 25, 2013	27,112	\$ 54.29	—	—
August 26, 2013 — September 29, 2013	—	\$ —	—	—
Total	27,143	\$ 54.28	—	—

The following table includes information with respect to repurchases of our Class A common stock during the three-month period ended September 29, 2013 under our stock repurchase program.

Period	Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities			
	(a) Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased (1)	(b) Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit)	(c) Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	(d) Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares (or Units) that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
July 1, 2013 — July 28, 2013	62,863	\$ 50.34	62,863	\$ 76,840,660
July 29, 2013 — August 25, 2013	63,200	\$ 53.59	63,200	\$ 73,453,680
August 26, 2013 — September 29, 2013	63,051	\$ 54.62	63,051	\$ 70,010,143
Total	189,114	\$ 52.85	189,114	\$ 70,010,143

(1) On April 30, 2013, the Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program of up to \$90 million of the Company's Class A common stock to be purchased from time to time on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. The timing and number of any shares repurchased will be determined by the Company's management based on its evaluation of market conditions. During the quarter ended September 29, 2013, we repurchased approximately \$10.0 million of common stock.

Item 6. Exhibits

The exhibits listed in the Exhibit Index immediately preceding the exhibits are filed as part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and such Exhibit Index is incorporated herein by reference.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

WATTS WATER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Date: November 6, 2013	By: <u>/s/ David J. Coghlan</u> David J. Coghlan Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer)
Date: November 6, 2013	By: <u>/s/ Dean P. Freeman</u> Dean P. Freeman Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer)
Date: November 6, 2013	By: <u>/s/ Kenneth S. Korotkin</u> Kenneth S. Korotkin Chief Accounting Officer (principal accounting officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

Listed and indexed below are all Exhibits filed as part of this report.

Exhibit No.	Description
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended (1)
3.2	Amended and Restated By-Laws (2)
10.1	Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement under the Watts Water Technologies, Inc. Second Amended and Restated 2004 Stock Incentive Plan (3)
10.2	Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement under the Watts Water Technologies, Inc. Second Amended and Restated 2004 Stock Incentive Plan (3)
10.3	Form of Deferred Stock Award Agreement under the Watts Water Technologies, Inc. Second Amended and Restated 2004 Stock Incentive Plan (3)
10.4	Watts Water Technologies, Inc Management Stock Purchase Plan Amended and Restated as of July 30, 2013 (3)
10.5	Form of Indemnification Agreement between Watts Water Technologies, Inc. and certain directors and officers
10.6	Compromise Agreement among Watts UK Limited, Watts Industries Europe B.V., Watts Water Technologies, Inc. and John Dennis Cawte (3)
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended
32.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350
32.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.

* Attached as Exhibit 101 to this report are the following formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 29, 2013 and December 31, 2012, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Third Quarters Ended September 29, 2013 and September 30, 2012 and the Nine Months Ended September 29, 2013 and September 30, 2012, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Third Quarters Ended September 29, 2013 and September 30, 2012 and the Nine Months Ended September 29, 2013 and September 30, 2012, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 29, 2013 and September 30, 2012, and (v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

- (1) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 001-11499) for the quarter ended July 3, 2005.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-11499) dated April 29, 2013.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 001-11499) for the quarter ended June 30, 2013.

INDEMNIFICATION AGREEMENT

This Agreement made and entered into this day of , (the “Agreement”), by and between Watts Water Technologies, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the “Company,” which term shall include, where appropriate, any Entity (as hereinafter defined) controlled directly or indirectly by the Company) and (the “Indemnitee”):

WHEREAS, it is essential to the Company that it be able to retain and attract as directors and officers the most capable persons available;

WHEREAS, increased corporate litigation has subjected directors and officers to litigation risks and expenses, and the limitations on the availability of directors and officers liability insurance have made it increasingly difficult for the Company to attract and retain such persons;

WHEREAS, the Company’s Certificate of Incorporation and By-laws (the “Certificate of Incorporation” and “By-laws,” respectively) require it to indemnify its directors and officers to the fullest extent permitted by law and permit it to make other indemnification arrangements and agreements;

WHEREAS, the Company desires to provide Indemnitee with specific contractual assurance of Indemnitee’s rights to full indemnification against litigation risks and expenses (regardless, among other things, of any amendment to or revocation of the Certificate of Incorporation or By-laws or any change in the ownership of the Company or the composition of its Board of Directors);

