

# CLIFFS NATURAL RESOURCES INC.

## FORM 10-K (Annual Report)

Filed 02/21/06 for the Period Ending 12/31/05

Address	200 PUBLIC SQUARE STE. 3300 CLEVELAND, OH 44114-2315
Telephone	216-694-5700
CIK	0000764065
Symbol	CLF
SIC Code	1000 - Metal Mining
Industry	Metal Mining
Sector	Basic Materials
Fiscal Year	12/31

## Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
 Washington, D.C. 20549

**Form 10-K**

**ANNUAL REPORT  
 PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 13 OR 15(d)  
 OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from      to  
 Commission File Number: 1-8944

**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc**

*(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)*

**Ohio**  
*(State or other jurisdiction of  
 incorporation)*

**34-1464672**  
*(I.R.S. Employer  
 Identification No.)*

**1100 Superior Avenue,  
 Cleveland, Ohio**  
*(Address of principal executive offices)*

**44114-2589**  
*(Zip Code)*

**Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (216) 694-5700**

**Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:**

**Title of Each Class**

**Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered**

Common Shares, par value \$.50 per share  
 Rights to Purchase Common Shares

New York Stock Exchange and Chicago Stock Exchange  
 New York Stock Exchange and Chicago Stock Exchange

**Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:**

NONE

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of the Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

As of June 30, 2005, the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based on the closing price of \$57.61 per share as reported on the New York Stock Exchange — Composite Index was \$1,223,691,317 (excluded from this figure is the voting stock beneficially owned by the registrant's officers and directors).

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's Common Shares, par value \$.50 per share, was 21,918,001 as of February 16, 2006.

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

Portions of registrant's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders scheduled to be held on May 9, 2006 are incorporated by reference into Part III.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### PART I

- Item 1. Business.
- Item 1A. Risk Factors
- Item 2. Properties.
- Item 3. Legal Proceedings.
- Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

### PART II

- Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.
- Item 6. Selected Financial Data.
- Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
- Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data
- Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
- Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.
- Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

### PART III

- Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant.
- Item 11. Executive Compensation.
- Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.
- Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.
- Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

### PART IV

- Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K.

### SIGNATURES

### EXHIBIT INDEX

- EX-10(ZZ) Non-Employee Directors' Compensation Plan
  - EX-10(FFF) Amended & Restated Pellet Sale Purchase Agreement
  - EX-12 Ratio of Earnings
  - EX-21 Subsidiaries of the Registrant
  - EX-23 Consent of Independent Auditors
  - EX-24 Power of Attorney
  - EX-31(A) 302 Certification
  - EX-31(B) 302 Certification
  - EX-32(A) 906 Certification
  - EX-32(B) 906 Certification
  - EX-99(A) Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Account
-

## PART I

Item 1. *Business.*

## Introduction

Founded in 1847, Cleveland-Cliffs Inc (the “Company,” “we,” “us,” “our,” and “Cliffs”) is the largest producer of iron ore pellets in North America. We sell the majority of our pellets to integrated steel companies in the United States and Canada. On April 19, 2005, Cleveland-Cliffs Australia Pty Limited, an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, completed the acquisition of 80.4 percent of Portman Limited (“Portman”), the third-largest iron ore mining company in Australia. The acquisition was initiated on March 31, 2005 by the purchase of approximately 68.7 percent of the outstanding shares of Portman.

Our headquarters are located at 1100 Superior Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2589, and our telephone number is (216) 694-5700. Our website address is [www.cleveland-cliffs.com](http://www.cleveland-cliffs.com). Information contained on our website does not constitute part of this Form 10-K. We make available, free of charge through our website, our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K, as well as amendments to those reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after we file such reports with, or furnish such reports to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”).

## North America

We manage and operate six North American iron ore mines located in Michigan, Minnesota and Eastern Canada that currently have a rated capacity of 37.5 million tons of iron ore pellet production annually, representing approximately 46 percent of total North American pellet production capacity. Based on our percentage ownership of the North American mines we operate, our share of the rated pellet production capacity is currently 23.0 million tons annually, representing approximately 28 percent of total North American annual pellet capacity.

The following chart summarizes the estimated annual production capacity and percentage of total North American pellet production capacity for each of the North American iron ore pellet producers as of December 31, 2005:

	North American Iron Ore Pellet Annual Rated Capacity Tonnage	
	Current Estimated Capacity (Gross tons of raw ore in thousands)	Percent of Total North American Capacity
All Cliffs’ Managed Mines	37,500	45.9%
Other U.S. Mines		
U.S. Steel’s Minnesota Ore Operations		
Minnesota Taconite	14,600	17.9
Keewatin Taconite	5,400	6.6
Total U.S. Steel	20,000	24.5
Mittal USA Minorca Mine	2,900	3.6
Total Other U.S. Mines	22,900	28.1
Other Canadian Mines		
Iron Ore Company of Canada	12,300	15.1
Quebec Cartier Mining Co.	8,900	10.9
Total Other Canadian Mines	21,200	26.0
Total North American Mines	81,600	100.0%

## Table of Contents

We sell our share of North American iron ore production to integrated steel producers, generally pursuant to term supply agreements with various price adjustment provisions.

For the year ended December 31, 2005, we produced a total of 35.9 million tons of iron ore pellets, including 22.1 million tons for our account and 13.8 million tons on behalf of the steel company owners of the mines.

### Australia

Portman was founded in 1925 and had undergone a number of management and business changes before establishing itself as a mineral producer in the early 1990's. Following the sale of its Queensland based coking coal operations in 1999, Portman focused on its Western Australia iron ore deposits at the Koolyanobbing operations and Cockatoo Island. Portman's 100 percent owned Koolyanobbing mining operations and its 50 percent interest in the Cockatoo Island Joint Venture represent Portman's only significant operations. Portman serves the Asian iron ore markets with direct-shipping fines and lump ore. Portman's 2005 production (excluding its .6 million metric ton ("tonne") share of the Cockatoo Island joint venture) was approximately six million tonnes. Portman currently has a \$61 million project underway that is expected to increase its wholly owned production capacity to eight million tonnes per year by the end of the first quarter of 2006. The production is fully committed to steel companies in China and Japan for approximately four years.

The Company's acquisition of Portman represents another significant milestone in our long-term strategy to seek additional iron ore mine investment opportunities and to continue our transition from primarily a mine management company and mineral holder to an international merchant mining company.

### Business Segments

As a result of the Portman acquisition, we have organized into two operating and reporting segments: North American and Australian. The North American segment, comprised of our mining operations in the United States and Canada, represented approximately 86 percent of our consolidated revenues for the nine-month period following the Portman acquisition. The Australian segment, comprised of our acquired 80.4 percent Portman interest in Western Australia, represents approximately 14 percent of our consolidated revenues for the same period. There have been no intersegment revenues since the acquisition.

### North American Segment

The North American segment is comprised of our six iron ore mining operations in Michigan, Minnesota and Eastern Canada. We manufacture 13 grades of iron ore pellets, including standard, fluxed and high manganese, for use in our customers' blast furnaces as part of the steel-making process. The variation in grades results from the specific chemical and metallurgical properties of the ores at each mine and whether or not fluxstone is added in the process. Although the grade or grades of pellets currently delivered to each customer are based on that customer's preferences, which depend in part on the characteristics of the customer's blast furnace, in most cases our iron ore pellets can be used interchangeably. Industry demand for the various grades of iron ore pellets depends on each customer's preferences and changes from time to time. In the event that a given mine is operating at full capacity, the terms of most of our pellet supply agreements allow some flexibility to provide our customers iron ore pellets from different mines.

Standard pellets require less processing, are generally the least costly pellets to produce and are called "standard" because no ground fluxstone (*i.e.*, limestone, dolomite, etc.) is added to the iron ore concentrate before turning the concentrates into pellets. In the case of fluxed pellets, fluxstone is added to the concentrate, which produces pellets that can perform at higher productivity levels in the customer's specific blast furnace and will minimize the amount of fluxstone the customer may be required to add to the blast furnace. "High manganese" pellets are the pellets produced at our Canadian operation, Wabush Mines ("Wabush"), where there is more natural manganese in the crude ore than is found at our other operations. The manganese contained in the iron ore mined at Wabush cannot be entirely removed during the concentrating process. Wabush produces pellets with two levels of manganese, with the lower manganese content being preferred by our customers.

## Table of Contents

It is not possible to produce pellets with identical physical and chemical properties from each of our mining and processing operations. The grade or grades of pellets purchased by and delivered to each customer are based on that customer's preferences and availability.

Each of our North American mines is located near the Great Lakes or, in the case of Wabush, near the St. Lawrence Seaway, which is connected to the Great Lakes. Iron ore is transported via railroads to loading ports for shipment via vessel to Canada, the United States or other international destinations or shipped as concentrates for sinter feed.

### North American Iron Ore Customers

More than 97 percent of our North American revenues are derived from sales of iron ore pellets to the North American integrated steel industry, consisting of 10 current or potential customers. Generally, we have multi-year supply agreements with our customers. Sales volume under these agreements is largely dependent on customer requirements, and in many cases, we are the sole supplier of iron ore pellets to the customer. Each agreement has a base price that is adjusted annually using one or more adjustment factors. Factors that can adjust price include measures of general industrial inflation, steel prices and international pellet prices. One of our supply agreements has a provision that limits the amount of price increase or decrease in any given year.

During 2005 and 2004, we sold 22.3 million and 22.6 million tons of iron ore pellets, respectively, from our share of the production from our North American iron ore mines. Sales in 2005 were to eight North American, one European and one Chinese steel producer.

The following five customers together accounted for a total of 93 percent and 94 percent of North American "Product sales and services" revenues for the years 2005 and 2004, respectively:

Customer	Percent of Sales Revenues*	
	2005	2004
Mittal Steel USA Inc. ("Mittal Steel USA")	43%	56%
Algoma Steel Inc. ("Algoma")	22	14
Severstal North America, Inc. ("Severstal")	12	13
WCI Steel Inc. ("WCI")	8	6
Stelco Inc. ("Stelco")	8	5
Total	93%	94%

\* Excluding freight and venture partners' cost reimbursements.

Our term supply agreements expire between 2006 and 2018. The weighted average duration is eight years.

Our sales are influenced by seasonal factors in the first quarter of the year as shipments and sales are restricted by weather conditions on the Great Lakes. During the first quarter, we continue to produce our products, but we cannot ship those products via lake freighter until the Great Lakes are passable, which causes our first quarter inventory levels to rise. Our practice of shipping product to ports on the lower Great Lakes and/or to customers' facilities prior to the transfer of title has somewhat mitigated the seasonal effect on first quarter inventories and sales.

In 2005, 68 percent of our North American product revenues (80 percent in 2004) were derived from sales to our U.S. customers. See "Operations and Customers" in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Operations" for further information regarding our customers.

### Australian Segment

The Portman operations include production facilities at the Koolyanobbing operations and a 50 percent interest in a joint venture at Cockatoo Island, producing lump ore and direct-shipping fines for our customers in China and Japan. The Koolyanobbing facility has crushing and screening facilities used in the production process. Production is fully committed to steel companies in China and Japan for approximately four years.

The Koolyanobbing operations are located 425 kilometers east of Perth and approximately 50 kilometers northeast of the town of Southern Cross. All of the ore mined at the Koolyanobbing operations is transported by rail to the Port of Esperance, 578 kilometers to the south for shipment to Asian customers. Cockatoo Island is located off the Kimberley coast of Western Australia, approximately 3,000 kilometers north of Perth. Portman sells its ore into the global seaborne trade market.

### Australian Iron Ore Customers

A limited spot market exists for seaborne iron ore as most production is sold under long-term contracts with annual benchmark prices driven from negotiations between the major suppliers and the Chinese and Japanese steel mills. The three major iron ore producers, Companhia Vale do Rio Doce (“CVRD”), Rio Tinto and BHP Billiton (“BHP”), dominate the seaborne iron ore trade and together account for approximately three-fourths of the global supply to the seaborne market.

Portman has long-term supply contracts with steel producers in China and Japan that account for approximately 73 percent, and 27 percent, respectively, of sales. Sales volume under the agreements is partially dependent on customer requirements. Each agreement is priced based on the benchmark pricing established for Australian producers. The rapid growth in Chinese demand, particularly in more recent years, was underestimated by the major producers and has led to demand outstripping supply. This market imbalance has recently led to high spot prices for iron ore and a 71.5 percent increase in 2005 benchmark prices for Brazilian and Australian producers for iron ore lump and fines.

Since the acquisition, we sold 4.9 million tonnes of iron ore to 15 Chinese and three Japanese customers. No customer comprises more than 15 percent of Portman sales or 10 percent of our consolidated sales. Portman’s five largest customers account for approximately 50 percent of Portman’s sales.

### Segment Results

We primarily evaluate performance based on segment operating income, defined as revenues less expenses identifiable to each segment. We have classified certain administrative expenses as unallocated corporate expenses.

Additional information regarding our segment performance is included in Item 7, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. In addition, selected financial data for our segments is available in Note 5, “Segment Reporting,” included in Item 8, “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.”

### Strategy

#### *International*

Almost all iron ore is used in steelmaking. Iron ore consumption is concentrated in a few areas of the world with the top five regions/countries accounting for almost 85 percent of world demand for iron ore. While steel production in many of these areas has been relatively static over recent years, China has experienced double digit growth in its crude steel production. As a consequence, China has accounted for most of the growth in world steel production over the past five years.

The rapid growth in steel production in China has not been met by a corresponding increase in domestic Chinese iron ore production. Chinese iron ore deposits, although substantial, are of a lower grade (approximately half of the equivalent iron content) than the current iron ore produced in Brazil and Australia. China

## Table of Contents

has moved from a position where demand was largely satisfied by domestic supply in the early 1990's to being a net importer of iron ore in 2005.

While iron is an abundant element, iron ore production is concentrated within five regions/countries (China, South America (Brazil), Australia, Commonwealth of Independent States and India) accounting for 83 percent of current annual production. Brazil, Australia and to a lesser extent, India, are the principal exporters into the global seaborne iron ore market. These countries account for 80 percent of the current global seaborne iron ore market. The increase in demand has largely been met by expansion of supply from Brazil and Australia, which together maintain dominance of supply to this market and have the largest global reserves of high iron content ores.

We advanced our strategic objective of serving high-growth steel markets with the completion of our acquisition of 80.4 percent of Portman in April 2005. This acquisition gives us a more diversified customer base and a foothold in the world's fastest growing steel markets with opportunities for additional international growth. Portman is the third-largest iron ore producer in Australia.

### *Domestic*

The North American integrated steel industry continues to undergo a restructuring process. This process is producing a stronger, more productive industry principally through consolidation with some rationalization of less efficient capacity. The North American iron ore industry also has been restructuring to meet the changing needs of its customers. It has been our strategy to lead this consolidation process and to continue to improve the competitiveness of our operations.

We have repositioned ourselves from a manager of iron ore mines on behalf of steel company owners to primarily a merchant of iron ore to steel company customers. For example, in December 2003, together with Laiwu Steel Group, Ltd. ("Laiwu") of China, we, through our newly formed joint venture, United Taconite Mining Company LLC ("United Taconite"), purchased the assets of Eveleth Mines LLC ("Eveleth Mines") out of bankruptcy. In 2005, we completed an expansion project at United Taconite to expand annual capacity by approximately 1.0 million tons. Our plan to restart an idled furnace to increase capacity by .8 million tons at our wholly owned Northshore mine has been deferred until market conditions warrant increased pellet production.

Our challenge in North America is to improve performance at all of our mining operations. We have initiated programs to achieve enterprise-wide cost savings through initiatives teams focusing on all aspects of our cost structure. Key areas of focus include maintenance spending, energy usage and procurement. We have also implemented a new safety program with the objective of becoming an injury-free place of employment. We are also initiating comprehensive personnel plans that will address current talent needs, meet future hiring requirements and identify specific succession plans for key management positions.

### **Our strategic objectives are to:**

#### *Seek Additional Investment Opportunities*

We intend to continue to pursue investment and management opportunities to broaden our scope as a supplier of iron ore or other raw materials to the integrated steel industry through the acquisition of additional mining interests to strengthen our market position. We are particularly focused on expanding our international investments to capitalize on global demand for steel and iron ore.

Much of the current increase in global demand for steel is due to industrialization in countries such as China. China is seeking foreign supplies of the raw materials it needs to produce steel to build infrastructure, factories, hotels and other buildings and to manufacture motor vehicles and appliances. China's increased demand for those materials, including iron ore pellets, has been a factor in increasing raw material prices around the globe. Currently, China is the world's largest steel producer, with approximately 30 percent of global steel production, and China's steel production is expected to continue to grow. Chinese iron ore imports rose in excess of 30 percent in 2005 and are expected to further increase in 2006. China has overtaken the United States as the largest consumer of iron ore, steel and copper, and currently accounts for 40 percent of

## Table of Contents

the world's consumption of iron ore. We are attempting to capitalize on China's industrial growth by acquiring additional well-located iron ore properties and obtaining agreements to supply China with iron ore on terms favorable to us.

### *Expand Our Leadership Position in the North American Iron Ore Market*

We have substantially restructured the ownership interest in our mines largely by converting mine partners into customers with term supply agreements. Under our operating strategy, royalty and management fee income has largely been replaced by profit margin on pellet sales. It is our goal to continue to expand our leadership position in the industry by focusing on high product quality, technical excellence, superior relationships with our customers and partners and improved operational efficiency through year-over-year cost savings. By developing creative solutions for our customers during the recent industry restructuring, we have been able to generate term supply agreements with many of these companies, which have benefited our market position. Our creative solutions included acquisition of our partners' interests in the mines largely for the assumption of certain mine liabilities, thereby allowing partners to focus on their core steelmaking business and become our customers by entering into term supply agreements with us.

### *Achieve Demonstrated Savings through Productivity Improvements, Enterprise-wide Cost Reductions and Strategic Sourcing*

Rising costs are a threat to profits and limit our strategic flexibility. Our mining costs have increased 57 percent between 2003 and 2005. In particular, we have seen large increases in energy, capital and employment costs. This recent trend has affected the global mining industry as well. To mitigate the effect of these surging costs, we have implemented an aggressive cost savings program through a number of "Initiatives Teams".

