

TAUBMAN CENTERS INC

FORM 10-Q (Quarterly Report)

Filed 11/05/10 for the Period Ending 09/30/10

Address 200 E LONG LAKE RD

SUITE 300 P O BOX 200

BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303-0200

Telephone 2482586800

CIK 0000890319

Symbol TCO

SIC Code 6798 - Real Estate Investment Trusts

Industry Real Estate Operations

Sector Services

Fiscal Year 12/31



UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended: September 30, 2010 Commission File No. 1-11530

Taubman Centers, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter) Michigan 38-2033632 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.) (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 200 East Long Lake Road, Suite 300, Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304-2324 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code) (248) 258-6800 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code) Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes □ No Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Large Accelerated Filer ☑ Accelerated Filer □ Non-Accelerated Filer □ Smaller Reporting Company □

□ Yes ⊠ No

Indicate by a check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

As of November 4, 2010, there were outstanding 54,680,157 shares of the Company's common stock, par value \$0.01 per share.

TAUBMAN CENTERS, INC. CONTENTS

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.	Financial Statements (Unaudited):	
	Consolidated Balance Sheet – September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009	2
	Consolidated Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income – Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009	3
	Consolidated Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income – Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009	4
	Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity – Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009	5
	Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows – Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009	6
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	7
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	25
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	45
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	45
	PART II – OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	46
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	46
Item 6.	Exhibits	47
SIGNATURES		

1

TAUBMAN CENTERS, INC. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET (in thousands, except share data)

Properties		Se	ptember 30 2010	D	ecember 31 2009
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	Assets:				
Nuestment in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (Note 4)		\$	-,,	\$	-,,
Investment in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (Note 4)	Accumulated depreciation and amortization				
1,131 1,176 Accounts and notes receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$7,790 and \$6,894 in 2010 and 2009 36,149 44,508 Accounts receivable from related parties		\$		\$	
Accounts and notes receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$7,790 and \$6,894 in 2010 and 2009 \$6,149 44,503 Accounts receivable from related parties 1,647 1,558 Deferred charges and other assets 2,259,607 \$2,060,835 Liabilities: 8,257,810 \$2,657,819 \$2,691,019 Accounts payable (Note 5) \$2,657,819 \$2,00,706 \$20,076 Distributions in excess of investments in and net income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (Note 4) \$3,00,748 \$3,001,009 Commitments and contingencies (Notes 5, 7, 8, and 9) Tubbase (Note 5) \$3,007,009 \$3,001,009 Equity: Tubbase (Note 5) \$2,000 \$3,000 \$3,000,009 \$3,000,009 \$3,000,009 \$3,000,009 \$3,000,009 \$3,000,009 \$3,000,009 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000 \$3,000					,
Accounts receivable from related parties 1.647 1.588 Deferred charges and other assets 7.3547 5.8569 Distributions 1.000 1.000 Accounts payable (Note 5) 2.657,819 2.091,019 Accounts payable and accrued liabilities 2.39976 2.30,276 Distributions in excess of investments in and net income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (Note 4) 3.000 Distributions in excess of investments in and net income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (Note 4) 3.000 Distributions in excess of investments in and net income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (Note 4) 3.000 Distributions in excess of investments in and net income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (Note 4) 3.000 Distributions in excess of investments in and net income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (Note 5) 3.000,000 Distributions in excess of investments in and net income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (Note 4) 3.000 Distributions in excess of investments in and net income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (Note 5) 3.000,000 Distributions in excess of Investments in and net income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (Note 5) 3.000,000 Distributions in excess of Investments in and net income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (Note 5) 3.000,000 Distributions in excess of Investments in and net income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (Note 5) 3.000,000 Distributions in excess of Investments in and net income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (Note 5) 3.000,000 Distributions in excess of Investments in and net income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (Note 5) 3.000,000 Distributions in excess of Investments in and net income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (Note 5) 3.000,000 Distributions in e					
Deferred charges and other assets \$73,547 \$88,569 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835 \$2,006,835					,
Notes payable (Note 5)					
Liabilities: Notes payable (Note 5) Accounts payable and accrued liabilities Distributions in excess of investments in and net income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (Note 4) Commitments and contingencies (Notes 5, 7, 8, and 9) Equity: Taubman Centers, Inc. Shareowners' Equity: Series B Non-Participating Convertible Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par and liquidation value, 40.000,000 shares authorized, 26,233,126 and 26,592,35 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 4,000,000 shares authorized, no par, \$100 million liquidation preference, 4,000,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Series H Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 3,480,000 shares authorized, no par, \$87 million liquidation preference, 3,480,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Common Stock, \$0.010 par value, 250,000,000 shares authorized, no par, \$87 million liquidation preference, 3,480,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Common Stock, \$0.010 par value, 250,000,000 shares authorized, 54,679,877 and 54,321,586 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Common Stock, \$0.010 par value, 250,000,000 shares authorized, 54,679,877 and 54,321,586 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Common Stock, \$0.010 par value, 250,000,000 shares authorized, 54,679,877 and 54,321,586 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Common Stock, \$0.010 par value, 250,000,000 shares authorized, 54,679,877 and 54,321,586 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Common Stock, \$0.010 par value, 250,000,000 shares authorized, 54,679,877 and 54,321,586 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Common Stock, \$0.010 par value, 250,000,000 shares authorized, 54,679,877 and 54,321,586 shares issued and outstanding at Sep	Deferred charges and other assets				
Notes payable (Note 5) \$ 2,657,819 \$ 2,691,019 Accounts payable and accrued liabilities 239,976 230,276 Distributions in excess of investments in and net income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (Note 4) \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,081,600 Commitments and contingencies (Notes 5, 7, 8, and 9) Equity: Taubman Centers, Inc. Shareowners' Equity: Series B Non-Participating Convertible Preferred Stock, \$0,001 par and liquidation value, 40,000,000 shares authorized, 26,233,126 and 26,359,235 \$ 26 \$ 26 Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 4,000,000 shares authorized, no par, \$100 million liquidation preference, 4,000,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 \$ 26 \$ 26 Series H Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 4,0400,000 shares authorized, no par, \$87 million liquidation preference, 4,000,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 \$ 26 \$ 26 Series H Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 3,480,000 shares authorized, no par, \$87 million liquidation preference, 3,480,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 \$ 547 \$ 543 Common Stock, \$0,010 par value, 250,000,000 shares authorized, 54,679,877 and 54,321,586 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 \$ 547 \$		\$	2,529,676	\$	2,606,853
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities Distributions in excess of investments in and et income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (Note 4) Commitments and contingencies (Notes 5, 7, 8, and 9) Equity: Taubman Centers, Inc. Shareowners' Equity: Series B Non-Participating Convertible Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par and liquidation value, \$40,000,000 shares authorized, \$23,3126 and \$2,5325\$ shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$4,000,000 shares authorized, no par, \$100 million liquidation preference, \$4,000,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Series H Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$4,000,000 shares authorized, no par, \$87 million liquidation preference, \$4,000,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, \$250,000,000 shares authorized, no par, \$87 million liquidation preference, \$4,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, \$250,000,000 shares authorized, par, \$87 million liquidation preference, \$4,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, \$250,000,000 shares authorized, \$4,679,877 and \$4,321,586 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) Signature of the stock o	Liabilities:				
Distributions in excess of investments in and net income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (Note 4) \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,081,600 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,081,600 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,081,600 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,081,600 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,081,600 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,081,600 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,081,600 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,081,600 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,081,600 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,081,600 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,081,600 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,081,600 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,081,600 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,081,600 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,081,600 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,081,600 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3,070,748 \$ 3	Notes payable (Note 5)	\$	2,657,819	\$	2,691,019
Sample S	Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		239,976		230,276
Equity: Taubman Centers, Inc. Shareowners' Equity: Series B Non-Participating Convertible Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par and liquidation value, 40,000,000 shares authorized, 26,233,126 and 26,359,235 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 \$ 26 \$ 26 \$ 26 \$ 26 \$ 26 \$ 26 \$ 26 \$ 2	Distributions in excess of investments in and net income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (Note 4)		172,953		160,305
Equity: Taubman Centers, Inc. Shareowners' Equity: Series B Non-Participating Convertible Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par and liquidation value, 40,000,000 shares authorized, 26,233,126 and 26,359,235 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 4,000,000 shares authorized, no par, \$100 million liquidation preference, 4,000,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Series H Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 3,480,000 shares authorized, no par, \$87 million liquidation preference, 3,480,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, 250,000,000 shares authorized, 54,679,877 and 54,321,586 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) Dividends in excess of net income (938,350) (884,666) Noncontrolling interests (Note 6) (169,118) (146,190)		\$	3,070,748	\$	3,081,600
Taubman Centers, Inc. Shareowners' Equity: Series B Non-Participating Convertible Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par and liquidation value, 40,000,000 shares authorized, 26,233,126 and 26,359,235 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 4,000,000 shares authorized, no par, \$100 million liquidation preference, 4,000,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Series H Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 3,480,000 shares authorized, no par, \$87 million liquidation preference, 3,480,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, 250,000,000 shares authorized, 54,679,877 and 54,321,586 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Additional paid-in capital Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) Dividends in excess of net income (21,846) (24,443) Dividends in excess of net income (371,954) Noncontrolling interests (Note 6) (169,118) (146,190)	Commitments and contingencies (Notes 5, 7, 8, and 9)				
Taubman Centers, Inc. Shareowners' Equity: Series B Non-Participating Convertible Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par and liquidation value, 40,000,000 shares authorized, 26,233,126 and 26,359,235 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 4,000,000 shares authorized, no par, \$100 million liquidation preference, 4,000,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Series H Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 3,480,000 shares authorized, no par, \$87 million liquidation preference, 3,480,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, 250,000,000 shares authorized, 54,679,877 and 54,321,586 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Additional paid-in capital Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) Dividends in excess of net income (21,846) (24,443) Dividends in excess of net income (371,954) Noncontrolling interests (Note 6) (169,118) (146,190)					
Series B Non-Participating Convertible Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par and liquidation value, 40,000,000 shares authorized, 26,233,126 and 26,359,235 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 \$ 26 \$ 26 Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 4,000,000 shares authorized, no par, \$100 million liquidation preference, 4,000,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Series H Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 3,480,000 shares authorized, no par, \$87 million liquidation preference, 3,480,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 \$ 547 \$ 543 Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, 250,000,000 shares authorized, 54,679,877 and 54,321,586 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 \$ 547 \$ 543 Additional paid-in capital \$ 587,669 \$ 579,983 Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (21,846) (24,443) Dividends in excess of net income (938,350) (884,666) Noncontrolling interests (Note 6) (169,118) (146,190) Noncontrolling interests (Note 6) (169,118) (146,190)	Equity:				
shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 \$ 26 \$ 26 Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 4,000,000 shares authorized, no par, \$100 million liquidation preference, 4,000,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 \$ 26 Series H Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 3,480,000 shares authorized, no par, \$87 million liquidation preference, 3,480,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 \$ 547 Common Stock, \$0,01 par value, 250,000,000 shares authorized, 54,679,877 and 54,321,586 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 \$ 547 \$ 543 Additional paid-in capital \$ 587,669 \$ 579,983 Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (21,846) (24,443) Dividends in excess of net income (938,350) (884,666) Noncontrolling interests (Note 6) (169,118) (146,190) Noncontrolling interests (Note 6) (541,072) (474,747)					
Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 4,000,000 shares authorized, no par, \$100 million liquidation preference, 4,000,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Series H Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 3,480,000 shares authorized, no par, \$87 million liquidation preference, 3,480,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 547 543 Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, 250,000,000 shares authorized, 54,679,877 and 54,321,586 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 587,669 579,983 Additional paid-in capital 587,669 579,983 Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (21,846) (24,443) Dividends in excess of net income (938,350) (884,666) Noncontrolling interests (Note 6) (169,118) (146,190) Noncontrolling interests (Note 6) (541,072) (474,747)					
and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Series H Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 3,480,000 shares authorized, no par, \$87 million liquidation preference, 3,480,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, 250,000,000 shares authorized, 54,679,877 and 54,321,586 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Additional paid-in capital Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) Dividends in excess of net income (938,350) (884,666) (371,954) Noncontrolling interests (Note 6) (169,118) (146,190)	shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009	\$	26	\$	26
Series H Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 3,480,000 shares authorized, no par, \$87 million liquidation preference, 3,480,000 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, 250,000,000 shares authorized, 54,679,877 and 54,321,586 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 547 543 Additional paid-in capital 587,669 579,983 Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (21,846) (24,443) Dividends in excess of net income (938,350) (884,666) Noncontrolling interests (Note 6) (169,118) (146,190) Noncontrolling interests (Note 6) (541,072) (474,747)					
outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, 250,000,000 shares authorized, 54,679,877 and 54,321,586 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 547 543 Additional paid-in capital 587,669 579,983 Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (21,846) (24,443) Dividends in excess of net income (938,350) (884,666) Noncontrolling interests (Note 6) (169,118) (146,190) Noncontrolling interests (Note 6) (541,072) (474,747)					
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, 250,000,000 shares authorized, 54,679,877 and 54,321,586 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 547 543 Additional paid-in capital 587,669 579,983 Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (21,846) (24,443) Dividends in excess of net income (938,350) (884,666) Noncontrolling interests (Note 6) (169,118) (146,190) Noncontrolling interests (Note 6) (541,072) (474,747)					
December 31, 2009 547 543 Additional paid-in capital 587,669 579,983 Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (21,846) (24,443) Dividends in excess of net income (938,350) (884,666) Noncontrolling interests (Note 6) (169,118) (146,190) Noncontrolling interests (Note 6) (541,072) (474,747)					
Additional paid-in capital 587,669 579,983 Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (21,846) (24,443) Dividends in excess of net income (938,350) (884,666) \$ (371,954) \$ (328,557) Noncontrolling interests (Note 6) (169,118) (146,190) \$ (541,072) \$ (474,747)					
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (21,846) (24,443) Dividends in excess of net income (938,350) (884,666) \$ (371,954) \$ (328,557) Noncontrolling interests (Note 6) (169,118) (146,190) \$ (541,072) \$ (474,747)					
Dividends in excess of net income (938,350) (884,666) \$ (371,954) \$ (328,557) Noncontrolling interests (Note 6) (169,118) (146,190) \$ (541,072) \$ (474,747)			,		
Noncontrolling interests (Note 6) (371,954) (328,557) Noncontrolling interests (Note 6) (169,118) (146,190) \$ (541,072) \$ (474,747)					
Noncontrolling interests (Note 6) (169,118) (146,190) (541,072) (474,747)	Dividends in excess of net income				
\$ (541,072) \$ (474,747)		\$	(371,954)	\$	(328,557)
\$ (541,072) \$ (474,747)					
	Noncontrolling interests (Note 6)				
\$ 2.529.676 \$ 2.606.853		\$		_	
+ -)************************************		\$	2,529,676	\$	2,606,853

TAUBMAN CENTERS, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (in thousands, except share data)

	Three Months Ended Septem			tember 30
		2010		2009
Revenues:				
Minimum rents	\$	84,517	\$	83,403
Percentage rents		3,426		2,621
Expense recoveries		56,682		56,720
Management, leasing, and development services		4,359		3,444
Other		6,279		17,012
	<u>\$</u>	155,263	\$	163,200
Expenses:				
Maintenance, taxes, and utilities	\$	45,867	\$	46,286
Other operating		18,086		16,506
Management, leasing, and development services		2,204		2,140
General and administrative		7,168		7,155
Impairment charges (Note 3)				166,680
Interest expense		38,906		36,407
Depreciation and amortization		44,500		37,726
	\$	156,731	\$	312,900
Nonoperating income	\$	191	\$	247
Income (loss) before income tax (expense) benefit and equity in income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures	\$	(1,277)	\$	(149,453)
Income tax (expense) benefit (Note 2)		(238)		211
Equity in income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (Note 4)		9,973		10,454
Net income (loss)	\$	8,458	\$	(138,788)
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests (Note 6)		(3,707)		48,735
Net income (loss) attributable to Taubman Centers, Inc.	\$	4,751	\$	(90,053)
Distributions to participating securities of TRG (Note 8)		(371)		(362)
Preferred stock dividends		(3,658)		(3,658)
Net income (loss) attributable to Taubman Centers, Inc. common shareowners	\$	722	\$	(94,073)
			<u> </u>	
Net income (loss)	\$	8,458	\$	(138,788)
Other comprehensive income:				
Unrealized gain on interest rate instruments and other		569		1,093
Reclassification adjustment for amounts recognized in net income		315		315
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$	9,342	\$	(137,380)
Comprehensive (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests		(4,784)		47,996
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Taubman Centers, Inc.	\$	4,558	\$	(89,384)
Basic earnings (loss) per common share (Note 10)	\$	0.01	\$	(1.77)
0.4.71			÷	
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share (Note 10)	\$	0.01	\$	(1.77)
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$	0.415	\$	0.415
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding – basic		54,679,877		53,147,866

TAUBMAN CENTERS, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (in thousands, except share data)

		Nine Months End	led Sep	tember 30
		2010		2009
Revenues:				
Minimum rents	\$	251,952	\$	254,855
Percentage rents		6,561		5,342
Expense recoveries		165,937		172,003
Management, leasing, and development services		11,422		10,189
Other	<u> </u>	24,962		37,440
	\$	460,834	\$	479,829
Expenses:				
Maintenance, taxes, and utilities	\$	133,478	\$	137,773
Other operating		54,433		47,823
Restructuring charge (Note 1)				2,630
Management, leasing, and development services		5,982		5,976
General and administrative		21,593		20,890
Impairment charges (Note 3)				166,680
Interest expense		114,246		109,113
Depreciation and amortization		117,502		110,077
	\$	447,234	\$	600,962
Nonoperating income	\$	1,490	\$	680
Impairment loss on marketable securities (Note 11)				(1,666)
Income (loss) before income tax expense and equity in income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures	\$	15,090	\$	(122,119)
Income tax expense (Note 2)		(548)		(257)
Equity in income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (Note 4)		29,213		28,980
Net income (loss)	\$	43,755	\$	(93,396)
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests (Note 6)		(17,228)		31,903
Net income (loss) attributable to Taubman Centers, Inc.	\$	26,527	\$	(61,493)
Distributions to participating securities of TRG (Note 8)		(1,094)		(1,198)
Preferred stock dividends		(10,975)		(10,975)
Net income (loss) attributable to Taubman Centers, Inc. common shareowners	\$	14,458	\$	(73,666)
			_	
Net income (loss)	\$	43,755	\$	(93,396)
Other comprehensive income:				
Unrealized gain on interest rate instruments and other		6,468		5,373
Reclassification adjustment for amounts recognized in net income:				
Impairment loss on marketable securities				1,666
Other		946		946
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$	51,169	\$	(85,411)
Comprehensive (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests		(22,080)		27,867
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Taubman Centers, Inc.	\$	29,089	\$	(57,544)
			_	
Posis comingo (loco) non common chom (Note 10)	¢	0.27	¢	(1.20)
Basic earnings (loss) per common share (Note 10)	2	0.27	2	(1.39)
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share (Note 10)	\$	0.26	\$	(1.39)
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$	1.245	\$	1.245
				
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding – basic		54,530,503		53,112,145

TAUBMAN CENTERS, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 AND 2009 (in thousands, except share data)

Taubman Centers, Inc. Shareowners' Equity

			i aubiliali Celi	icis, mc. snarc	OWI	icis Equity					
		Preferred Stock Common Stock Paid-In Comprehensive Excess of I		Dividends in Excess of Net	Noncontrolling						
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	_	Capital	Inc	come (Loss)	Income	Interests	Total Equity
Balance, January 1, 2009	33,909,235	\$ 26	53,018,987	\$ 530	\$	556,145	\$	(29,778)	\$ (726,097)	\$ (61,034)	\$ (260,208)
Issuance of stock pursuant to Continuing Offer (Notes 6, 8, and 9) Share-based compensation under employee and director	(60,000)		74,762	1		(7))			6	
benefit plans (Note 8)			77,488	1		6,651					6,652
Dividend equivalents (Note 8)									(262)		(262)
Dividends and distributions									(78,342)	. , ,	(127,338)
Net loss Other comprehensive loss (Notes 7 and 11):									(61,493)	(31,903)	(93,396)
Unrealized gain on interest rate instruments and other								2,205		3,168	5,373
Reclassification adjustments for amounts recognized in net income:								2,203		3,100	3,373
Impairment loss on marketable securities								1,113		553	1,666
Other					_			631		315	946
Balance, September 30, 2009	33,849,235	\$ 26	53,171,237	\$ 532	\$	562,789	\$	(25,829)	\$ (866,194)	\$ (137,891)	\$ (466,567)
Balance, January 1, 2010	33,839,235	\$ 26	54,321,586	\$ 543	\$	579,983	\$	(24,443)	\$ (884,666)	\$ (146,190)	\$ (474,747)
Issuance of stock pursuant to Continuing Offer (Notes 6, 8, and 9)	(126,109)		126,116	1		(611))	35		575	
Share-based compensation under employee and director benefit plans (Note 8)			232,175	3		8,297					8,300
Contribution from noncontrolling interest Dividend equivalents (Note 8)									(140)	28	28 (140)
Dividends and distributions									(80,071)		(125,682)
Net income									26,527	17,228	43,755
Other comprehensive income (Note 7):											
Unrealized gain on interest rate instruments and other								1,924		4,544	6,468
Reclassification adjustment for amounts recognized								620		200	046
in net income Balance, September 30, 2010	33,713,126	\$ 26	54,679,877	\$ 547	\$	587,669	\$	(21,846)	\$ (938,350)	\$ (169,118)	\$ (541,072)
					=						