WHEREAS, the Company intends that this Agreement provide Indemnitee with greater protection than that which is provided by the Company’s Certificate of Incorporation and By-laws; and

WHEREAS, Indemnitee is relying upon the rights afforded under this Agreement in continuing as a director or officer of the Company.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the promises and the covenants contained herein, the Company and Indemnitee do hereby covenant and agree as follows:

1. Definitions.

- (a) “Corporate Status” describes the status of a person who is serving or has served (i) as a director or officer of the Company, (ii) in any capacity with respect to any employee benefit plan of the Company, or (iii) as a director, partner, trustee, officer, employee, or agent of any other Entity at the request of the Company. For purposes of subsection (iii) of this Section 1(a), if Indemnitee is serving or has served as a director, partner, trustee, officer, employee or agent of a
-

Subsidiary, Indemnitee shall be deemed to be serving at the request of the Company.

- (b) "Entity" shall mean any corporation, partnership, limited liability company, joint venture, trust, foundation, association, organization or other legal entity.
 - (c) "Expenses" shall mean all fees, costs and expenses incurred by Indemnitee in connection with any Proceeding (as defined below), including, without limitation, attorneys' fees, disbursements and retainers (including, without limitation, any such fees, disbursements and retainers incurred by Indemnitee pursuant to Sections 10 and 11(c) of this Agreement), fees and disbursements of expert witnesses, private investigators and professional advisors (including, without limitation, accountants and investment bankers), court costs, transcript costs, fees of experts, travel expenses, duplicating, printing and binding costs, telephone and fax transmission charges, postage, delivery services, secretarial services, and other disbursements and expenses.
 - (d) "Indemnifiable Expenses," "Indemnifiable Liabilities" and "Indemnifiable Amounts" shall have the meanings ascribed to those terms in Section 3(a) below.
 - (e) "Liabilities" shall mean judgments, damages, liabilities, losses, penalties, excise taxes, fines and amounts paid in settlement.
 - (f) "Proceeding" shall mean any threatened, pending or completed claim, action, suit, arbitration, alternate dispute resolution process, investigation, administrative hearing, appeal, or any other proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative, arbitrative or investigative, whether formal or informal, including a proceeding initiated by Indemnitee pursuant to Section 10 of this Agreement to enforce Indemnitee's rights hereunder.
 - (g) "Subsidiary" shall mean any corporation, partnership, limited liability company, joint venture, trust or other Entity of which the Company owns (either directly or through or together with another Subsidiary of the Company) either (i) a general partner, managing member or other similar interest or (ii) (A) 50% or more of the voting power of the voting capital equity interests of such corporation, partnership, limited liability company, joint venture or other Entity, or (B) 50% or more of the outstanding voting capital stock or other voting equity interests of such corporation, partnership, limited liability company, joint venture or other Entity.
-

2. Services of Indemnatee. In consideration of the Company's covenants and commitments hereunder, Indemnatee agrees to serve or continue to serve as a director and/or officer of the Company. However, this Agreement shall not impose any obligation on Indemnatee or the Company to continue Indemnatee's service to the Company beyond any period otherwise required by law or by other agreements or commitments of the parties, if any.

3. Agreement to Indemnify. The Company agrees to indemnify Indemnatee as follows:

(a) Proceedings Other Than By or In the Right of the Company. Subject to the exceptions contained in Section 4(a) below, if Indemnatee was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any Proceeding (other than an action by or in the right of the Company) by reason of Indemnatee's Corporate Status, Indemnatee shall be indemnified by the Company against all Expenses and Liabilities incurred or paid by Indemnatee in connection with such Proceeding (referred to herein as "Indemnifiable Expenses" and "Indemnifiable Liabilities," respectively, and collectively as "Indemnifiable Amounts").

(b) Proceedings By or In the Right of the Company. Subject to the exceptions contained in Section 4(b) below, if Indemnatee was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any Proceeding by or in the right of the Company by reason of Indemnatee's Corporate Status, Indemnatee shall be indemnified by the Company against all Indemnifiable Expenses.