### *Strive to Continuously Improve Iron Ore Pellet Quality and Develop Alternative Metallic Products*

With the overall goal of achieving cost savings and quality improvements through pioneering process development at the mines that we manage, we operate a fully-equipped research and development facility located in Ishpeming, Michigan. Our research and development group is staffed with experienced engineers and scientists and is organized to support the geological interpretation, process mineralogy, mine engineering, mineral processing, pyrometallurgy, advanced process control and analytical service disciplines. Our research and development group is also utilized by iron ore pellet customers for laboratory testing and simulation of blast furnace conditions.

Currently, almost all North American iron ore pellets are consumed in blast furnaces, which is the first step in the steelmaking process. The blast furnaces produce iron in molten form, which is further processed in basic oxygen furnaces where carbon is removed and steel scrap and other alloys are added to produce molten steel. The molten steel is then cast into steel shapes.

As part of our efforts to develop alternative metallic products, we participated in Phase II of the Mesabi Nugget Project ("Project") to test and develop Kobe Steel, Ltd.'s technology for converting iron ore into nearly pure iron in nugget form. The high-iron-content material could be used as a steel scrap supplement as a raw material for electric steel furnaces and blast furnaces or basic oxygen furnaces of integrated steel producers or as feed-stock for the foundry industry. See "Other Related Items — Mesabi Nugget Project" in Item 7 for a further discussion of the Project.

Information regarding Operations, Competition, Environment, Energy, Research and Development and Employees is presented under the captions "Operations," "Competition," "Environment," "Energy," "Research and Development" and "Employees," respectively, all of which are included in Item 2 and are incorporated by reference and made a part hereof.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

**If the rate of steel consumption in China slows, the demand for iron ore could decrease.**

The world price of iron ore is strongly influenced by international demand. The current growing level of international demand for iron ore and steel is largely due to the rapid industrial growth in China. A large quantity of steel is currently being used in China to build roads, bridges, railroads and factories. If the economic growth rate in China slows, which may be difficult to forecast, less steel may be used in construction and manufacturing, which could decrease demand for iron ore. This could adversely impact the world iron ore market, impact the North American and Australian iron ore market, and adversely impact Portman, where approximately 73 percent of our Australian revenues are generated. It could also adversely impact our United Taconite joint venture with Laiwu and our Wabush mine. A slowing of the economic growth rate in China could also result in greater exports of steel out of China, which if imported into North America could decrease demand for domestically produced steel, thereby decreasing the demand for iron ore produced in North America. China became a modest net exporter of steel products in 2005.

**Excess global capacity and the availability of competitive substitute materials may result in intense competition in the steel industry, which may reduce steel prices and decrease steel production and our customers' demand for iron ore products.**

More than 97 percent of our North American revenues are derived from the North American integrated steel industry. From time to time, global overcapacity in steel manufacturing has a negative impact on North American steel sales and reduces the production of steel and consequently the demand for iron ore. China's domestic crude steel capacity is expected to climb to 360 million tonnes in 2006 from 340 million tonnes in 2005, according to the Chinese Securities Journal. Further, production of steel by North American integrated steel manufacturers may be replaced to a certain extent by production of substitute materials by other manufacturers. In the case of certain product applications, North American steel manufacturers compete with manufacturers of other materials, including plastic, aluminum, graphite composites, ceramics, glass, wood and concrete. Most of our term supply agreements for the sale of iron ore products are requirements-based or provide for flexibility of volume above a minimum level. Reduced demand for and consumption of iron ore products by integrated steel producers have had and may continue to have a significant negative impact on our sales, margins and profitability.

**Increased imports of steel into the United States could adversely impact North American steel sales, which could adversely affect demand for our products and our sales, margins and profitability.**

From time to time, global overcapacity in steel manufacturing and a weakening of certain foreign economies, particularly in Eastern Europe, Asia and Latin America, may negatively impact steel prices in those foreign economies and result in increased levels of steel imports from those countries into the United States at depressed prices. Based on the American Iron and Steel Institute's Apparent Steel Supply (excluding semi-finished steel products), imports of steel into the United States constituted 21.6 percent (estimated), 22.3 percent and 16.5 percent of the domestic steel market supply for 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Significant imports of steel into the United States could substantially reduce sales, margins and profitability of North American steel producers, and consequently, reduce demand for iron ore. Decreased North American steel sales could decrease demand for North American iron ore products and have a substantial negative impact on our sales, margins and profitability. The purchase by North American steel producers of semi-finished steel products from foreign suppliers could also decrease demand for our iron ore products.

**The North American and global steel industries continue to undergo a restructuring process that has resulted in industry consolidation that could result in a reduction of integrated steelmaking capacity over time, and thereby reduce iron ore consumption.**

The North American steel industry has undergone consolidation, and that consolidation is likely to continue as evidenced by the acquisition of International Steel Group by Mittal Steel USA ISG Inc. ("Mittal

## Table of Contents

Steel USA”). Consolidation is also occurring globally, as evidenced by Mittal Steel’s offer to acquire Arcelor S.A. and Arcelor S.A.’s pending acquisition of Dofasco Inc (“Dofasco”). Consolidation of the North American and global steel industries will result in fewer customers for iron ore. The restructuring process may reduce integrated steelmaking capacity, which would reduce demand for our North American iron ore products and may adversely affect our sales. Further, if the steel producers that have captive iron ore mines obtain a larger share of North American steel production, they may obtain iron ore from their own mines, if they have excess capacity, rather than from us. These factors could adversely affect our sales, margins and profitability.

### **Our sales and earnings are subject to significant fluctuations as a result of the cyclical nature of the North American steel industry.**

In 2005 and 2004, 21.9 million and 22.2 million tons, respectively, of our iron ore pellet sales were sold to North American steel manufacturers, while only .4 million tons of our pellets were sold outside of North America in each year. The North American steel industry has been highly cyclical in nature, influenced by a combination of factors, including periods of economic growth or recession, strength or weakness of the U.S. dollar, worldwide demand and production capacity, the strength of the U.S. automotive industry, levels of steel imports and applicable tariffs. The demand for steel products is generally affected by macroeconomic fluctuations in North America and the global economies in which steel companies sell their products. For example, future economic downturns, stagnant economies or currency fluctuations in the United States or globally could decrease the demand for steel products or increase the amount of imports of steel or iron ore into the United States.

In addition, a disruption or downturn in the oil and gas, gas transmission, construction, commercial equipment, rail transportation, appliance, agricultural, automotive or durable goods industries, all of which are significant markets for steel products and are highly cyclical, could negatively impact sales of steel by North American producers. These trends could decrease the demand for North American iron ore products and significantly adversely affect our sales, margins and profitability.

### **If steelmakers use methods other than blast furnace production to produce steel, or if their blast furnaces shut down or otherwise reduce production, the demand for our iron ore products may decrease, which would adversely affect our sales, margins and profitability.**

Demand for our iron ore products is determined by the operating rates for the blast furnaces of steel companies. However, not all finished steel is produced by blast furnaces; finished steel also may be produced by other methods that do not require iron ore products. For example, steel “mini-mills,” which are steel recyclers, generally produce steel by using scrap steel, not iron ore pellets, in their electric furnaces. Production of steel by steel “mini-mills” was approximately 55 percent of North American total finished steel production in 2005. Steel producers also can produce steel using imported iron ore or semi-finished steel products, which eliminates the need for domestic iron ore. Environmental restrictions on the use of blast furnaces also may reduce our customers’ use of their blast furnaces. Maintenance of blast furnaces can require substantial capital expenditures. Our customers may choose not to maintain their blast furnaces, and some of our customers may not have the resources necessary to adequately maintain their blast furnaces. If our customers use methods to produce steel that do not use iron ore products, demand for our iron ore products will decrease, which could adversely affect our sales, margins and profitability.

### **Natural disasters, equipment failures and other unexpected events may lead our steel industry customers to curtail production or shut down their operations.**

Operating levels at our steel industry customers are subject to conditions beyond their control, including raw material shortages, weather conditions, natural disasters, interruptions in electrical power or other energy services, equipment failures, and other unexpected events. Any of those events could also affect other suppliers to the North American steel industry. In either case, those events could cause our steel industry customers to curtail production or shut down a portion or all of their operations, which could reduce their demand for our North American iron ore products. For example, in 2005, Mittal Steel USA permanently shut down its

## Table of Contents

Weirton blast furnaces. Similarly, in September 2005, Steel Dynamics, Inc. suspended orders for some steel products that require the use of hydrogen gas due to the effects of hurricane Katrina on its hydrogen gas supplier. Also, in late 2003, a fire occurred in a mine of a major coal supplier to U.S. Steel, which supplies a majority of the coke, a processed form of coal, used by our steel industry customers to operate their blast furnaces. The fire caused U.S. Steel to curtail its production of coke, and to reduce its coke shipments to at least two of our steel industry customers. As a result, one of our steel industry customers had to curtail its steel production, and its demand for our iron ore products decreased. Decreased demand for our iron ore products could adversely affect our sales, margins and profitability.

### **We operate in a very competitive industry.**

The iron mining business is highly competitive, with producers in all iron-producing regions. Some of our competitors may have greater financial resources than we have and may be better able to withstand changes in conditions within the steel industry than we are. In the future, we may face increasing competition. As a result, we may face pressures on sales prices and volumes of our products from competitors and large customers.

### **Capacity expansions could lead to lower global iron ore prices.**

The increased demand for iron ore, particularly from China, has resulted in the major iron ore suppliers increasing their capacity. In 2006, CVRD's board of directors approved a capital expenditure budget of \$4.6 billion, the highest in its history, to expand production capacity in iron ore and other materials. BHP announced expansion projects in Western Australia and Brazil to increase iron ore capacity by a combined 28 million tonnes. An increase in our competitor's capacity could result in excess supply of iron ore, and subsequently downward pressure on iron ore prices. A decrease in pricing would adversely impact our sales, margins and profitability.

### **Our sales and competitive position depend on the ability to transport our products to our customers at competitive rates and in a timely manner.**

Our competitive position requires the ability to transport iron ore to our markets at competitive rates. Disruption of the lake freighter and rail transportation services because of weather-related problems, including ice and winter weather conditions on the Great Lakes, strikes, lock-outs or other events, could impair our ability to supply iron ore pellets to our customers at competitive rates or in a timely manner and, thus, could adversely affect our sales and profitability. Portman is in direct competition with the major world seaborne exporters of iron ore and its customers face higher transportation costs than most other Australian producers to ship its products to the Asian markets because of the location of its major shipping port on the south coast of Australia. Further, increases in transportation costs, or changes in such costs relative to transportation costs incurred by our competitors, could make our products less competitive, restrict our access to certain markets and have an adverse effect on our sales, margins and profitability.

### **If a substantial portion of our term supply agreements terminate and are not renewed, and we are unable to find alternate buyers willing to purchase our products on terms comparable to those in our existing term supply agreements, our sales, margins and profitability will suffer.**

A substantial majority of our sales are made under term supply agreements, which are important to the stability and profitability of our operations. In 2005, more than 96 percent of our North American sales volume was sold under term supply agreements. All of Portman's sales are made under existing contracts that have approximately four years remaining. Portman's sales pricing is primarily based on the benchmark pricing established for Australian producers. If a substantial portion of our term supply agreements were modified or terminated, we could be materially adversely affected to the extent that we are unable to renew the agreements or find alternate buyers for our iron ore at the same level of profitability. We cannot assure you that we will be able to renew or replace existing term supply agreements at the same prices or with similar profit margins when they expire. A loss of sales to our existing customers could have a substantial negative impact on our sales, margins and profitability.

**We depend on a limited number of customers, and the loss of, or significant reduction in, purchases by our largest customers would adversely affect our sales.**

Five customers together accounted for a total of 93 percent and 94 percent of our North American sales revenues measured as a percent of “Product sales and services” for the years ended 2005 and 2004, respectively.

If one or more of these customers were to significantly reduce their purchases of iron ore products from us, or if we were unable to sell iron ore products to them on terms as favorable to us as the terms under our current term supply agreements, our sales, margins and profitability could suffer materially due to the high level of fixed costs and the high costs to idle or close mines. The majority of the iron ore we manage and produce is for our own account, and therefore we rely on sales to our joint venture partners and other third-party customers for most of our revenues. Mittal Steel USA idled its Weirton facility in 2005 and is contesting its minimum purchase requirement under our supply agreement. The Weirton facility accounted for approximately two percent of our North American sales in 2004. In addition, WCI and Stelco are operating under bankruptcy protection, as discussed below, and the bankruptcy or reorganization of our customers could affect our sales, margins and profitability.

**Changes in demand for our products by our customers could cause our sales, margins and profitability to fluctuate.**

Our North American term supply agreements generally are requirements contracts, the majority of which have no minimum requirement provisions, and some of which provide for flexibility of volume above minimum levels. Portman’s sales contracts are for fixed annual tonnages with customer options to increase or decrease annual purchases. A decrease in one or more of our customers’ requirements could cause our sales to decline, as we may not be able to find other customers to purchase our iron ore products as evidenced by Mittal Steel USA’s decision to idle its Weirton facility in 2005. In addition, if our customers’ requirements decline, since many of our production costs are fixed, our production costs per ton may rise, which may affect our margins and profitability. Unmitigated loss of sales would have a greater impact on margins and profitability than on revenues, due to the high level of fixed costs in the iron ore mining business and the high cost to idle or close mines.

**The provisions of our term supply agreements could cause our sales, margins and profitability to fluctuate.**

Our term supply agreements typically contain force majeure provisions allowing temporary suspension of performance by the customer during specified events beyond the customer’s control, including raw material shortages, power failures, equipment failures, adverse weather conditions and other events. For example, one of our large customers notified us in January 2004 that it was reducing its requirements for iron ore pellets in the first quarter of 2004 by 180,000 long tons pursuant to the force majeure provisions of its term supply agreement with us. That customer invoked the force majeure provision due to a failure of U.S. Steel to ship the quantity of coke that the customer had ordered due to shortages caused by a fire at a mine that supplied coal to U.S. Steel.

Price escalators in our term supply agreements also expose us to short-term price volatility, which can adversely affect our margins and profitability. Our term supply agreements also contain provisions requiring us to deliver iron ore pellets meeting quality thresholds for certain characteristics, such as chemical makeup. Failure to meet these specifications could result in economic penalties. All of these contractual provisions could adversely affect our sales, margins and profitability.

**We may have contractual disputes with our customers or significant suppliers of energy, materials, or services that could significantly impact our sales, revenue rates, production or operating costs.**

Most of our North American and Australian sales are under multi-year term sales agreements. Australian benchmark prices are driven from negotiations between the three major iron producers, CVRD, Rio Tinto and BHP, and the Chinese and Japanese steel mills. More than 97 percent of our North American revenues are

derived from sales of iron ore pellets to the North American integrated steel industry, consisting of 10 current or potential customers. Sales volume under these agreements is largely dependent on customer requirements, and in many cases, we are the sole supplier of iron ore pellets to the customer. Each agreement has a base price that is adjusted annually using one or more adjustment factors. Factors that could result in price adjustment include measures of general industrial inflation, steel prices and international pellet prices. One of our supply agreements has a provision that limits the amount of price increase or decrease in any given year. Contractual disputes with any of our significant customers could result in lower sales volume or lower sales prices.

Additionally, we have significant contracts with suppliers of energy, materials and services in North American and Australia. Contractual disputes with significant suppliers could result in production curtailments or significant cost increases which could adversely impact our profitability.

**Mine closures entail substantial costs, and if we close one or more of our mines sooner than anticipated, our results of operations and financial condition may be significantly and adversely affected.**

If we close any of our mines, our revenues would be reduced unless we were able to increase production at any of our other mines, which may not be possible. The closure of an open-pit mine involves significant fixed closure costs, including accelerated employment legacy costs, severance-related obligations, reclamation and other environmental costs, and the costs of terminating long-term obligations, including energy contracts and equipment leases. We base our assumptions regarding the life of our mines on detailed studies we perform from time to time, but those studies and assumptions do not always prove to be accurate. We recognize the costs of reclaiming open pits, stockpiles, tailings ponds, roads and other mining support areas based on the estimated mining life of our property. If we were to reduce the estimated life of any of our mines, the mine-closure costs would be applied to a shorter period of production, which would increase production costs per ton produced and could significantly and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. Further, if we were to close one or more of our mines prematurely, we would incur significant accelerated employment legacy costs, severance-related obligations, reclamation and other environmental costs, as well as asset impairment charges, which could materially and adversely affect our financial condition.

A North American mine closure would significantly increase employment legacy costs, including our expense and funding costs for pension and other postretirement benefit obligations. First, retirement-eligible employees would be eligible for enhanced pension benefits under certain pension plans upon a mine closure. Second, the number of employees who are eligible for retirement under the pension plans would increase under special eligibility rules that apply upon a mine closure. Third, all employees eligible for retirement under the pension plans at the time of the mine closure also would be eligible for postretirement health and life insurance benefits, thereby accelerating our obligation to provide these benefits. Fourth, a closure of the Empire or Tilden mine likely would trigger withdrawal liability to the pension plan covering hourly employees there. Finally, a mine closure could trigger significant severance-related obligations. As a result, the closure of one or more of our mines could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

The Cockatoo Island operation in Australia is scheduled to close in 2007 and plans are in process to obtain all required governmental approvals. Since all of the employees are contractors, the cost of closing is significantly lower in Australia than in North America. Performance bonds are in place covering the estimated closure costs.

Applicable statutes and regulations require that mining property be reclaimed following a mine closure in accordance with specified standards and an approved reclamation plan. The plan addresses matters such as removal of facilities and equipment, regrading, prevention of erosion and other forms of water pollution, revegetation and post-mining land use. We may be required to post a surety bond or other form of financial assurance equal to the cost of reclamation as set forth in the approved reclamation plan. The establishment of the final mine closure reclamation liability is based upon permit requirements and requires various estimates and assumptions, principally associated with reclamation costs and production levels. Although our management believes, based on currently available information, we are making adequate provisions for all expected reclamation and other costs associated with mine closures for which we will be responsible, our business,

results of operations and financial condition would be adversely affected if such accruals were later determined to be insufficient.

**We have significantly reduced our ore reserve estimates for the Empire mine and may close the Empire mine sooner than we had anticipated, which could materially and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.**

We significantly decreased our ore reserve estimates for the Empire mine from 116 million tons at December 31, 2001 to 63 million tons at December 31, 2002 and further to 29 million tons at December 31, 2003. As of December 31, 2005, Empire's estimated ore reserves decreased to approximately 17 million tons as a result of production in 2004 and 2005.