TAUBMAN CENTERS, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (in thousands)

	Nine M	Nine Months Ended Sept		
	2010		2009	
Cash Flows From Operating Activities:				
Net income (loss)	\$	43,755 \$	(93,396)	
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization	1	117,502	110,077	
Provision for bad debts		3,213	2,928	
Impairment loss on marketable securities			1,666	
Gain on sale of land		(1,040)		
Impairment charges			166,680	
Other		8,306	8,971	
Increase (decrease) in cash attributable to changes in assets and liabilities:				
Receivables, deferred charges, and other assets		(8,421)	14,287	
Accounts payable and other liabilities		14,772	(22,772)	
Net Cash Provided By Operating Activities	\$ 1	178,087 \$	188,441	
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:				
Additions to properties	\$	(47,757) \$	(36,719)	
Refund of The Mall at Studio City escrow	Ψ	(π1,131) ψ	54,334	
Proceeds from sale of land		1.557	34,334	
Repayments of notes receivable		1.136	4,500	
Repayments of notes receivable Issuances of notes receivable		(2,948)	4,500	
Contributions to Unconsolidated Joint Ventures		(7,042)	(1,660)	
Distributions from Unconsolidated Joint Ventures in excess of income		35,165	8,176	
Other		33,103	942	
	\$	(10.000) ¢	29,573	
Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Investing Activities	2	(19,889) \$	29,573	
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:				
Debt proceeds		213,500 \$	978	
Debt payments	(2	243,779)	(110,996)	
Debt issuance costs		(2,913)		
Issuance of common stock and/or partnership units in connection with incentive plans		1,928	(1,204)	
Distributions to noncontrolling interests		(45,611)	(48,996)	
Distributions to participating securities of TRG		(1,094)	(1,198)	
Cash dividends to preferred shareowners		(10,975)	(10,975)	
Cash dividends to common shareowners		(67,929)	(88,158)	
Other		(190)	(1,404)	
Net Cash Used In Financing Activities	\$ (1	157,063) \$	(261,953)	
Net Increase (Decrease) In Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$	1,135 \$	(43,939)	
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period		16,176	59,188	
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$	17,311 \$	15,249	
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of 1 Chou	9	17,311 \$	13,449	

Note 1 - Interim Financial Statements

General

Taubman Centers, Inc. (the Company or TCO) is a Michigan corporation that operates as a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust (REIT). The Taubman Realty Group Limited Partnership (the Operating Partnership or TRG) is a majority-owned partnership subsidiary of TCO that owns direct or indirect interests in all of the company's real estate properties. In this report, the term "Company" refers to TCO, the Operating Partnership, and/or the Operating Partnership's subsidiaries as the context may require. The Company engages in the ownership, management, leasing, acquisition, development, and expansion of regional and super-regional retail shopping centers and interests therein. The Company's owned portfolio as of September 30, 2010 included 23 urban and suburban shopping centers in ten states.

Taubman Properties Asia LLC and its subsidiaries (Taubman Asia), which is the platform for the Company's expansion into the Asia-Pacific region, is headquartered in Hong Kong.

The unaudited interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and related notes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the financial statements for the interim periods have been made. The results of interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results for a full year.

Dollar amounts presented in tables within the notes to the financial statements are stated in thousands, except share data or as otherwise noted. Certain reclassifications have been made to 2009 amounts to conform with current year classifications.

Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements of the Company include all accounts of the Company, the Operating Partnership, and its consolidated subsidiaries, including The Taubman Company LLC (the Manager) and Taubman Asia.

Investments in entities not controlled but over which the Company may exercise significant influence (Unconsolidated Joint Ventures or UJVs) are accounted for under the equity method. The Company has evaluated its investments in the Unconsolidated Joint Ventures under guidance for determining whether an entity is a variable interest entity, including new amendments to ASC Topic 810 "Consolidation" that became effective January 1, 2010, and has concluded that the ventures are not variable interest entities. Accordingly, the Company accounts for its interests in these entities under general accounting standards for investments in real estate ventures (including guidance for determining effective control of a limited partnership or similar entity). The Company's partners or other owners in these Unconsolidated Joint Ventures have substantive participating rights including approval rights over annual operating budgets, capital spending, financing, admission of new partners/members, or sale of the properties and the Company has concluded that the equity method of accounting is appropriate for these interests. Specifically, the Company's 79% investment in Westfarms is through a general partnership in which the other general partners have approval rights over annual operating budgets, capital spending, refinancing, or sale of the property.

Ownership

In addition to the Company's common stock, there are three classes of preferred stock (Series B, G, and H) outstanding as of September 30, 2010. Dividends on the 8% Series G and 7.625% Series H Preferred Stock are cumulative and are paid on the last day of each calendar quarter. The Company owns corresponding Series G and Series H Preferred Equity interests in the Operating Partnership that entitle the Company to income and distributions (in the form of guaranteed payments) in amounts equal to the dividends payable on the Company's Series G and Series H Preferred Stock.

The Company also is obligated to issue to partners in the Operating Partnership other than the Company, upon subscription, one share of nonparticipating Series B Preferred Stock per each Operating Partnership unit. The Series B Preferred Stock entitles its holders to one vote per share on all matters submitted to the Company's shareowners and votes together with the common stock on all matters as a single class. The holders of Series B Preferred Stock are not entitled to dividends or earnings. The Series B Preferred Stock is convertible into the Company's common stock at a ratio of 14,000 shares of Series B Preferred Stock for one share of common stock.

Outstanding voting securities of the Company at September 30, 2010 consisted of 26,233,126 shares of Series B Preferred Stock and 54,679,877 shares of Common Stock.

The Operating Partnership

At September 30, 2010, the Operating Partnership's equity included three classes of preferred equity (Series F, G, and H) and the net equity of the partnership unitholders. Net income and distributions of the Operating Partnership are allocable first to the preferred equity interests, and the remaining amounts to the general and limited partners in the Operating Partnership in accordance with their percentage ownership. The Series G and Series H Preferred Equity are owned by the Company and are eliminated in consolidation. The Series F Preferred Equity is owned by an institutional investor and accounted for as a noncontrolling interest of the Company.

The Company's ownership in the Operating Partnership at September 30, 2010 consisted of a 68% managing general partnership interest, as well as the Series G and H Preferred Equity interests. The Company's average ownership percentage in the Operating Partnership for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was 67%. At September 30, 2010, the Operating Partnership had 80,931,453 partnership units outstanding, of which the Company owned 54,679,877 units.

Restructuring

In 2009, in response to the decreased level of active projects due to the downturn in the economy, the Company reduced its workforce by about 40 positions, primarily in areas that directly or indirectly affect its development initiatives in the U.S. and Asia. A restructuring charge of \$2.6 million was recorded in the first half of 2009, which primarily represents the cost of terminations of personnel.

Note 2 – Income Taxes

Income Tax Expense

The Company's income tax expense (benefit) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 is as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30					Nine Months Ended September 30			
	2010			2009	2010			2009	
State current	\$	275	\$	(105)	\$	725	\$	576	
State deferred		(13)		(106)		(198)		(319)	
Federal current		11				56			
Foreign current		(35)				(35)			
Total income tax expense (benefit)	\$	238	\$	(211)	\$	548	\$	257	

The Company expects to have less than \$0.1 million of federal alternative minimum tax payable in 2010. The Company had no other federal or foreign income tax expense during these periods as a result of net operating losses incurred by the Company's Taxable REIT Subsidiaries.

Deferred Taxes

Deferred tax assets and liabilities as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are as follows:

	2010		 2009
Deferred tax assets:			
Federal	\$	8,097	\$ 8,697
Foreign		2,040	1,513
State		6,742	6,467
Total deferred tax assets	\$	16,879	\$ 16,677
Valuation allowances		(9,334)	(9,090)
Net deferred tax assets	\$	7,545	\$ 7,587
Deferred tax liabilities:			
Federal	\$	616	\$ 615
State		4,155	4,396
Total deferred tax liabilities	\$	4,771	\$ 5,011

The Company believes that it is more likely than not the results of future operations will generate sufficient taxable income to recognize the net deferred tax assets. These future operations are primarily dependent upon the Manager's profitability, the timing and amounts of gains on land sales, the profitability of the Company's Asian operations, the future profitability of the Company's unitary filing group for Michigan Business Tax purposes, and other factors affecting the results of operations of the Taxable REIT Subsidiaries. The valuation allowances relate to net operating loss carryforwards and tax basis differences where there is uncertainty regarding their realizability.

Note 3 – Impairment Charges

Regency Square

In September 2009, the Company concluded that the carrying value (book value) of the investment in Regency Square was impaired and recognized a non-cash charge of \$59.0 million, representing the excess book value of the investment over its fair value of approximately \$29 million. The Company's conclusion was based on estimates of future cash flows for the property, which were negatively impacted by necessary capital expenditures and declining net operating income. The book value of the investment in Regency Square as of September 30, 2010 was \$31 million, which includes additional capital spending that was anticipated in determining the fair value.

In September 2010, the Board of Directors concluded that it is in the best interest of the Company to discontinue its financial support of Regency Square and as a result, the Company has begun discussions with the lender about the center's future ownership. Recently, the Company obtained a waiver from its bank group that allows this action to be taken without triggering a default under the Company's lines of credit. At the current time, subject to decisions by the lender, the Company will continue to manage the shopping center. The Regency Square loan had a principal balance of \$73.2 million as of September 30, 2010, with \$71.6 million due on this amortizing loan at its maturity in November 2011. The Company expects to accrue a default rate of interest on the loan of 10.75% (6.75% plus a 4% default rate) beginning late in the fourth quarter of 2010.

The Pier Shops at Caesars

In September 2009, the Company concluded that the carrying value of the investment in the consolidated joint venture that owns The Pier Shops at Caesars (The Pier Shops) was impaired and recognized a non-cash charge of \$107.7 million, representing the excess of The Pier Shops' book value of the investment over its fair value of approximately \$52 million. The Operating Partnership's share of the charge was \$101.8 million. The Company's conclusion was based on a decision by its Board of Directors, in connection with a review of the Company's capital plan, to discontinue the Company's financial support of The Pier Shops. The \$135 million loan encumbering The Pier Shops is currently in default. The administration of the loan has been turned over to the special servicer. Under the terms of the loan agreement, interest accrues at the original stated rate of 6.01% plus a 4% default rate. The book value of the investment in The Pier Shops as of September 30, 2010 was \$46 million (see Note 9 for more information on related litigation).

Regarding both Regency Square and The Pier Shops, a non-cash accounting gain, representing the difference between the book value of the debt, interest payable and other obligations extinguished over the net book value of the property and any other assets transferred, will be recognized for each center when its loan obligation is extinguished upon transfer of title of the respective center. The transition processes are not in the Company's control and the timing of transfer of title for each of the centers is uncertain. The Company will continue to record the operations of the centers and interest on the loans in its results until ownership of the centers has been transferred.

See Note 11 for assumptions used to estimate the fair values of these assets in 2009.

Note 4 - Investments in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

General Information

The Company owns beneficial interests in joint ventures that own shopping centers. The Operating Partnership is the direct or indirect managing general partner or managing member of these Unconsolidated Joint Ventures, except for the ventures that own Arizona Mills, The Mall at Millenia, and Waterside Shops.

Shopping Center	Ownership as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009
Arizona Mills	50%
Fair Oaks	50
The Mall at Millenia	50
Stamford Town Center	50
Sunvalley	50
Waterside Shops	25
Westfarms	79

The Company's carrying value of its Investment in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures differs from its share of the partnership or members' equity reported in the combined balance sheet of the Unconsolidated Joint Ventures due to (i) the Company's cost of its investment in excess of the historical net book values of the Unconsolidated Joint Ventures and (ii) the Operating Partnership's adjustments to the book basis, including intercompany profits on sales of services that are capitalized by the Unconsolidated Joint Ventures. The Company's additional basis allocated to depreciable assets is recognized on a straight-line basis over 40 years. The Operating Partnership's differences in bases are amortized over the useful lives of the related assets.

In its Consolidated Balance Sheet, the Company separately reports its investment in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures for which accumulated distributions have exceeded investments in and net income of the Unconsolidated Joint Ventures. The net equity of certain joint ventures is less than zero because distributions are usually greater than net income, as net income includes non-cash charges for depreciation and amortization. In addition, distributions related to refinancing of the centers will further decrease the net equity of the centers.

Combined Financial Information

Combined balance sheet and results of operations information is presented in the following table for the Unconsolidated Joint Ventures, followed by the Operating Partnership's beneficial interest in the combined operations information. Beneficial interest is calculated based on the Operating Partnership's ownership interest in each of the Unconsolidated Joint Ventures.