(c) Conclusive Presumption Regarding Standard of Care. In making any determination required to be made under Delaware law with respect to entitlement to indemnification hereunder, the person, persons or entity making such determination shall presume that Indemnatee is entitled to indemnification under this Agreement if Indemnatee submitted a request therefor in accordance with Section 5 of this Agreement, and the Company shall have the burden of proof to overcome that presumption in connection with the making by any person, persons or entity of any determination contrary to that presumption.

4. Exceptions to Indemnification. Indemnatee shall be entitled to indemnification under Sections 3(a) and 3(b) above in all circumstances other than with respect to any specific claim, issue or matter involved in the Proceeding out of which Indemnatee's claim for indemnification has arisen, as follows:

(a) Proceedings Other Than By or In the Right of the Company. If indemnification is requested under Section 3(a) and it has been finally adjudicated by a court of competent jurisdiction that, in connection with such specific claim, issue or matter, Indemnatee failed to act (i) in good faith and (ii) in a manner

Indemnatee reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the Company, or, with respect to any criminal Proceeding, Indemnatee had reasonable cause to believe that Indemnatee's conduct was unlawful, Indemnatee shall not be entitled to payment of Indemnifiable Amounts hereunder.

(b) Proceedings By or In the Right of the Company. If indemnification is requested under Section 3(b) and

(i) it has been finally adjudicated by a court of competent jurisdiction that, in connection with such specific claim, issue or matter, Indemnatee failed to act (A) in good faith and (B) in a manner Indemnatee reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the Company, Indemnatee shall not be entitled to payment of Indemnifiable Expenses hereunder; or

(ii) it has been finally adjudicated by a court of competent jurisdiction that Indemnatee is liable to the Company with respect to such specific claim, Indemnatee shall not be entitled to payment of Indemnifiable Expenses hereunder with respect to such claim, issue or matter unless the Court of Chancery or another court in which such Proceeding was brought shall determine upon application that, despite the adjudication of liability, but in view of all the circumstances of the case, Indemnatee is fairly and reasonably entitled to indemnification for such Indemnifiable Expenses which such court shall deem proper; or

(iii) it has been finally adjudicated by a court of competent jurisdiction that Indemnatee is liable to the Company for an accounting of profits made from the purchase or sale by the Indemnatee of securities of the Company pursuant to the provisions of Section 16(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder and amendments thereto or similar provisions of any federal, state or local statutory law, Indemnatee shall not be entitled to payment of Indemnifiable Expenses hereunder.

(c) Insurance Proceeds. To the extent payment is actually made to the Indemnatee under a valid and collectible insurance policy in respect of Indemnifiable Amounts in connection with such specific claim, issue or matter, Indemnatee shall not be entitled to payment of Indemnifiable Amounts hereunder except in respect of any excess beyond the amount of payment under such insurance.

5. Procedure for Payment of Indemnifiable Amounts. Indemnatee shall submit to the Company a written request specifying the Indemnifiable Amounts for which Indemnatee seeks payment under Section 3 of this Agreement and the basis for the claim. The Company shall pay such Indemnifiable Amounts to Indemnatee within sixty (60) calendar days of receipt of the request. At the request of the Company, Indemnatee shall furnish such documentation and information as are reasonably available to Indemnatee and necessary to establish that Indemnatee is entitled to indemnification hereunder.

6. Indemnification for Expenses of a Party Who is Wholly or Partly Successful. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, and without limiting any such provision, to the extent that Indemnatee is, by reason of Indemnatee's Corporate Status, a party to and is successful, on the merits or otherwise, in any Proceeding, Indemnatee shall be indemnified against all Expenses reasonably incurred by Indemnatee or on Indemnatee's behalf in connection therewith. If Indemnatee is not wholly successful in such Proceeding but is successful, on the merits or otherwise, as to one or more but less than all claims, issues or matters in such Proceeding, the Company shall indemnify Indemnatee against all Expenses reasonably incurred by Indemnatee or on Indemnatee's behalf in connection with each successfully resolved claim, issue or matter. For purposes of this Agreement, the termination of any claim, issue or matter in such a Proceeding by dismissal, with or without prejudice, by reason of settlement, judgment, order or otherwise, shall be deemed to be a successful result as to such claim, issue or matter.