If we were to close the Empire mine sooner than currently anticipated, we would incur significant mine closure costs, employment legacy costs, severance-related obligations, reclamation and other environmental costs and the costs of terminating long-term obligations, including energy contracts and equipment leases. A closure of the Empire mine sooner than we anticipate could materially and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

**We rely on the estimates of our recoverable reserves, and if those estimates are inaccurate, our financial condition may be adversely affected.**

We regularly evaluate our iron ore reserves based on revenues and costs and update them as required in accordance with SEC Industry Guide 7. Portman has published reserves which follow the Joint Ore Reserves Code ("JORC") in Australia, which is similar to United States requirements. Changes to the reserve value to make them comply with SEC requirements have been made. There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities of reserves of our mines, many of which have been in operation for several decades, including many factors beyond our control. Estimates of reserves and future net cash flows necessarily depend upon a number of variable factors and assumptions, such as production capacity, effects of regulations by governmental agencies and future prices for iron ore, future industry conditions and operating costs, severance and excise taxes, development costs and costs of extraction and reclamation costs, all of which may in fact vary considerably from actual results. For these reasons, estimates of the economically recoverable quantities of mineralized deposits attributable to any particular group of properties, classifications of such reserves based on risk of recovery and estimates of future net cash flows prepared by different engineers or by the same engineers at different times may vary substantially as the criteria change. Estimated ore reserves could be affected by future industry conditions, geological conditions and ongoing mine planning. Actual production, revenues and expenditures with respect to our reserves will likely vary from estimates, and if such variances are material, our sales and profitability could be adversely or positively affected.

**The price adjustment provisions of our North American term supply agreements may prevent us from increasing our prices to match international ore contract prices or to pass increased costs of production on to our customers.**

Our North American term supply agreements contain a number of price adjustment provisions, or price escalators, including adjustments based on general industrial inflation rates, the price of steel and the international price of iron ore pellets, among other factors, that allow us to adjust the prices under those agreements generally on an annual basis. Our price adjustment provisions are weighted and some are subject to annual collars, which limit our ability to raise prices to match international levels and fully capitalize on strong demand for iron ore. Most of our North American term supply agreements do not allow us to increase our prices and to directly pass through higher production costs to our customers. An inability to increase prices or pass along increased costs could adversely affect our margins and profitability.

**Our ability to collect payments from our customers depends on their creditworthiness.**

Our ability to receive payment for iron ore products sold and delivered to our customers depends on the creditworthiness of our customers. In North America, we ship iron ore products to some of our customers'

yards in advance of payment for those products. Our rationale for shipping iron ore products to customers in advance of payment for, and transfer title for the product is to more closely relate timing of payment to consumption, thereby providing additional liquidity to our customers, and to reduce our financial risk to customer insolvency as title and risk of loss with respect to those products does not pass to the customer until payment for the pellets is received. Accordingly, there is typically a period of time in which pellets, as to which we have reserved title, are within our customers' control. As discussed below, several of our customers have petitioned for protection under bankruptcy or other similar laws, and most of our North American customers have below-investment grade or no credit rating. Failure to receive payment from our customers for products that we have delivered could adversely affect our results of operations.

**Our change from a manager of iron ore mines on behalf of steel company owners to primarily a merchant of iron ore to steel company customers has increased our obligations with respect to those mines and has made our revenues, earnings and profit margins more dependent on sales of iron ore products and more susceptible to product demand and pricing fluctuations.**

Until recent years, we had principally acted as a manager of iron ore mines on behalf of steel company owners, and in that capacity had been generally entitled to management fees, royalties on reserves that we have leased or subleased to the Empire and Tilden mines, and income from our sales of iron ore products to our customers, including the other mine owners. Our current business model is increased ownership in our co-owned mines. In accordance with our revised business model, in 2002 we increased our ownership in (1) the Empire mine from 47 percent to 79 percent, (2) the Tilden mine from 40 percent to 85 percent, (3) the Hibbing mine from 15 percent to 23 percent, and (4) the Wabush mine from 23 percent to 27 percent. While we have gained greater control of the mines we operate, we have also increased our share of the operating costs, employment legacy costs and financial obligations associated with those mines. Our increased ownership of those mines has caused the management fees and royalties due to us from our partners in the mines to decline from \$29.8 million in 2001 to \$13.1 million in 2005. The decline in royalties and management fees has made our revenues, earnings and profit margins more volatile and more dependent on sales of our iron ore products to third-party customers.

**We rely on our joint venture partners in our mines to meet their payment obligations, and the inability of a joint venture partner to do so could significantly affect our operating costs.**

We co-own five of our six North American mines with various joint venture partners that are integrated steel producers or their subsidiaries, including Dofasco, Mittal Steel USA, Laiwu and Stelco. While we are the manager of each of the mines we co-own, we rely on our joint venture partners to make their required capital contributions and to pay for their share of the iron ore pellets that we produce. Most of our venture partners are also our customers and are subject to the creditworthiness risks described above. If one or more of our venture partners fail to perform their obligations, the remaining venturers, including ourselves, may be required to assume additional material obligations, including significant pension and postretirement health and life insurance benefit obligations. On January 29, 2004, Stelco applied and obtained bankruptcy-court protection from creditors in Ontario Superior Court under the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act. Stelco is a 44.6 percent participant in the Wabush Mines Joint Venture, and U.S. subsidiaries of Stelco (which have not filed for bankruptcy protection) own 14.7 percent of Hibbing and 15 percent of Tilden. Stelco has met its cash call requirements at the mining ventures to date. The premature closure of a mine due to the failure of a joint venture partner to perform its obligations could result in significant fixed mine-closure costs, including severance, employment legacy costs and other employment costs, reclamation and other environmental costs, and the costs of terminating long-term obligations, including energy contracts and equipment leases.

**Unanticipated geological conditions and natural disasters could increase the cost of operating our business.**

A portion of our production costs are fixed regardless of current operating levels. Our operating levels are subject to conditions beyond our control that can delay deliveries or increase the cost of mining at particular mines for varying lengths of time. These conditions include weather conditions (for example, extreme winter

## Table of Contents

weather, floods and availability of process water due to drought) and natural disasters, pit wall failures, unanticipated geological conditions, including variations in the amount of rock and soil overlying the deposits of iron ore, variations in rock and other natural materials and variations in geologic conditions and ore processing changes. Portman's Cockatoo Island operation is located in an area affected by tropical storms and operates a pit below sea level that is protected by a constructed seawall. Storms in this area could affect both our operation and the operations of our major Australian competitors. These conditions could impair our ability to fulfill our plan to operate our mines at full capacity, which could materially adversely affect our ability to meet the expected demand for our iron ore products.

### **Many of our mines are dependent on a single-source energy supplier, and interruption in energy services may have a significant adverse effect on our sales, margins and profitability.**

Many of our mines are dependent on one source for electric power and for natural gas. For example, Minnesota Power is the sole supplier of electric power to our Hibbing and United Taconite mines; Wisconsin Energy Corporation is the sole supplier of electric power to our Tilden and Empire mines; and our Northshore mine is largely dependent on its wholly owned power facility for its electrical supply. A significant interruption in service from our energy suppliers due to terrorism, weather conditions, natural disasters, or any other cause can result in substantial losses that may not be fully covered by our business interruption insurance. For example, in May 2003, we incurred approximately \$11.1 million in fixed costs relating to lost production when our Empire and Tilden mines were idled for approximately five weeks due to loss of power stemming from the failure of a dam in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan. One natural gas pipeline serves all of our Minnesota and Michigan mines, and a pipeline failure may idle those operations. Any substantial unmitigated interruption of our business due to these conditions could materially adversely affect our sales, margins and profitability.

### **Our mines and processing facilities have been in operation for several decades. Equipment failures and other unexpected events at our facilities may lead to production curtailments or shutdowns.**

Interruptions in production capabilities will inevitably increase our production costs and reduce our profitability. We do not have meaningful excess capacity for current production needs, and we are not able to quickly increase production at one mine to offset an interruption in production at another mine. In addition to equipment failures, our facilities are also subject to the risk of loss due to unanticipated events such as fires, explosions or adverse weather conditions. The manufacturing processes that take place in our mining operations, as well as in our crushing, concentrating and pelletizing facilities, depend on critical pieces of equipment, such as drilling and blasting equipment, crushers, grinding mills, pebble mills, thickeners, separators, filters, mixers, furnaces, kilns and rolling equipment, as well as electrical equipment, such as transformers. This equipment may, on occasion, be out of service because of unanticipated failures. In addition, many of our mines and processing facilities have been in operation for several decades, and the equipment is aged. For example, in November 2003, our Tilden facility experienced a crack in a kiln riding ring that required the shutdown of that kiln in its pelletizing plant, resulting in a production loss of approximately .3 million tons in 2003. In the future, we may experience additional material plant shutdowns or periods of reduced production because of equipment failures. Material plant shutdowns or reductions in operations could materially adversely affect our sales, margins and profitability. Further, remediation of any interruption in production capability may require us to make large capital expenditures that could have a negative effect on our profitability and cash flows. Our business interruption insurance would not cover all of the lost revenues associated with equipment failures. Further, longer-term business disruptions could result in a loss of customers, which could adversely affect our future sales levels, and therefore our profitability.

### **We are subject to extensive governmental regulation, which imposes, and will continue to impose, significant costs and liabilities on us, and future regulation could increase those costs and liabilities or limit our ability to produce iron ore products.**

We are subject to various federal, provincial, state and local laws and regulations on matters such as employee health and safety, air quality, water pollution, plant and wildlife protection, reclamation and restoration of mining properties, the discharge of materials into the environment, and the effects that mining

## Table of Contents

has on groundwater quality and availability. Numerous governmental permits and approvals are required for our operations. We cannot be assured that we have been or will be at all times in complete compliance with such laws, regulations and permits. If we violate or fail to comply with these laws, regulations or permits, we could be fined or otherwise sanctioned by regulators.

Prior to commencement of mining, we must submit to, and obtain approval from, the appropriate regulatory authority of plans showing where and how mining and reclamation operations are to occur. These plans must include information such as the location of mining areas, stockpiles, surface waters, haul roads, tailings basins and drainage from mining operations. All requirements imposed by any such authority may be costly and time-consuming and may delay commencement or continuation of exploration or production operations. See “Item 2. Properties. — Environment.”

In addition, new legislation and/or regulations and orders, including proposals related to the protection of the environment, to which we would be subject or that would further regulate and/or tax our customers, namely the North American integrated steel producer customers, may also require us or our customers to reduce or otherwise change operations significantly or incur costs. Such new legislation, regulations or orders (if enacted) could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition or profitability. In particular, we are subject to the rules promulgated by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) that will require us to utilize Maximum Achievable Control Technology (“MACT”) standards for our air emissions by 2006. The costs, including capital expenditures that we will incur in order to meet the new MACT standards may be substantial. See “Item 2. Properties. — Environment.”

Further, we are subject to a variety of potential liability exposures arising at certain sites where we do not currently conduct operations. These sites include sites where we formerly conducted iron ore mining or processing or other operations, inactive sites that we currently own, predecessor sites, acquired sites, leased land sites and third-party waste disposal sites. While we believe our liability at sites where claims have been asserted will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, liquidity or results of operations, we may be named as a responsible party at other sites in the future, and we cannot assure you that the costs associated with these additional sites will not be material. See “Item 2. Properties. — Environment.”

We also could be held liable for any and all consequences arising out of human exposure to hazardous substances used, released or disposed of by us or other environmental damage, including damage to natural resources. In particular, we and certain of our subsidiaries are involved in various claims relating to the exposure of asbestos and silica to seamen who sailed on the Great Lakes vessels formerly owned and operated by certain of our subsidiaries. The full impact of these claims, as well as whether insurance coverage will be sufficient and whether other defendants named in these claims will be able to fund any costs arising out of these claims, continues to be unknown. Based on currently available information, however, we believe the resolution of currently pending claims in the aggregate would not reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial position. See “Item 3. Legal Proceedings.”

**Our expenditures for postretirement benefit and pension obligations could be materially higher than we have predicted if our underlying assumptions prove to be incorrect, if there are mine closures or our joint venture partners fail to perform their obligations that relate to employee pension plans.**

We provide defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement benefits (“OPEB”) to eligible union and non-union employees, including our share of expense and funding obligations with respect to unconsolidated ventures. Our pension expense and our required contributions to our pension plans are directly affected by the value of plan assets, the projected rate of return on plan assets, the rate of return on plan assets and the actuarial assumptions we use to measure our defined benefit pension plan obligations, including the rate that future obligations are discounted to a present value (“discount rate”).

We cannot predict whether changing market or economic conditions, regulatory changes or other factors will increase our pension expenses or our funding obligations, diverting funds we would otherwise apply to other uses.

## Table of Contents

We calculate our total accumulated postretirement benefit obligation (“APBO”) for our OPEB benefits under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 106, “Employers’ Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions.” The unfunded APBO obligation had a present value of \$231.7 million at December 31, 2005. We have calculated the unfunded obligation based on a number of assumptions. Discount rate, return on plan assets, and mortality assumptions parallel those utilized for pensions.

If our assumptions do not materialize as expected, cash expenditures and costs that we incur could be materially higher. Moreover, we cannot assure that regulatory changes will not increase our obligations to provide these or additional benefits. These obligations also may increase substantially in the event of adverse medical cost trends or unexpected rates of early retirement, particularly for bargaining unit employees for whom there is currently no retiree healthcare cost cap. Early retirement rates likely would increase substantially in the event of a mine closure.

Additionally, our pension and postretirement health and life insurance benefit obligations, expenses and funding costs would increase significantly if one or more of the mines in which we have invested is closed, or if one or more of our joint venture partners at one or more mines are unable to perform its obligations. A mine closure would trigger accelerated pension and OPEB obligations, and the failure of joint venture partners to perform its obligations could shift additional pension and OPEB liabilities to us. Any of these events could significantly adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

**We are a related party to certain companies that were operators and are required under the Coal Industry Retiree Health Benefit Act of 1992 (the “Coal Retiree Act”) to make premium payments to the United Mine Workers Association Combined Benefit Fund (the “Combined Fund”), and our obligations to the Combined Fund could increase if other coal mine operators file for bankruptcy protection or become insolvent.**

We are a related-party to certain companies that were coal mine operators. As a result, we are subject to the Coal Retiree Act and are obligated to make premium payments to the Combined Fund for health and death benefits paid by the Combined Fund to retired coal miners. At December 31, 2005, the net present value of our estimated liability to the Combined Fund was \$5.6 million. We are assessed premiums for unassigned or “orphan” retirees on a pro rata basis with other coal mine operators and related parties. If other coal mine operators and related parties file for bankruptcy protection or become insolvent, our pro rata portion of the liability to the Combined Fund could increase, which could have an adverse effect on our results of operation and financial condition, sales, margins and profitability.

**Our profitability could be negatively affected if we fail to maintain satisfactory labor relations.**

The USWA represents all hourly employees at our Empire, Hibbing, Tilden and United Taconite mines, as well as Wabush in Canada. A four-year labor agreement was reached in August 2004 with our U.S. labor force and a five-year agreement that runs until March 2009 was reached with our Canadian work force. Hourly employees at the railroads we own that transport products among our facilities are represented by multiple unions with labor agreements that expire at various dates. If the collective bargaining agreements relating to the employees at our mines or railroad are not successfully renegotiated prior to this expiration, we could face work stoppages or labor strikes.

**Our operating expenses could increase significantly if the price of electrical power, fuel or other energy sources increases.**

Operating expenses at our mining locations are sensitive to changes in electricity prices and fuel prices, including diesel fuel and natural gas prices, which represent 27 percent of our North American operating costs. Prices for electricity, natural gas and fuel oils can fluctuate widely with availability and demand levels from other users. During periods of peak usage, supplies of energy may be curtailed and we may not be able to purchase them at historical market rates. While we have some long-term contracts with electrical suppliers, we are exposed to fluctuations in energy costs that can affect our production costs. Although we enter into forward fixed-price supply contracts for natural gas and diesel fuel for use in our operations, those contracts

## **Table of Contents**

are of limited duration and do not cover all of our fuel needs, and price increases in fuel costs could cause our profitability to decrease significantly.

### **Equipment and supply shortages may impact our production.**

We have recently experienced longer lead times on equipment, tires, and supply needs due to the increased demand for these resources. As our competitors increase their capacity, demand for these resources will increase, potentially resulting in higher prices, equipment shortages, or both.

### **We may encounter labor shortages for critical operational positions, which could affect our ability to produce iron ore products.**

At our North American locations, many of our mining operational employees are approaching retirement age. As these experienced employees retire, we may have difficulty replacing them at competitive wages. In Western Australia, the large number of expansion projects currently in progress has created turnover principally for our contractor's employees. As a result, wages are increasing to address the turnover.

### **Our profitability could be affected by the failure of outside contractors to perform.**

Portman uses contractors to handle many of the operational phases of its mining and processing operations and therefore is subject to the performance of outside companies on key production areas. Portman's Cockatoo Island operation is a joint venture with Henry Walker Eltin ("HWE"), a company that entered receivership in late 2004. As of February 1, 2006, HWE's mining assets were sold to Leighton Contractors Pty Ltd ("Leighton"), an Australian-based mining and construction contractor. Leighton also purchased HWE's subsidiary that owned its 50 percent interest in the Cockatoo Island joint venture and is continuing to manage the operation. The inability of any contractor to perform will directly impact our financial results.

### **Our profitability could be affected due to uncertainties related to the appraisal of acquisitions and the related allocation of purchase price to the acquired assets and assumed liabilities.**

In April 2005, we completed the acquisition of 80.4 percent of Portman. As part of the purchase accounting process, we retained an outside consultant to perform an appraisal of Portman and the related acquired assets and assumed liabilities. The allocation, which is preliminary and subject to change, is expected to be finalized prior to March 31, 2006. Any subsequent changes to the allocation could adversely impact our reported earnings.

### **Our profitability and liquidity could be adversely impacted by a failed auction in the securities market.**

We hold investments in highly liquid auction rate securities ("ARS") in order to generate higher returns than typical money market investments. ARS typically are high credit quality, generally achieved with municipal bond insurance. Credit risks are eased by the historical track record of bond insurers, which back a majority of this market. Although rare, sell orders for any security traded through a Dutch auction process could exceed bids. Such instances are usually the result of a drastic deterioration of issuer credit quality. Should there be a failed auction, we may be unable to liquidate our position in the securities in the near term.