Properties \$ 1,003,23 (20,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000) (0.00,000)						S	eptember 30 2010	D	ecember 31 2009
Accounted depreciation and amorization	Assets:					¢	1 002 224	ф	1.004.062
Cash and cash quivilents 6,679.05 18,845 Cash and cash quivilents 18,030 18,845 Caccounts and notes receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,501 and \$1,703 in 2010 and \$200.00 20,774 22,310 Deferred charges and other assess \$ 73,559.01 \$ 76,022 Libilities and accumulated deficiency in assets \$ 1,128,507 \$ 1,028,000 Accounts payable and other liabilities \$ 23,000 (229,180) \$ 1,028,000 TRG's accumulated deficiency in assets \$ 1,285,000 \$ 10,028,000 TRG's accumulated deficiency in assets (above) \$ 229,180 \$ 1,028,000 TRG's accumulated deficiency in assets (above) \$ 1,285,000 \$ 766,221 TRG's accumulated deficiency in assets (above) \$ 229,180 \$ 200,000 TRG basis adjustments, including elimination of intercompany profit \$ 2,229,180 \$ 2,000,000 TRG's accumulated deficiency in assets (above) \$ 62,214 \$ 6,032,19 \$ 6,032,19 TRG's accumulated deficiency in assets (above) \$ 2,229,100 \$ 2,229,100 \$ 2,229,100 \$ 2,229,100 \$ 2,229,100 \$ 2,229,100 \$ 2,229,100 \$ 2,229,100 \$ 2,229,100<						Э		Э	
Can de ach equivalents 18.54 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.68 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69 2.69	Accumulated depreciation and amortization					¢		¢.	
Accounts and notes receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,00 and \$1,700 all or \$1,000 all or \$1,	Cash and each aguivalents					Ф		Ф	
Proper community of the properties of the prop		2009							
Liabilities and accumulated deficiency in assets: Notes payable		200)							
Page	Deterred charges and other assets					\$		\$	
Notes payable \$ 1,12,507 \$ 1,02,807 Accounts payable and other liabilities 36,417 50,615 TRG accumulated deficiency in assets (29,180) (205,566) Unconsolidated Joint Venture Partner's accumulated deficiency in assets (above) \$ 2,29,180 (205,566) TRG saccumulated deficiency in assets (above) \$ 6,212 70,371 TRG saccumulated deficiency in assets (above) \$ 6,212 70,371 TRG basis adjustments, including elimination of intercompany profit \$ 6,212 70,371 TRG basis adjustments, including elimination of trecompany profit \$ 6,232 6,6924 70,501 Not Investment in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures \$ 7,611 \$ 7,6025 170,503 Investment in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures \$ 7,611 \$ 8,800 170,503 Investment in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures \$ 6,275 \$ 76,119 \$ 8,800 Revenues \$ 6,575 \$ 7,209 20,00 20,00 Revenues \$ 6,575 \$ 7,229 \$ 6,023 \$ 6,973 Maintenance, taxes, utilities, and other operating expenses \$ 16,141 9,12 2,77,83 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Ψ</td><td>755,741</td><td>Ψ</td><td>700,201</td></td<>						Ψ	755,741	Ψ	700,201
Notes payable \$ 1,12,507 \$ 1,02,807 Accounts payable and other liabilities 36,417 50,615 TRG accumulated deficiency in assets (29,180) (205,566) Unconsolidated Joint Venture Partner's accumulated deficiency in assets (above) \$ 2,29,180 (205,566) TRG saccumulated deficiency in assets (above) \$ 6,212 70,371 TRG saccumulated deficiency in assets (above) \$ 6,212 70,371 TRG basis adjustments, including elimination of intercompany profit \$ 6,212 70,371 TRG basis adjustments, including elimination of trecompany profit \$ 6,232 6,6924 70,501 Not Investment in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures \$ 7,611 \$ 7,6025 170,503 Investment in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures \$ 7,611 \$ 8,800 170,503 Investment in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures \$ 6,275 \$ 76,119 \$ 8,800 Revenues \$ 6,575 \$ 7,209 20,00 20,00 Revenues \$ 6,575 \$ 7,229 \$ 6,023 \$ 6,973 Maintenance, taxes, utilities, and other operating expenses \$ 16,141 9,12 2,77,83 <td< td=""><td>Liabilities and accumulated deficiency in assets:</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Liabilities and accumulated deficiency in assets:								
Accounts payable and other liabilities						\$	1 128 507	\$	1 092 806
TRGs accumulated deficiency in assets									, ,
TRG's accumulated deficiency in assets (above) TRG basis adjustments, including elimination of intercompany profit TCO's additional basis Net Investment in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures Investment									
TRG's accumulated deficiency in assets (above) \$ (229,180) \$ (205,566) TRG's basis adjustments, including elimination of intercompany profit 66,112 70,371 TCO's additional basis 66,013 64,694 TCO's additional basis 69,6834 70,501 Distributions in excess of investments in and net income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures 70,501 Tree Months 5 (200,000 70,501 70,501 Tree Months 7 (200,000 70,501 70,501 70,501 70,501 Tree Months 7 (200,000 70,501 70,501 70,501 70,501 Tree Months 7 (200,000 70,501 70,501 70,501 70,501 70,501 Tree Months 7 (200,000 70,501 70,501 70,501 70,501 70,501 Tree Months 7 (200,000 70,501 70,501 70,501 70,501 70,501 Tree Months 7 (200,000 70,501 70,501 70,501 70,501 70,501 70,501	Unconsolidated Joint Venture Partners' accumulated deficiency in assets						(199,803)		(171,574)
TRG's accumulated deficiency in assets (above) \$ (229,180) \$ (205,566) TRG's basis adjustments, including elimination of intercompany profit 66,112 70,371 TCO's additional basis 66,013 64,694 TCO's additional basis 69,6834 70,501 Distributions in excess of investments in and net income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures 70,501 Tree Months 5 (200,000 70,501 70,501 Tree Months 7 (200,000 70,501 70,501 70,501 70,501 Tree Months 7 (200,000 70,501 70,501 70,501 70,501 Tree Months 7 (200,000 70,501 70,501 70,501 70,501 70,501 Tree Months 7 (200,000 70,501 70,501 70,501 70,501 70,501 Tree Months 7 (200,000 70,501 70,501 70,501 70,501 70,501 Tree Months 7 (200,000 70,501 70,501 70,501 70,501 70,501 70,501	·					\$	735,941	\$	766,281
TRG basis adjustments, including elimination of intercompany profit 69,11 70,31 TCO's additional basis 63,234 64,049 Net investment in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures 9 (36,33) 70,500 Investment in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures Tree Months Earther 30 Nine Months Earther 12,933 160,305 Revenues Tree Months Inconsolidated Joint Ventures Nine Months Earther 12,933 19,000 Revenues \$ 65,775 \$ 67,286 \$ 192,837 \$ 197,000 Revenues \$ 65,775 \$ 67,286 \$ 192,837 \$ 197,000 Maintenance, taxes, utilities, and other operating expenses \$ 16,141 \$ 16,29 \$ 66,332 \$ 69,79 Maintenance, taxes, utilities, and other operating expenses \$ 16,141 \$ 16,29 \$ 48,287 \$ 29,007 Interest expense \$ 16,141 \$ 16,29 \$ 47,875 \$ 48,287 Depreciation and amortization \$ 9,611 \$ 9,212 \$ 27,738 \$ 28,172 Total operating costs \$ 10,069 \$ 18,957 \$ 50,959 \$ 13,66 \$ 1,485 \$ 10,959 \$ 10,959 \$ 13,66 \$ 1						_		_	
TRG basis adjustments, including elimination of intercompany profit 69,11 70,31 TCO's additional basis 63,234 64,049 Net investment in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures 9 (36,33) 70,500 Investment in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures Tree Months Earther 30 Nine Months Earther 12,933 160,305 Revenues Tree Months Inconsolidated Joint Ventures Nine Months Earther 12,933 19,000 Revenues \$ 65,775 \$ 67,286 \$ 192,837 \$ 197,000 Revenues \$ 65,775 \$ 67,286 \$ 192,837 \$ 197,000 Maintenance, taxes, utilities, and other operating expenses \$ 16,141 \$ 16,29 \$ 66,332 \$ 69,79 Maintenance, taxes, utilities, and other operating expenses \$ 16,141 \$ 16,29 \$ 48,287 \$ 29,007 Interest expense \$ 16,141 \$ 16,29 \$ 47,875 \$ 48,287 Depreciation and amortization \$ 9,611 \$ 9,212 \$ 27,738 \$ 28,172 Total operating costs \$ 10,069 \$ 18,957 \$ 50,959 \$ 13,66 \$ 1,485 \$ 10,959 \$ 10,959 \$ 13,66 \$ 1	TRG's accumulated deficiency in assets (above)					\$	(229,180)	\$	(205,566)
Total additional basis Final State Fin									
Distributions in excess of investments in and net income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures 172,953 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 16	TCO's additional basis								
Distributions in excess of investments in and net income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures 172,953 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 160,305 16	Net Investment in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures					\$	(96,834)	\$	(70,501)
Three Months Endem of Septem 10 Nine Months Endem of Septem 10 Non Septem 10	Distributions in excess of investments in and net income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures								
Revenues 2010 2009 2010 2009 Maintenance, taxes, utilities, and other operating expenses \$ 65,775 \$ 67,286 \$ 192,837 \$ 197,030 Maintenance, taxes, utilities, and other operating expenses \$ 22,557 \$ 22,929 \$ 66,232 \$ 69,297 Interest expense 16,111 16,219 47,875 48,287 Depreciation and amortization 9,611 9,212 27,783 28,172 Total operating costs \$ 48,309 \$ 48,360 \$ 141,800 \$ 145,756 Nonoperating income 2 31 3 88 Net income \$ 17,468 \$ 18,957 \$ 50,950 \$ 51,362 Net income attributable to TRG \$ 10,069 \$ 10,435 \$ 29,307 \$ 28,700 Realized intercompany profit, net of depreciation on TRG's basis adjustments 391 506 1,366 1,740 Depreciation of TCO's additional basis (487) (487) (487) (1,460) 1,460 Equity in income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures' operations: \$ 9,973 \$ 10,454 \$ 29,213 \$ 28,980<	Investment in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures					\$	76,119	\$	89,804
Revenues 2010 2009 2010 2009 Maintenance, taxes, utilities, and other operating expenses \$ 65,775 \$ 67,286 \$ 192,837 \$ 197,030 Maintenance, taxes, utilities, and other operating expenses \$ 22,557 \$ 22,929 \$ 66,232 \$ 69,297 Interest expense 16,111 16,219 47,875 48,287 Depreciation and amortization 9,611 9,212 27,783 28,172 Total operating costs \$ 48,309 \$ 48,360 \$ 141,800 \$ 145,756 Nonoperating income 2 31 3 88 Net income \$ 17,468 \$ 18,957 \$ 50,950 \$ 51,362 Net income attributable to TRG \$ 10,069 \$ 10,435 \$ 29,307 \$ 28,700 Realized intercompany profit, net of depreciation on TRG's basis adjustments 391 506 1,366 1,740 Depreciation of TCO's additional basis (487) (487) (487) (1,460) 1,460 Equity in income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures' operations: \$ 9,973 \$ 10,454 \$ 29,213 \$ 28,980<						-			
Revenues \$ 65,775 \$ 67,286 \$ 192,837 \$ 197,030 Maintenance, taxes, utilities, and other operating expenses \$ 22,557 \$ 22,929 \$ 66,232 \$ 69,297 Interest expense 16,141 16,219 47,875 48,287 Depreciation and amortization 9,611 9,212 27,783 28,172 Total operating costs \$ 48,309 \$ 48,360 \$ 141,890 \$ 145,756 Nonoperating income 2 31 3 88 Net income \$ 17,468 \$ 18,957 \$ 50,950 \$ 51,362 Net income attributable to TRG \$ 10,069 \$ 10,435 \$ 29,307 \$ 28,700 Realized intercompany profit, net of depreciation on TRG's basis adjustments 391 506 1,366 1,740 Depreciation of TCO's additional basis (487) (487) (487) (1,460) (1,460) Equity in income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures' operations: \$ 9,973 \$ 10,454 \$ 29,213 \$ 28,980 Beneficial interest in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures' operations: \$ 24,064 \$ 24,413 \$ 70,555 <td></td> <td>Th</td> <td>ree Months End</td> <td>led Septe</td> <td>ember 30</td> <td></td> <td>Nine Months End</td> <td colspan="2">ed September 30</td>		Th	ree Months End	led Septe	ember 30		Nine Months End	ed September 30	
Maintenance, taxes, utilities, and other operating expenses \$ 22,557 \$ 22,929 \$ 66,232 \$ 69,297 Interest expense 16,141 16,219 47,875 48,287 Depreciation and amortization 9,611 9,212 27,783 28,172 Total operating costs \$ 48,309 \$ 48,360 \$ 141,890 \$ 145,756 Nonoperating income 2 31 3 88 Net income \$ 17,468 \$ 18,957 \$ 50,950 \$ 51,362 Net income attributable to TRG \$ 10,069 \$ 10,435 \$ 29,307 \$ 28,700 Realized intercompany profit, net of depreciation on TRG's basis adjustments 391 506 1,366 1,740 Depreciation of TCO's additional basis (487) (487) (1,460) (1,460) Equity in income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures \$ 9,973 \$ 10,454 \$ 29,213 \$ 28,980 Beneficial interest in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures' operations: \$ 24,064 \$ 24,413 \$ 70,555 \$ 70,897 Interest expense (8,360) (8,416) (24,810) (25,069)			2010		2009		2010		2009
Interest expense 16,141 16,219 47,875 48,287 Depreciation and amortization 9,611 9,212 27,783 28,172 Total operating costs \$48,300 \$48,360 \$141,890 \$145,756 Total operating income 2 31 3 88 Net income attributable to TRG \$10,069 \$10,435 \$29,307 \$28,700 Realized intercompany profit, net of depreciation on TRG's basis adjustments 391 506 1,366 1,740 Equity in income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures operations: Revenues less maintenance, taxes, utilities, and other operating expenses \$24,064 \$24,413 \$70,555 \$70,897 Interest expense \$8,360 \$8,416 \$(24,810) \$(25,069) Depreciation and amortization \$(5,731) \$(5,543) \$(16,532) \$(16,848) Comparison of TCO's additional description of TRG's basis adjustments \$24,064 \$24,413 \$70,555 \$70,897 Comparison of TRG's basis adjustments \$24,064 \$24,413 \$70,555 \$70,897 Comparison of TRG's basis adjustments \$24,064 \$24,413 \$70,555 \$70,897 Comparison of TRG's basis adjustments \$24,064 \$24,413 \$70,555 \$70,897 Comparison of TRG's basis adjustments \$70,897 \$70,897 Comparison of TRG's basis adjustments \$24,064 \$24,413 \$70,555 \$70,897 Comparison of TRG's basis adjustments \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70,897 \$70	Revenues	\$	65,775	\$	67,286	\$	192,837	\$	197,030
Depreciation and amortization 9,611 9,212 27,783 28,172	Maintenance, taxes, utilities, and other operating expenses	\$	22,557	\$	22,929	\$	66,232	\$	69,297
Total operating costs \$ 48,300 \$ 48,360 \$ 141,890 \$ 145,756	Interest expense		16,141		16,219		47,875		48,287
Nonoperating income 2 31 3 88 Net income \$ 17,468 \$ 18,957 \$ 50,950 \$ 51,362 Net income attributable to TRG \$ 10,069 \$ 10,435 \$ 29,307 \$ 28,700 Realized intercompany profit, net of depreciation on TRG's basis adjustments 391 506 1,366 1,740 Depreciation of TCO's additional basis (487) (487) (1,460) (1,460) Equity in income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures \$ 9,973 \$ 10,454 \$ 29,213 \$ 28,980 Beneficial interest in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures' operations: Revenues less maintenance, taxes, utilities, and other operating expenses \$ 24,064 \$ 24,413 \$ 70,555 \$ 70,897 Interest expense (8,360) (8,416) (24,810) (25,069) Depreciation and amortization (5,731) (5,543) (16,532) (16,848)	Depreciation and amortization		9,611		9,212		27,783		28,172
Net income \$ 17,468 \$ 18,957 \$ 50,950 \$ 51,362 Net income attributable to TRG \$ 10,069 \$ 10,435 \$ 29,307 \$ 28,700 Realized intercompany profit, net of depreciation on TRG's basis adjustments 391 506 1,366 1,740 Depreciation of TCO's additional basis (487) (487) (1,460) (1,460) Equity in income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures \$ 9,973 \$ 10,454 \$ 29,213 \$ 28,980 Beneficial interest in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures' operations: Revenues less maintenance, taxes, utilities, and other operating expenses \$ 24,064 \$ 24,413 \$ 70,555 \$ 70,897 Interest expense (8,360) (8,416) (24,810) (25,069) Depreciation and amortization (5,731) (5,543) (16,532) (16,848)	Total operating costs	\$	48,309	\$	48,360	\$	141,890	\$	145,756
Net income attributable to TRG \$ 10,069 \$ 10,435 \$ 29,307 \$ 28,700 Realized intercompany profit, net of depreciation on TRG's basis adjustments \$ 391 \$ 506 \$ 1,366 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,460 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,460 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,460 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,460 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,460 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,460 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,460 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,460 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740 \$ 1,740	Nonoperating income		2		31		3		88
Realized intercompany profit, net of depreciation on TRG's basis adjustments 391 506 1,366 1,740 Depreciation of TCO's additional basis (487) (487) (1,460) (1,460) Equity in income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures \$ 9,973 \$ 10,454 \$ 29,213 \$ 28,980 Beneficial interest in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures' operations: Revenues less maintenance, taxes, utilities, and other operating expenses \$ 24,064 \$ 24,413 \$ 70,555 \$ 70,897 Interest expense (8,360) (8,416) (24,810) (25,069) Depreciation and amortization (5,731) (5,543) (16,532) (16,848)	Net income	\$	17,468	\$	18,957	\$	50,950	\$	51,362
Realized intercompany profit, net of depreciation on TRG's basis adjustments 391 506 1,366 1,740 Depreciation of TCO's additional basis (487) (487) (1,460) (1,460) Equity in income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures \$ 9,973 \$ 10,454 \$ 29,213 \$ 28,980 Beneficial interest in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures' operations: Revenues less maintenance, taxes, utilities, and other operating expenses \$ 24,064 \$ 24,413 \$ 70,555 \$ 70,897 Interest expense (8,360) (8,416) (24,810) (25,069) Depreciation and amortization (5,731) (5,543) (16,532) (16,848)									
Depreciation of TCO's additional basis	Net income attributable to TRG	\$	10,069	\$	10,435	\$	29,307	\$	28,700
Depreciation of TCO's additional basis	Realized intercompany profit, net of depreciation on TRG's basis adjustments		391		506		1,366		1,740
Beneficial interest in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures' operations: Revenues less maintenance, taxes, utilities, and other operating expenses 24,064 24,413 70,555 70,897 Interest expense (8,360) (8,416) (24,810) (25,069) Depreciation and amortization (5,731) (5,543) (16,532) (16,848)	Depreciation of TCO's additional basis		(487)		(487)		(1,460)		(1,460)
Revenues less maintenance, taxes, utilities, and other operating expenses \$ 24,064 \$ 24,413 \$ 70,555 \$ 70,897 Interest expense (8,360) (8,416) (24,810) (25,069) Depreciation and amortization (5,731) (5,543) (16,532) (16,848)	Equity in income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures	\$	9,973	\$	10,454	\$	29,213	\$	28,980
Revenues less maintenance, taxes, utilities, and other operating expenses \$ 24,064 \$ 24,413 \$ 70,555 \$ 70,897 Interest expense (8,360) (8,416) (24,810) (25,069) Depreciation and amortization (5,731) (5,543) (16,532) (16,848)									
Interest expense (8,360) (8,416) (24,810) (25,069) Depreciation and amortization (5,731) (5,543) (16,532) (16,848)	Beneficial interest in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures' operations:								
Depreciation and amortization (5,731) (5,543) (16,532) (16,848)		\$		\$	24,413	\$		\$	
			(8,360)		(8,416)		(24,810)		(25,069)
	Depreciation and amortization				(5,543)				
Equity in income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures \$ 9,973 \\ 10,454 \\ 29,213 \\ 28,980	Equity in income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures	\$	9,973	\$	10,454	\$	29,213	\$	28,980

 $The \ estimated \ fair \ value \ of the \ Unconsolidated \ Joint \ Ventures' \ notes \ payable \ was \$1.2 \ billion \ and \$1.1 \ billion \ at \ September \ 30, 2010 \ and \ December \ 31, 2009, \ respectively.$

Note 5 - Beneficial Interest in Debt and Interest Expense

The Operating Partnership's beneficial interest in the debt, capitalized interest, and interest expense of its consolidated subsidiaries and its Unconsolidated Joint Ventures is summarized in the following table. The Operating Partnership's beneficial interest in the consolidated subsidiaries excludes debt and interest related to the noncontrolling interests in Cherry Creek Shopping Center (50%), International Plaza (49.9%), The Pier Shops (22.5%), The Mall at Wellington Green (10%), and MacArthur Center (MacArthur) (5%).

	At 100%					At Beneficial Interest			
	Consolidated Subsidiaries				Consolidated Subsidiaries			nconsolidated oint Ventures	
Debt as of:									
September 30, 2010	\$	2,657,819	\$	1,128,507	\$	2,298,719	\$	576,826	
December 31, 2009		2,691,019		1,092,806		2,332,030		559,817	
Capitalized interest:									
Nine months ended September 30, 2010	\$	176			\$	176			
Nine months ended September 30, 2009		915	\$	23		905	\$	11	
Interest expense:									
Nine months ended September 30, 2010	\$	114,246	\$	47,875	\$	98,377	\$	24,810	
Nine months ended September 30, 2009		109,113		48,287		94,318		25,069	

Debt Covenants and Guarantees

Certain loan agreements contain various restrictive covenants, including a minimum net worth requirement, a maximum payout ratio on distributions, a minimum debt yield ratio, a maximum leverage ratio, minimum interest coverage ratios and a minimum fixed charges coverage ratio, the latter being the most restrictive. Other than The Pier Shops' loan, which is in default, the Company is in compliance with all of its covenants and loan obligations as of September 30, 2010 (see Note 3 for information on the Regency Square loan). The default on this loan did not trigger any cross defaults on the Company's other indebtedness. The maximum payout ratio on distributions covenant limits the payment of distributions generally to 95% of funds from operations, as defined in the loan agreements, except as required to maintain the Company's tax status, pay preferred distributions, and for distributions related to the sale of certain assets.

Payments of principal and interest on the loans in the following table are guaranteed by the Operating Partnership as of September 30, 2010.

			T	TRG's Beneficial	Aı	mount of Loan		
			I	Interest in Loan	Bala	ance Guaranteed		
	Lo	an Balance		Balance		by TRG	% of Loan Balance	% of Interest
Center	as	of 9/30/10		as of 9/30/10		as of 9/30/10	Guaranteed by TRG	Guaranteed by TRG
•				(in millions)				
Dolphin Mall	\$	10.0	\$	10.0	\$	10.0	100%	100%
Fairlane Town Center		80.0		80.0		80.0	100	100
Twelve Oaks Mall		-		-		-	100	100

The Company is required to escrow cash balances for specific uses stipulated by certain of its lenders. As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company's cash balances restricted for these uses were \$10.7 million and \$3.5 million, respectively. Such amounts are included within Deferred Charges and Other Assets in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet.

2010 Financings

In September 2010, the Company completed a 10-year, \$131 million non-recourse refinancing on MacArthur, a 95% owned consolidated joint venture, which bears interest at one month LIBOR plus 2.35% and is swapped at an all-in-rate of 5.12% (see Note 7 for more information on this swap). The payments are interest-only for the first two years, and then payments are based on amortizing principal over 30 years. The existing \$127.1 million 6.96% fixed rate loan was paid off and the Company's share of excess proceeds was used to pay down its revolving line of credit.

In July 2010, a 10-year, \$175 million non-recourse refinancing was completed on Arizona Mills, a 50% owned unconsolidated joint venture, which bears interest at an all-in-rate of 5.84%. The payments are based on amortizing principal over 30 years. The existing \$131 million 7.90% fixed rate loan was paid off and the Company's share of excess proceeds was used to pay down its revolving line of credit.

In June 2010, the Company completed a 10-year, \$82.5 million non-recourse financing on The Mall at Partridge Creek that bears interest at an all-in-rate of 6.25%. The loan payments are based or amortizing principal over 30 years. The existing \$73.8 million floating rate loan was paid off and the excess proceeds were used to pay down the Company's revolving line of credit.

The Pier Shops Loan Default

The \$135 million loan encumbering The Pier Shops is currently in default (see Notes 3 and 9 regarding additional information on the center and the default on this loan).

Note 6 - Noncontrolling Interests

As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, noncontrolling interests in the Company are comprised of the ownership interests of (1) noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership and (2) the noncontrolling interests in joint ventures controlled by the Company through ownership or contractual arrangements. These noncontrolling interests reported in equity are not subject to any mandatory redemption requirements or other redemption features outside of the Company's control that would result in presentation outside of permanent equity pursuant to general accounting standards regarding the classification and measurement of redeemable equity instruments.

The net equity balance of the noncontrolling interests as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 includes the following:

	2010	2009
Noncontrolling interests:		
Noncontrolling interests in consolidated joint ventures	\$ (101,207)	\$ (100,014)
Noncontrolling interests in partnership equity of TRG	(97,128)	(75,393)
Preferred equity of TRG	29,217	29,217
	\$ (169,118)	\$ (146,190)

Net income (loss) attributable to the noncontrolling interests for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009 includes the following:

	 2010	 2009
Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests:		
Noncontrolling share of income (loss) of consolidated joint ventures	\$ 1,920	\$ (3,456)
TRG Series F preferred distributions	615	615
Noncontrolling share of income (loss) of TRG	 1,172	 (45,894)
	\$ 3,707	\$ (48,735)

Net income (loss) attributable to the noncontrolling interests for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009 includes the following:

	2010	2009
Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests:		
Noncontrolling share of income of consolidated joint ventures	\$ 5,901	\$ 270
TRG Series F preferred distributions	1,845	1,845
Noncontrolling share of income (loss) of TRG	 9,482	(34,018)
	\$ 17,228	\$ (31,903)

Equity Transactions

The following schedule presents the effects of changes in Taubman Centers, Inc.'s ownership interest in consolidated subsidiaries on Taubman Centers, Inc.'s equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009:

	 2010	2009
Net income (loss) attributable to Taubman Centers, Inc. common shareowners	\$ 14,458	\$ (73,666)
Transfers (to) from the noncontrolling interest –		
Decrease in Taubman Centers, Inc.'s paid-in capital for the acquisition of additional units of TRG under		
the Continuing Offer	(611)	(7)
Net transfers (to) from noncontrolling interests	(611)	(7)
Change from net income (loss) attributable to Taubman Centers, Inc. and transfers (to) from noncontrolling		
interests	\$ 13,847	\$ (73,673)

Finite Life Entities

ASC Topic 480, "Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity" establishes standards for classifying and measuring as liabilities certain financial instruments that embody obligations of the issuer and have characteristics of both liabilities and equity. At September 30, 2010, the Company held controlling interests in consolidated entities with specified termination dates in 2081 and 2083. The noncontrolling owners' interests in these entities are to be settled upon termination by distribution or transfer of either cash or specific assets of the underlying entity. The estimated fair value of these noncontrolling interests was approximately \$107 million at September 30, 2010, compared to a book value of \$(99.7) million that is classified in Noncontrolling Interests in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Note 7 - Derivative and Hedging Activities

Risk Management Objective and Strategies for Using Derivatives

The Company uses derivative instruments, such as interest rate swaps and interest rate caps, primarily to manage exposure to interest rate risks inherent in variable rate debt and refinancings. The Company may also enter into forward starting swaps or treasury lock agreements to set the effective interest rate on a planned fixed-rate financing. The Company's interest rate swaps involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty in exchange for the Company making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying notional amount. Interest rate caps involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty if interest rates rise above the strike rate on the contract in exchange for an up-front premium. In a forward starting swap or treasury lock agreement that the Company cash settles in anticipation of a fixed rate financing or refinancing, the Company will receive or pay an amount equal to the present value of future cash flow payments based on the difference between the contract rate and market rate on the settlement date.