7. Effect of Certain Resolutions. Neither the settlement or termination of any Proceeding nor the failure of the Company to award indemnification or to determine that indemnification is payable shall create a presumption that Indemnatee is not entitled to indemnification hereunder. In addition, the termination of any proceeding by judgment, order, settlement, conviction, or upon a plea of nolo contendere or its equivalent shall not create a presumption that Indemnatee did not act in good faith and in a manner which Indemnatee reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the best interests of the Company or, with respect to any criminal Proceeding, had reasonable cause to believe that Indemnatee's action was unlawful.

8. Agreement to Advance Expenses; Undertaking. The Company shall advance all Expenses incurred by or on behalf of Indemnatee in connection with any Proceeding, including a Proceeding by or in the right of the Company, in which Indemnatee is involved by reason of such Indemnatee's Corporate Status within ten (10) calendar days after the receipt by the Company of a written statement from Indemnatee requesting such advance or advances from time to time, whether prior to or after final disposition of such Proceeding. To the extent required by Delaware law, Indemnatee hereby undertakes to repay any and all of the amount of Indemnifiable Expenses paid to Indemnatee if it is finally determined by a court of competent jurisdiction that Indemnatee is not entitled under this Agreement to indemnification with respect to such Expenses. This undertaking is an unlimited general obligation of Indemnatee.

9. Procedure for Advance Payment of Expenses. Indemnatee shall submit to the Company a written request specifying the Indemnifiable Expenses for which Indemnatee seeks an advancement under Section 8 of this Agreement, together with documentation evidencing that Indemnatee has incurred such Indemnifiable Expenses. Payment of Indemnifiable Expenses

under Section 8 shall be made no later than ten (10) calendar days after the Company's receipt of such request.

10. Remedies of Indemnatee .

(a) Right to Petition Court. In the event that Indemnatee makes a request for payment of Indemnifiable Amounts under Sections 3 and 5 above or a request for an advancement of Indemnifiable Expenses under Sections 8 and 9 above and the Company fails to make such payment or advancement in a timely manner pursuant to the terms of this Agreement, Indemnatee may petition the Court of Chancery to enforce the Company's obligations under this Agreement.

(b) Burden of Proof. In any judicial proceeding brought under Section 10(a) above, the Company shall have the burden of proving that Indemnatee is not entitled to payment of Indemnifiable Amounts hereunder.

(c) Expenses. The Company agrees to reimburse Indemnatee in full for any Expenses incurred by Indemnatee in connection with investigating, preparing for, litigating, defending or settling any action brought by Indemnatee under Section 10(a) above, or in connection with any claim or counterclaim brought by the Company in connection therewith, whether or not Indemnatee is successful in whole or in part in connection with any such action.

(d) Failure to Act Not a Defense. The failure of the Company (including its Board of Directors or any committee thereof, independent legal counsel, or stockholders) to make a determination concerning the permissibility of the payment of Indemnifiable Amounts or the advancement of Indemnifiable Expenses under this Agreement shall not be a defense in any action brought under Section 10(a) above, and shall not create a presumption that such payment or advancement is not permissible.

11. Defense of the Underlying Proceeding .

(a) Notice by Indemnatee. Indemnatee agrees to notify the Company promptly upon being served with any summons, citation, subpoena, complaint, indictment, information, or other document relating to any Proceeding which may result in the payment of Indemnifiable Amounts or the advancement of Indemnifiable Expenses hereunder; provided, however, that the failure to give any such notice shall not disqualify Indemnatee from the right, or otherwise affect in any manner any right of Indemnatee, to receive payments of Indemnifiable Amounts or advancements of Indemnifiable Expenses unless the Company's ability to defend in such Proceeding is materially and adversely prejudiced thereby.

(b) Defense by Company. Subject to the provisions of the last sentence of this Section 11(b) and of Section 11(c) below, the Company shall have the right to defend Indemnatee in any Proceeding which may give rise to the payment of Indemnifiable Amounts hereunder; provided, however that the Company shall notify Indemnatee of any such decision to defend within ten (10) calendar days of receipt of notice of any such Proceeding under Section 11(a) above. The Company shall not, without the prior written consent of Indemnatee, consent to the entry of any judgment against Indemnatee or enter into any settlement or compromise which (i) includes an admission of fault of Indemnatee or (ii) does not include, as an unconditional term thereof, the full release of Indemnatee from all liability in respect of such Proceeding, which release shall be in form and substance reasonably satisfactory to Indemnatee. This Section 11(b) shall not apply to a Proceeding brought by Indemnatee under Section 10(a) above or pursuant to Section 19 below.