## Table of Contents

### Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

We have no unresolved comments from the SEC.

### Item 2. Properties.

The following map shows the locations of our North American mines:



We directly or indirectly own and operate interests in the following six North American iron ore mines:

Location and Name	Ownership Interest as of December 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
Michigan (Marquette Range)			
Empire Iron Mining Partnership	<b>79.0%</b>	79.0%	79.0%
Tilden Mining Company L.C. (“Tilden”)	<b>85.0</b>	85.0	85.0
Minnesota (Mesabi Range)			
Hibbing Taconite Company — Joint Venture	<b>23.0</b>	23.0	23.0
Northshore Mining Company	<b>100.0</b>	100.0	100.0
United Taconite	<b>70.0</b>	70.0	70.0
Canada (Newfoundland and Quebec)			
Wabush Mines — Joint Venture	<b>26.8</b>	26.8	26.8

We increased our ownership in these mines (other than Northshore and United Taconite) in 2002 through assumption of the liabilities associated with the mine interests from their steel company owners.

*Empire Mine.* The Empire mine is located on the Marquette Iron Range in Michigan’s Upper Peninsula approximately 15 miles west-southwest of Marquette, Michigan and is accessed via a paved road off State Highway 35. The mine has been in operation since 1963. We entered into an agreement with Ispat Inland Inc. (“Ispat”) effective December 31, 2002 that restructured the ownership of the Empire mine. Under the agreement, we acquired the 25 percent interest rejected by LTV Corporation in its chapter 11 bankruptcy proceedings and a 19 percent interest from Ispat. Currently, we manage the mine and have a 79 percent interest; Mittal Steel USA has a 21 percent interest in the mine and has the right to require us to purchase all of its interest under certain circumstances after 2007. We and Mittal Steel USA take our respective share of production pro rata; however, provisions in the partnership agreement allow additional or reduced production to be delivered under certain circumstances. We own directly approximately one-half of the remaining ore reserves at the Empire mine and lease them to Empire. The Empire mine leases the balance of its reserves from the other owners of such reserves. Over the past five years, the Empire mine has produced between 3.6 million and 5.7 million tons of iron ore pellets annually.

## Table of Contents

*Tilden Mine.* The Tilden mine is located on the Marquette Iron Range in Michigan's Upper Peninsula approximately five miles south of Ishpeming, Michigan. The main entrance to the Tilden mine is accessed by means of a paved road off of County Road 476. The Tilden mine has been in operation since 1974. On January 31, 2002, we increased our ownership of the Tilden mine to 85 percent by acquiring Algoma Steel Inc.'s ("Algoma") 45 percent interest in the mine and executing a term supply agreement under which we are Algoma's sole supplier of iron ore pellets for 15 years. Currently, we manage the mine and have an 85 percent interest, and Stelco has a 15 percent interest in the mine. See "Operations and Customers" in Item 7 for further information regarding Algoma and Stelco. Each partner takes its share of production pro rata; however, provisions in the partnership agreement allow additional or reduced production to be delivered under certain circumstances. We own all of the ore reserves at the Tilden mine and lease them to Tilden. Over the past five years, the Tilden mine has produced between 6.4 million and 7.9 million tons of iron ore pellets annually.

The Empire and Tilden mines are located adjacent to each other. Our increase in ownership of our Michigan mines facilitated consolidation of operations and management, which offer operational and cost benefits that were not achievable under the previous ownership structure. These benefits include a consolidated transportation system, more efficient employee and equipment operating schedules, reduction in redundant facilities and workforce and best practices sharing.

*Hibbing Mine.* The Hibbing mine is located in the center of Minnesota's Mesabi Iron Range and is approximately ten miles north of Hibbing, Minnesota and five miles west of Chisholm, Minnesota. The main entrance to the Hibbing mine is accessed by means of a paved road and is located off County Road 5. The Hibbing mine has been in operation since 1976. In 2002, we acquired from Bethlehem Steel Corporation an eight percent interest in the Hibbing mine, which increased our ownership to 23 percent. Currently, we manage the mine and have a 23 percent interest. Mittal Steel USA has a 62.3 percent interest and Stelco has a 14.7 percent interest in the mine. Each partner takes its share of production pro rata; however, provisions in the joint venture agreement allow additional or reduced production to be delivered under certain circumstances. Over the past five years, the Hibbing mine has produced between 6.1 million and 8.5 million tons of iron ore pellets annually.

*Northshore Mine.* The Northshore mine is located in northeastern Minnesota, approximately two miles south of Babbitt, Minnesota on the northeastern end of the Mesabi iron formation. Northshore's processing facilities are located in Silver Bay, Minnesota, near Lake Superior, on U.S. Highway 61. The main entrance to the Northshore mine is accessed by means of a gravel road and is located off County Road 20. The Northshore mine has been in continuous operation since 1990. The Northshore mine began production under our management and ownership on October 1, 1994. Currently, we own 100 percent of the mine. Over the past five years, the Northshore mine has produced between 2.8 million and 5.0 million tons of iron ore pellets annually.

The Northshore mine has a long history. It was first discovered in 1871 and operated in the 1920's as the Mesabi Iron Company, one of the first commercial attempts at mining taconite. The property was operated for over 30 years by Reserve Mining Co. ("Reserve"), one of the two pioneering large scale pellet operations in Minnesota. Poor economic conditions in the steel industry forced the shutdown and bankruptcy of Reserve in 1986. The Reserve assets were purchased by Cyprus Minerals in 1989, and the property restarted operation in 1990. We purchased the property from Cyprus Minerals in 1994.

*United Taconite.* The United Taconite mine is located on Minnesota's Mesabi Iron Range in and around the city of Eveleth, Minnesota, west of U.S. Highway 53. The main entrance to the United Taconite mine is accessed by means of a paved road and is located off Route 37. The mine has been operating since 1965. On November 26, 2003, the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Minnesota approved the purchase of the assets of Eveleth Mines by United Taconite. Eveleth Mines ceased mining operations earlier in 2003 and was acquired by United Taconite effective as of December 1, 2003. Currently, we manage the mine and hold a 70 percent interest; Laiwu holds a 30 percent interest. Over the past five years, the United Taconite mine has produced between 1.6 million and 4.9 million tons of iron ore pellets annually.

## Table of Contents

*Wabush Mines.* The Wabush mine and concentrator is located in Wabush, Labrador, Canada, and the pellet plant is located in Pointe Noire, Quebec, Canada. The main entrance to the Wabush mine is accessed by means of a paved road and is located on Highway 530, about three miles west of the town of Wabush. The pellet plant is accessed by a paved road off Highway 138, about ten miles west of the town of Sept-Iles, Quebec. The Wabush mine has been in operation since 1965. In 1997, we acquired Ispat's interest in the Wabush mine. In August 2002, we acquired our proportionate share (approximately 4.05 percent) of the 15.09 percent interest rejected by Acme Metals Incorporated in its bankruptcy proceedings. As a result of these two events, we increased our ownership in the mine from 7.7 percent to 26.8 percent. We also manage the mine. Stelco has a 44.6 percent interest and Dofasco has a 28.6 percent interest in the mine. Over the past five years, Wabush has produced between 3.8 million and 5.2 million tons of iron ore pellets annually. Wabush currently has initiated actions to increase annual pellet production to a 5.7 million ton rate by the end of 2006. Production for 2006 is estimated at approximately 5.3 million tons.

The following map shows the locations of our Australian mines:



*Koolyanobbing.* The Koolyanobbing operations are located 425 kilometers east of Perth and approximately 50 kilometers northeast of the town of Southern Cross. Koolyanobbing produces lump and fine iron ore with a current capacity of approximately six million tonnes annually. The capacity of the Koolyanobbing operations is in the process of being expanded to eight million tonnes per year. This expansion is primarily driven by the development of iron ore resources at Mt. Jackson and Windarling, approximately 100 kilometers north of the existing Koolyanobbing operations. The upgrade in capacity is expected to be completed by the end of the first quarter of 2006.

*Cockatoo Island.* The Cockatoo Island operation is located six kilometers to the west of Yampi Peninsula, in the Buccaneer Archipelago, and 140 kilometers north of Derby in the West Kimberley region of Western Australia. The island has been mined for iron ore since 1951, with a break in operations between 1985 and 1993.

Portman commenced a beneficiation project in 1993 that was completed in mid-2000. Portman and HWE Cockatoo Pty Ltd then formed a 50:50 joint venture to mine remnant iron ore deposits on mining tenements held by BHP and mined by BHP from 1951 to 1985. Mining from this phase of the operation commenced in late 2000 and is expected to continue, based on current reserves, until the first quarter of 2007. The current phase of this operation involved construction of a seawall and mine pit dewatering to enable access to ore located below sea level. Ore is hauled by haul truck to the stockpiles, crushed and screened and then transferred by conveyor to the shiploader. Annual production since 2000 has ranged from .3 million tonnes to 1.1 million tonnes at the 100 percent ownership level.

## Table of Contents

### Transportation

Two railroads, one of which is wholly owned by us, link the Empire and Tilden mines with Lake Michigan at the loading port of Escanaba, Michigan and with the Lake Superior loading port of Marquette, Michigan. From the Mesabi Range, Hibbing pellets are transported by rail to a shiploading port at Superior, Wisconsin. United Taconite pellets are shipped by railroad to the port of Duluth, Minnesota. At Northshore, crude ore is shipped by a wholly owned railroad from the mine to processing facilities at Silver Bay, Minnesota. In Canada, there is an open-pit mine and concentrator at Wabush, Labrador, Newfoundland and a pellet plant and dock facility at Pointe Noire, Quebec. At the Wabush mine, concentrates are shipped by rail from the Scully mine at Wabush to Pointe Noire where they are pelletized for shipment via vessel to Canada, the United States and other international destinations or shipped as concentrates for sinter feed.

All of the ore mined at the Koolyanobbing operations is transported by rail to the Port of Esperance, 578 kilometers to the south for shipment to Asian customers. Direct ship premium fines mined at Cockatoo Island are loaded at a local dock.

### Internal Auditing

We have a corporate policy relating to internal control and procedures with respect to auditing and estimating ore reserves. The procedures include the calculation of ore reserves at each mine by mining engineers and geologists under the direction of our Chief Mining Engineer. Our General Manager-Technical Services compiles, reviews, and submits the calculations to Corporate Accounting, who prepares the disclosures for our annual and quarterly reports based on those calculations and submits the draft disclosures to our General Manager-Technical Services of Mine Technology for further review and approval. The draft disclosures are then reviewed and approved by our Chief Financial Officer and Chief Executive Officer before inclusion in our annual and quarterly reports. Additionally, the long-range mine planning and ore reserve estimates are reviewed annually by our Audit Committee. Furthermore, all changes to ore reserve estimates, other than those due to production, are documented by our General Manager-Technical Services and are submitted to our President and Chief Operating Officer for review and approval. Finally, we perform periodic reviews of long-range mine plans and ore reserve estimates at mine staff meetings and senior management meetings.

## Operations

During 2005, 2004 and 2003, we produced 22.1 million tons, 21.7 million tons and 18.1 million tons of pellets, respectively, for our account and 13.8 million tons, 12.7 million tons and 12.2 million tons, respectively, on behalf of the steel company owners of the mines. The 4.0 million ton increase in our share of tons produced in 2005 compared to 2003 principally reflected the acquisition in December 2003 and full-year production in 2005 of United Taconite and increased customer demand. The following is a summary of total North American production and our share of that production:

Location and Name	Total Production Tons in Millions(1)		
	2005	2004	2003
Michigan (Marquette Range)			
Empire	4.8	5.4	5.2
Tilden	7.9	7.8	7.0
Minnesota (Mesabi Range)			
Hibbing	8.5	8.3	8.0
Northshore	4.9	5.0	4.8
United Taconite(2)	4.9	4.1	1.6
Canada (Newfoundland and Quebec)			
Wabush	4.9	3.8	5.2
Total(3)	<u>35.9</u>	<u>34.4</u>	<u>30.3</u>

## Table of Contents

- (1) Tons are long tons of pellets of 2,240 pounds.
- (2) Total production at United Taconite in 2003 includes production of Eveleth before it was acquired by United Taconite in the fourth quarter of 2003.
- (3) Excludes 1.5 million tons in 2003 produced by Eveleth prior to its acquisition by United Taconite in the fourth quarter of 2003.

Location and Name	Our Share of Total Production Tons in Millions(1)		
	2005	2004	2003
Michigan (Marquette Range)			
Empire	3.8	4.2	4.0
Tilden	6.7	6.7	6.0
Minnesota (Mesabi Range)			
Hibbing	2.0	1.9	1.8
Northshore	4.9	5.0	4.8
United Taconite	3.4	2.9	0.1
Canada (Newfoundland and Quebec)			
Wabush	1.3	1.0	1.4
Total	<u>22.1</u>	<u>21.7</u>	<u>18.1</u>

- (1) Tons are long tons of pellets of 2,240 pounds.

At Portman, we produced 5.2 million tonnes since the March 31, 2005 acquisition. Following is a summary of total Australian production:

Location and Name	Total Production Tonnes in Millions(1)
	2005
Koolyanobbing	4.7
Cockatoo Island(2)	.5
Total	<u>5.2</u>

- (1) Tonnes are metric tons of 2,205 pounds.
- (2) Production represents Portman's 50 percent share.

Our business is subject to a number of operational factors that can affect our future profitability. Significant mining challenges include the following:

- a) Uncertainties regarding mine life and estimates of ore reserves;
- b) Uncertainties relating to iron ore pricing and fluctuations in currency exchange rates;
- c) Unanticipated geological conditions, natural disasters, interruptions in electrical or other power sources, equipment failures, unanticipated capital requirements and maintenance costs, or other unexpected events that could cause shutdowns or production curtailments for us or for our steel industry customers;
- d) Uncertainties relating to production costs, including increases in our costs of electrical power, fuel or other energy sources;

## Table of Contents

- e) Uncertainties relating to governmental regulation of our mines and processing facilities, including under environmental laws; and  
 f) Uncertainties relating to labor relations.

A more detailed description of these risks is contained in Item 1A — Risk Factors.

*Mine Capacity and Iron Ore Reserves.* The following tables reflect expected current annual capacity and economic ore reserves for our North American and Australian iron ore mines as of December 31, 2005. The estimated ore reserves and full production rates could be affected by, among other things, future industry conditions, geological conditions, and ongoing mine planning. Maintenance of effective production capacity of the ore reserves could require increases in capital and development expenditures. Alternatively, changes in economic conditions or in the expected quality of ore reserves could decrease capacity or mineral reserves. Technological progress could alleviate such factors or increase capacity or ore reserves. Our 2006 ore reserve estimates for our iron ore mines as of December 31, 2005 were estimated from fully-designed pits developed using three-dimensional modeling techniques. These fully designed pits incorporate design slopes, practical mining shapes and access ramps to assure the accuracy of our reserve estimates.

Mine	Iron Ore Mineralization	Tons in Millions(1)					Method of Reserve Estimation	Operating Since	Infrastructure
		Current Annual Capacity	Mineral Reserves(2)(3)		Mineral Rights				
			Current Year	Previous Year	Owned	Leased			
Empire	Negaunee Iron Formation (Magnetite)	5.5	17	23	57%	43%	Geologic — Block Model	1963	Mine, Concentrator, Pelletizer
Tilden	Negaunee Iron Formation (Hematite/Magnetite)	8.0	266	273	100%	0%	Geologic — Block Model	1974	Mine, Concentrator, Pelletizer, Railroad
Hibbing Taconite	Biwabik Iron Formation (Magnetite)	8.0	161	166	3%	97%	Geologic — Block Model	1976	Mine, Concentrator, Pelletizer
Northshore	Biwabik Iron Formation (Magnetite)	4.8	310	315	0%	100%	Geologic — Block Model	1989	Mine, Concentrator, Pelletizer, Railroad
United Taconite	Biwabik Iron Formation (Magnetite)	5.2	123	130	0%	100%	Geologic — Block Model	1965	Mine, Concentrator, Pelletizer
Wabush	Wabush Iron Formation (Hematite)	6.0	51	57	0%	100%	Geologic — Block Model	1965	Mine, Concentrator, Pelletizer, Railroad
	Total	<u>37.5</u>	<u>928</u>	<u>964</u>					

(1) Tons are long tons of pellets of 2,240 pounds.

(2) Estimated standard equivalent pellets, including both proven and probable reserves.

(3) We regularly evaluate our ore reserve estimates and update them as required in accordance with the SEC Industry Guide 7.

## Table of Contents

<u>Mine Project</u>	<u>Iron Ore Mineralization</u>	<u>Tonnes in Millions(1)</u>		<u>Mineral Rights</u>		<u>Method of Reserve Estimation</u>	<u>Operating Since</u>	<u>Infrastructure</u>
		<u>Current Annual Capacity</u>	<u>Mineral Reserves(2)(3) Current Year</u>	<u>Owned</u>	<u>Leased</u>			
Koolyanobbing(4)	Banded Iron Formations Southern Cross Terrane Yilgarn Mineral Field (Hematite, Goethite)	6.0	87.5	0%	100%	Geologic — Block Model	1994	Mine, Train Haulage Road, Crushing-Screening Plant
Cockatoo Island JV(5)	Sandstone Yampi Formation Kimberley Mineral Field (Hematite)	1.2	1.7	0%	100%	Geologic — Block Model	1994	Mine Crushing-Screening Plant, Shiploader
	Total	<u>7.2</u>	<u>89.2</u>					

- (1) Tonnes are metric tons of 2,205 pounds.
- (2) Reported ore reserves restricted to proven and probable tonnages based on life of mine operating schedules. Koolyanobbing reserves are sourced from 14 separate deposits in the project area. 7.0 million tonnes of the Koolyanobbing reserves are sourced from current long-term stockpiles.
- (3) Portman's ore reserve estimates are regularly updated in accordance with SEC Industry Guide 7 and the 2004 Edition of the JORC code.
- (4) An expansion project has been initiated that is expected to increase annual production capacity to eight million tonnes.
- (5) Portman has a 50 percent interest in the Cockatoo Island joint venture. Capacity and reserve totals represent 100 percent.

### General Information about the Mines

*Leases.* Mining is conducted on multiple mineral leases having varying expiration dates. Mining leases are routinely renegotiated and renewed as they approach their respective expiration dates.