The Company does not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes and currently does not have any derivatives that are not designated as hedging instruments under the accounting requirements for derivatives and hedging.

As of September 30, 2010, the Company had the following outstanding interest rate derivatives that were designated and are expected to be effective as cash flow hedges of the interest payments on the associated debt

Instrument Type	Ownership	Notional Amount	Swap Rate	Credit Spread on Loan	Total Swapped Rate on Loan	Maturity Date
Consolidated Subsidiaries:						
Receive variable (LIBOR) /pay-fixed swap	50.1%	\$ 325,000	3.86%	1.15%	5.01%	January 2011
Receive variable (LIBOR) /pay-fixed swap (1)	95.0	131,000	2.64	2.35	4.99	September 2020
Unconsolidated Joint Ventures:						
Receive variable (LIBOR) /pay-fixed swap	50.0	250,000	2.82	1.40	4.22	April 2011
Receive variable (LIBOR) /pay-fixed swap	50.0	30,000	5.05	0.90	5.95	November 2012

(1) The notional amount of the swap is equal to the outstanding principal balance on the loan, which begins amortizing after the first two years.

Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a cash flow hedge, the effective portion of the unrealized gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of Other Comprehensive Income (OCI). The ineffective portion of the change in fair value is recognized directly in earnings. Net realized gains or losses resulting from derivatives that were settled in conjunction with planned fixed-rate financings or refinancings continue to be included in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) (AOCI) during the term of the hedged debt transaction.

Amounts reported in AOCI related to currently outstanding derivatives are recognized as an adjustment to income as interest payments are made on the Company's variable-rate debt. Realized gains or losses on settled derivative instruments included in AOCI are recognized as an adjustment to income over the term of the hedged debt transaction.

The Company expects that approximately \$9.8 million of the AOCI of Taubman Centers, Inc. and the noncontrolling interests will be reclassified from AOCI and recognized as a reduction of income in the following 12 months.

As of September 30, 2010, the Company had \$2.9 million of net realized losses included in AOCI resulting from settled derivative instruments, which were designated as cash flow hedges that are being recognized as a reduction of income over the term of the hedged debt.

The following tables present the effect of derivative instruments on the Company's Consolidated Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009. The tables include the location and amount of unrealized gains and losses on outstanding derivative instruments in cash flow hedging relationships and the location and amount of realized losses reclassified from AOCI into income resulting from settled derivative instruments associated with hedged debt.

During the three months ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009, the Company did not have any hedge ineffectiveness or amounts that were excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness recorded in earnings.

		nt of Gain or (L on Derivative (l	Effective P		Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	CI into Income	(Effect	
		Three mont Septemb				Three mor	nths end aber 30	
	2	2010		009		2010		2009
Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships:								
Interest rate contracts – consolidated subsidiaries	\$	(308)	\$	820	Interest Expense	\$ (3,208)	\$	(2,970)
Interest rate contracts – UJVs		574		(180)	Equity in Income of UJVs	 (976)		(981)
Total derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships	\$	266	\$	640		\$ (4,184)	\$	(3,951)
Realized losses on settled cash flow hedges:								
Interest rate contracts – consolidated subsidiaries					Interest Expense	\$ (221)	\$	(221)
Interest rate contract – UJVs					Equity in Income of UJVs	(94)		(94)
Total realized losses on settled cash flow hedges						\$ (315)	\$	(315)

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009, the Company did not have any hedge ineffectiveness or amounts that were excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness recorded in earnings.

		(Effective ths ended	Portion)	Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	nt of Gain or (L CI into Income Nine mon	(Effect ths end	ive Portion) ed
	 	nber 30			 Septen	iber 30	
	 2010		2009		 2010		2009
Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships:							
Interest rate contracts – consolidated subsidiaries	\$ 4,592	\$	4,156	Interest Expense	\$ (9,088)	\$	(8,471)
Interest rate contracts – UJVs	 1,508		950	Equity in Income of UJVs	(2,944)		(2,755)
Total derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships	\$ 6,100	\$	5,106		\$ (12,032)	\$	(11,226)
Realized losses on settled cash flow hedges:							
Interest rate contracts - consolidated subsidiaries				Interest Expense	\$ (664)	\$	(664)
Interest rate contract – UJVs				Equity in Income of UJVs	(282)		(282)
Total realized losses on settled cash flow hedges					\$ (946)	\$	(946)

The Company records all derivative instruments at fair value in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. The following table presents the location and fair value of the Company's derivative financial instruments as reported in the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company does not have any derivatives in an asset position.

		Liability I	Derivat	ives
	Consolidated Balance Sheet Location	mber 30 010	D	ecember 31 2009
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:	Consolidated Butting Cheer Essention	 		2009
Interest rate contracts – consolidated subsidiaries	Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities	\$ 6,194	\$	10,786
Interest rate contracts – UJVs	Investment in UJVs	2,950		4,458
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments		\$ 9,144	\$	15,244
Total derivatives		\$ 9,144	\$	15,244

Contingent Features

As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, all four of the Company's outstanding derivatives contain provisions that state if the hedged entity defaults on any of its indebtedness in excess of \$1 million, then the derivative obligation could also be declared in default. In addition, one of the four outstanding derivatives contains a provision that if the Company defaults on an obligation in excess of \$1 million on its \$40 million line of credit, then the derivative obligation could also be declared in default. Although the Company is currently in default on the debt relating to The Pier Shops, the Company is not in default on any debt obligations that would trigger a credit risk related default on its current outstanding derivatives.

As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the fair value of derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features that are in a liability position was \$9.1 million and \$15.2 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company was not required to post any collateral related to these agreements. If the Company breached any of these provisions it would be required to settle its obligations under the agreements at their fair value. See Note 11 for fair value information on derivatives.

Note 8 - Share-Based Compensation

In May 2008, the Company's shareowners approved The Taubman Company 2008 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan (2008 Omnibus Plan). The 2008 Omnibus Plan provides for the award to directors, officers, employees, and other service providers of the Company of restricted shares, restricted units of limited partnership in the Operating Partnership, options to purchase shares or Operating Partnership units, unrestricted shares or Operating Partnership units, and other awards to acquire up to an aggregate of 6.1 million Company common shares or Operating Partnership units. In addition, non-employee directors have the option to defer their compensation, other than their meeting fees, under a deferred compensation plan.

In May 2010, the Company's shareowners approved an amendment to the 2008 Omnibus Plan to increase the Company common shares or Operating Partnership units available for awards by 2.4 million from an aggregate of 6.1 million to 8.5 million. This amendment also revised the methodology used to determine the amount of Company common shares or Operating Partnership units available for future grants. Under the 2008 Omnibus Plan (as amended) non-option awards granted after the May 2010 amendment are deducted at a ratio of 1.85 Company common shares or Operating Partnership units while non-option awards granted prior to the amendment continue to be deducted at a ratio of 2.85. Options are deducted on a one-for-one basis. The amount available for future grants is adjusted when the numbe of contingently issuable shares or units are settled, for grants that are forfeited, and for options that expire without being exercised.

Prior to the adoption of the 2008 Omnibus Plan, the Company provided share-based compensation through an incentive option plan, a long-term incentive plan, and non-employee directors' stock grant and deferred compensation plans.

The compensation cost charged to income for the Company's share-based compensation plans was \$1.9 million and \$5.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. The compensation cost charged to income for the Company's share-based compensation plans was \$3.4 million and \$7.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively. Compensation cost capitalized as part of properties and deferred leasing costs was approximately \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, and \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively.

Options

A summary of option activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 is presented below:

			Weighted	
			Average	
		Weighted	Remaining	
	Number of	Average Exercise	Contractual Term	Range of Exercise
	Options	Price	(in years)	Prices
Outstanding at January 1, 2010	1,629,609	\$ 35.24	6.8	\$ 13.83 - \$55.90
Exercised	(160,931)	19.05		
Outstanding at September 30, 2010	1,468,678	\$ 37.01	5.9	§ 13.83 - \$55.90
				*
Fully vested options at September 30, 2010	1,170,162	\$ 37.32	6.0	

The aggregate intrinsic value (the difference between the period end stock price and the option exercise price) of in-the-money options outstanding and in-the-money fully vested options as of September 30, 2010 was \$15.1 million and \$12.4 million, respectively.

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was \$3.9 million. Cash received from option exercises for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was \$3.1 million. No options were exercised during the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

As of September 30, 2010 there were 0.3 million nonvested options outstanding, and \$0.3 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested options. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.1 years.

Under both the prior option plan and the 2008 Omnibus Plan, vested unit options can be exercised by tendering mature units with a market value equal to the exercise price of the unit options. In 2002, Robert S. Taubman, the Company's chief executive officer, exercised options for 3.0 million units by tendering 2.1 million mature units and deferring receipt of 0.9 million units under the unit option deferral election. As the Operating Partnership pays distributions, the deferred option units receive their proportionate share of the distributions in the form of cash payments. Beginning with the ten year anniversary of the date of exercise (unless Mr. Taubman retires earlier), the deferred partnership units will be issued in ten annual installments. The deferred units are accounted for as participating securities of the Operating Partnership.

Performance Share Units

In May 2010, the Company granted Performance Share Units (PSU) under the 2008 Omnibus Plan (as amended). Each PSU represents the right to receive, upon vesting, shares of the Company's common stock ranging from 0-300% of the PSU based on the Company's market performance relative to that of a peer group. The vesting date is in March 2013 if continuous service has been provided or upon retirement or certain other events if earlier. No dividends accumulate during the vesting period.

The Company estimated the value of the PSU granted in 2010 using a Monte Carlo simulation, considering historical returns of the Company and the peer group of companies, a risk-free interest rate of 1.1%, and a measurement period of 2.78 years. When used in the simulation, the value of the Company's stock was reduced by the discounted present value of expected dividends during the vesting period. The resulting weighted average grant-date fair value was \$63.54 per PSU.

A summary of PSU activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 is presented below:

	Number of Performance Stock Units	Aver	eighted age Grant Fair Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2010	196,943	\$	15.60
Granted	75,413		63.54
Outstanding at September 30, 2010	272,356	\$	28.88

None of the PSU outstanding at September 30, 2010 were vested. As of September 30, 2010, there was \$5.3 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested PSU outstanding. This cost is expected to be recognized over an average period of 2.1 years.

Restricted Share Units

In May 2010, restricted share units (RSU) were issued under the 2008 Omnibus Plan (as amended) and represent the right to receive upon vesting one share of the Company's common stock. The vesting date is March 2013 if continuous service has been provided through that period, or upon retirement or certain other events if earlier. No dividends accumulate during the vesting period.

The Company estimated the value of the RSU granted in 2010 using the Company's common stock at the grant date deducting the present value of expected dividends during the vesting period using a risk-free rate of 1.1%. The result of the Company's valuation was a weighted average grant-date fair value of \$35.37.

A summary of Restricted Share Units (RSU) activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 is presented below:

	Number of Restricted Stock Units	Avera	eighted age Grant Fair Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2010	567,110	\$	24.92
Granted	144,588		35.37
Redeemed	(91,757)		56.44
Forfeited	(2,057)		14.71
Outstanding at September 30, 2010	617,884	\$	22.72

All of the RSU outstanding at September 30, 2010 were nonvested. As of September 30, 2010, there was \$5.9 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested RSU outstanding. This cost is expected to be recognized over an average period of 1.9 years.

Note 9 - Commitments and Contingencies

Cash Tender

At the time of the Company's initial public offering and acquisition of its partnership interest in the Operating Partnership in 1992, the Company entered into an agreement (the Cash Tender Agreement) with A. Alfred Taubman, who owns an interest in the Operating Partnership, whereby he has the annual right to tender to the Company partnership units in the Operating Partnership (provided that the aggregate value is at least \$50 million) and cause the Company to purchase the tendered interests at a purchase price based on a market valuation of the Company on the trading date immediately preceding the date of the tender. At A. Alfred Taubman's election, his family may participate in tenders. The Company will have the option to pay for these interests from available cash, borrowed funds, or from the proceeds of an offering of the Company's common stock. Generally, the Company expects to finance these purchases through the sale of new shares of its stock. The tendering partner will bear all market risk if the market price at closing is less than the purchase price and will bear the costs of sale. Any proceeds of the offering in excess of the purchase price will be for the sole benefit of the Company. The Company accounts for the Cash Tender Agreement between the Company and Mr. Taubman as a freestanding written put option. As the option put price is defined by the current market price of the Company's stock at the time of tender, the fair value of the written option defined by the Cash Tender Agreement is considered to be zero.

Based on a market value at September 30, 2010 of \$44.61 per common share, the aggregate value of interests in the Operating Partnership that may be tendered under the Cash Tender Agreement was approximately \$1.1 billion. The purchase of these interests at September 30, 2010 would have resulted in the Company owning an additional 30% interest in the Operating Partnership.

Continuing Offer

The Company has made a continuing, irrevocable offer to all present holders (other than certain excluded holders, including A. Alfred Taubman), permitted assignees of all present holders, those future holders of partnership interests in the Operating Partnership as the Company may, in its sole discretion, agree to include in the continuing offer, all existing optionees under the previous option plan, and all existing and future optionees under the 2008 Omnibus Plan (as amended) to exchange shares of common stock for partnership interests in the Operating Partnership (the Continuing Offer). Under the Continuing Offer agreement, one unit of the Operating Partnership interest is exchangeable for one share of the Company's common stock. Upon a tender of Operating Partnership units, the corresponding shares of Series B Preferred Stock, if any, will automatically be converted into the Company's common stock at a rate of 14,000 shares of Series B Preferred Stock for one common share.

Litigation

In September 2010, the Company settled the lawsuit filed by a restaurant owner in September 2009 in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles (Case No. BC 421212) against Taubman Centers, Inc., the Operating Partnership, and the Manager. The plaintiff had sought damages exceeding \$10 million, lost profits, restitution on its current lease, exemplary or punitive damages, and specific performance. As part of the settlement, the lawsuit was dismissed with prejudice and the plaintiff agreed to a full and general release for the benefit of the Company and related persons of all claims relating to the dispute. There was no admission of liability or fault by any parties to the lawsuit or related persons. The lawsuit was settled without payment by any party.

In April 2009, two restaurant owners, their two restaurants, and their principal filed a lawsuit in United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania (Case No. CV01619) against Atlantic Pier Associates LLC ("APA", the owner of the leasehold interest in The Pier Shops), the Operating Partnership, Taubman Centers, Inc., the Manager, the owners of APA and certain affiliates of such owners, and a former employee of one of such affiliates. The plaintiffs are alleging the defendants misrepresented and concealed the status of certain tenant leases at The Pier Shops and that such status was relied upon by the plaintiffs in making decisions about their own leases. The plaintiffs are seeking damages exceeding \$20 million, rescission of their leases, exemplary or punitive damages, costs and expenses, attorney's fees, return of certain rent, and other relief as the court may determine. The lawsuit is in its early legal stages and the defendants are vigorously defending it. The outcome of this lawsuit cannot be predicted with any certainty and management is currently unable to estimate an amount or range of potential loss that could result if an unfavorable outcome occurs. While management does not believe that an adverse outcome in this lawsuit would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, there can be no assurance that an adverse outcome would not have a material effect on the Company's results of operations for any particular period.

In April 2010, the holder of the loan on The Pier Shops filed a mortgage foreclosure complaint in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey (Case No. CV01755) against APA. The plaintiff seeks to establish the amounts due under The Pier Shops' mortgage loan agreement, foreclose all right, title, and lien which APA has in The Pier Shops' leasehold interest, obtain possession of the property, and order a foreclosure sale of the property to satisfy the amounts due under the loan. The foreclosure process is not in the Company's control and the timing of transfer of title is uncertain. Upon completion of the foreclosure sale, the ownership of The Pier Shops will be transferred in satisfaction of the obligations under the debt.

Other

See Note 5 for the Operating Partnership's guarantees of certain notes payable, Note 7 for contingent features relating to derivative instruments, and Note 8 for obligations under existing share-based compensation plans.

Note 10- Earnings (Loss) Per Share

Basic earnings per share amounts are based on the weighted average of common shares outstanding for the respective periods. Diluted earnings per share amounts are based on the weighted average of common shares outstanding plus the dilutive effect of potential common stock. Potential common stock includes outstanding partnership units exchangeable for common shares under the Continuing Offer (Note 9), outstanding options for partnership units, PSU, RSU, deferred shares under the Non-Employee Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan, and unissued partnership units under a unit option deferral election. In computing the potentially dilutive effect of potential common stock, partnership units are assumed to be exchanged for common shares under the Continuing Offer, increasing the weighted average number of shares outstanding. The potentially dilutive effects of partnership units outstanding and/or issuable under the unit option deferral elections are calculated using the if-converted method, while the effects of other potential common stock are calculated using the treasury method. Contingently issuable shares are included in diluted EPS based on the number of shares, if any, that would be issuable if the end of the reporting period were the end of the contingency period.

As of September 30, 2010, there were 8.5 million partnership units outstanding and 0.9 million unissued partnership units under unit option deferral elections that may be exchanged for common shares of the Company under the Continuing Offer. These outstanding partnership units and unissued units were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share as they were anti-dilutive in all periods presented. Also, there were out-of-the-money options for 0.5 million shares for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively that were excluded from the computation of diluted EPS because they were anti-dilutive in these periods. Potentially dilutive securities under share-based compensation plans (Note 8) were excluded from the computation of EPS for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 because they were anti-dilutive due to net losses in these periods.

	Three Months Ended September 30					Nine Mon Septen		
		2010		2009		2010	2009	
Net income (loss) attributable to Taubman Centers, Inc. common shareowners (Numerator):								
Basic	\$	722	\$	(94,073)	\$	14,458	\$ (73,666)	
Impact of additional ownership of TRG		15				120		
Diluted	\$	737	\$	(94,073)	\$	14,578	\$ (73,666)	
Shares (Denominator) – basic		54,679,877		53,147,866		54,530,503	53,112,145	
Effect of dilutive securities		1,084,651				1,070,126		
Shares (Denominator) – diluted		55,764,528		53,147,866		55,600,629	53,112,145	
Earnings (loss) per common share – basic	\$	0.01	\$	(1.77)	\$	0.27	\$ (1.39)	
Earnings (loss) per common share – diluted	\$	0.01	\$	(1.77)	\$	0.26	\$ (1.39)	

Note 11 - Fair Value Disclosures

This note contains required fair value disclosures for assets and liabilities remeasured at fair value on a recurring basis and financial instruments carried at other than fair value, as well as assumptions employed in deriving these fair values.

Recurring Valuations

Derivative Instruments

The fair value of interest rate hedging instruments is the amount that the Company would receive to sell an asset or pay to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the reporting date. The Company's valuations of its derivative instruments are determined using widely accepted valuation techniques, including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative, and therefore fall into Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. The valuations reflect the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and use observable market-based inputs, including forward curves. The fair values of interest rate hedging instruments also incorporate credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both the Company's own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk.

Marketable Securities

The Company's valuations of marketable securities, which are considered to be available-for-sale, and an insurance deposit utilize unadjusted quoted prices determined by active markets for the specific securities the Company has invested in, and therefore fall into Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy.

For assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, quantitative disclosure of the fair value for each major category of assets and liabilities is presented below:

	Fair Value Measurements as of September 30, 2010 Using			Fair Value Meas December 31,					
Description	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)		Active Markets for Significant Other Identical Assets Observable Inputs		ive Markets for Significant Other Active Markets entical Assets Observable Inputs Identical Asset		Markets for tical Assets		Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)
Available-for-sale securities	\$	2,033			\$	1,665			
Insurance deposit		10,308				9,689			
Total assets	\$	12,341			\$	11,354			
Derivative interest rate contracts (Note 7)			\$	(6,194)			\$	(10,786)	
Total liabilities			\$	(6,194)			\$	(10,786)	

The insurance deposit shown above represents an escrow account maintained in connection with a property and casualty insurance arrangement for the Company's shopping centers, and is classified within Deferred Charges and Other Assets. Corresponding deferred revenue relating to amounts billed to tenants for this arrangement has been classified within Accounts Payable and Other Liabilities.

The available-for-sale securities shown above consist of marketable securities that represent shares in a Vanguard REIT fund that were purchased to facilitate a tax efficient structure for the 2005 disposition of Woodland mall and is classified within Deferred Charges and Other Assets. In the second quarter of 2009, the Company concluded that a decrease in value was other than temporary, and therefore recognized a \$1.7 million impairment loss.

Nonrecurring Valuations

The Pier Shops and Regency Square investments represent the remaining book value after recognizing non-cash impairment charges to write-down the investments to their fair values in the third quarter of 2009. The fair values of the investments were determined based on discounted future cash flows, using management's estimates of cash flows from operations, necessary capital expenditures, the eventual disposition of the investments, and appropriate discount and capitalization rates. See Note 3 for more details on these impairment charges.

For assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, quantitative disclosure of the fair value for each major category of assets is presented below for the nine months ended September 30, 2009:

	Fair Valu	ıe			
	Measurem	ents			
	Using				
	Significa	nt			
	Unobserva	Unobservable Tota			
	Inputs	Inputs Impairm			
Description	(Level 3)	Losses		
The Pier Shops investment	\$ 52,	300 \$	(107,652)		
Regency Square investment	28,	800	(59,028)		
Total assets	\$ 81,	100 \$	(166,680)		

Financial Instruments Carried at Other Than Fair Values

Community Development District Obligation

The owner of one shopping center pays annual special assessment levies of a Community Development District (CDD), which provided certain infrastructure assets and improvements. As the amount and period of the special assessments were determinable, the Company capitalized the infrastructure assets and improvements and recognized an obligation for the future special assessments to be levied. At September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the book value of the infrastructure assets and improvements, net of depreciation, was \$44.3 million and \$45.8 million, respectively. The related obligation is classified within Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities and had a balance of \$63.3 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. The fair value of this obligation, derived from quoted market prices, was \$60.8 million at September 30, 2010 and \$59.8 million at December 31, 2009.

Notes Payable

The fair value of notes payable is estimated based on quoted market prices, if available. If no quoted market prices are available, the fair value of notes payable are estimated using cash flows discounted at current market rates. When selecting discount rates for purposes of estimating the fair value of notes payable at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company employed the credit spreads at which the debt was originally issued. Excluding 2010 refinancings, an additional 1.5% credit spread was added to the discount rate at September 30, 2010 and 2.0% credit spread at December 31, 2009, to account for current market conditions. This additional spread is an estimate and is not necessarily indicative of what the Company could obtain in the market at the reporting date. The Company does not believe that the use of different interest rate assumptions would have resulted in a materially different fair value of notes payable as of September 30, 2010 or December 31, 2009. To further assist financial statement users, the Company has included with its fair value disclosures an analysis of interest rate sensitivity. The fair values of the loans on The Pier Shops and Regency Square, at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, have been estimated at the fair value of the centers, which are collateral for the loans.

The estimated fair values of notes payable at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are as follows:

	20	2010		
	Carrying	<u>.</u>	Carrying	
	Value	Fair Value	Value	Fair Value
Notes payable	\$ 2,657,819	\$ 2,667,662	\$ 2,691,019	\$ 2,523,759

The fair values of the notes payable are dependent on the interest rates used in estimating the values. An overall 1% increase in rates employed in making these estimates would have decreased the fair values of the debt shown above at September 30, 2010 by \$83.3 million or 3.1%.

See Note 4 regarding the fair value of the Unconsolidated Joint Ventures' notes payable, and Note 7 regarding additional information on derivatives.

Note 12 - New Accounting Pronouncement

In September 2009, the FASB ratified the EITF's consensus on "Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements", contained in Accounting Standards Update No. 2009-13. This consensus amends previous accounting guidance on separating consideration in multiple-deliverable arrangements. This consensus eliminates the residual method of allocation in previous guidance and requires that arrangement consideration be allocated at the inception of the arrangement to all deliverables using the relative selling price. This consensus also establishes a selling price hierarchy based on available evidence for determining the selling price of a deliverable, (i) first on vendor-specific objective evidence, (ii) then third party evidence, and (iii) then the estimated selling price. This consensus also requires that a vendor determine its best estimate of selling price in a manner that is consistent with that used to determine the price to sell the deliverable on a standalone basis. This consensus is effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the application of the EITF's consensus on its results of operations and financial position.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains various "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These forward-looking statements represent our expectations or beliefs concerning future events, including the following: statements regarding future developments and joint ventures, rents, returns, and earnings; statements regarding the continuation of trends; and any statements regarding the sufficiency of our cash balances and cash generated from operating, investing, and financing activities for our future liquidity and capital resource needs. We caution that although forward-looking statements reflect our good faith beliefs and reasonable judgment based upon current information, these statements are qualified by important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements, because of risks, uncertainties, and factors including, but not limited to, the continuing impacts of the U.S. recession and global credit environment, other changes in general economic and real estate conditions, changes in the interest rate environment and the availability of financing, and adverse changes in the retail industry. Other risks and uncertainties are detailed from time to time in reports filed with the SEC, and in particular those set forth under "Risk Factors" in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K. The forward-looking statements included in this report are made as of the date hereof. Except as required by law, we assume no obligation to update these forward-looking statements, even if new information becomes available in the future. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements of Taubman Centers, Inc. and the notes thereto.

General Background and Performance Measurement

Taubman Centers, Inc. (TCO) is a Michigan corporation that operates as a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust (REIT). The Taubman Realty Group Limited Partnership (the Operating Partnership or TRG) is a majority-owned partnership subsidiary of TCO, which owns direct or indirect interests in all of our real estate properties. In this report, the terms "we", "us", and "our" refer to TCO, the Operating Partnership, and/or the Operating Partnership's subsidiaries as the context may require. We own, manage, lease, acquire, dispose of, develop, and expand regional and super-regional shopping centers. The Consolidated Businesses consist of shopping centers and entities that are controlled by ownership or contractual agreements, The Taubman Company LLC (Manager), and Taubman Properties Asia LLC and its subsidiaries (Taubman Asia). Shopping centers owned through joint ventures that are not controlled by us but over which we have significant influence (Unconsolidated Joint Ventures) are accounted for under the equity method.

References in this discussion to "beneficial interest" refer to our ownership or pro-rata share of the item being discussed. Also, the operations of the shopping centers are often best understood by measuring their performance as a whole, without regard to our ownership interest. Consequently, in addition to the discussion of the operations of the Consolidated Businesses, the operations of the Unconsolidated Joint Ventures are presented and discussed as a whole. All operating statistics provided exclude The Pier Shop at Caesars (The Pier Shops). See "Results of Operations – The Pier Shops at Caesars."

Use of Non-GAAP Measures

We use Net Operating Income (NOI) as an alternative measure to evaluate the operating performance of centers, both on individual and stabilized portfolio bases. We define NOI as property-level operating revenues (includes rental income excluding straightline adjustments of minimum rent) less maintenance, taxes, utilities, ground rent, and other property operating expenses. Since NOI excludes general and administrative expenses, pre-development charges, interest income and expense, depreciation and amortization, impairment charges, restructuring charges, and gains from land and property dispositions, it provides a performance measure that, when compared period over period, reflects the revenues and expenses most directly associated with owning and operating rental properties, as well as the impact on their operations from trends in tenant sales, occupancy and rental rates, and operating costs. We also use NOI excluding lease cancellation income as an alternative measure because this income may vary significantly from period to period, which can affect comparability and trend analysis. We generally provide separate projections for expected NOI growth and our lease cancellation income.

The operating results in "Results of Operations" include the supplemental earnings measures of Beneficial Interest in EBITDA and Funds from Operations (FFO). Beneficial Interest in EBITDA represents our share of the earnings before interest, income taxes, and depreciation and amortization of our consolidated and unconsolidated businesses. We believe Beneficial Interest in EBITDA provides a useful indicator of operating performance, as it is customary in the real estate and shopping center business to evaluate the performance of properties on a basis unaffected by capital structure.

The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT) defines FFO as net income (loss) (computed in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP)), excluding gains (or losses) from extraordinary items and sales of properties, plus real estate related depreciation and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. We believe that FFO is a useful supplemental measure of operating performance for REITs. Historical cost accounting for real estate assets implicitly assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values instead have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, we and most industry investors and analysts have considered presentations of operating results that exclude historical cost depreciation to be useful in evaluating the operating performance of REITs.

We primarily use FFO in measuring performance and in formulating corporate goals and compensation. We may also present adjusted versions of NOI, Beneficial Interest in EBITDA, and FFO when used by management to evaluate our operating performance when certain significant items have impacted our results that affect comparability with prior or future periods due to the nature or amounts of these items. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, FFO was adjusted for impairment charges. The nine month period ended September 30, 2009 was also adjusted for a restructuring charge.

Our presentations of NOI, Beneficial Interest in EBITDA, FFO, and adjusted versions of these measures are not necessarily comparable to the similarly titled measures of other REITs due to the fact that not all REITs use the same definitions. These measures should not be considered alternatives to net income or as an indicator of our operating performance. Additionally, these measures do not represent cash flows from operating, investing or financing activities as defined by GAAP. Reconciliations of Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Taubman Centers, Inc. Common Shareowners to Funds from Operations and Adjusted Funds from Operations, Net Income (Loss) to Beneficial Interest in EBITDA, and Net Income (Loss) to Net Operating Income (Loss) are presented following the Comparison of the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 to the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009.

Current Operating Trends

We have begun to see positive signs of stabilization in the economy and capital markets although the impacts of the recent recession continue. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, only 0.7% of tenants sought the protection of the bankruptcy laws, compared to 3.1% of tenants in the comparable period in 2009. We believe this is indicative of the improved health of retailers as well as the proactive way landlords worked with retailers in trouble last year so that they could stay open, effectively helping them restructure outside of bankruptcy. The retail environment has shown improvement and retailers are becoming more optimistic with their expansion plans and capital allocation decisions. However, retailers are still sensitive to occupancy costs and negotiations continue to be challenging.

Our mall tenant sales per square foot statistics have shown improvement since July 2009 and we ended fourth quarter 2009 with a 3.8% increase over fourth quarter 2008. Our mall tenants reported a 13.2% increase in sales per square foot in the third quarter of 2010 from the same period in 2009. For the nine month period ended September 30, 2010, sales per square foot increased 12.1% over 2009. For the twelve month period ended September 30, 2010, mall tenant sales were \$539 per square foot. With a strong fourth quarter, we will be very close to our 2007 peak productivity of \$555 per square foot by year end. Tenant sales and sales per square foot information are operating statistics used in measuring the productivity of the portfolio and are based on reports of sales furnished by mall tenants. Sales are the most important measure of a portfolio's overall strength and the best predictor of the leasing environment ahead. Over the long term, the level of mall tenant sales is the single most important determinant of revenues of the shopping centers because mall tenants provide approximately 90% of these revenues and because mall tenant sales determine the amount of rent, percentage rent, and recoverable expenses (together, total occupancy costs) that mall tenants can afford to pay. However, levels of mall tenants ales can be considerably more volatile in the short run than total occupancy costs, and may be impacted significantly, either positively or negatively, by the success of a small number of tenants or even a single tenant.

Sales directly impact the amount of percentage rents certain tenants and anchors pay. The effects of increases or declines in sales on our operations are moderated by the relatively minor share of total rents that percentage rents represent of total rents.

While sales are critical over the long term, the high quality regional mall business has been a very stable business model with its diversity of income from thousands of tenants, its staggered lease maturities, and high proportion of fixed rent. However, a sustained trend in sales does impact, either negatively or positively, our ability to lease vacancies and negotiate rents at advantageous rates.

In the third quarter of 2010, ending occupancy was 88.6% compared to 88.7% in the third quarter of 2009. We continue to expect to end the year at least even with 2009 occupancy. Temporary tenants, defined as those with lease terms less than 12 months, are not included in occupancy or leased space statistics. Temporary tenant leasing continues to be strong and as of September 30, 2010, approximately 4.1% of mall tenant space was occupied by temporary tenants, compared to 3.1% in the third quarter of 2009. Temporary leasing is likely to approach 5% by year end. This demonstrates our ability to quickly mobilize and fill spaces as they become available, often before permanent tenants start construction in the first and second quarter. Temporary leasing is generally done with center-based agents who have been very creative in finding local and regional retailers with innovative uses for our space. This provides us with more income and greater convenience for our shoppers by filling merchandise voids. In addition, the most successful temporary concepts often evolve into permanent tenants. See "Seasonality" for occupancy and leased space statistics.

Leased space was 91.7% at September 30, 2010, up 0.6% from September 30, 2009. The difference between leased space and occupancy is that leased space includes spaces where leases have been signed but the tenants are not yet open. Neither statistic includes temporary tenants. We view occupancy as more relevant to operating results as it represents those spaces upon which we are currently collecting rent from permanent tenants. Finally, the spread between leased space and occupied space, at 3.1% this quarter, is slightly above our history of 2% to 3% in the third quarter.

As leases have expired in the centers, we have generally been able to rent the available space, either to the existing tenant or a new tenant, at rental rates that are higher than those of the expired leases. Generally, center revenues have increased as older leases rolled over or were terminated early and replaced with new leases negotiated at current rental rates that were usually higher than the average rates for existing leases. In periods of increasing sales, rents on new leases will generally tend to rise. In periods of slower growth or declining sales, rents on new leases will grow more slowly or will decline for the opposite reason, as tenants' expectations of future growth become less optimistic. Rent per square foot information for our Consolidated Businesses and Unconsolidated Joint Ventures follows:

		Three I Ended Sep			Nine N Ended Sep		
	-	2010 2009		2010		2009	
Average rent per square foot:							
Consolidated Businesses	\$	42.84	\$	42.36	\$ 42.92	\$	43.56
Unconsolidated Joint Ventures		43.68		44.56	43.71		44.59
Combined		43.12		43.08	43.17		43.89

		Trailing 12 Mo Ended Septemb				
	2	010 (1)	2009 (1)			
Opening base rent per square foot:						
Consolidated Businesses	\$	48.48	\$ 44.44			
Unconsolidated Joint Ventures		44.66	53.82			
Combined		47.38	46.94			
Square feet of GLA opened:						
Consolidated Businesses		606,611	676,135			
Unconsolidated Joint Ventures		244,899	245,321			
Combined		851,510	921,456			
Closing base rent per square foot:						
Consolidated Businesses	\$	46.85	\$ 41.94			
Unconsolidated Joint Ventures		47.73	50.00			
Combined		47.07	43.99			
Square feet of GLA closed:						
Consolidated Businesses		719,697	807,687			
Unconsolidated Joint Ventures		238,553	274,245			
Combined		958,250	1,081,932			
Releasing spread per square foot:						
Consolidated Businesses	\$	1.63	\$ 2.50			
Unconsolidated Joint Ventures		(3.07)	3.82			
Combined		0.31	2.95			

⁽¹⁾ Opening and closing statistics exclude spaces greater than or equal to 10,000 square feet.

Average rent per square foot across our portfolio, including both consolidated and unconsolidated properties, was up 0.1% for this quarter. We expect total average rent per square foot for the year to be down about 1.5% due to the annualization of rent relief granted in 2009. This was the result of concerted efforts to keep tenants open in a difficult environment. The spread between opening and closing rents may not be indicative of future periods, as this statistic is not computed on comparable tenant spaces, and can vary significantly from period to period depending on the total amount, location, and average size of tenant space opening and closing in the period. We continue to believe that our opening rents will improve during the remainder of 2010 and expect to end the year up as much as 5% above the 2009 amount of \$46.63. While this statistic is very sensitive to the final leasing of the year, the impact on 2010 reported earnings will be nominal.

Seasonality

The regional shopping center industry is seasonal in nature, with mall tenant sales highest in the fourth quarter due to the Christmas season, and with lesser, though still significant, sales fluctuations associated with the Easter holiday and back-to-school period. While minimum rents and recoveries are generally not subject to seasonal factors, most leases are scheduled to expire in the first quarter, and the majority of new stores open in the second half of the year in anticipation of the Christmas selling season. Additionally, most percentage rents are recorded in the fourth quarter. Accordingly, revenues and occupancy levels are generally highest in the fourth quarter. Gains on sales of peripheral land and lease cancellation income may vary significantly from quarter to quarter.

	3 rd Quarter 2010	2 nd Quarte 2010		1 st Quarter 2010		Total 2009		4 th Quarter 2009	3 rd Quarter 2009		Quarter		2 nd Quarter 2009	1 st Quarter 2009
				(in thous	ands	, except occup	ancy	and leased spa	ace da	ıta)				
Mall tenant sales (1)	\$ 1,095,242	\$ 1,062	,263	\$ 1,005,181	\$	4,227,936	\$	1,350,806	\$	987,008	\$ 968,964	\$ 921,158		
Revenues and nonoperating income:														
Consolidated Businesses	155,454	155	,232	151,638		666,815		186,306		163,447	159,137	157,925		
Unconsolidated Joint Ventures	65,777	63	,711	63,352		272,622		75,504		67,317	63,657	66,144		
Occupancy and leased space:														
Ending occupancy	88.6%		87.9%	88.2%		89.6%		89.6%		88.7%	88.8%	88.8%		
Average occupancy	88.3		88.0	88.4		89.0		89.5		88.5	88.9	89.0		
Leased space	91.7		90.8	91.2		91.6		91.6		91.1	91.3	90.7		

(1) Based on reports of sales furnished by mall tenants.

Because the seasonality of sales contrasts with the generally fixed nature of minimum rents and recoveries, mall tenant occupancy costs (the sum of minimum rents, percentage rents, and expense recoveries) as a percentage of sales are considerably higher in the first three quarters than they are in the fourth quarter.

	3 rd Quarter 2010	2 nd Quarter 2010	1 st Quarter 2010	Total 2009	4 th Quarter 2009	3 rd Quarter 2009	2 nd Quarter 2009	1 st Quarter 2009
Consolidated Businesses :								-
Minimum rents	9.6%	9.8%	10.6%	10.2%	8.0%	10.6%	10.8%	12.2%
Percentage rents	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.3
Expense recoveries	4.7	5.1	5.0	5.7	5.9	5.3	5.9	6.0
Mall tenant occupancy costs	14.6%	15.0%	15.9%	16.2%	14.4%	16.1%	16.8%	18.5%
Unconsolidated Joint Ventures:								
Minimum rents	9.2%	9.5%	9.7%	9.6%	7.7%	10.3%	10.6%	10.9%
Percentage rents	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.3
Expense recoveries	4.6	4.5	4.5	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.1	4.9
Mall tenant occupancy costs	14.1%	14.1%	14.5%	14.9%	13.0%	15.6%	15.7%	16.1%
Combined:								
Minimum rents	9.4%	9.7%	10.3%	9.9%	7.8%	10.5%	10.8%	11.8%
Percentage rents	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.3
Expense recoveries	4.7	4.9	4.8	5.6	5.6	5.2	5.6	5.6
Mall tenant occupancy costs	14.4%	14.7%	15.4%	15.8%	13.9%	15.9%	16.4%	17.7%

Results of Operations

In addition to the trends in our operations disclosed in the preceding sections, the following sections discuss certain transactions that affected operations in the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009, or are expected to impact operations in the future.

Asia

In September 2010, we entered into agreements to provide development, leasing and management services for International Financial Center (IFC) in Seoul, South Korea. Currently under construction, the approximate 430,000 square foot mall is scheduled to open in late 2011, and will feature up to 100 stores and restaurants. We are continuing to build our team in Asia and our hiring is focused in Korea in orde to staff the IFC project.