(c) Indemnatee's Right to Counsel. Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 11(b) above, if in a Proceeding to which Indemnatee is a party by reason of Indemnatee's Corporate Status, (i) Indemnatee reasonably concludes that he or she may have separate defenses or counterclaims to assert with respect to any issue which may not be consistent with the position of other defendants in such Proceeding, (ii) a conflict of interest or potential conflict of interest exists between Indemnatee and the Company, or (iii) if the Company fails to assume the defense of such proceeding in a timely manner, Indemnatee shall be entitled to be represented by separate legal counsel of Indemnatee's choice at the expense of the Company. In addition, if the Company fails to comply with any of its obligations under this Agreement or in the event that the Company or any other person takes any action to declare this Agreement void or unenforceable, or institutes any action, suit or proceeding to deny or to recover from Indemnatee the benefits intended to be provided to Indemnatee hereunder, Indemnatee shall have the right to retain counsel of Indemnatee's choice, at the expense of the Company, to represent Indemnatee in connection with any such matter.

12. Representations and Warranties of the Company. The Company hereby represents and warrants to Indemnatee as follows:

(a) Authority. The Company has all necessary power and authority to enter into, and be bound by the terms of, this Agreement, and the execution, delivery and performance of the undertakings contemplated by this Agreement have been duly authorized by the Company.

(b) Enforceability. This Agreement, when executed and delivered by the Company in accordance with the provisions hereof, shall be a legal, valid and binding obligation of the Company, enforceable against the Company in accordance with its terms, except as such enforceability may be limited by applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, moratorium, reorganization or similar laws affecting the enforcement of creditors' rights generally.

13. Insurance. For a period of six (6) years following the date on which Indemnitee no longer serves as a director, officer or employee of the Company or any Subsidiary, and for such longer period, if any, for which Indemnitee may be subject to a Proceeding by reason of Indemnitee's Corporate Status, the Company (i) shall maintain a policy or policies of insurance with one or more reputable insurance companies providing the Indemnitee with coverage in an amount not less than, and of a type and scope not materially less favorable to Indemnitee than, the directors' and officers' liability insurance coverage presently maintained by the Company, (ii) shall pay on a timely basis all premiums on such insurance and (iii) shall provide such notices and renewals in a complete and timely manner and take such other actions as may be required in order to keep such insurance in full force and effect. In all policies of director and officer liability insurance, Indemnitee shall be named as an insured in such a manner as to provide Indemnitee the same rights and benefits as are accorded to the most favorably insured of the Company's officers and directors.

14. Contract Rights Not Exclusive. The rights to payment of Indemnifiable Amounts and advancement of Indemnifiable Expenses provided by this Agreement shall be in addition to, but not exclusive of, any other rights which Indemnitee may have at any time under applicable law, the Company's Certificate of Incorporation or By-laws, or any other agreement, vote of stockholders or directors (or a committee of directors), or otherwise, both as to action in Indemnitee's official capacity and as to action in any other capacity as a result of Indemnitee's serving as a director or officer of the Company.

15. Successors. This Agreement shall be (a) binding upon all successors and assigns of the Company (including any transferee of all or a substantial portion of the business, stock and/or assets of the Company and any direct or indirect successor by merger or consolidation or otherwise by operation of law) and (b) binding on and shall inure to the benefit of the heirs, personal representatives, executors and administrators of Indemnitee. In the event that the Company or any of its successors or assigns (i) consolidates with or merges into any other person or entity and shall not be the continuing or surviving corporation or entity of such consolidation or merger or (ii) transfers or conveys all or substantially all of its properties and assets to any person or entity, then, and in each such case, proper provision shall be made so that the successors and assigns of the Company assume the obligations of the Company under this Agreement. This Agreement shall continue for the benefit of Indemnitee and such heirs, personal representatives, executors and administrators after Indemnitee has ceased to have Corporate Status.

16. Subrogation. In the event of any payment of Indemnifiable Amounts under this Agreement, the Company shall be subrogated to the extent of such payment to all of the rights of contribution or recovery of Indemnitee against other persons, and Indemnitee shall take, at the request of the Company, all reasonable action necessary to secure such rights, including the execution of such documents as are necessary to enable the Company to bring suit to enforce such rights.