*Exploration and Development.* All mining operations are open-pit mines that are well past the exploration stage and are in production. Additional pit development is underway at each mine as required by long-range mine plans. Drilling programs are conducted periodically for the purpose of refining guidance related to ongoing operations. An exploration program targeting extensions to Portman's known iron ore resources as well as regional exploration targets in the Yilgarn Mineral Field was active in 2005 and will continue in 2006.

The Biwabik, Negaunee, and Wabush Iron Formations are classified as Lake Superior type iron-formations that formed under similar sedimentary conditions in shallow marine basins approximately two billion years ago. Magnetite and/or hematite are the predominant iron oxide ore minerals present, with lesser amounts of goethite and limonite. Chert is the predominant waste mineral present, with lesser amounts of silicate and carbonate minerals. The ore minerals readily liberate from the waste minerals upon fine grinding.

The mineralization at the Koolyanobbing operations is predominantly hematite and goethite replacements in greenstone-hosted banded iron-formations. Individual deposits tend to be small with complex ore-waste contact relationships. The Koolyanobbing operations reserves are derived from 14 separate mineral deposits distributed over a 100-kilometer operating radius. The mineralization at Cockatoo Island is predominantly friable, hematite-rich sandstone that produces premium high grade, low impurity direct shipping fines.

Geologic models are developed for all mines to define the major ore and waste rock types. Computerized block models are then constructed that include all relevant geologic and metallurgical data. These are used to generate grade and tonnage estimates, followed by detailed mine design and life of mine operating schedules.

*Mine Facilities and Equipment.* Each of the North American mines has crushing, concentrating, and pelletizing facilities. There are crushing and screening facilities at Koolyanobbing and Cockatoo Island. The

## Table of Contents

facilities at each site are in satisfactory condition, although they require routine capital and maintenance expenditures on an ongoing basis. Certain mine equipment generally is powered by electricity, diesel fuel or gasoline. The total cost of the property, plant and equipment, net of applicable accumulated amortization and depreciation as of December 31, 2005, for each of the North American mines is set forth in the chart below.

Location and Name	<b>Total Historical Cost of Mine Plant and Equipment (Excluding Real Estate and Construction in Progress), Net of Applicable Accumulated Amortization and Depreciation</b>
	(In millions)
Michigan (Marquette Range)	
Empire	\$ 113.5(1)
Tilden	211.9(2)
Minnesota (Mesabi Range)	
Hibbing	451.3(3)
Northshore	78.6(4)
United Taconite	33.6(5)
Canada (Newfoundland and Quebec)	
Wabush	359.6(3)
Portman	102.9(6)
Total	\$ 1,351.4

- (1) Includes capitalized financing costs of \$11.5 million, net of accumulated amortization.
- (2) Includes capitalized financing costs of \$22.2 million, net of accumulated amortization.
- (3) Does not reflect depreciation, which is recorded by the individual venturers.
- (4) As noted above, the assets of the Northshore mine were purchased from Cyprus Minerals in 1994.
- (5) As noted above, the assets of the Eveleth Taconite mine were purchased out of bankruptcy by United Taconite in 2003.
- (6) Represents appraised value of plant and equipment at Koolyanobbing. Plant and equipment at Cockatoo Island is minimal.

We directly own approximately one-half of the remaining ore reserves at the Empire mine and approximately three percent of the reserves at the Hibbing mine, and lease or sublease the balance of the reserves from their owners. We own all of the ore reserves at the Tilden mine. The ore reserves at Northshore, United Taconite and Wabush Mines are owned by others and leased or subleased directly to those mines. The Koolyanobbing operations and Cockatoo Island ore reserves are derived from Crown lands owned and managed by the Western Australia state government.

In 2005, there were modest reductions to the estimated ore reserve at Empire, United and Wabush and an increase in the ore reserves at Hibbing Taconite. The ore reserves at Empire were reduced by 2 million tons to eliminate difficult processing ore having a high stripping ratio in the CD-II deposit. At United Taconite, the ore reserves decreased by 2 million tons reflecting minor adjustments and corrections to the previous estimate completed in 2004. The ore reserves at Wabush were reduced by less than 1 million tons due to higher than anticipated operating costs. At Hibbing Taconite, a completely revised and updated ore reserve estimate increased the reserve by 4 million tons.

The reduction in our ore reserve estimates for the Empire mine is due to the inability to develop effective mine plans that produce cost-justified combinations of production volume, ore quality and stripping requirements with our 2003 reserve base. A more detailed description of the reduction in ore reserve estimates for the Empire mine is contained in Item 1A — Risk Factors. The reduction in our ore reserve estimates for Wabush is largely a reflection of increased operating costs, the impact of currency exchange rates and a

reduction in maximum mining depth due to dewatering capabilities based on a hydroanalysis evaluation. Partially offsetting these impacts was the impact of higher Eastern Canadian pellet pricing. A more detailed description of the reduction in ore reserve estimates for Wabush is contained in Item 1A — Risk Factors.

### Competition

We compete with several iron ore producers in North America, including Iron Ore Company of Canada, Quebec Cartier Mining Company and U.S. Steel, as well as other steel companies that own interests in iron ore mines may have excess iron ore inventories. In addition, significant amounts of iron ore have, since the early 1980s, been shipped to the United States from Brazil and Venezuela in competition with iron ore produced by us.

As the North American steel industry continues to consolidate, a major focus of the consolidation is on the continued life of the integrated steel industry's raw steelmaking operations, *i.e.*, blast furnaces and basic oxygen furnaces that produce raw steel. Some steelmakers are importing semi-finished steel slabs as an alternative to using blast furnaces and basic oxygen furnaces to produce steel because of the costs associated with relining blast furnaces and maintaining coke ovens. These imported steel slabs can be converted and finished in the steelmaker's downstream finishing facilities. If the trend continues, and more slabs are imported, the demand for pellets that are used primarily in blast furnaces would diminish. In addition, other competitive forces have become a large factor in the iron ore business. Electric furnaces built by "mini-mills," which are steel recyclers, generally produce steel by using scrap steel, not iron ore pellets, in their electric furnaces.

Competition among the sellers of iron ore pellets is predicated upon the usual competitive factors of price, availability of supply, product performance, service and transportation cost to the consumer.

Portman is the third largest iron ore mining company in Australia and exports iron ore products to China and Japan, in the world seaborne trade. Portman competes with major iron ore exporters from Australia, Brazil and India.

### Environment

#### *North America*

In the construction of our facilities and in their operation, substantial costs have been incurred and will continue to be incurred to avoid undue effect on the environment. Our North American capital expenditures relating to environmental matters were \$8.3 million in 2005 and \$7.3 million in 2004. It is estimated that approximately \$17.3 million will be spent in 2006 for capital environmental control facilities.

Various legislative bodies and federal and state agencies are continually promulgating new laws and regulations affecting us, our customers, and our suppliers in many areas, including waste discharge and disposal, hazardous classification of materials and products, air and water discharges, and many other environmental, health and safety matters. Although we believe that our environmental policies and practices are sound and do not expect that the application of any current laws or regulations would be reasonably expected to result in a material adverse effect on our business or financial condition, we cannot predict the collective adverse impact of the expanding body of laws and regulations.

The iron ore industry has been identified by the EPA as an industrial category that emits pollutants established by the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments. These pollutants included over 200 substances that are now classified as hazardous air pollutants ("HAP"). The EPA is required to develop rules that would require major sources of HAP to utilize MACT standards for their emissions. Pursuant to this statutory requirement, the EPA published a final rule on October 30, 2003 imposing emission limitations and other requirements on taconite iron ore processing operations. We must comply with the new requirements no later than October 30, 2006. Our projected capital expenditures in 2006 to meet the MACT standards are approximately \$4.4 million. In December 2003, we filed a Petition to Delist Taconite Iron Ore Processing from MACT under Section 112 of the Clean Air Act based upon extensive data analyses, human health and ecological risk assessments that are believed to demonstrate that a MACT regulation for taconite operations is not warranted.

## Table of Contents

Typically, the EPA's consideration of a petition is an iterative process extending over several months, with a longer period for controversial subjects. On January 23, 2004, the National Wildlife Federation, Minnesota Conservation Federation, Lake Superior Alliance and Save Lake Superior Association filed a petition for review of the EPA's final MACT rule in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia. This petition challenges the EPA's decision not to impose standards for mercury and asbestos and monitoring of formaldehyde from taconite indurating furnaces. We filed a petition to intervene in this case. Subsequently, the Court remanded to EPA the asbestos and mercury rules. The National Wildlife Federation also voluntarily dismissed the petition with respect to the formaldehyde rules.

Our environmental liability includes our obligations related to five North American sites which are independent of our iron mining operations, three former iron ore-related sites, two leased land sites where we are lessor, and miscellaneous remediation obligations at our operating units. Included in our December 31, 2005 obligation is \$5.2 million for the estimated remaining clean-up costs related to a PCB spill at the Tilden Mine in the fourth quarter of 2005. The obligation also includes federal and state sites where we are named as a potentially responsible party ("PRP"), such as the Milwaukee Solvay site and the Rio Tinto mine site in Nevada, described in "Item 3. Legal Proceedings," and where significant site cleanup activities have taken place, and the Kipling and Deer Lake sites in Michigan.

On February 10, 2006, our Northshore mine received a Notice of Violation ("Notice") from the EPA. The Notice cites four alleged violations: (1) that Northshore violated the Prevention of Significant Deterioration ("PSD") requirements of the Clean Air Act in the 1990 restart of Furnaces 11 and 12; (2) that Northshore mine violated the PSD Regulations in the 1995 restart of Furnace 6; (3) Title V operating permit violations for not including in the Title V permit all applicable requirements (including a compliance schedule for PSD and Best Available Control Technology ("BACT") requirements associated with the furnace restarts); and (4) failure to comply with calibration of monitoring equipment as required under Northshore's Title V permit. The alleged violations relating to the restart of Furnaces 11 and 12 occurred prior to our acquisition of Northshore (formerly Cyprus Northshore Mining Company) in a share purchase in 1994. We are currently investigating the allegations contained in the Notice.

### Australia

Portman achieved significant progress in environmental management during 2005. As production activity from the new operations at Mt. Jackson and Windarling consolidated, the emphasis in environmental management shifted from control of mine establishment and construction activities to implementation and ongoing development of the Koolyanobbing Project Environmental Management System and conservation initiatives.

The key elements of a number of environmental management plans that were required under governmental approvals were consolidated into one system manual in 2005. The environmental management system was reviewed in October 2005 and determined to be on schedule to achieve certification to the ISO14001 Standard within the following 18 months.

For additional information on our environmental matters, see "Item 3. Legal Proceedings" and Note 6 in the Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2005.

### Energy

*Electricity.* The Empire and Tilden mines each have electric power supply contracts with Wisconsin Electric Power Company ("WEPCO") that are effective through 2007 and include an energy price cap and certain power curtailment features. We are currently in dispute with WEPCO regarding certain pricing provisions of our contract. See "Item 3. Legal Proceedings."

Electric power for the Hibbing mine and the United Taconite mine is supplied by Minnesota Power, Inc., under agreements that continue to December 2008 and October 2008, respectively.

Silver Bay Power Company, an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of ours, with a 115 megawatt power plant, provides the majority of Northshore's energy requirements, has an interconnection agreement with

## Table of Contents

Minnesota Power, Inc. for backup power, and sells 40 megawatts of excess power capacity to Northern States Power Company under a contract that extends to 2011.

Wabush owns a portion of the Twin Falls Hydro Generation facility that provides power for Wabush's mining operations in Newfoundland. We have a 20-year agreement with Newfoundland Power, which continues until December 31, 2014. This agreement allows an interchange of water rights in return for the power needs for Wabush's mining operations. The Wabush pelletizing operations in Quebec are served by Quebec Hydro on an annual contract.

Koolyanobbing and its associated satellite mines draw power from independent diesel fuelled power stations and generators. The primary Koolyanobbing power supply contract has been extended beyond its original term, with temporary additional power being installed to assist with immediate expansion requirements. Portman's longer term power supply options are currently under review.

Electrical supply on Cockatoo Island is diesel generated. The powerhouse adjacent to the processing plant powers the shiploader, fuel farm and the processing plant. The workshop and administration office is powered by a separate generator.

*Process Fuel.* We have contracts providing for the transport of natural gas for our United States iron ore operations. The Empire and Tilden mines have the capability of burning natural gas, coal, or, to a lesser extent, oil. The Hibbing and Northshore mines have the capability to burn natural gas and oil. The United Taconite mine has the ability to burn coal, natural gas and coke breeze. Although all of the U.S. mines have the capability of burning natural gas, with higher recent natural gas prices, the pelletizing operations for the U.S. mines utilize alternate fuels when practicable. Wabush Mines has the capability to burn oil and coke breeze.

## Research and Development

We have been a leader in iron ore mining technology for more than 150 years. We operated some of the first mines on Michigan's Marquette Iron Range and pioneered early open-pit and underground mining methods. From the first application of electrical power in Michigan's underground mines to the use today of sophisticated computers and global positioning satellite systems, we and our managed mines have been leaders in the application of new technology to the centuries-old business of mineral extraction.

We maintain research facilities in Ishpeming, Michigan at our Cliffs Technology Center. It was at these facilities that the current concentrating and pelletizing process was developed in the 1950s. This successful development allowed for what was once considered millions of tons of useless rock to be turned into an iron ore reserve that provides the basis for our operations today. Today our engineering and technical staffs are engaged in full-time technical support of our operations and improvement of existing products.

As part of our efforts to develop alternative metallic products, we participated in Phase II of the Mesabi Nugget Project to test and develop technology for converting iron ore into nearly pure iron in nugget form. See "Other Related Items — Mesabi Nugget Project" in Item 7 for a further discussion of the Project.

Portman does not have any material research and development projects.

## Table of Contents

### Employees

As of December 31, 2005, there were a total of 4,085 employees:

Mining Operations	Salaried	Hourly	Total
Empire	108	520	628
Tilden	112	566	678
LS&I Railroad	12	120	132
Subtotal(1)	232	1,206	1,438
Hibbing	122	566	688
Northshore	138	361	499
Wabush	171	627	798
United Taconite	84	382	466
Portman	62	12	74
Corporate/ Support Services	122		122
Total(2)	931	3,154	4,085

(1) We combined the workforces of the Empire and Tilden mines and LS & I Railroad for administrative purposes in 2003.

(2) Includes our employees and the employees of the North American joint ventures.

Hourly employees at our North American mining operations (other than Northshore) are represented by the USWA under collective bargaining agreements. In August 2004, four-year labor agreements were ratified between each of the Hibbing, Tilden, United Taconite and Empire mines and the USWA covering the period to August 1, 2008. Also, in October 2004, we entered into a five-year agreement with the USWA covering the employees of the Wabush mine, which expires on March 1, 2009. Hourly employees of one of our wholly owned railroads are represented by six unions with labor agreements expiring at various dates.

As part of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc Core Values, the Company continues to pursue safety through the enterprise-wide safety initiatives. A reportable incident rate of 2.0 was established as our North American safe production goal for 2005. Although the target was not achieved at all of our mines, the overall incident rate of 2.56 was the second best safety performance in the Company's history as defined by the MSHA for total reportable incidents. According to MSHA, the industry frequency rate for total reportable incidents for U.S. mines, mills and shops (excluding coal) was 3.96 per 200,000 employee hours worked in 2005. Our frequency rate for lost-time incidents in 2005 was the best in company history at 1.3 per 200,000 employee hours worked. Unfortunately, during the year a tragic accident occurred at one of the Michigan mining operations when an employee was fatally injured while working at the production plant.

At the Koolyanobbing operation, the Lost Time Injury Frequency Rate ("LTIFR") for the year was 4.0, which is slightly below the Australian metalliferous open pit mining average of 4.1. During 2005, four Lost Time Injuries ("LTI's") were recorded, regrettably including one fatality. At Cockatoo Island, two LTI's were incurred, resulting in a LTIFR of 7.29 for the year.

### Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

*Wisconsin Electric Power Company.* Two of the Company's mines, Tilden and Empire ("the Mines"), currently purchase their electric power from WEPCO pursuant to the terms of special contracts specifying prices based on WEPCO's "actual costs". Effective April 1, 2005, WEPCO unilaterally changed its method of calculating the energy charges to the Mines. It is the Mines' contention that WEPCO's new billing methodology is inconsistent with the terms of the parties' contracts and a dispute has arisen between WEPCO and the Mines over the pricing issue. On September 20, 2005, the Mines filed a Demand for Arbitration with the American Arbitration Association with respect to the dispute as provided for in their contracts with WEPCO. WEPCO filed its reply on October 8, 2005, which included a counterclaim for damages in an

## Table of Contents

amount of in excess of \$4.1 million resulting from an alleged failure of Tilden to notify WEPCO of planned production in excess of seven million tons per year. We consider WEPCO's counterclaim to be without merit and intend to defend the counterclaim vigorously. Pursuant to the terms of the relevant contracts, the undisputed amounts are being paid to WEPCO, while the disputed amounts are being deposited into an interest-bearing escrow account maintained by a bank. For the period ending December 31, 2005, the Mines have deposited \$75.8 million into the escrow account, of which \$5.3 million was deposited in January 2006. An amount of \$73.0 million, of which \$61.3 million is included in the escrow deposit and \$11.7 million has been paid directly to WEPCO, is expected to be recovered in early-2006; however, we have been advised by WEPCO that they will oppose any release of these recoverable amounts from the escrow until completion of the arbitration.

*Maritime Asbestos Litigation.* Two new maritime asbestos cases were brought against subsidiaries of the Company in the third quarter of 2005. As has been previously disclosed, The Cleveland-Cliffs Iron Company ("Iron") and/or The Cleveland-Cliffs Steamship Company have been named defendants in 483 actions brought from 1986 to date by former seamen (or their administrators) in which the plaintiffs claim damages under federal law for illnesses allegedly suffered as the result of exposure to airborne asbestos fibers while serving as crew members aboard the vessels previously owned or managed by our entities until the mid-1980s. All of these actions have been consolidated into multidistrict proceedings in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, whose docket now includes a total of over 30,000 maritime cases filed by seamen against ship-owners and other defendants. All of these cases have been administratively dismissed without prejudice, but can be reinstated upon application by plaintiffs' counsel. The claims against our entities are insured, subject to self-insured retentions by the insured in amounts that vary by policy year; however, the manner in which these retentions will be applied remains uncertain. Our entities continue to vigorously contest these claims and have made no settlements on these claims.