Regency Square

In September 2009, we concluded that the book value of the investment in Regency Square was impaired and as a result, the book value of the property was written down by \$59 million to a fair value o approximately \$29 million as of September 30, 2009. The decision was based on estimates of future cash flows for the property, which were negatively impacted by necessary capital expenditures and declining net operating income. In September 2010, our Board of Directors made the decision to discontinue financial support of Regency Square. Recently, we obtained a waiver from our bank group tha allows us to take this action without triggering a default under our lines of credit. We have begun discussions with the lender regarding the ownership of this property. We will continue to record the operation: in our results, and effective late fourth quarter, we expect to begin recording a 10.75% default rate of interest on the loan. The incremental impact of default interest on the loan is expected to be nominal in 2010. We expect the non-cash impact of owning Regency Square (including default interest) to result in an earnings charge in 2011, excluding depreciation and amortization, of approximately \$(0.4) million per month. Including the impact of depreciation and amortization, the impact on 2011 earnings is expected to be about \$(0.6) million per month. In addition, a non-cash accounting gain, representing the difference between the book value of the debt, interest payable and other obligations extinguished over the net book value of the property and any other assets transferred, will be recognized when the loan obligation is extinguished upon transfer of title of Regency Square. The process is not in our control and the timing of transfer of title is uncertain. The book value of the investment in Regency Square as o September 30, 2010 was \$31 million, which includes additional capital spending that was anticipated in determining the fair value.

The Pier Shops at Caesars

In September 2009, the book value of The Pier Shops was written down by \$107.7 million (our share of which is \$101.8 million) to a fair value of approximately \$52 million. Our decision was based on the conclusion of our Board of Directors to discontinue financial support of The Pier Shops given long-term prospects for the property, including that cash flows generated from the center were insufficient to cover debt service on the \$135 million non-recourse loan. As a result of our discontinuing payment of debt service, the loan is now in default. Under the terms of the loan agreement, interest accrues at the original stated rate of 6.01% plus a 4% default rate. Although we are no longer funding any cash shortfalls, we continue to record the operations of the center and interest on the loan in our results until title for the center has been transferred and our obligation for the loan is extinguished. In April 2010, the holder of the loan on The Pier Shops filed a lawsuit to foreclose on the loan. The foreclosure process is not in our control and the timing of transfer of title is uncertain. We expect the non-cash impact of owning The Pier Shops (including default interest) to result in an earnings charge, excluding depreciation and amortization, of about \$(12) million in 2010. Including the impact of depreciation and amortization, the impact on 2010 earnings is expected to be about \$(19) million. In addition, a non-cash accounting gain representing the difference between the book value of the debt, interest payable and other obligations extinguished over the net book value of the property and any other assets transferred, will be recognized when the loan obligation is extinguished upon transfer of title of The Pier Shops. The book value of the investment in The Pier Shops as of September 30, 2010 was \$46 million.

Center Operations

We expect that NOI of our centers, excluding lease cancellation income, could decrease by 1% in 2010, compared to our previous expectation of down 2%. This improvement is due to better than anticipated rents and better net recoveries. We continue to expect net recoveries for the full year to be down on a year-over-year basis primarily due to reduced CAM capital, spending, but not down as much as we previously anticipated. If the impact of CAM capital, about 0.7% of the 1% decrease, is excluded, the NOI for 2010 will be nearly even with 2009. See "General Background and Performance Measurement – Use of Non-GAAP Measures" for the definition and discussion of NOI and see "Reconciliation of Net Income (Loss) to NOI" following the Comparison of the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 to the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009.

Debt Transactions

In September 2010, we completed a 10-year, \$131 million non-recourse refinancing on MacArthur Center, a 95% owned consolidated joint venture. The interest rate on the loan has been swapped to bea interest at an all-in rate of 5.12% for the entire term. The payments are interest-only for the first two years, and then payments are based on amortizing principal over 30 years. The existing \$127.1 million 6.96% fixed rate loan was paid off and our share of excess proceeds was used to pay down our revolving line of credit.

In July 2010, a 10-year, \$175 million non-recourse refinancing was completed on Arizona Mills, a 50% owned unconsolidated joint venture, which bears interest at an all-in-rate of 5.84%. The payments are based on amortizing principal over 30 years. The existing \$131 million 7.90% fixed rate loan was paid off and our share of excess proceeds was used to pay down our revolving line of credit.

In June 2010, we completed a 10-year, \$82.5 million non-recourse financing on The Mall at Partridge Creek that bears interest at an all-in-rate of 6.25%. The loan payments are based on amortizing principa over 30 years. The existing \$73.8 million floating rate loan was paid off and the excess proceeds were used to pay down our revolving line of credit.

Comparison of the Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 to the Three Months Ended September 30, 2009

The following table sets forth operating results for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009, showing the results of the Consolidated Businesses and Unconsolidated Joint Ventures:

veikules.			onths Ended per 30, 2010	Three Months Ended September 30, 2009				
		CONSOLIDATED BUSINESSES		UNCONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURES AT 100% ⁽¹⁾		SOLIDATED SINESSES	UNCON JOINT	SOLIDATED VENTURES 100% ⁽¹⁾
REVENUES:			(in m		illions)			
Minimum rents	\$	84.5	\$	38.7	\$	83.4	\$	39.1
Percentage rents	Ψ	3.4	Ψ	1.4	Ψ	2.6	Ψ	1.0
Expense recoveries		56.7		24.5		56.7		24.4
Management, leasing, and development services		4.4		25		3.4		2
Other		6.3		1.2		17.0		2.8
Total revenues	\$	155.3	\$	65.8	\$	163.2	\$	67.3
EXPENSES:								
Maintenance, taxes, and utilities	\$	45.9	\$	17.8	\$	46.3	\$	16.8
Other operating	Ψ	18.1	Ψ	4.2	Ψ	16.5	Ψ	5.5
Management, leasing, and development services		2.2		1.2		2.1		5.5
General and administrative		7.2				7.2		
Impairment charges		7.2				166.7		
Interest expense		38.9		16.1		36.4		16.2
Depreciation and amortization (2)		44.5		9.8		37.7		9.5
Total expenses	\$	156.7	\$	47.9	\$	312.9	\$	48.0
Nonoperating income		(1.3)	\$	17.9		(149.5)	\$	19.3
T	\$		ф.	17.9	\$		J.	19.3
Income tax (expense) benefit		(0.2)				0.2		
Equity in income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (2)	 	10.0				10.5		
Net income (loss)	\$	8.5			\$	(138.8)		
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests:								
Noncontrolling share of (income) loss of consolidated joint ventures		(1.9)				3.5		
TRG Series F preferred distributions		(0.6)				(0.6)		
Noncontrolling share of (income) loss of TRG		(1.2)				45.9		
Distributions to participating securities of TRG Preferred stock dividends		(0.4)				(0.4)		
		(3.7)				(3.7)		
Net income (loss) attributable to Taubman Centers, Inc. common shareowners	\$	0.7			\$	(94.1)		
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION (3):								
EBITDA – 100%	\$	82.1	\$	43.8	\$	(75.3)	\$	45.0
EBITDA – outside partners' share		(9.8)		(19.8)		(4.7)		(20.6)
Beneficial interest in EBITDA	\$	72.4	\$	24.1	\$	(80.0)	\$	24.4
Beneficial interest expense		(33.6)		(8.4)		(31.4)		(8.4)
Beneficial income tax (expense) benefit		(0.2)		()		0.2		()
Non-real estate depreciation		(0.8)				(0.9)		
Preferred dividends and distributions		(4.3)				(4.3)		
Funds from Operations contribution	\$	33.5	\$	15.7	\$	(116.3)	\$	16.0
			_		_			

With the exception of the Supplemental Information, amounts include 100% of the Unconsolidated Joint Ventures. Amounts are net of intercompany transactions. The Unconsolidated Joint Ventures are presented at 100% in order to allow for measurement of their performance as a whole, without regard to our ownership interest. In our consolidated financial statements, we account for investments in the Unconsolidated Joint Ventures under the equity method.

⁽²⁾ Amortization of our additional basis in the Operating Partnership included in depreciation and amortization was \$1.2 million in both 2010 and 2009. Also, amortization of our additional basis included in equity in income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures was \$0.5 million in both 2010 and 2009.

See "General Background and Performance Measurement – Use of Non-GAAP Measures" for the definition and discussion of EBITDA and FFO. Amounts in this table may not add due to rounding.

Consolidated Businesses

Total revenues for the quarter ended September 30, 2010 were \$155.3 million, a \$7.9 million or 4.8% decrease from the comparable period in 2009. Minimum rents increased by \$1.1 million due to increases in rent per square foot and income from temporary tenants, partially offset by a decrease in average occupancy. Management, leasing, and development revenue increased primarily due to revenue from the IFC contracts and our Salt Lake City project. Other income decreased primarily due to lower lease cancellation revenue.

Total expenses were \$156.7 million, a \$156.2 million or 49.9% decrease from the comparable period in 2009 due to impairment charges of \$166.7 million on The Pier Shops and Regency Square recognized in the third quarter of 2009 (see "Results of Operations – The Pier Shops at Caesars" and "Results of Operations – Regency Square"). Other operating expense increased primarily due to the provision for bad debts and higher costs related to marketing, modestly offset by decreases in professional fees. Interest expense increased primarily due to the default interest rate charged on The Pier Shops loan in 2010 and the refinancing of Partridge Creek. Depreciation expense increased due to changes in depreciable lives of tenant allowances in connection with early terminations.

Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

Total revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2010 were \$65.8 million, down \$1.5 million over the comparable period in 2009. Other income decreased due to lower lease cancellation revenue.

Total expenses decreased by \$0.1 million or 0.2%, to \$47.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. Maintenance, taxes, and utilities expense increased primarily due to increased maintenance costs and electricity expense. Other operating expense decreased primarily due to lower professional fees.

As a result of the foregoing, income of the Unconsolidated Joint Ventures decreased by \$1.4 million to \$17.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. Our equity in income of the Unconsolidated Joint Ventures was \$10.0 million, a \$0.5 million decrease from the comparable period in 2009.

Net Income (Loss) and EPS

Our net income (loss) was \$8.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, compared to (\$138.8) million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. After allocation of income (loss) to noncontrolling, preferred, and participating interests, the net income (loss) attributable to Taubman Centers, Inc. common shareowners for 2010 was \$0.7 million compared to (\$94.1) million in the comparable period in 2009. Net income (loss) allocable to common shareholders per diluted common share (EPS) for the three months ended September 30, 2010 was \$0.01, versus (\$1.77) for the three months ended September 30, 2009.

FFO and FFO per Share

Our FFO was \$49.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$(100.3) million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. FFO per diluted share was \$0.59 in 2010, compared to \$(\$1.26) in the comparable period in 2009. Our Adjusted FFO, which excludes the 2009 impairment charges, was \$49.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$60.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. Adjusted FFO per diluted share was \$0.59 in 2010, a decrease of 20.3% from \$0.74 in the comparable period in 2009. See "General Background and Performance Measurement – Use of Non-GAAP Measures" for the definition of FFO and "Reconciliation of Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Taubman Centers, Inc. Common Shareowners to Funds from Operations and Adjusted Funds from Operations."

Comparison of the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 to the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009

The following table sets forth operating results for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009, showing the results of the Consolidated Businesses and Unconsolidated Join Ventures:

			onths Ended er 30, 2010	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009					
		OLIDATED INESSES	UNCONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURES AT 100% (1)	CONSOLIDATEI BUSINESSES		CONSOLIDATED INT VENTURES AT 100% ⁽¹⁾			
REVENUES:			(in m	nillions)					
Minimum rents	\$	252.0	\$ 114.7	\$ 254.	9 \$	116.6			
Percentage rents	Ψ	6.6	2.9	5.		2.2			
Expense recoveries		165.9	70.3			72.1			
Management, leasing, and development services		11.4		10.					
Other		25.0	4.9	37.	4	6.2			
Total revenues	\$	460.8	\$ 192.8	\$ 479.	8 \$	197.0			
EXPENSES:									
Maintenance, taxes, and utilities	\$	133.5	\$ 50.1	\$ 137.	8 \$	49.1			
Other operating	*	54.4	14.2			17.9			
Restructuring charge				2.	6				
Management, leasing, and development services		6.0		6.	0				
General and administrative		21.6		20.	9				
Impairment charges				166.	7				
Interest expense		114.2	47.9	109.	1	48.3			
Depreciation and amortization (2)		117.5	28.4	110.	1	28.8			
Total expenses	\$	447.2	\$ 140.7	\$ 601.	0 \$	144.1			
Nonoperating income		1.5		0.	7	0.1			
Impairment loss on marketable securities				(1.	7)				
	\$	15.1	\$ 52.1	s (122.	1) \$	53.0			
Income tax expense	*	(0.5)		(0.	3)				
Equity in income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (2)		29.2		29.					
Net income (loss)	\$	43.8		\$ (93.	4)				
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests:					Í				
Noncontrolling share of income of consolidated joint ventures		(5.9)		(0.	3)				
TRG Series F preferred distributions		(1.8)		(1.	8)				
Noncontrolling share of (income) loss of TRG		(9.5)		34.					
Distributions to participating securities of TRG		(1.1)		(1.					
Preferred stock dividends		(11.0)		(11.					
Net income (loss) attributable to Taubman Centers, Inc. common shareowners	<u>\$</u>	14.5		\$ (73.	<u>7</u>)				
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION (3):									
EBITDA – 100%	\$	246.8	\$ 128.5	\$ 97.	1 \$	130.1			
EBITDA – outside partners' share		(29.3)	(57.9)	(24.	3)	(59.2)			
Beneficial interest in EBITDA	\$	217.5	\$ 70.6		8 \$	70.9			
Beneficial interest expense		(98.4)	(24.8)	(94.	3)	(25.1)			
Beneficial income tax expense		(0.5)		(0.		,			
Non-real estate depreciation		(2.5)		(2.					
Preferred dividends and distributions	_	(12.8)		(12.					
Funds from Operations contribution	\$	103.3	\$ 45.7	\$ (37.	2) \$	45.8			

With the exception of the Supplemental Information, amounts include 100% of the Unconsolidated Joint Ventures. Amounts are net of intercompany transactions. The Unconsolidated Joint Ventures are presented at 100% in order to allow for measurement of their performance as a whole, without regard to our ownership interest. In our consolidated financial statements, we account for investments in the Unconsolidated Joint Ventures under the equity method.

Amortization of our additional basis in the Operating Partnership included in depreciation and amortization was \$3.7 million in both 2010 and 2009. Also, amortization of our additional basis included in equity in income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures was \$1.5 million in both 2010 and 2009. (1)

⁽²⁾

⁽³⁾ See "General Background and Performance Measurement – Use of Non-GAAP Measures" for the definition and discussion of EBITDA and FFO.

⁽⁴⁾ Amounts in this table may not add due to rounding.

Consolidated Businesses

Total revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 were \$460.8 million, a \$19.0 million or 4.0% decrease from the comparable period in 2009. Minimum rents decreased by \$2.9 million, due to decreases in average occupancy and rent per square foot, and a positive prior period adjustment in 2009, offset partially by increased income from temporary tenants. Percentage rents increased primarily due to higher tenant sales. Expense recoveries decreased primarily due to lower expenses and decreased CAM capital expenditures. Management, leasing, and development revenue increased primarily due to revenue from the IFC contracts and our Salt Lake City project. Other income decreased primarily due to a decrease in lease cancellation revenue and parking-related revenue, which were partially offset by increased giftcard and sponsorship income. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we recognized our approximately \$8.4 million and \$0.8 million share of the Consolidated Businesses' and Unconsolidated Joint Ventures' lease cancellation revenue, respectively. We expect \$22 million for our share of lease cancellation revenue for the full year 2010 and our largest collections to occur during the fourth quarter, when we will record the income from the closure of the Saks Fifth Avenue store at Willow Bend that was received in early October. However, we do not expect this pace to continue, which could negatively impact our results in 2011. Excluding two large payments this year, our share of lease cancellation income would be about \$6 million. Our share of lease cancellation revenue over the last six years has ranged from \$8 million to the \$22 million anticipated in 2010.

Total expenses were \$447.2 million, a \$153.8 million or 25.6% decrease from the comparable period in 2009 due to impairment charges of \$166.7 million on The Pier Shops and Regency Square recognized in the third quarter of 2009 (see "Results of Operations – The Pier Shops at Caesars" and "Results of Operations – Regency Square"). Maintenance, taxes and utilities expense decreased primarily due to decreases in maintenance costs, electricity expenses, and property taxes at certain centers. Other operating expense increased primarily due to pre-development costs. Predevelopment expense in 2009 was low due to reimbursements for work performed in prior periods. We expect predevelopment expense will total about \$16 million for the year. Interest expense increased primarily due to the default interest rate charged on The Pier Shops loan in 2010. Depreciation expense increased due to changes in depreciable lives of tenant allowances in connection with early terminations.

Nonoperating income increased due to a \$1.0 million gain on a land sale during 2010. There were no land sales in 2009. We expect gains on land sales to be approximately \$1 million to \$2 million for 2010.

Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

Total revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 were \$192.8 million, a \$4.2 million or 2.1% decrease over the comparable period in 2009. Minimum rents decreased \$1.9 million, primarily due to decreases in rent per square foot. Expense recoveries decreased primarily due to decreased marketing and promotion revenue.

Total expenses decreased by \$3.4 million or 2.4%, to \$140.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Maintenance, taxes, and utilities increased primarily due to increased maintenance costs. Other operating expense decreased primarily due to reductions in professional fees and marketing and promotion expense.

As a result of the foregoing, income of the Unconsolidated Joint Ventures decreased by \$0.9 million to \$52.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Our equity in income of the Unconsolidated Joint Ventures was \$29.2 million, a \$0.2 million increase from the comparable period in 2009.

Net Income (Loss) and EPS

Our net income (loss) was \$43.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to \$(93.4) million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. After allocation of (income) loss to noncontrolling, preferred, and participating interests, the net income (loss) attributable to Taubman Centers, Inc. common shareowners for 2010 was \$14.5 million compared to \$(73.7) million in the comparable period in 2009. EPS for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was \$0.26, versus (\$1.39) per diluted common share for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

FFO and FFO per Share

Our FFO was \$149.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$8.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. FFO per diluted share was \$1.80 in 2010 compared to \$0.11 in the comparable period in 2009. Our Adjusted FFO, which excludes 2009 restructuring and impairment charges, was \$149.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$172.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. Adjusted FFO per diluted share was \$1.80 in 2010, a decrease of 15.5% from \$2.13 in the comparable period in 2009. See "General Background and Performance Measurement – Use of Non-GAAP Measures" for the definition of FFO and "Reconciliation of Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Taubman Centers, Inc. Common Shareowners to Funds from Operations and Adjusted Funds from Operations."

Reconciliation of Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Taubman Centers, Inc. Common Shareowners to Funds from Operations and Adjusted Funds from Operations

	Three Months Ended September 30										
			2010					2009			
	Dollars	in millions	Diluted Shares/ Units	Per S	hare/ Unit	Dollar	rs in millions	Diluted Shares/ Units (1)	Per S	Share/ Unit	
Net income (loss) attributable to TCO common shareowners	\$	0.7	55,764,528	\$	0.01	\$	(94.1)	53,147,866	\$	(1.77)	
Add depreciation of TCO's additional basis		1.7			0.03		1.7			0.03	
Net income (loss) attributable to TCO common shareowners, excluding step-up depreciation	\$	2.4	55,764,528	\$	0.04	\$	(92.4)	53,147,866	\$	(1.74)	
Add:											
Noncontrolling share of income (loss) of TRG Distributions to participating securities		1.2 0.4	26,304,596 871,262				(45.9) 0.4	25,539,793 871,262			
Net income (loss) attributable to partnership unitholders and participating securities	\$	4.0	82,940,386	\$	0.05	\$	(137.9)	79,558,921	\$	(1.73)	
Add (less) depreciation and amortization (2):											
Consolidated businesses at 100%		44.5			0.54		37.7			0.47	
Depreciation of TCO's additional basis		(1.7)			(0.02)		(1.7)			(0.02)	
Noncontrolling partners in consolidated joint ventures		(2.5)			(0.03)		(3.1)			(0.04)	
Share of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures		5.7			0.07		5.5			0.07	
Non-real estate depreciation		(0.8)		_	(0.01)		(0.9)		_	(0.01)	
Funds from Operations	\$	49.2	82,940,386	\$	0.59	\$	(100.3)	79,558,921	\$	(1.26)	
TCO's average ownership percentage of TRG		67.6 _%					66.8%				
Funds from Operations attributable to TCO	\$	33.2		\$	0.59	\$	(67.0)		\$	(1.26)	
Funds from Operations	\$	49.2	82,940,386	\$	0.59	\$	(100.3)	79,558,921	\$	(1.26)	
Impairment charges							160.8			2.00	
Adjusted Funds from Operations	\$	49.2	82,940,386	\$	0.59	\$	60.5	81,254,902	\$	0.74	
TCO's average ownership percentage of TRG		67.6 <u></u> %					66.8%				
Adjusted Funds from Operations attributable to TCO	\$	33.2		\$	0.59	\$	40.4		\$	0.74	

Per share amounts for Adjusted Funds from Operations are calculated using weighted average diluted shares, which include the impact of common stock equivalents. Per share amounts for net loss attributable to common shareholders, net loss attributable to partnership unitholders and participating securities, and Funds from Operations are calculated using weighted average outstanding shares, which exclude the impact of common stock equivalents because the impact is anti-dilutive.
 Depreciation includes \$3.6 million and \$4.2 million of mall tenant allowance amortization for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Amounts in this table may not recalculate due to rounding.