17. Change in Law. To the extent that a change in Delaware law (whether by statute or judicial decision) shall permit broader indemnification or advancement of expenses than is

provided under the terms of the By-laws and this Agreement, Indemnatee shall be entitled to such broader indemnification and advancements, and this Agreement shall be deemed to be amended to such extent.

18. Severability. Whenever possible, each provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted in such a manner as to be effective and valid under applicable law, but if any provision of this Agreement, or any clause thereof, shall be determined by a court of competent jurisdiction to be illegal, invalid or unenforceable, in whole or in part, such provision or clause shall be limited or modified in its application to the minimum extent necessary to make such provision or clause valid, legal and enforceable, and the remaining provisions and clauses of this Agreement shall remain fully enforceable and binding on the parties.

19. Indemnatee as Plaintiff. Except as provided in Section 10(c) of this Agreement and in the next sentence, Indemnatee shall not be entitled to payment of Indemnifiable Amounts or advancement of Indemnifiable Expenses with respect to any Proceeding brought by Indemnatee against the Company, any Entity which it controls, any director or officer thereof, or any third party, unless the Board of Directors of the Company has consented to the initiation of such Proceeding. This Section shall not apply to counterclaims or affirmative defenses asserted by Indemnatee in an action brought against Indemnatee.

20. Modifications and Waiver. Except as provided in Section 17 above with respect to changes in Delaware law which broaden the right of Indemnatee to be indemnified by the Company, no supplement, modification or amendment of this Agreement shall be binding unless executed in writing by each of the parties hereto. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any other provisions of this Agreement (whether or not similar), nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

21. General Notices. All notices, requests, demands and other communications hereunder shall be in writing and shall be deemed to have been duly given (a) when delivered by hand, (b) when transmitted by facsimile and receipt is acknowledged, or (c) if mailed by certified or registered mail with postage prepaid, on the third business day after the date on which it is so mailed:

(i) If to Indemnitee, to:

(ii) If to the Company, to:

Watts Water Technologies, Inc.
815 Chestnut Street
North Andover, MA 01845
Facsimile: (978) 688-2976
Attention:

or to such other address as may have been furnished in the same manner by any party to the others.

22. Governing Law; Consent to Jurisdiction; Service of Process. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Delaware without regard to its rules of conflict of laws. Each of the Company and the Indemnitee hereby irrevocably and unconditionally consents to submit to the exclusive jurisdiction of the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware and the courts of the United States of America located in the State of Delaware (the "Delaware Courts") for any litigation arising out of or relating to this Agreement and the transactions contemplated hereby (and agrees not to commence any litigation relating thereto except in such courts), waives any objection to the laying of venue of any such litigation in the Delaware Courts and agrees not to plead or claim in any Delaware Court that such litigation brought therein has been brought in an inconvenient forum. Each of the parties hereto agrees, (a) to the extent such party is not otherwise subject to service of process in the State of Delaware, to appoint and maintain an agent in the State of Delaware as such party's agent for acceptance of legal process, and (b) that service of process may also be made on such party by prepaid certified mail with a proof of mailing receipt validated by the United States Postal Service constituting evidence of valid service. Service made pursuant to (a) or (b) above shall have the same legal force and effect as if served upon such party personally within the State of Delaware. For purposes of implementing the parties' agreement to appoint and maintain an agent for service of process in the State of Delaware, each such party does hereby appoint The Corporation Trust Company, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, New Castle County, Delaware 19801, as such agent and each such party hereby agrees to complete all actions necessary for such appointment.

23. [Prior Agreement]. This Agreement supersedes and replaces in its entirety the Indemnification Agreement between the Indemnitee and the Company dated as of _____, _____.]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement as of the day and year first above written.

WATTS WATER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

By: _____
Name:
Title:

INDEMNITEE

Name:

Schedule of Omitted Information

<u>Name of Indemnitee</u>	<u>Date of Agreement</u>	<u>Date of Prior Agreement (Section 23)</u>	<u>Person Signing on behalf of the Company</u>
Roger A. Young	February 10, 2004	November 5, 2003	Patrick S. O'Keefe Chief Executive Officer
Daniel J. Murphy, III	February 10, 2004	November 5, 2003	Patrick S. O'Keefe Chief Executive Officer
Gordon W. Moran	February 10, 2004	November 5, 2003	Patrick S. O'Keefe Chief Executive Officer
Kenneth J. McAvoy	February 10, 2004	November 5, 2003	Patrick S. O'Keefe Chief Executive Officer
John K. McGillicuddy	February 10, 2004	November 5, 2003	Patrick S. O'Keefe Chief Executive Officer
Timothy P. Horne	February 10, 2004	August 7, 2002	Patrick S. O'Keefe Chief Executive Officer
Patrick S. O'Keefe	February 10, 2004	November 5, 2003	William C. McCartney Chief Financial Officer
William J. Merchant	February 10, 2004	November 5, 2003	Patrick S. O'Keefe Chief Executive Officer
Lester J. Taufen	February 10, 2004	November 5, 2003	Patrick S. O'Keefe Chief Executive Officer
Kenneth R. Lepage	February 10, 2004	November 5, 2003	Patrick S. O'Keefe Chief Executive Officer
William C. McCartney	February 10, 2004	November 5, 2003	Patrick S. O'Keefe Chief Executive Officer
Timothy MacPhee	February 10, 2004	Not Applicable	Patrick S. O'Keefe Chief Executive Officer
Ralph E. Jackson, Jr.	June 23, 2004	Not Applicable	Patrick S. O'Keefe Chief Executive Officer
William D. Martino	October 31, 2005	Not Applicable	Patrick S. O'Keefe Chief Executive Officer
Gregory J. Michaud	August 1, 2006	Not Applicable	Patrick S. O'Keefe Chief Executive Officer
Robert L. Ayers	October 30, 2006	Not Applicable	Patrick S. O'Keefe Chief Executive Officer
Richard J. Cathcart	October 29, 2007	Not Applicable	Patrick S. O'Keefe Chief Executive Officer
David J. Coghlan	June 16, 2008	Not Applicable	Patrick S. O'Keefe Chief Executive Officer
Kennett F. Burnes	February 9, 2009	Not Applicable	Patrick S. O'Keefe Chief Executive Officer
Merilee Raines	February 7, 2011	Not Applicable	David J. Coghlan Chief Executive Officer

Bernard Baert	August 1, 2011	Not Applicable	David J. Coghlan Chief Executive Officer
W. Craig Kissel	October 30, 2011	Not Applicable	David J. Coghlan Chief Executive Officer
Dean P. Freeman	October 29, 2012	Not Applicable	David J. Coghlan Chief Executive Officer
Joseph T. Noonan	May 15, 2013	Not Applicable	David J. Coghlan Chief Executive Officer
Suellen Torregrosa	August 12, 2013	Not Applicable	David J. Coghlan Chief Executive Officer
Kenneth S. Korotkin	September 23, 2013	Not Applicable	David J. Coghlan Chief Executive Officer



**WATTS WATER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO**

SECTION 302 OF

THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, David J. Coghlan, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Watts Water Technologies, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 6, 2013

/s/ David J. Coghlan
David J. Coghlan
Chief Executive Officer

**WATTS WATER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
SECTION 302 OF
THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Dean P. Freeman, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Watts Water Technologies, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 6, 2013

/s/ Dean P. Freeman

Dean P. Freeman
Chief Financial Officer

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

The undersigned officer of Watts Water Technologies, Inc. (the "Company") hereby certifies that, to his knowledge, the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q to which this certification is attached (the "Report"), as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof, fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), and that the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company. This certification is provided solely pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 and Item 601(b)(32) of Regulation S-K ("Item 601(b)(32)") promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), and the Exchange Act. In accordance with clause (ii) of Item 601(b)(32), this certification (A) shall not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, and (B) shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the Company specifically incorporates it by reference.

Date: November 6, 2013

/s/ David J. Coghlan

David J. Coghlan

Chief Executive Officer

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

The undersigned officer of Watts Water Technologies, Inc. (the “Company”) hereby certifies that, to his knowledge, the Company’s quarterly report on Form 10-Q to which this certification is attached (the “Report”), as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof, fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), and that the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company. This certification is provided solely pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 and Item 601(b)(32) of Regulation S-K (“Item 601(b)(32)”) promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), and the Exchange Act. In accordance with clause (ii) of Item 601(b)(32), this certification (A) shall not be deemed “filed” for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, and (B) shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the Company specifically incorporates it by reference.

Date: November 6, 2013

/s/ Dean P. Freeman

Dean P. Freeman
Chief Financial Officer