*Milwaukee Solvay Coke.* In September 2002, we received a draft of a proposed Administrative Order by Consent from the EPA, for clean-up and reimbursement of costs associated with the Milwaukee Solvay coke plant site in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. The plant was operated by a predecessor of ours from 1973 to 1983, which predecessor we acquired in 1986. In January 2003, we completed the sale of the plant site and property to a third party. Following this sale, an Administrative Order by Consent ("Solvay Consent Order") was entered into with the EPA by us, the new owner and another third party who had operated on the site. In connection with the Solvay Consent Order, the new owner agreed to take responsibility for the removal action and agreed to indemnify us for all costs and expenses in connection with the removal action. In the third quarter of 2003, the new owner, after completing a portion of the removal, experienced financial difficulties. In an effort to continue progress on the removal action, we expended approximately \$.9 million in the second half of 2003 and \$2.1 million in 2004. In September 2005, we received a notice of completion from the EPA documenting that all work has been fully performed in accordance with the Consent Order.

On August 26, 2004, we received a Request for Information pursuant to Section 104(e) of CERCLA relative to the investigation of additional contamination below the ground surface at the Milwaukee Solvay site. The Request for Information was also sent to 13 other PRPs. On July 14, 2005, we received a General Notice Letter from the EPA notifying us that the EPA believes we may be liable under CERCLA and requesting that we, along with other PRPs, voluntarily perform clean-up activities at the site. We have responded to the General Notice Letter indicating that there had been no communications with other PRPs but also indicating our willingness to begin the process of negotiation with the EPA and other interested parties regarding a Consent Order. Subsequently, on July 26, 2005, we received correspondence from the EPA with a proposed Consent Order and informing us that three other PRPs had also expressed interest in negotiating with the EPA. At this time, the nature and extent of the contamination, the required remediation, the total cost of the clean-up and the cost sharing responsibilities of the PRPs cannot be determined, although the EPA has advised us that it has incurred \$.5 million in past response costs, which the EPA will seek to recover from us and the other PRPs. We increased our environmental reserve for Milwaukee Solvay by \$.5 million in 2005 for potential additional exposure.

On December 23, 2005, we entered into a letter of intent with Kinnickinnic Development Group LLC ("KK Group") pursuant to which the KK Group would acquire and redevelop the Milwaukee Solvay site.

## Table of Contents

Under the terms of the letter of intent, KK Group would acquire our mortgage on the site in consideration for the assumption of all our environmental obligations with respect to the site and a cash payment of \$2,250,000. In addition, KK Group would be required to deposit \$4 million into an escrow account to fund any remaining environmental clean-up activities on the site and to purchase insurance coverage with a \$5 million limit. We are currently drafting definitive agreements documenting this agreement. Closing of the transaction would occur within sixty-one days of signing definitive agreements.

### **Rio Tinto**

The Rio Tinto Mine Site is a historic underground copper mine located near Mountain City, NV, where tailings were placed in Mill Creek, a tributary to the Owyhee River. Remediation work is being conducted in accordance with a Consent Order between the Nevada Department of Environmental Protection (“NDEP”) and the Rio Tinto Working Group (“RTWG”) composed of the Company, Atlantic Richfield Company, Teck Cominco American Incorporated, and E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company. The Consent Order provides for technical review by the U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Indian Affairs, the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service, the NDEP and the Shoshone-Paiute Tribes of the Duck Valley Reservation (collectively, “Rio Tinto Trustees”) located downstream on the Owyhee River. The Consent Order is currently projected to continue through 2006 with the objective of supporting the selection of the final remedy for the Site. Costs are shared pursuant to the terms of a Participation Agreement between the parties of the RTWG, who have reserved the right to renegotiate any future participation or cost sharing following the completion of the Consent Order.

The Rio Tinto Trustees have made available for public comment their plans for the assessment of Natural Resource Damages (“NRD”). The RTWG commented on the plans and also are in discussions with the Rio Tinto Trustees informally about those plans. The notice of plan availability is a step in the damage assessment process. The studies presented in the plan may lead to a NRD claim under CERCLA. There is no monetized NRD claim at this time.

During 2005, the focus of the RTWG has been on development of alternatives for remediation of the mine site. A draft of an alternatives study has recently been reviewed with the Rio Tinto Trustees and the alternatives have essentially been reduced to three: (1) no action; (2) long-term water treatment, and (3) removal of the tailings. The estimated costs range from approximately \$1 million to \$27 million. In recognition of the potential for an NRD claim, the parties are exploring the possibility of a global settlement that would encompass both the site decision and the NRD issues and thereby avoid the lengthy litigation typically associated with NRD. The Company’s recorded reserve of approximately \$1.2 million reflects its estimated costs for completion of the existing Consent Order and the minimum “no action” alternative based on the current Participation Agreement.

### **Northshore Notice of Violation**

On February 10, 2006, our Northshore mine received a Notice from the EPA. The Notice cites four alleged violations: (1) that Northshore violated the PSD requirements of the Clean Air Act in the 1990 restart of Furnaces 11 and 12; (2) that Northshore mine violated the PSD Regulations in the 1995 restart of Furnace 6; (3) Title V operating permit violations for not including in the Title V permit all applicable requirements (including a compliance schedule for PSD and BACT requirements associated with the furnace 12 restarts); and (4) failure to comply with calibration of monitoring equipment as required under Northshore’s Title V permit. The alleged violations relating to the restart of Furnaces 11 and 12 occurred prior to our acquisition of Northshore (formerly Cyprus Northshore Mining Company) in a share purchase in 1994. We are currently investigating the allegations contained in the Notice.

**Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.**

None.

**EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT**

Name	Position with Cleveland-Cliffs Inc as of February 17, 2006	Age
J. S. Brinzo	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	64
D. H. Gunning	Vice Chairman	63
J. A. Carrabba	President and Chief Operating Officer	53
W. R. Calfee	Executive Vice President-Commercial	59
D. J. Gallagher	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	53
R. L. Kummer	Senior Vice President-Human Resources	49
J. A. Trethewey	Senior Vice President-Business Development	61

There is no family relationship between any of our executive officers, or between any of our executive officers and any of our Directors. Officers are elected to serve until successors have been elected. All of the above-named executive officers were elected effective on the dates listed below for each such officer.

The business experience of the persons named above for the last five years is as follows:

J.S. Brinzo	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Cleveland-Cliffs Inc, January 1, 2000 to June 30, 2003 Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, Cleveland-Cliffs Inc, July 1, 2003 to May 23, 2005. Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Cleveland-Cliffs Inc, May 23, 2005 to date.
D.H. Gunning	Consultant and Private Investor December 1997 to April 15, 2001. Vice Chairman, Cleveland-Cliffs Inc, April 16, 2001 to date.
J.A. Carrabba	General Manager, Weipa Bauxite Operation, Comalco Aluminum March 1, 2000 to April 20, 2003. President and Chief Operating Officer, Diavik Diamond Mines, April 21, 2003 to May 22, 2005. President and Chief Operating Officer, Cleveland-Cliffs Inc, May 23, 2005 to date.
W.R. Calfee	Executive Vice President — Commercial, Cleveland-Cliffs Inc, October 1, 1995 to date.
D.J. Gallagher	Vice President — Sales, Cleveland-Cliffs Inc, August 1, 1998 to July 28, 2003. Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, Cleveland-Cliffs Inc, July 29, 2003 to May 9, 2005. Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, Cleveland-Cliffs Inc, May 10, 2005 to date.

## Table of Contents

R.L. Kummer	Vice President, Human Resources, Government and Public Affairs, Kennecott Energy Company, June 1, 1999 to August 31, 2000. Vice President — Human Resources, Cleveland-Cliffs Inc, September 5, 2000 to December 31, 2002. Senior Vice President — Human Resources, Cleveland-Cliffs Inc, January 1, 2003 to date.
J.A. Trethewey	Senior Vice President — Operations Services, Cleveland-Cliffs Inc, June 1, 1999 to March 15, 2001. Senior Vice President — Business Development, Cleveland-Cliffs Inc, March 15, 2001 to April 23, 2003. Senior Vice President — Operations Improvement, Cleveland-Cliffs Inc, April 24, 2003 to May 31, 2004. Senior Vice President — Business Development, Cleveland-Cliffs Inc, June 1, 2004 to date.

## PART II

### Item 5. *Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.*

#### Stock Exchange Information

Our Common Shares (ticker symbol CLF) are listed on the New York Stock Exchange. The shares are also listed on the Chicago Stock Exchange.

#### Common Share Price Performance and Dividends

All per-share information has been adjusted retroactively to reflect the two-for-one stock split effective December 31, 2004.

	2005			2004		
	High	Low	Dividends	High	Low	Dividends
First Quarter	\$ 88.35	\$ 46.80	\$ .10	\$ 34.04	\$ 21.28	\$
Second Quarter	75.50	51.14	.10	33.84	19.71	
Third Quarter	88.67	56.85	.20	40.25	25.03	
Fourth Quarter	99.25	70.90	.20	53.56	33.35	.10
Year	99.25	46.80	\$ .60	53.56	19.71	\$ .10

At February 14, 2006, we had 1,631 shareholders of record.

#### Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

On September 30, 2005, October 14, 2005, and November 15, 2005, pursuant to the Cleveland-Cliffs Inc Voluntary Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan ("VNQDC Plan"), the Company sold a total of 25 shares of common stock, par value \$.50 per share, of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc ("Common Shares") for an aggregate consideration of \$2,195.50 to the Trustee of the Trust maintained under the VNQDC Plan. These sales were made in reliance on Rule 506 of Regulation D under the Securities Act of 1933 pursuant to an election made by one managerial employee under the VNQDC Plan.

## Table of Contents

### Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

<u>Period</u>	<u>(a) Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased (1)</u>	<u>(b) Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit) \$</u>	<u>(c) Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (2)</u>	<u>(d) Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares (or Units) that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs</u>
October 1-31, 2005				
November 1-30, 2005	15,614	95.07		
December 1-31, 2005				
<u>Total</u>	<u>15,614</u>	<u>95.07</u>		

- (1) Shares were acquired by the Company from certain employees in connection with the vesting of restricted stock. Whole shares were repurchased to satisfy the tax withholding obligations of the employees on November 30, 2005.
- (2) The Company did not repurchase any of its equity securities during the period covered by this report pursuant to any publicly announced plan or program.

## Table of Contents

### Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

#### Summary of Financial and Other Statistical Data Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries

	2005 (a)	2004	2003	2002	2001
<b>Financial Data (In Millions, Except Per Share Amounts and Employees)</b>					
Operating Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations (Pre-Tax)					
Revenue From Product Sales and Services	\$ 1,739.5	\$ 1,203.1	\$ 825.1	\$ 586.4	\$ 319.3
Cost of Goods Sold and Operating Expenses	(1,350.5)	(1,053.6)	(835.0)	(582.7)	(358.7)
Other Operating Income (Expense)	(32.5)	(31.9)	(38.4)	(65.4)	10.0
Operating Income (Loss)	356.5	117.6	(48.3)	(61.7)	(29.4)
Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations	273.2	320.2	(34.9)	(66.4)	(19.5)
Income (Loss) From Discontinued Operations	(.8)	3.4		(108.5)	(12.7)
Income (Loss) Before Extraordinary Gain and Cumulative Effect of Accounting Changes	272.4	323.6	(34.9)	(174.9)	(32.2)
Extraordinary Gain			2.2		
Cumulative Effect of Accounting Changes Income (Loss)(b)	5.2			(13.4)	9.3
Net Income (Loss)	277.6	323.6	(32.7)	(188.3)	(22.9)
Preferred Stock Dividends	(5.6)	(5.3)			
Income (Loss) Applicable to Common Shares	272.0	318.3	(32.7)	(188.3)	(22.9)
Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share — Basic(c) Continuing Operations	12.32	14.78	(1.70)	(3.29)	(.97)
Discontinued Operations	(.04)	.16		(5.36)	(.63)
Cumulative Effect of Accounting Changes and Extraordinary Gain	.24		.10	(.66)	.46
Earnings (Loss) Applicable to Common Shares	12.52	14.94	(1.60)	(9.31)	(1.14)
Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share — Diluted(c) Continuing Operations	9.81	11.68	(1.70)	(3.29)	(.97)
Discontinued Operations	(.03)	.12		(5.36)	(.63)
Cumulative Effect of Accounting Changes and Extraordinary Gain	.19		.10	(.66)	.46
Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share(c)(d)	9.97	11.80	(1.60)	(9.31)	(1.14)
Total Assets	1,746.7	1,232.3	881.6	718.1	818.5
Debt Obligations Effectively Serviced(e)	49.6	9.1	34.6	67.4	173.9
Net Cash From (Used By) Operating Activities	514.6	(141.4)	42.7	40.9	28.9
Redeemable Cumulative Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock	172.5	172.5			
Distributions to Preferred Shareholders Cash Dividends	5.6	5.3			
Distributions to Common Shareholders Cash Dividends					
— Per Share(c)	.60	.10			.20
— Total	13.1	2.2			4.1
Repurchases of Common Shares		6.5			
Pro Forma Results Assuming Accounting Changes Made Retroactively					
(f) Net Income (Loss)		322.4	(32.4)	(186.9)	(24.0)
Per Share(c)					
— Basic		14.88	(1.58)	(9.25)	(1.19)
— Diluted		11.76	(1.58)	(9.25)	(1.19)
<b>North American Iron Ore Production and Sales Statistics (Tons in Millions — North America; Tonnes in Millions — Australia )</b>					
Production Tons — North America	35.9	34.4	30.3	27.9	25.4

## Table of Contents

	<u>2005 (a)</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>
Tonnes — Australia	<b>5.2</b>				
Company's Share of Iron Ore Production — North America (tons)	<b>22.1</b>	21.7	18.1	14.7	7.8
— Australia (tonnes)	<b>5.2</b>				
Sales Tons — North America	<b>22.3</b>	22.6	19.2	14.7	8.4
Tonnes — Australia	<b>4.9</b>				
Common Shares Outstanding (Millions)(c)					
— Average for Year	<b>21.7</b>	21.3	20.5	20.2	20.2
— At Year-End	<b>21.9</b>	21.6	21.0	20.2	20.2
Employees at Year-End(g)	<b>4,085</b>	3,777	3,956	3,858	4,302

- (a) On April 19, 2005, we completed the acquisition of 80.4 percent of Portman, the third largest iron ore mining company in Australia. The acquisition was initiated on March 31, 2005 by the purchase of approximately 68.7 percent of Portman's outstanding shares. Results for 2005 include Portman's results since the acquisition.
- (b) Effective January 1, 2005, we adopted EITF 04-6, "Accounting for Stripping Costs Incurred during Production in the Mining Industry". Effective January 1, 2002 we adopted SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations" and effective January 1, 2001 we changed our method of accounting for investment gains and losses on pension assets for the recognition of pension expense.
- (c) On November 9, 2004 the Board of Directors of the Company approved a two-for-one stock split of its Common Shares. The record date for the stock split was December 15, 2004 with a distribution date of December 31, 2004. Accordingly, all Common Shares and per share amounts have been adjusted retroactively to reflect the stock split. Additionally, all diluted per share amounts reflect the "as-if-converted" effect of our convertible preferred stock as required by Emerging Issues Task Force Consensus 04-8.
- (d) In 2003 we recognized a \$2.2 million extraordinary gain in the acquisition of the assets of Eveleth Mines; \$3.3 million acquisition and startup costs for this same mine, renamed United Taconite and \$8.7 million of restructuring charges related to a salaried employee reduction program. Results for 2002 include a \$95.7 million and \$52.7 for impairment charges relating to discontinued operation and impairment of mining assets, respectively.
- (e) Includes our share of unconsolidated ventures and equipment acquired on capital leases; includes short-term portion.
- (f) The pro forma results include the effect on prior years for the retroactive impact of changes in accounting methods related to: (1) adoption in 2002 of the asset retirement obligation (expense of \$.8 million or \$.04 per share in 2001); and (2) adoption at January 1, 2005, of the accounting for stripping costs; Income (expense) of (\$1.2) million, \$.3 million, \$1.4 million and (\$.3) million for 2004, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively; and related diluted per share amounts (\$.04), \$.02, \$.06 and (\$.01).
- (g) Includes employees of managed mining ventures.

## Item 7. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*

### Overview

Cleveland-Cliffs Inc (the "Company," "we," "us," "our," and "Cliffs") is the largest producer of iron ore pellets in North America. We sell the majority of our pellets to integrated steel companies in the United States and Canada. We manage and operate six North American iron ore mines located in Michigan, Minnesota, and Eastern Canada that currently have a rated capacity of 37.5 million tons of iron ore pellet production annually, representing 45.9 percent of the current North American pellet production capacity. The other iron ore mines in the U.S. and Canada have an aggregate rated capacity of 22.9 million tons and 21.2 million tons, respectively. Based on our percentage ownership in the mines we operate, our share of the rated pellet production capacity is currently 23.0 million tons annually, representing approximately 28 percent of total North American annual pellet capacity.

## Table of Contents

On April 19, 2005, Cleveland-Cliffs Australia Pty Limited (“Cliffs Australia”), an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, completed the acquisition of 80.4 percent of Portman Limited (“Portman”), the third-largest iron ore mining company in Australia. The acquisition was initiated on March 31, 2005 by the purchase of approximately 68.7 percent of the outstanding shares of Portman. Portman serves the Asian iron ore markets with direct-shipping fines and lump ore from two iron ore projects, both located in Western Australia. Portman’s full-year 2005 production (excluding its .6 million metric ton (“tonne”) share of the 50 percent-owned Cockatoo Island joint venture) was approximately 6.0 million tonnes. Portman currently has a \$61 million project underway that is expected to increase its wholly owned production capacity to eight million tonnes per year by the end of the first quarter of 2006. The production is committed to steel companies in China and Japan for approximately four years.

The Portman acquisition represents another significant milestone in our long-term strategy to seek additional iron ore mine investment opportunities and to transition our Company from primarily a mine management company and mineral holder to an international merchant mining company.

The purchase price for the 80.4 percent interest in Portman was \$433.1 million, including \$12.4 million of acquisition costs. Additionally, we incurred \$9.8 million of foreign currency hedging costs related to the transaction, which were charged to operations in the first quarter of 2005.