Reconciliation of Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Taubman Centers, Inc. Common Shareowners to Funds from Operations and Adjusted Funds from Operations

				Nin	ne Months End	led Sep	tember 30			
			2010			•		2009		
	D. !!		Diluted Shares/	D 0	1 / 77 1	D. II		Diluted Shares/	ъ с	
	Dollars	in millions	Units	Per S	hare/ Unit	Dollars in millions		Units (1)	Per S	hare/ Unit_
Net income (loss) attributable to TCO common shareowners	\$	14.5	55,600,629	\$	0.26	\$	(73.7)	53,112,145	\$	(1.39)
Add depreciation of TCO's additional basis		5.2			0.09		5.2			0.10
Net income (loss) attributable to TCO common shareowners, excluding step-up depreciation	\$	19.6	55,600,629	\$	0.35	\$	(68.5)	53,112,145	\$	(1.29)
Add:										
Noncontrolling share of income (loss) of TRG		9.5	26,318,126				(34.0)	25,558,281		
Distributions to participating securities		1.1	871,262				1.2	871,262		
Net income (loss) attributable to partnership unitholders and participating securities	\$	30.2	82,790,017	\$	0.36	\$	(101.3)	79,541,688	\$	(1.27)
Add (Loo) downsisting and according (2)										
Add (less) depreciation and amortization (2): Consolidated businesses at 100%		117.5			1.42		110.1			1.38
Depreciation of TCO's additional basis		(5.2)			(0.06)		(5.2)			(0.06)
Noncontrolling partners in consolidated joint ventures		(7.5)			(0.09)		(9.2)			(0.12)
Share of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures		16.5			0.20		16.8			0.21
Non-real estate depreciation		(2.5)			(0.03)		(2.6)			(0.03)
Funds from Operations	\$	149.0	82,790,017	\$	1.80	\$	8.6	80,936,239	\$	0.11
TCO's average ownership percentage of TRG		67.4 <u></u> %					66.8%			
F 1 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ф	100.5	02 700 017	ф	1.00	ф	5.7		ф	0.11
Funds from Operations attributable to TCO	\$	100.5	82,790,017	\$	1.80	\$	5.7		\$	0.11
Funds from Operations	\$	149.0		\$	1.80	\$	8.6	80,936,239	\$	0.11
Impairment charges							160.8			1.99
Restructuring charge							2.6			0.03
Adjusted Funds from Operations	\$	149.0	82,790,017	\$	1.80	\$	172.1	80,936,239	\$	2.13
TCO's average ownership percentage of TRG		67.4%					66.8%			
Adjusted Funds from Operations attributable to TCO	\$	100.5		\$	1.80	\$	114.9		\$	2.13

Per share amounts for Funds from Operations and Adjusted Funds from Operations are calculated using weighted average diluted shares, which include the impact of common stock equivalents. Per share amounts for net loss attributable to common shareholders and net loss attributable to partnership unitholders and participating securities are calculated using weighted average outstanding shares, which exclude the impact of common stock equivalents because the impact is anti-dilutive.
 Depreciation includes \$10.3 million and \$11.1 million of mall tenant allowance amortization for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
 Amounts in this table may not recalculate due to rounding.

Reconciliation of Net Income (Loss) to Beneficial Interest in $\ensuremath{\mathsf{EBITDA}}$

	Thr	ee Months Ended Sep	Nine Months Ended September 30					
		(in millions)	, ,	(in millions)				
	2	2010	2009	2010	2009			
Net income (loss)	\$	8.5 \$	(138.8)	\$ 43.8	\$ (93.4)			
Add (less) depreciation and amortization:								
Consolidated businesses at 100%		44.5	37.7	117.5	110.1			
Noncontrolling partners in consolidated joint ventures		(2.5)	(3.1)	(7.5)	(9.2)			
Share of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures		5.7	5.5	16.5	16.8			
Add (less) interest expense and income tax expense (benefit):								
Interest expense:								
Consolidated businesses at 100%		38.9	36.4	114.2	109.1			
Noncontrolling partners in consolidated joint ventures		(5.4)	(5.0)	(15.9)	(14.8)			
Share of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures		8.4	8.4	24.8	25.1			
Income tax expense (benefit)		0.2	(0.2)	0.5	0.3			
Less noncontrolling share of (income) loss of consolidated joint ventures		(1.9)	3.5	(5.9)	(0.3)			
Beneficial interest in EBITDA	\$	96.4 \$	(55.6)	\$ 288.1	\$ 143.7			
TCO's average ownership percentage of TRG		67.6%	66.8%	67.4 _%	66.8%			
Beneficial interest in EBITDA attributable to TCO	\$	65.1 \$	(37.1)	\$ 194.3	\$ 95.9			

⁽¹⁾ Amounts in this table may not recalculate due to rounding.

Reconciliation of Net Income (Loss) to Net Operating Income

			Nine Months Ended September 30				
		-	(in mil	lions)			
		2010	 2009		2010		2009
Net income (loss)	\$	8.5	\$ (138.8)	\$	43.8	\$	(93.4)
Add (less) depreciation and amortization:							
Consolidated businesses at 100%		44.5	37.7		117.5		110.1
Noncontrolling partners in consolidated joint ventures		(2.5)	(3.1)		(7.5)		(9.2)
Share of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures		5.7	5.5		16.5		16.8
Add (less) interest expense and income tax expense (benefit):							
Interest expense:							
Consolidated businesses at 100%		38.9	36.4		114.2		109.1
Noncontrolling partners in consolidated joint ventures		(5.4)	(5.0)		(15.9)		(14.8)
Share of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures		8.4	8.4		24.8		25.1
Income tax expense (benefit)		0.2	(0.2)		0.5		0.3
Less noncontrolling share of (income) loss of consolidated joint ventures		(1.9)	3.5		(5.9)		(0.3)
Add EBITDA attributable to outside partners:							
EBITDA attributable to noncontrolling partners in consolidated joint ventures		9.8	4.7		29.3		24.3
EBITDA attributable to outside partners in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures		19.8	 20.6	_	57.9	_	59.2
EBITDA at 100%	\$	126.0	\$ (30.3)	\$	375.3	\$	227.2
Add (less) items excluded from shopping center Net Operating Income:							
General and administrative expenses		7.2	7.2		21.6		20.9
Management, leasing, and development services, net		(2.2)	(1.3)		(5.4)		(4.2)
Restructuring charge							2.6
Impairment charges			166.7				166.7
Gain on sale of peripheral land					(1.0)		
Interest income		(0.2)	(0.3)		(0.5)		(0.8)
Impairment loss on marketable securities							1.7
Straight-line of rents		(1.0)	(1.2)		(1.6)		(3.0)
The Pier Shops' net operating income		(0.6)	(1.0)		(2.9)		(3.3)
Non-center specific operating expenses and other		4.8	 4.6		16.6		12.7
Net Operating Income at 100%	\$	133.9	\$ 144.3	\$	402.1	\$	420.5

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our internally generated funds and distributions from operating centers, and other investing activities, augmented by use of our existing lines of credit, provide resources to maintain our current operations and assets, and pay dividends. Generally, our need to access the capital markets is limited to refinancing debt obligations at maturity and funding major capital investments. See "Capital Spending" for more details. Market conditions may continue to limit our sources of funds for these financing activities and our ability to refinance our debt obligations at present principal amounts, interest rates, and other terms.

We are financed with property-specific secured debt and we have two unencumbered center properties (Willow Bend and Stamford, a 50% owned Unconsolidated Joint Venture property). The three loans that matured in 2010 have now all been refinanced. The Partridge Creek financing was completed in June 2010, the Arizona Mills loan was refinanced in July 2010 and the Macarthur Center loan was refinanced in September 2010. All of these new loans have higher principal amounts than the previous loan balances. For the terms of these new loans see "Results of Operations – Debt Transactions."

In 2011, loans on three of our centers (International Plaza, Fair Oaks, and Regency Square) mature.

The \$325 million International Plaza loan, \$163 million at our beneficial share, matures in January 2011 and has two one-year extension options. Currently the loan is fixed at 5.01% due to an interest rate swap that also matures in January. If we extend, the loan will revert to a floating rate at LIBOR plus 1.15%. The extended loan is prepayable at any time. While we will likely extend, we are still determining our ultimate course of action. When the loan is extended or refinanced, we are expecting to have a modest pay down requirement and will finance the pay down with our revolving lines of credit.

The \$250 million Fair Oaks loan, \$125 million at our beneficial share, matures in April 2011 and has two one-year extension options. Currently the loan is fixed at 4.22% due to an interest rate swap that also matures in April. If we extend, the loan will revert to a floating rate at LIBOR plus 1.40%. However, we believe we can fully refinance Fair Oaks, if we choose to do so.

The \$73.2 million Regency Square loan matures in November 2011. In September 2010, our Board of Directors concluded that it was in our best interest to discontinue financial support of Regency Square. We have begun discussions with the lender regarding the ownership of this property. Although the loan is not currently in default, we expect that it will be by late fourth quarter 2010. A default on this loan will not trigger any cross defaults on our other indebtedness. We will continue to accrue the results of the center until the ownership of Regency Square is transferred in satisfaction of the obligations under the debt. However, we are not obligated to fund cash shortfalls of the center (see "Results of Operations – Regency Square").

Our \$135 million loan at The Pier Shops is currently in default. We will continue to accrue the results of operations of the center until the foreclosure process is complete and the ownership of The Pier Shops is transferred in satisfaction of the obligations under the debt. However, there is no cash impact as we are not obligated to fund cash shortfalls of the center (see "Results of Operations – The Pier Shops at Caesars").

As of September 30, 2010, we had a consolidated cash balance of \$17.3 million. We also have secured lines of credit of \$550 million and \$40 million. As of September 30, 2010, \$406 million is available under these facilities. Both lines of credit mature in February 2011. The \$550 million line of credit has a one-year extension option, which we expect to exercise. Twelve banks participate in our \$550 million line of credit and the failure of one bank to fund a draw on our line does not negate the obligation of the other banks to fund their pro-rata shares.

Operating Activities

Our net cash provided by operating activities was \$178.1 million in 2010, compared to \$188.4 million in 2009. See "Results of Operations" for descriptions of 2010 and 2009 transactions affecting operating cash flow.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities was \$19.9 million in 2010, compared to \$29.6 million provided by such activities in 2009. Additions to properties in 2010 related primarily to tenant improvements at existing centers and other capital items. Additions to properties in 2009 related to additions to existing centers, site improvements, and other capital items. A tabular presentation of 2010 capital spending is shown in "Capital Spending." In 2009, the \$54.3 million contribution to our acquisition of an interest in The Mall at Studio City was returned to us when our agreement was terminated. During 2010, we issued \$2.9 million in notes receivable and received a \$1.1 million repayment. Contributions to Unconsolidated Joint Ventures of \$7.0 million in 2010 included \$3.6 million to fund our share of the settlement at Westfarms that was paid in March 2010. Contributions to Unconsolidated Joint Ventures of \$1.7 million in 2009 included \$1.0 million of funding and costs related to our Sarasota joint venture. Distributions from Unconsolidated Joint Ventures in excess of income provided \$35.2 million and \$8.2 million in 2010 and 2009, respectively. The amount in 2010 included \$21 million of excess proceeds from the Arizona Mills refinancing.

Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities was \$157.1 million in 2010 compared to \$262.0 million in 2009. Payments on debt and for issuance costs, net of proceeds from the issuance of debt, were \$33.2 million in 2010, compared to \$110.0 million in 2009, which in 2009 were funded in part using the returned deposit from the Mall at Studio City. In 2010, \$1.9 million was received and in 2009 \$1.2 million was paid, in connection with incentive plans. Total dividends and distributions paid were \$125.6 million and \$149.3 million in 2010 and 2009, respectively. Common dividends paid in 2010 decreased primarily due to a change in the timing of quarterly dividend payments.

Beneficial Interest in Debt

At September 30, 2010, the Operating Partnership's debt and its beneficial interest in the debt of its Consolidated Business and Unconsolidated Joint Ventures totaled \$2,875.5 million, with an average interest rate of 5.44% excluding amortization of debt issuance costs and interest rate hedging costs. These costs are reported as interest expense in the results of operations. Beneficial interest in debt includes debt used to fund development and expansion costs. Beneficial interest in construction work in progress totaled \$64.7 million as of September 30, 2010, which includes \$13.4 million of assets on which interest is being capitalized. The following table presents information about our beneficial interest in debt as of September 30, 2010:

	 Amount millions)	Interest Rate Including Spread
Fixed rate debt	\$ 2,335.6	5.77% (1)(2)
Floating rate debt:		
Swapped through December 2010	162.8	5.01%
Swapped through March 2011	125.0	4.22%
Swapped through October 2012	15.0	5.95%
Swapped through August 2020	124.5	4.99%
	\$ 427.3	4.80% (1)
Floating month to month	112.7	1.01% (1)
Total floating rate debt	\$ 540.0	4.01% (1)
Total beneficial interest in debt	\$ 2,875.5	5.44% (1)
Amortization of financing costs (3)		0.21%
Average all-in rate		5.65% (2)

- (1) Represents weighted average interest rate before amortization of financing costs.
- (2) The Pier Shops' loan is in default. As of December 2009 interest accrues at the default rate of 10.01% rather than the original stated rate of 6.01%. Excluding our beneficial interest in The Pier Shops' debt of \$104.6 million from the table changes the average fixed rate to 5.57% and the average all-in rate to 5.47%.
- (3) Financing costs include debt issuance costs and costs related to interest rate agreements of certain fixed rate debt.
- (4) Amounts in table may not add due to rounding.

Sensitivity Analysis

We have exposure to interest rate risk on our debt obligations and interest rate instruments. We use derivative instruments primarily to manage exposure to interest rate risks inherent in variable rate debt and refinancings. We routinely use cap, swap, and treasury lock agreements to meet these objectives. Based on the Operating Partnership's beneficial interest in floating rate debt in effect at September 30, 2010, a one percent increase in interest rates on this floating rate debt would decrease cash flows and annual earnings by approximately \$1.1 million, while a one percent decrease in interest rates in effect at September 30, 2010, a one percent increase in interest rates would increase the fair value of debt by approximately \$83.3 million, while a one percent decrease in interest rates would increase the fair value of debt by approximately \$87.5 million.

Loan Commitments and Guarantees

Certain loan agreements contain various restrictive covenants, including a minimum net worth requirement, a maximum payout ratio on distributions, a minimum debt yield ratio, a maximum leverage ratio, minimum interest coverage ratios, and a minimum fixed charges coverage ratio, the latter being the most restrictive. This covenant requires that we maintain a minimum fixed charges coverage ratio of more than 1.5 over a trailing 12-month period. As of September 30, 2010, our trailing 12-month minimum fixed charges coverage ratio was 2.0. Other than The Pier Shops' loan, which is in default, we are in compliance with all of our covenants and loan obligations as of September 30, 2010 (see "Results of Operations – Regency Square" regarding the Regency Square loan). The default on this loan did not trigger any cross defaults on our other indebtedness. The maximum payout ratio on distributions covenant limits the payment of distributions generally to 95% of funds from operations, as defined in the loan agreements, except as required to maintain our tax status, pay preferred distributions, and for distributions related to the sale of certain assets. See "Note 5 – Beneficial Interest in Debt and Interest Expense" to our consolidated financial statements for more details on loan guarantees.

Cash Tender Agreement

A. Alfred Taubman has the annual right to tender partnership units in the Operating Partnership and cause us to purchase the tendered interests at a purchase price based on a market valuation of TCO on the trading date immediately preceding the date of the tender. See "Note 9 – Commitments and Contingencies" to our consolidated financial statements for more details.

Capital Spending

City Creek Center

We have finalized agreements regarding City Creek Center, a mixed-use project in Salt Lake City, Utah. The 0.7 million square foot retail component of the project will include Macy's and Nordstrom as anchors. We are currently providing development and leasing services and will be the manager for the retail space, which we will own under a participating lease. City Creek Reserve, Inc. (CCRI), an affiliate of the LDS Church, is the participating leasor and is providing all of the construction financing. We expect an approximately 11% to 12% return on our approximately \$76 million investment, of which \$75 million will be paid to CCRI upon opening of the retail center. We are required to maintain a \$25 million letter of credit until the \$75 million is paid to CCRI. As of September 30, 2010, the capitalized cost of this project was approximately \$1 million. Construction is progressing and we are leasing space for a March 2012 opening.

2010 Capital Spending

Capital spending for routine maintenance of the shopping centers is generally recovered from tenants. Capital spending through September 30, 2010 is summarized in the following table:

		2010 (1)								
		Consolidated Businesses Businesses Businesses			Unconsolidated Joint Ventures			eneficial Interest in aconsolidated Joint Ventures		
Paristan contrary				(in mi	llions))				
Existing centers: Projects with incremental GLA or anchor replacement	\$	7.8	\$	7.8						
Projects with no incremental GLA and other	Ψ	3.8	Ψ	3.6	\$	1.7	\$	0.9		
Mall tenant allowances (2)		24.7		23.1		1.1		0.6		
Asset replacement costs reimbursable by tenants		4.1		3.8		3.2		1.8		
Corporate office improvements, technology, equipment, and other	_	0.7	_	0.7	_		_	_		
Additions to properties	\$	41.1	\$	39.0	\$	6.1	\$	3.3		

- Costs are net of intercompany profits and are computed on an accrual basis.
 Excludes initial lease-up costs.
 Amounts in this table may not add due to rounding.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, in addition to the costs above, we incurred our \$5.3 million share of Consolidated Businesses' and \$0.7 million share of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures' capitalized leasing costs.

The following table presents a reconciliation of the Consolidated Businesses' capital spending shown above (on an accrual basis) to additions to properties (on a cash basis) as presented in our Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2010:

	(in m	illions)
Consolidated Businesses' capital spending	\$	41.1
Differences between cash and accrual basis		6.7
Additions to properties	\$	47.8

Planned 2010 Capital Spending

The following table summarizes planned capital spending for 2010:

		2010 (1)								
	·	Beneficial Interest in Beneficial I								
	Cor	Consolidated Consolidated Unconsolidated Joint				U	Unconsolidated Joint			
	Bı	Businesses Businesses Ventures				_	Ventures			
				(in mi	llions)					
Existing centers (2)	\$	65.3	\$	62.3	\$ 12.5	\$	7.1			
Corporate office improvements, technology, equipment, and other		1.0		1.0						
Total	\$	66.3	\$	63.3	\$ 12.5	\$	7.1			

- (1) Costs are net of intercompany profits.
- (2) Primarily includes costs related to renovations, mall tenant allowances, and asset replacement costs reimbursable by tenants.
 (3) Amounts in this table may not add due to rounding.

Estimates of future capital spending include only projects approved by our Board of Directors and, consequently, estimates will change as new projects are approved.

Disclosures regarding planned capital spending, including estimates regarding timing of openings, capital expenditures, occupancy, and returns on new developments are forward-looking statements and certain significant factors could cause the actual results to differ materially, including but not limited to (1) actual results of negotiations with anchors, tenants, and contractors, (2) timing and outcome of litigation and entitlement processes, (3) changes in the scope, number, and valuation of projects, (4) cost overruns, (5) timing of expenditures, (6) availability of and cost of financing and other financing considerations, (7) actual time to start construction and complete projects, (8) changes in economic climate, (9) competition from others attracting tenants and customers, (10) increases in operating costs, (11) timing of tenant openings, and (12) early lease terminations and bankruptcies.

Dividends

We pay regular quarterly dividends to our common and preferred shareowners. Dividends to our common shareowners are at the discretion of the Board of Directors and depend on the cash available to us, our financial condition, capital and other requirements, and such other factors as the Board of Directors deems relevant. To qualify as a REIT, we must distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income prior to net capital gains to our shareowners, as well as meet certain other requirements. We must pay these distributions in the taxable year the income is recognized, or in the following taxable year if they are declared during the last three months of the taxable year, payable to shareowners of record on a specified date during such period and paid during January of the following year. Such distributions are treated as paid by us and received by our shareowners on December 31 of the year in which they are declared. In addition, at our election, a distribution for a taxable year may be declared in the following taxable year if it is declared before we timely file our tax return for such year and if paid on or before the first regular dividend payment after such declaration. These distributions qualify as dividends paid for the 90% REIT distribution test for the previous year and are taxable to holders of our capital stock in the year in which paid. Preferred dividends accrue regardless of whether earnings, cash availability, or contractual obligations were to prohibit the current payment of dividends.