The acquisition and related costs were financed with existing cash and marketable securities and \$175 million of interim borrowings under a new three-year \$350 million revolving credit facility. The outstanding balance was repaid in full on July 5, 2005.

Our statement of consolidated financial position as of December 31, 2005, reflects the acquisition of Portman, effective March 31, 2005, under the purchase method of accounting. Assets acquired and liabilities assumed have been recorded at estimated fair values as of the acquisition date as determined by our management based on the information currently available. An appraisal of assets and liabilities has not yet been finalized, and is expected to be complete by March 31, 2006. While we do not expect any material changes, the purchase price allocation remains subject to revision through the allocation period ending in the first quarter of 2006. A significant portion of the purchase price was allocated to iron ore reserves, which will be depleted on a unit-of-production basis over the productive life of the reserve.

As a result of the Portman acquisition, we now operate in two reportable segments: the North American segment and the Australian segment, also referred to as Portman.

Prior to 2002, we primarily held a minority interest in the mines we managed, with the majority interest in each mine held by various North American steel companies. Our earnings were principally comprised of royalties and management fees paid by the partnerships, along with sales of our equity share of the mine pellet production. Faced with marked deterioration in the financial condition of many of our partners and customers, we embarked on a strategy to reposition ourselves from a manager of iron ore mines on behalf of steel company partners to primarily a merchant of iron ore through increasing our ownership interests in our managed mines.

Our successful navigation of numerous customer and partner bankruptcies and the corresponding consolidation of the industry in recent years have resulted in our emerging with new long-term supply agreements, at more favorable pricing, with steel company partners and customers that are financially stronger than their predecessors. One premier example is the former International Steel Group, Inc. (“ISG”), which consolidated several bankrupt steel companies. In 2002, we invested \$13.0 million in ISG to support its acquisition of bankrupt LTV Corporation’s idled steelmaking assets, receiving a seven percent stake in return. We also entered into a 15-year term sales agreement to supply all of ISG’s pellet requirements for its Cleveland and Indiana Harbor plants. Later in 2002, we invested another \$4.4 million to support ISG’s acquisition of the steelmaking assets of Acme Metals Incorporated (“Acme”) and invested another \$10.7 million of pension trust assets in 2003 to support ISG’s acquisition of Bethlehem Steel Corporation’s (“Bethlehem”) assets. In conjunction with its acquisition of Bethlehem, ISG acquired Bethlehem’s 62.3 percent equity interest in the Hibbing Taconite Company — Joint Venture (“Hibbing”). Through these investments, we received 5.9 million shares (5.1 million shares of directly-held and .8 million shares held in

## Table of Contents

our pension trust) in return for our original investment. In 2004, we realized a \$152.7 million pre-tax (\$99.3 million after-tax) gain on the sale of our 5.1 million shares of directly-held ISG common stock. Also in 2004, ISG acquired the bankrupt assets of Weirton Steel Corporation (“Weirton”) and Georgetown Steel Corporation. In conjunction with its acquisition of Weirton, ISG assumed our term supply agreement with Weirton with some modifications.

ISG agreed to merge with Mittal Steel Company N.V. (“Mittal”), the parent company of Ispat Inland Inc. (“Ispat”), in 2005, resulting in the world’s largest steel company. Effective January 3, 2006, Ispat was merged with and into Mittal Steel USA ISG Inc. and renamed Mittal Steel USA Inc. (“Mittal Steel USA”).

In 2004, we also significantly improved our liquidity initially through our January, 2004 offering of \$172.5 million of redeemable cumulative convertible perpetual preferred stock. The proceeds from the issuance were utilized to repay the remaining \$25 million balance of our unsecured notes and to fund \$76.1 million into our underfunded salaried and hourly pension funds and retiree healthcare accounts (“VEBAs”). Additionally, the proceeds from the sale of ISG stock and cash flow from operations provided us with the liquidity for capital expenditures to maintain and expand our production capacity and to complete the acquisition of Portman. We intend to continue to pursue investment and operations management opportunities to broaden our scope as a supplier of iron ore to the integrated steel industry through the acquisition of additional mining interests to strengthen our market position. We are particularly focused on expanding our international investments to capitalize on global demand for steel and iron ore.

Our strategic redirection and acceptance of additional risks of increased mine ownership followed by significant increases in iron ore demand and pricing culminated in record operating income in 2004 and again in 2005, solid financial condition, and a strong base for future growth.

Our share of North American production in 2005 was a record 22.1 million tons. Mine operating costs on a per-ton basis increased by approximately 14 percent in 2005 versus 2004 primarily due to higher energy, supply pricing and royalties. From a safety standpoint, 2005 was the second best safety performance in the company’s 158 year history at 2.56 per 200,000 employee hours worked as defined by the Mine Safety and Health Administration (“MSHA”) for total reportable incidents. According to MSHA, the industry frequency rate for total reportable incidents for U.S. mines, mills and shops (excluding coal) was 3.96 per 200,000 employee hours worked in 2005. Our frequency rate for lost-time incidents in 2005 was the best in company history at 1.3 per 200,000 employee hours worked. Unfortunately, during the year a tragic accident occurred at one of the Michigan mining operations when an employee was fatally injured while working at the production plant.

At the Koolyanobbing operation, the Lost Time Injury Frequency Rate (“LTIFR”) for the year was 4.0, which is slightly below the Australian metalliferous open pit mining industry average of 4.1. During 2005, four Lost Time Injuries (“LTI’s”) were recorded at the Koolyanobbing operation, regrettably including one fatality. At Cockatoo Island, two LTI’s were incurred, resulting in a LTIFR of 7.29 for the year. Portman’s safety statistics include employees and contractors.

Our operating objectives are to maximize safe production, efficiency and productivity at our mines. All of the mines and processing facilities have been in existence for several decades and are energy and labor intensive operations. Energy comprises approximately 27 percent of our mine production costs. We continue to strive for employment productivity improvements to offset rising energy and employee medical and legacy costs. Employees at the Empire Iron Mining Partnership (“Empire”) and Tilden Mining Company L.C. (“Tilden”) in Michigan and Hibbing Taconite and United Taconite mines in Minnesota, represented by the United Steelworkers of America (“USWA”), ratified four-year labor agreements that are comparable to other USWA contracts in the industry. The agreements provide for wage increases and additional funding into employee pension plans and VEBAs in exchange for employees and future retirees sharing in healthcare insurance costs and certain other provisions that will continue to improve productivity. (See “Labor Contracts.”)

**Two-for-One Stock Split**

On November 9, 2004, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a two-for-one stock split of its Common Shares with a corresponding decrease in par value from \$1.00 to \$.50. The record date for the stock split was December 15, 2004 with a distribution date of December 31, 2004. Accordingly, all Common Shares, per-share amounts, stock compensation plans and preferred stock conversion rates have been adjusted retroactively to reflect the stock split. Additionally, all diluted per-share amounts reflect the “as-if-converted” effect of the Company’s convertible preferred stock as required by Emerging Issues Task Force Consensus 04-8, “The Effect of Contingently Convertible Instruments on Dilute Earnings per Share.”

**Key Operating and Financial Indicators**

Following is a summary of the Company’s key operating and financial indicators for the years 2005, 2004 and 2003:

	2005	2004	2003
North American Pellet Sales (Million Tons)	<b>22.3</b>	22.6	19.2
Australian Iron Ore Sales (Million Tonnes)	<b>4.9</b>		
Revenues from Iron Ore Sales and Services (Millions)*	<b>\$ 1,512.2</b>	\$ 995.0	\$ 686.8
Pellet Production (Million Tons)			
Total	<b>35.9</b>	34.4	30.3
Company’s Share	<b>22.1</b>	21.7	18.1
Australian Iron Ore Production (Million Tonnes)	<b>5.2</b>		
Sales Margin (Loss) (Millions)			
North America	<b>\$ 358.6</b>	\$ 149.5	\$ (9.9)
Australia	<b>\$ 30.4</b>		
Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations			
Amount (Millions)	<b>\$ 273.2</b>	\$ 320.2	\$ (34.9)
Per Share (Diluted)	<b>\$ 9.81</b>	\$ 11.68	\$ (1.70)
Net Income (Loss)			
Amount (Millions)	<b>\$ 277.6</b>	\$ 323.6	\$ (32.7)
Per Share (Diluted)	<b>\$ 9.97</b>	\$ 11.80	\$ (1.60)

\* The Company also received revenues of \$227.3 million, \$208.1 million and \$138.3 million in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively, related to freight and venture partners’ cost reimbursements.

North American iron ore pellet sales decreased .3 million tons from the previous record of 22.6 million tons sold in 2004. The sales decrease primarily reflected a slowdown in the North American steel industry in mid-2005 brought on by production reductions at North American steel companies undertaken to mitigate lower global steel pricing. Iron ore pellet production for our account increased .4 million tons largely due to the full-year production at United Taconite, which was acquired in December 2003, and higher production at all mines except Empire and Northshore.

Our increase in 2005 North American sales margin from 2004 was principally due to an increase in sales prices partially offset by higher production costs and lower volume. The increase in sales prices reflected the effect on term sales contract escalators of higher steel prices, an increase in international pellet prices, and higher Producers Price Indices (“PPI”). Production costs were adversely affected by higher energy and supply pricing, increased maintenance costs and higher royalty rates due to increased pellet sales pricing. On a year-over-year basis, these factors were partly offset by the fixed-cost effect of a 14-week labor stoppage at Wabush Mines (“Wabush”) in the third quarter of 2004, the impact of a weaker U.S. dollar on our share of Wabush production costs, and costs incurred related to 2004 U.S. labor negotiations.

Portman’s sales of lump ore and fines were 4.9 million tonnes since the acquisition. Iron ore production was 4.7 million tonnes, excluding .5 million tonnes at Cockatoo Island, since the acquisition.

## Table of Contents

Our business is affected by a number of factors, which are described in detail under Item 1A. Risk Factors. As we have increased our role as a merchant of iron ore to steel company customers, we have become more dependent on the revenues from our term supply agreements. Because our agreements are largely requirements contracts, those revenues are heavily dependent on customer consumption of iron ore. Customer requirements may be affected by increased use of iron ore substitutes, including imported semi-finished steel, customer rationalization or financial failure, and decreased North American steel production resulting from increased imports or lower steel consumption.

Further, our North American sales are concentrated with relatively few customers. Unmitigated loss of sales would have a significantly greater impact on operating results and cash flow than revenue, due to the high level of fixed costs in the iron ore mining business and the high cost to idle or close mines. In the event of a venture participant's failure to perform, remaining solvent venturers, including us, may be required to assume additional fixed costs and record additional material obligations. The premature closure of a mine due to the loss of a significant customer or the failure of a joint venture participant would accelerate substantial employment and mine shutdown costs.

### Results of Operations

Following is a summary of results for 2005, 2004 and 2003:

	(In Millions)		
	2005	2004	2003
Income (loss) from continuing operations(a)	\$ 273.2	\$ 320.2	\$ (34.9)
Income (loss) from discontinuing operations(b)	(.8)	3.4	
Income (loss) before extraordinary gain and cumulative effect of accounting changes(b)	272.4	323.6	(34.9)
Extraordinary gain(b)			2.2
Cumulative effect of accounting changes(c)	5.2		
Net income (loss)			
— amount	\$ 277.6	\$ 323.6	\$ (32.7)
— per share basic(d)	\$ 12.52	\$ 14.94	\$ (1.60)
— per share diluted	\$ 9.97	\$ 11.80	\$ (1.60)
Average number of shares (in thousands)			
— basic	21,728	21,308	20,512
— diluted(e)	27,836	27,421	20,512

(a) Includes charges for impairments of mining assets of \$5.8 million in 2004 and \$2.6 million in 2003, an after-tax gain on sale of ISG common stock, \$99.3 million in 2004, and reversals of deferred tax asset valuation allowances of \$8.9 million and \$113.8 million in 2005 and 2004, respectively.

(b) Net of tax and minority interest.

(c) Net of tax.

(d) Adjusted for preferred dividend effect of \$5.6 million and \$5.3 million in 2005 and 2004, respectively.

(e) Includes 5.578 and 5.566 million shares in 2005 and 2004, respectively for the weighted average of "as-if converted" convertible preferred.

### 2005 Versus 2004

The decrease in net income primarily reflected last year's after-tax gain of \$99.3 million (\$152.7 million pre-tax) on the sale of all directly-held ISG stock and the fourth-quarter 2004 reversal of \$113.8 million of deferred tax asset valuation allowance, largely offset by higher North American sales margins and the inclusion of earnings from Portman since March 31, 2005, when we acquired a controlling interest.

## Table of Contents

The \$47.0 million decrease in income from continuing operations reflected higher income taxes of \$119.8 and \$10.1 million of income attributable to the minority interest owners of Portman, partially offset by higher income before income taxes and minority interest of \$82.9 million. The pre-tax earnings increase from 2004 principally reflected higher North American sales margins of \$209.1 million and the inclusion of Portman's sales margin of \$30.4 million since the March 31, 2005 acquisition partially offset by last year's gain on the sale of ISG common stock of \$152.7 million. Following is a summary of the sales margin:

	(In Millions)		Increase (Decrease)	
	2005	2004	Amount	Percent
North American iron ore pellet sales (tons)	<u>22.3</u>	<u>22.6</u>	<u>(.3)</u>	<u>(1.3)%</u>
Australian iron ore sales (tonnes)	<u>4.9</u>		<u>4.9</u>	<u>N/M</u>
Revenues from iron ore sales and services	<u>\$ 1,512.2</u>	<u>\$ 995.0</u>	<u>\$ 517.2</u>	<u>52.0%</u>
Cost of goods sold and operating expenses				
Excluding production curtailments	<u>1,123.2</u>	<u>840.3</u>	<u>282.9</u>	<u>33.7</u>
Costs of production curtailments		<u>5.2</u>	<u>(5.2)</u>	<u>(100.0)</u>
Total Costs	<u>1,123.2</u>	<u>845.5</u>	<u>277.7</u>	<u>32.8</u>
Sales margin	<u>\$ 389.0</u>	<u>\$ 149.5</u>	<u>\$ 239.5</u>	<u>160.2%</u>

### North American Iron Ore

#### *Revenues from Iron Ore Sales and Services*

Sales revenue (excluding freight and venture partners' cost reimbursements) increased \$312.7 million or 31 percent. The increase in sales revenue was due to higher sales prices, \$328.0 million, partially offset by a sales volume decrease of \$15.3 million. The 33 percent increase in sales prices primarily reflected the effect on Cliffs' term sales contract price adjustment factors of an approximate 86 percent increase in international pellet pricing, higher steel pricing, higher PPI and other contractual increases, including base price increases and lag-year adjustments. Included in 2005 revenues was approximately .9 million tons of 2005 sales at 2004 contract prices and \$2.4 million of price adjustments on 2004 sales.

#### *Cost of Goods Sold and Operating Expenses*

Cost of goods sold and operating expenses (excluding freight and venture partners' costs) increased \$103.6 million from 2004. The increase primarily reflected higher unit production costs of \$116.6 million. Lower sales volume reduced costs \$13.0 million. The increases in unit production costs included higher energy pricing, \$50.4 million; increased maintenance costs, \$18.7 million; higher supply prices, \$16.6 million; and higher royalty rates, \$13.2 million, due to increased pellet sales pricing. Production costs in 2004 were impacted by the labor stoppage at Wabush in the third quarter of 2004 of \$5.2 million, a \$3.4 million exchange rate effect due to the impact of a weaker U.S. dollar on our share of Wabush production costs, and \$3.0 million related to 2004 U.S. labor negotiations.

#### *Sales Margin*

The sales margin improvement of \$209.1 million in 2005 was principally due to an increase in sales price, partially offset by lower volume and higher production costs.

### Australian Iron Ore

#### *Revenues from Iron Ore Sales and Services*

Sales revenue of \$204.5 million on 4.9 million tonnes of Portman's sales reflects results since the March 31, 2005, acquisition. Sales revenues for the nine-month period represent a record for Portman. At

## Table of Contents

acquisition, Portman had currency derivatives used to hedge its currency exposure for a portion of its sales receipts denominated in U.S. dollars. Although Portman carried a hedge reserve, the reserve was not recognized in the allocation of purchase price. Pre-acquisition contracts, with a fair value of \$13.0 million, therefore, are expensed upon delivery. Through December 31, 2005, \$9.8 million of hedge contracts were settled and recognized as a reduction of revenues.

### *Cost of Goods Sold and Operating Expenses*

Cost of goods sold and operating expenses of \$174.1 million for the nine-month period reflected the recognition of \$38.6 million of basis adjustments to inventory and mineral rights due to the preliminary allocation of the \$433.1 million purchase price. We continue to refine our purchase accounting developed with the assistance of an outside consultant. The current allocation of the 80.4 percent interest in Portman allocated \$23.1 million to product and work in process inventories, of which approximately \$19.9 million has been included in cost of goods sold through December 31, 2005. Most of the \$3.2 million remaining inventory step-up is expected to be expensed prior to the end of 2006. The \$18.7 million balance of the basis adjustments principally reflected increased depletion of mineral rights.

### *Sales Margin*

The sales margin of \$30.4 million on 4.9 million tonnes of Portman's sales reflects results since the March 31, 2005 acquisition.

### *Other Operating Income*

The pre-tax earnings changes for 2005 versus the comparable 2004 period also included:

- A business interruption insurance recovery of \$12.3 million related to a five-week production curtailment at the Empire and Tilden mines in 2003 due to the loss of electric power as a result of flooding in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan. Future recoveries may be forthcoming from a claim for reimbursement of insurance deductibles through subrogation.
- Higher royalties and management fee revenue of \$1.8 million, primarily reflecting higher Wabush management fees due to the approximate 86 percent increase in Eastern Canadian pellet prices.
- Higher administrative, selling and general expense of \$14.8 million reflecting higher stock-based compensation and the inclusion of \$5.5 million of Portman's 2005 expense since the March 31, 2005 acquisition.
- Lower impairment of mining asset charges, \$5.8 million. Due primarily to the significant increase in 2005 pellet pricing, we have determined, based on a cash flow analysis, that our Empire mine is no longer impaired; accordingly, capital additions at Empire in 2005 were not charged to expense.
- Provision for customer bankruptcy exposures, \$3.6 million. Results for 2005 included a \$1.9 million recovery from WCI Steel Inc. ("WCI"). Results for 2004 included a first-quarter charge related to a subsidiary of Weirton, \$1.6 million.
- Miscellaneous — net expense, \$9.1 million higher than the same period last year. Miscellaneous — net includes \$5.2 million to clean a PCB spill at the Tilden mine in November 2005, and \$1.9 million of expense at Portman since the March 31 acquisition.