The annual determination of our common dividends is based on anticipated Funds from Operations available after preferred dividends and our REIT taxable income, as well as assessments of annual capital spending, financing considerations, and other appropriate factors.

Any inability of the Operating Partnership or its Joint Ventures to secure financing as required to fund maturing debts, capital expenditures and changes in working capital, including development activities and expansions, may require the utilization of cash to satisfy such obligations, thereby possibly reducing distributions to partners of the Operating Partnership and funds available to us for the payment of dividends.

On September 2, 2010, we declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.415 per common share, \$0.50 per share on our 8% Series G Preferred Stock, and \$0.4765625 on our 7.625% Series H Preferred Stock, all of which were paid on September 30, 2010 to shareowners of record on September 15, 2010.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The information required by this item is included in this report at Item 2 under the caption "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Liquidity and Capital Resources – Sensitivity Analysis."

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of September 30, 2010, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure the information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods prescribed by the SEC, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1 . Legal Proceedings

In September 2010, the Company settled the lawsuit filed by a restaurant owner in September 2009 in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles (Case No. BC 421212) against Taubman Centers, Inc., the Operating Partnership, and the Manager. The plaintiff had sought damages exceeding \$10 million, lost profits, restitution on its current lease, exemplary or punitive damages, and specific performance. As part of the settlement, the lawsuit was dismissed with prejudice and the plaintiff agreed to a full and general release for the benefit of the Company and related persons of all claims relating to the dispute. There was no admission of liability or fault by any parties to the lawsuit or related persons. The lawsuit was settled without payment by any party.

Refer to "Note 9 – Commitments and Contingencies" to our consolidated financial statements relating to The Pier Shops mortgage foreclosure, and the restaurant owners at The Pier Shops litigation. There were no material developments regarding these matters during the quarter ended September 30, 2010.

Item 1A . Risk Factors

There were no material changes in our risk factors previously disclosed in Part I, Item 1A. of our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Item 6 . Exhibits

4(a)	 The Limited Waiver Letter, dated August 9, 2010, to the Second Amended and Restated Mortgage, dated as of November 1, 2007, by and between Dolphin Mall LLC,
	Fairlane Town Center LLC, Twelve Oaks Mall, LLC and Eurohypo A6, New York Branch, as Administrative Agent
12	 Statement Re: Computation of Taubman Centers, Inc. Ratio of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends
31(a)	 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 10A, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31(b)	 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 10A, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32(a)	 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32(b)	 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
99	 Debt Maturity Schedule
101	 The following materials from Taubman Centers, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2010, formatted in XBRL (Extensible
	Business Reporting Language): (1) the Consolidated Balance Sheet, (2) the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income, (3) Consolidated
	Statement of Changes in Equity, (4) the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows, and (5) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text.

Table of Contents SIGNATURES

Date: November 5, 2010

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

TAUBMAN CENTERS, INC.
By: /s/ Lisa A. Payne
Lisa A. Payne
Vice Chairman, Chief Financial Officer, and Director (Principal Financial Officer)

MORTGAGE AND OTHER NOTES PAYABLE (a) INCLUDING WEIGHTED AVERAGE INTEREST RATES AT SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

(in millions of dollars, amounts may not add due to rounding)

	100%	Beneficial Interest	Effective I Rate	LIBOR Rate			,	Princing	d Amor	tization	and Del	nt Matu	rities			
	9/30/10	9/30/10		Spread	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Consolidated Fixed Rate Debt:	·															
Beverly Center	324.2	324.2	5.28%		1.5	6.0	6.3	6.6	303.8							324.2
Cherry Creek Shopping Center	50.00% 280.0	140.0	5.24%								140.0					140.0
Great Lakes Crossing Outlets	133.0	133.0	5.25%		0.7	3.0	3.2	126.0								133.0
Northlake Mall	215.5	215.5	5.41%								215.5					215.5
Regency Square (c)	73.2	73.2	6.75%		0.4	72.8(c)	2.0	2.1	00.5							73.2
Stony Point Fashion Park The Mall at Partridge Creek	105.9 82.4	105.9 82.4	6.24% 6.15%		0.5 0.2	1.9 0.9	2.0 1.0		99.5 1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.5	71.2	105.9 82.4
The Mall at Short Hills	540.0	540.0	5.47%		0.2	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.1	540.0	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.3	/1.2	540.0
The Mall at Wellington Green	90.00% 200.0	180.0	5.44%							180.0						180.0
The Pier Shops at Caesars	77.50% 135.0		10.01%(d)		104.6(d	D				100.0						104.6
Total Consolidated Fixed	2,089.1	1,898.7			107.9	84.6	12.4	135.9	404.5	721.2	356.8	1.4	1.4	1.5	71.2	1,898.7
Weighted Rate	5.79%	5.77%			9.88%	6.57%		5.27%	5.52%	5.46%			6.15%	6.15%		2,07011
Consolidated Floating Rate Debt:	_															
International Plaza	50.10% 325.0	162.8	5.01%(e)			162.8(f)										162.8
MacArthur Center	95.00% 131.0	124.5	4.99%(g)				0.4	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.8	2.0	112.8	124.5
TRG Revolving Credit	22.7	22.7	1.23%(h)			22.7										22.7
TRG \$550M Revolving Credit Facility:	10.0	10.0	0.060((;)	0.700/		10.0(1-)										10.0
Dolphin Mall (j)	80.0	80.0	0.96%(i) 0.96%(i)	0.70%		10.0(k) 80.0(k)										80.0
Fairlane Town Center (j) Twelve Oaks Mall (j)	0.0	0.0	0.90%(1)	0.70%		0.0(k)										0.0
Total Consolidated Floating	568.7	400.0		0.7070	0.0	275.5	0.4	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.8	2.0	112.8	400.0
Weighted Rate	4.21%	3.88%			0.00%	3.37%	4.99%		4.99%	4.99%	4.99%	4.99%	4.99%	4.99%	4.99%	400.0
Total Consolidated	2,657.8	2,298.7			107.9	360.1	12.8	137.2	405.9	722.7	358.4	3.1	3.3	3.5	184.0	2,298.7
Weighted Rate	5.45%	5.44%			9.88%	4.13%	5.48%			5.46%		5.50%				_,_,
Joint Ventures Fixed Rate Debt:				•												
Arizona Mills	50.00% 174.7	87.3	5.76%		0.3	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.7	74.7	87.3
The Mall at Millenia	50.00% 203.3	101.6	5.46%		0.4	1.6	1.6	98.1								101.6
Sunvalley	50.00% 119.6	59.8	5.67%		0.3	1.3	58.2									59.8
Waterside Shops	25.00% 165.0	41.3	5.54%								41.3					41.3
Westfarms	78.94% 186.0	146.8	6.10%		0.8	3.1	142.9									146.8
Total Joint Venture Fixed	848.5	436.8			1.7	7.0	203.9		1.3	1.4	42.7	1.5	1.6	1.7	74.7	436.8
Weighted Rate	5.71%	5.77%			5.83%	5.83%	5.97%	5.46%	5.76%	5.76%	5.55%	5.76%	5.76%	5.76%	5.76%	
Joint Ventures Floating Rate Debt:																
Fair Oaks	50.00% 250.0	125.0	4.22%(1)			125.0(f)										125.0
Taubman Land Associates	50.00% 230.0	15.0	5.95%(m)			123.0(1)	15.0									15.0
Other (n)	0.1	0.0	3.25%		0.0											0.0
Total Joint Venture Floating	280.1	140.0			0.0	125.0	15.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	140.0
Weighted Rate	4.40%	4.40%			3.25%	4.22%	5.95%			0.00%			0.00%	0.00%		
Total Joint Venture	1.128.5	576.8			1.7	132.0	218.9	99.3	1.3	1.4	42.7	1.5	1.6	1.7	74.7	576.8
Weighted Rate	5.38%	5.44%			5.81%	4.31%	5.97%		5.76%	5.76%		5.76%	5.76%	5.76%	5.76%	270.0
- 0																
TRG Beneficial Interest Totals																
Fixed Rate Debt	2,937.6	2,335.6			109.6	91.7	216.3	235.1	405.8	722.6	399.4	2.9	3.1	3.3	146.0	2,335.6
	5.77%	5.77%			9.28%	6.52%	5.94%		5.52%	5.46%	5.37%	5.94%	5.94%	5.94%	5.95%	
Floating Rate Debt	848.7	540.0			0.0	400.5	15.4		1.4	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.8	2.0		540.0
m . 1	4.28%	4.01%			3.25%	3.64%	5.92%		4.99%	4.99%	4.99%	4.99%		4.99%	4.99%	0.055
Total	3,786.3	2,875.5			109.6	492.2	231.7		407.2	724.1	401.0	4.6	4.9	5.2		2,875.5
	5.43%	5.44%			9.81%	4.17%	5.94%	5.35%	5.52%	5.46%	5.37%	5.59%	5.59%	5.58%	5.53%	
		Average Ma	turity Fixed Debt		4											
		Ü	turity Total Debt		4											

- (a) All debt is secured and non-recourse to TRG unless otherwise indicated.
- (b) Includes the impact of interest rate swaps, if any, but does not include effect of amortization of debt issuance costs, losses on settlement of derivatives used to hedge the refinancing of certain fixed rate debt or interest rate cap premiums.
- (c) The Company has announced that it will discontinue financial support of Regency Square. As a result the Company is in discussions with the lender about the center's future ownership. The Company expects to accrue a default rate of interest on the loan of 10.75% beginning late in the fourth quarter of 2010.
- (d) The Pier Shops' loan is in default. As of December 2009, interest accrues at the default rate of 10.01% rather than the original stated rate of 6.01%.
- (e) Debt is swapped to an effective rate of 5.01% until maturity January 1, 2011. If the loan is extended, the rate would float at LIBOR + 1.15%.
- (f) Two one year extension options available.(g) Debt is swapped to an effective rate of 4.99% until maturity.
- (h) Rate floats daily. Letters of credit totaling \$4.3 million are also outstanding on facility.
- (i) The debt is floating month to month at LIBOR plus spread.
- (j) TRG revolving credit facility of \$550 million. Dolphin, Fairlane and Twelve Oaks are the direct borrowers under this facility. Debt is guaranteed by TRG.
- (k) One year extension option available.
- (1) Debt is swapped to an effective rate of 4.22% until maturity April 1, 2011. If the loan is extended, the rate would float at LIBOR + 1.40%.
- (m) Debt is swapped to an effective rate of 5.95% until maturity.
- (n) Debt is unsecured.

Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 10A, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, Robert S. Taubman, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Taubman Centers, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting, which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 5, 2010 /s/Robert S. Taubman

Robert S. Taubman

Chairman of the Board of Directors, President, and Chief Executive Officer

Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 10A, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, Lisa A. Payne, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Taubman Centers, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting, which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 5, 2010 /s/ Lisa A. Payne

Vice Chairman, Chief Financial Officer, and Director (Principal Financial Officer)

Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, Robert S. Taubman, Chief Executive Officer of Taubman Centers, Inc. (the "Registrant"), certify that based upon a review of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2010 (the "Report"):

- (i) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (ii) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Registrant.

/s/ Robert S. Taubman Date: November 5, 2010

Robert S. Taubman

Chairman of the Board of Directors, President, and Chief Executive Officer

Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, Lisa A. Payne, Chief Financial Officer of Taubman Centers, Inc. (the "Registrant"), certify that based upon a review of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2010 (the "Report"):

- (i) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- (ii) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Registrant.

/s/ Lisa A. Payne Date: November 5, 2010

Lisa A. Payne

Vice Chairman, Chief Financial Officer, and Director (Principal Financial Officer)

LIMITED WAIVER LETTER

August 9, 2010

Eurohypo AG, New York Branch, Administrative Agent

1114 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10036

Attention: Head of Portfolio Operations

Re: <u>Taubman Corporate Revolver</u>

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We are writing to you with respect to that certain Second Amended and Restated Secured Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of November 1, 2007 (the "Credit Agreement") by and among Dolphin Mall Associates LLC, Fairlane Town Center LLC and Twelve Oaks Mall, LLC, as Borrowers, Eurohypo AG, New York Branch, as Administrative Agent, Lead Arranger and a Bank and the other Banks signatory thereto. Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings respectively given them in the Credit Agreement.

In September, 2009, Taubman Centers, Inc. announced that the book values of The Pier Shops at Caesars and Regency Square would be written down to approximately \$52 million and \$30 million, respectively. Taubman Centers, Inc. also announced that its Board of Directors had decided to discontinue financial support of the \$135 million mortgage loan secured by The Pier Shops. The Pier Shops is now being foreclosed on by its mortgage lender. Additionally, the \$74.1 million non-recourse mortgage loan secured by Regency Square matures in November, 2011. The Borrowers have requested a limited waiver under the Credit Agreement so that Taubman Centers, Inc.'s Board of Directors is able to consider and evaluate all available options in addressing the maturity of the Regency Square mortgage loan.

Section 9.01(5) is the only provision of the Credit Agreement creating an Event of Default relating to non-payment of debts by Affiliates of TRG (other than the Borrowers). Borrowers and TRG hereby request that the Banks permanently waive any Event of Default that may now or hereafter exist under Section 9.01(5) of the Credit Agreement with respect to the Affiliates of TRG which respectively own The Pier Shops and Regency Square.

This limited waiver is subject to the following conditions:

- 1. This limited waiver shall not apply to, and nothing herein shall constitute a waiver of, the occurrence of an Event of Default under Section 9.01(5) of the Credit Agreement with respect to Borrowers, TRG, TCI or any Affiliate of TRG other than the Affiliates which own The Pier Shops and Regency Square. (For this purpose, the Capitalization Value of The Pier Shops and Regency Square shall not be aggregated with the Capitalization Value of assets of other Affiliates of TRG in determining whether an Event of Default exists under said Section 9.01(5).)
- 2. This limited waiver shall not be deemed to modify or waive in any respect the financial covenants concerning Borrowers and TRG contained in Section 8.01 of the Credit Agreement. (And per Section 6.09 (6) of the Credit Agreement, within thirty (30) days following each Disposition of assets in excess of \$25,000,000, the Borrower Parties must submit a certificate demonstrating covenant compliance, on a pro forma basis, after giving effect to such Disposition.)
- 3. The waiver set forth herein shall be limited to the express terms of this letter agreement, and no further waiver is hereby granted, implied or inferred.
- 4. As consideration for the approval and execution of this limited waiver by all of the Banks, within five (5) Business Days following full execution hereof TRG will pay to each Bank a one-time fee equal to ten (10) basis points of such Bank's Loan Commitment.
- 5. The Credit Agreement and other Loan Documents are ratified and confirmed hereby and remain in full force and effect, and no defenses exist to the enforcement thereof.
- 6. This letter agreement shall be governed by New York law.
- 7. TRIAL BY JURY IS WAIVED IN CONNECTION WITH THE INTERPRETATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THIS LETTER AGREEMENT.

Please execute below to confirm your agreement to this limited waiver. Transmission of signature pages by pdf or telecopier shall be legally binding. This letter may be executed in multiple counterparts which, taken together, shall constitute and be one and the same instrument.

Very truly yours,

DOLPHIN MALL ASSOCIATES LLC, a Delaware limited liability company

By: The Taubman Realty Group Limited Partnership, a Delaware limited partnership, its sole member

By: /s/ Steven E. Eder

Steven E. Eder, its authorized signatory

FAIRLANE TOWN CENTER LLC , a Michigan limited liability company

By: The Taubman Realty Group Limited Partnership, a Delaware limited partnership, its sole member

By: /s/ Steven E. Eder

Steven E. Eder, its authorized signatory

$\textbf{TWELVE OAKS MALL, LLC} \ , a \ \textbf{Michigan limited liability company}$

By: The Taubman Realty Group Limited Partnership, a Delaware limited partnership, its sole member

By: /s/ Steven E. Eder

Steven E. Eder, its authorized signatory

THE TAUBMAN REALTY GROUP LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

By: /s/ Steven E. Eder

Steven E. Eder, its authorized signatory

TO

LIMITED WAIVER LETTER

AS ADMINISTRATIVE AGENT AND AS A BANK

EUROHYPO AG, NEW YORK BRANCH

By: /s/ Maureen Slentz
Name: Maureen Slentz
Title: Managing Director

By: /s/ Stephen Cox
Name: Stephen Cox
Title: Executive Director

TO

LIMITED WAIVER LETTER

BANK:

COMERICA BANK

By: /s/ Kristine Vigliotti
Name: Kristine Vigliotti
Title: Vice President

TO

LIMITED WAIVER LETTER

BANK:

PNC BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION

By: /s/ James A. Harmann
Name: James A. Harmann
Title: Vice President

TO

LIMITED WAIVER LETTER

BANK:

PB (USA) REALTY CORPORATION

By: /s/ Jonathan Oh
Name: Jonathan Oh

Title: Assistant Vice President

By: /s/ Michael Rogers
Name: Michael Rogers
Title: Assistant Vice President

TO

LIMITED WAIVER LETTER

BANK:

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.

By: /s/ Mark Frankel
Name: Mark Frankel
Title: AVP

TO

LIMITED WAIVER LETTER

BANK:

RBS CITIZENS, N.A. d/b/a CHARTER ONE

By: /s/ Erin L. Mahon
Name: Erin L. Mahon

Title: Assistant Vice President

TO

LIMITED WAIVER LETTER

BANK:

MIDFIRST BANK , a Federally Chartered Savings Association

By: /s/ Chris Reeves
Name: Chris Reeves
Title: Vice President

TO

LIMITED WAIVER LETTER

BANK:

CR É DIT AGRICOLE CORPORATE AND INVESTMENT BANK, FORMERLY KNOWN AS CALYON NEW YORK BRANCH

By: /s/ John A. Wain
Name: John A. Wain
Title: Managing Director

By: /s/ Daniel J. Reddy
Name: Daniel J. Reddy
Title: Director

TO

LIMITED WAIVER LETTER

BANK:

LANDESBANK HESSEN-THÜRINGEN GIROZENTRALE

By: /s/ Thomas R. Crowley
Name: Thomas R. Crowley

Title: Senior Vice President, Real Estate Finance

By: /s/ Jung Y. Chun
Name: Jung Y. Chun
Title: Vice President, Real Estate Finance

TO

LIMITED WAIVER LETTER

BANK:

U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION

By: /s/ Curt M. Steiner
Name: Curt M. Steiner
Title: Senior Vice President

TO

LIMITED WAIVER LETTER

BANK:

FIFTH THIRD BANK , an Ohio Banking Corporation

By: /s/Timothy J. Kalil
Name: Timothy J. Kalil
Title: Vice President

TO

LIMITED WAIVER LETTER

BANK:

BAYERISCHE LANDESBANK, NEW YORK BRANCH

By: /s/ Thorsten Klein
Name: Thorsten Klein
Title: First VP

By: /s/ Timothy Moore
Name: Timothy Moore
Title: First VP

TAUBMAN CENTERS, INC.

Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends (in thousands, except ratios)

Earnings (loss) before income from equity investees (1) \$	2010 15,090	\$	(122,119)
	,	\$	(122,119)
Add back:			
Fixed charges	120,238		116,079
Amortization of previously capitalized interest	3,396		3,419
Distributed income of Unconsolidated Joint Ventures	29,213		28,980
Deduct:			
Capitalized interest	(176)		(915)
Preferred distributions	(1,845)		(1,845)
		_	
Earnings available for fixed charges and preferred dividends \$	165,916	\$	23,599
Fixed charges:			
Interest expense \$	114,246	\$	109,113
Capitalized interest	176		915
Interest portion of rent expense	3,971		4,206
Preferred distributions	1,845	_	1,845
Total fixed charges \$	120,238	\$	116,079
Preferred dividends	10.075		10.075
rieletted dividents	10,975	_	10,975
Total fixed charges and preferred dividends \$	131,213	\$	127,054
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges and preferred dividends	1.3		0.2 (2)

⁽¹⁾ Earnings before income from equity investees for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 includes impairment charges of \$166.7 million on The Pier Shops and Regency Square and a \$2.6 million restructuring charge, which primarily represents the costs of terminations of personnel.

⁽²⁾ Earnings available for fixed charges and preferred dividends were less than total fixed charges and preferred dividends by \$103.5 million. See Note 1.