### *Other Income (Expense)*

- Last year's results included a \$152.7 million gain on the sale of directly-held ISG common stock.
- A 2005 gain of \$9.5 million on the sale of certain assets to PolyMet Mining Inc. ("PolyMet"). (See Other Related Items — PolyMet).
- Increased interest income of \$2.4 million reflecting higher average cash and short-term marketable securities balances and slightly higher rates.

## Table of Contents

- Increased interest expense of \$3.7 million includes \$2.0 million of interest expense at Portman since the March 31 acquisition, and interim borrowings in 2005 under Cliffs' new \$350 million revolving credit facility to supplement funds required for the Portman acquisition.
- Higher other-net expense of \$11.5 million primarily reflected \$9.8 million of currency hedging costs associated with the Portman acquisition.

### *Income Taxes*

We entered 2005 with a valuation allowance to reduce a deferred tax asset related to \$25.4 million of net operating losses attributable to pre-consolidation separate return years of one of our subsidiaries. In the fourth quarter of 2005, we determined, based on the existence of sufficient evidence, that we no longer required this valuation allowance. During 2005, an \$8.9 million adjustment to reverse this valuation allowance was recognized. However, through our acquisition of Portman, we acquired a deferred tax asset of \$11.1 million related to capital loss carryforwards with a corresponding \$11.1 million deferred tax asset valuation allowance due to uncertainty about utilization of these carryforwards.

In the fourth quarter of 2004, we determined, based on the existence of sufficient evidence, that we no longer required a valuation allowance other than \$8.9 million related to net operating loss carryforwards described above. During 2004, a \$113.8 million adjustment to reduce the valuation allowance was recognized.

Excluding the \$8.9 million and \$113.8 million valuation reversals in 2005 and 2004, respectively, income tax expense of \$93.7 million in 2005 was \$14.9 million higher than the comparable amount last year. The increase was due to higher pre-tax income in 2005, partially offset by a lower effective tax rate.

### *Discontinued Operations*

Our arrangements with C.V.G. Ferrominera Orinoco C.A. of Venezuela ("Ferrominera"), a government-owned company responsible for the development of Venezuela's iron ore industry, to provide technical assistance in support of improving operations of a 3.3 million tonne per year pelletizing facility were terminated in the third quarter of 2005. We recorded after-tax expense of \$1.7 million related to this contract in 2005.

On July 23, 2004, Cliffs and Associates Limited ("CAL"), an affiliate of the Company jointly owned by a subsidiary of the Company (82.3945 percent) and Outokumpu Technology GmbH (17.6055 percent), a German company (formerly known as Lurgi Metallurgie GmbH), completed the sale of CAL's Hot Briquette Iron ("HBI") facility located in Trinidad and Tobago to ISG. Terms of the sale included a purchase price of \$8.0 million plus assumption of liabilities. CAL may receive up to \$10 million in future payments contingent on HBI production and shipments. In 2005, we received payments totaling \$.6 million and at December 31, 2005, we have a receivable balance of \$.5 million. Mittal Steel USA closed this facility at the end of 2005 and it is unlikely we will receive further payments related to this transaction. We recorded after-tax income of approximately \$.9 million in 2005.

### **2004 Versus 2003**

The increase in net income reflected higher North American sales margins and a \$152.7 million pre-tax gain on the sale of directly-held ISG common stock. The increase in net income also included a \$3.4 million increase in after-tax income related to discontinued operations and a \$2.2 million after-tax extraordinary gain related to the United Taconite acquisition of the Eveleth mine assets in December 2003.

## Table of Contents

The \$355.1 million increase in income from continuing operations reflected improved pre-tax results of \$320.4 million and an increase in the income tax credit of \$34.7 million. The increased tax credit in 2004 reflected a \$113.8 million reversal of deferred tax valuation allowance partly offset by the current year's tax provision. Included in the pre-tax increase of \$320.4 million was \$152.7 million relating to a gain on sale of directly-held ISG common stock and higher sales margins of \$159.4 million. Following is a summary of the sales margin:

	(In Millions)		Increase (Decrease)	
	2004	2003	Amount	Percent
Iron ore pellet sales (tons)	<u>22.6</u>	<u>19.2</u>	<u>3.4</u>	<u>18%</u>
Revenues from iron ore sales and services*	\$ 995.0	\$ 686.8	\$ 308.2	45%
Cost of goods sold and operating expenses*				
Excluding production curtailments	840.3	685.6	154.7	22.6
Costs of production curtailments	<u>5.2</u>	<u>11.1</u>	<u>(5.9)</u>	(53.2)
Total Costs	<u>845.5</u>	<u>696.7</u>	<u>148.8</u>	21.4
Sales margin (loss)	<u>\$ 149.5</u>	<u>\$ (9.9)</u>	<u>\$ 159.4</u>	<u>N/M</u>

\* The Company also received revenues and recognized expenses of \$208.1 million and \$138.3 million in 2004 and 2003, respectively, for freight charges paid on behalf of customers and cost reimbursement from venture partners.

### *Revenues from Iron Ore Sales and Services*

Sales revenue (excluding freight and venture partners' cost reimbursements) increased \$308.2 million or 45 percent. The increase in sales revenue was due to higher sales prices and the 3.4 million ton, or 18 percent, increase in pellet sales volume in 2004. The 22.6 million tons sold in 2004 was a record, surpassing the previous record of 19.2 million tons sold in 2003. The increase in sales price realization resulted from term sales contract escalators, primarily higher steel prices and an approximate 20 percent increase in international pellet prices.

### *Cost of Goods Sold and Operating Expenses*

Cost of goods sold and operating expenses increased \$148.8 million, or 21 percent, from 2003, principally due to higher sales and production volume of \$122.8 million, increased energy and supply pricing of \$19.9 million, the fixed-cost effect of a 14-week labor stoppage at Wabush in third-quarter 2004 of \$5.2 million, a \$3.4 million exchange rate effect due to the impact of a weaker U.S. dollar on our share of Wabush production costs, and \$3.0 million related to 2004 U.S. labor negotiations. Operating costs in 2003 included an \$11.1 million fixed-cost impact caused by a five-week production curtailment at the Empire and Tilden mines relating to the loss of electric power due to flooding in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan.

### *Sales Margin*

The sales margin improvement of \$159.4 million in 2004 was principally due to an increase in sales prices and volume, and was partially offset by higher production costs.

### *Other Operating Income*

The pre-tax earnings changes for 2004 versus the comparable 2003 period also included:

- Higher royalties and management fee revenue of \$.7 million, primarily reflecting higher Wabush management fees and management fees from United Taconite production.

## Table of Contents

- Higher administrative, selling and general expense of \$8.0 million reflecting higher stock-based and incentive compensation of \$8.5 million.
- Higher impairment of mining asset charges, \$3.2 million. Empire's long-lived assets were impaired in 2002. Approximately \$2.2 million of the 2004 Empire fixed asset additions were related to an increase in the asset retirement obligation reflecting a one year decrease in the estimated mine life due to a change in annual production levels.
- Lower provision for customer bankruptcy exposures, \$5.9 million, related to the Weirton and WCI Steel Inc. bankruptcies.
- Miscellaneous — net expense, \$2.2 million lower than the same period last year. The decrease primarily reflected lower coal retiree expense of \$1.6 million, decreased business development cost of \$1.0 million, and debt restructuring fees in 2003 of \$0.8 million partially offset by lower rental income of \$0.9 million.

### *Restructuring Charge*

- In third-quarter 2003, we initiated a salaried reduction program as part of our cost-reduction initiatives. The action resulted in a reduction of 136 staff employees at our corporate, central services and various mining operations, which represented an approximate 20 percent decrease in salaried workforce at our U.S. operations (prior to the acquisition of United Taconite). Accordingly, we recorded a restructuring charge of \$8.7 million in 2003, which included non-cash pension and OPEB obligations of \$6.2 million and one-time severance benefits of \$2.5 million. Less than \$1.6 million required cash funding in 2003, leaving a remaining severance liability of approximately \$0.9 million at December 31, 2003. In 2004, we expended \$0.7 million and recorded a credit of \$0.2 million in satisfaction of the obligation.

### *Other Income (Expense)*

- Interest expense decreased \$3.8 million from 2003. The decrease principally reflected the repayment of our senior unsecured notes in January 2004.
- Other income of \$4.2 million in 2004 was \$2.9 million less than in 2003. The decrease primarily related to non-strategic Michigan land sales in 2003.

### *Income Taxes*

Through the third quarter of 2004, we maintained a valuation allowance to reduce our deferred tax asset in recognition of uncertainty regarding utilization. In the fourth quarter of 2004, we determined, based on the existence of sufficient evidence, that we no longer required a valuation allowance other than \$8.9 million related to net operating loss carryforwards of \$25.4 million that will begin to expire in 2021, which are attributable to pre-consolidation separate return years of one of our subsidiaries. As a result, a \$113.8 million adjustment to reduce the valuation allowance was recognized. Excluding the \$113.8 million valuation reversal, income tax expense in 2004 of \$78.9 million was \$79.2 higher than 2003 principally reflecting higher pre-tax income.

### *Discontinued Operations*

We recorded after-tax income of \$3.1 million related to CAL in 2004. The gain is classified under "Discontinued Operations" in the Statement of Consolidated Operations.

Income in 2004 from Ferrominera, whose operations were terminated in the third quarter of 2005, was \$0.3 million.

## Table of Contents

### Cash Flow and Liquidity

At December 31, 2005, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$192.8 million, including \$54.7 million at Portman. Following is a summary of 2005 cash flow activity:

	(In Millions)
Investment in Portman (net of \$24.1 million cash acquired)	\$ (409.0)
Capital expenditures	(106.3)
Dividends — common and preferred stock	(18.7)
Payment of currency hedges	(9.8)
Net cash from operating activities	514.6
Other	7.3
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents from continuing operations	(21.9)
Cash used by discontinued operations	(2.2)
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (24.1)

Following is a summary of key liquidity measures:

	At December 31 (In Millions)		
	2005	2004	2003
Cash and cash equivalents	<u>\$ 192.8</u>	<u>\$ 216.9</u>	<u>\$ 67.8</u>
Marketable securities-trading	<u>\$ 9.9</u>	<u>\$ 182.7</u>	<u>          </u>
Debt	<u>\$ (7.7)</u>	<u>          </u>	<u>\$ (25.0)</u>
Working capital	<u>\$ 273.3</u>	<u>\$ 474.3</u>	<u>\$ 97.2</u>

Net cash from operating activities of \$514.6 million included \$68.3 million related to Portman. Included in net cash from operating activities is a \$172.8 million net decrease in short-term marketable securities, classified as trading, which we utilize to increase our rate of return on short-term funds. The \$172.8 million net decrease in marketable securities primarily reflects the proceeds from the sale of \$182.7 million of highly liquid marketable securities used in connection with our acquisition of Portman, net of \$9.9 million purchases of investments with 35-day auction reset dates. The Company invests in auction rate securities to provide higher short-term returns than traditional money market investments with minimal risk of loss of capital. Cash flow from operations also reflects \$86.2 million of income tax payments, \$55.8 million of contributions to pension plans and VEBAs and \$70.6 million of excess electric power company payments pending the outcome of an arbitration of our dispute with Wisconsin Electric Power Company's ("WEPCO") unilateral increase in the electric power energy rates it charges to the Empire and Tilden mines under the terms of existing electric power agreements between the parties. Approximately \$67.6 million of power payments are recoverable in early 2006; however, we have been advised by WEPCO that they will oppose any release of these recoverable amounts from the escrow until completion of the arbitration. (See "Wisconsin Electric Power Company Dispute".)

At December 31, 2005, there were 3.3 million tons of pellets in inventory, approximately the same as last year, at a cost of \$105.3 million, or a decrease of \$3.0 million from December 31, 2004. At December 31, 2005, Portman had .6 million tonnes of finished product inventory at a cost of \$13.8 million.

On March 28, 2005, we entered into a \$350 million unsecured credit agreement with a syndicate of 13 financial institutions. The new facility provides \$350 million in borrowing capacity under a revolving credit line, with a choice of interest rates and maturities subject to the three-year term of the agreement. The \$350 million credit agreement replaced an existing \$30 million unsecured revolving credit facility, which was scheduled to expire on April 29, 2005. The new facility has various financial covenants based on earnings, debt, total capitalization, and fixed cost coverage. Interest rates range from LIBOR plus 1.25 percent to

## Table of Contents

LIBOR plus 2.0 percent, based on debt and earnings, or the prime rate. We were in compliance with the covenants in the credit agreement as of December 31, 2005.

Portman is party to a A\$40 million credit agreement. The facility has various covenants based on earnings, asset ratios and fixed cost coverage. The floating interest rate is 80 basis points over the 90-day bank bill swap rate in Australia. Under this facility, Portman has remaining borrowing capacity of A\$29.0 million at December 31, 2005, after reduction of A\$11.0 million for commitments under outstanding performance bonds. Portman was in compliance with its debt covenants as of December 31, 2005.

Portman secured five-year financing from its customers in China as part of its long-term supply agreements to assist with the funding of the expansion of its Koolyanobbing mining operation. The borrowings, totaling \$7.7 million, accrue interest annually at five percent. The borrowings require a \$.8 million principal payment plus accrued interest to be made each January 31 for the next four years with the remaining balance due in full in January 2010.

We anticipate that our share of capital expenditures related to the iron ore business, which was \$107.9 million in 2005, will increase to approximately \$174 million in 2006. We expect to fund our capital expenditures from available cash and current operations. The anticipated increase in capital expenditures is primarily due to the capacity expansion to eight million tonnes at the Koolyanobbing operation, \$41.3 million and approximately \$15 million for the Mesabi Nugget Project. (See Other Related Items — Mesabi Nugget Project).

### *Issuance of Preferred Stock*

In January 2004, we completed an offering of \$172.5 million of redeemable cumulative convertible perpetual preferred stock, without par value, issued at \$1,000 per share. The preferred stock pays quarterly cash dividends at a rate of 3.25 percent per annum, has a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share and is convertible into our common shares at an adjusted rate of 32.3354 common shares (32.6652 at February 17, 2006) per share of preferred stock, which is equivalent to an adjusted conversion price of \$30.93 per share at December 31, 2005 (\$30.61 at February 17, 2006), subject to further adjustment in certain circumstances. Each share of preferred stock may be converted by the holder if during any fiscal quarter ending after March 31, 2004 the closing sale price of our common stock for at least 20 trading days in a period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the preceding quarter exceeds 110 percent of the applicable conversion price on such trading day (\$34.02 at December 31, 2005; this threshold was met as of December 31, 2005). The satisfaction of this condition allows conversion of the preferred stock during the fiscal quarter ending March 31, 2006 only. Holders of preferred stock may also convert: (1) if during the five business day period after any five consecutive trading-day period in which the trading price per share of preferred stock for each day of that period was less than 98 percent of the product of the closing sale price of our common stock and the applicable conversion rate on each such day; (2) upon the occurrence of certain corporate transactions; or (3) if the preferred stock has been called for redemption. On or after January 20, 2009, we, at our option, may redeem some or all of the preferred stock at a redemption price equal to 100 percent of the liquidation preference, plus accumulated but unpaid dividends, but only if the closing price exceeds 135 percent of the conversion price, subject to adjustment, for 20 trading days within a period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the trading day before the date we give the redemption notice. We may also exchange the preferred stock for convertible subordinated debentures in certain circumstances. We have reserved approximately 5.6 million common treasury shares for possible future issuance for the conversion of the preferred stock. Our shelf registration statement with respect to the resale of the preferred stock, the convertible subordinated debentures that we may issue in exchange for the preferred stock and the common shares issuable upon conversion of the preferred stock and the convertible subordinated debentures was declared effective by the SEC on July 22, 2004. The Company is no longer contractually obligated to maintain the effectiveness of the registration statement due to the expiration of the effectiveness period. Accordingly, on February 14, 2006, the Company deregistered 92,655 shares of Preferred Stock, \$172,500,000 in aggregate principal amount of debentures and approximately 5.6 million common shares that have not been resold. The preferred stock is classified as “temporary equity” reflecting certain provisions of the agreement that could, under remote circumstances, require us to redeem the preferred stock for cash. The net proceeds after offering

## Table of Contents

expenses were approximately \$166 million. A portion of the proceeds was utilized to repay the remaining outstanding \$25.0 million in principal amount of our senior unsecured notes in the first quarter of 2004. We also used approximately \$63.0 million to fund our underfunded pension plans and contributed \$13.1 million to our VEBAs in 2004.

### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations

Other than operating leases primarily utilized for certain equipment and office space, we do not have any off-balance sheet financing. Following is a summary of our contractual obligations at December 31, 2005:

Contractual Obligations	Payments due by Period(1) (Millions)				
	Total	Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More than 5 Years
Long-term debt	\$ 7.7	\$ .8	\$ 1.5	\$ 5.4	\$
Capital Lease Obligations	41.2	5.9	10.1	7.0	18.2
Operating Leases	41.3	14.8	15.8	8.4	2.3
Purchase Obligations					
Open Purchase Orders	94.6	93.5	.8	.3	
Minimum "Take or Pay" Purchase Commitments(2)	460.9	124.7	134.7	106.6	94.9
Total Purchase Obligations	555.5	218.2	135.5	106.9	94.9
Other Long-Term Liabilities					
Pension Funding Minimums	180.2	46.0	80.7	21.0	32.5
OPEB Claim Payments	231.7	37.6	58.3	57.6	78.2
Mine Closure Obligations	95.1	3.5	19.9	3.7	68.0
Coal Industry Retiree Health Benefits	6.5	.6	1.4	1.2	3.3
Personal Injury	16.5	4.9	5.9	1.9	3.8
Other(3)	192.8				
Total Other Long-Term Liabilities	722.8	92.6	166.2	85.4	185.8
Total	\$ 1,368.5	\$ 332.3	\$ 329.1	\$ 213.1	\$ 301.2

- (1) Includes our consolidated obligations and our ownership share of unconsolidated ventures' obligations.
- (2) Includes minimum electric power demand charges, minimum coal and natural gas obligations, and minimum railroad transportation obligations.
- (3) Primarily includes deferred income taxes payable and other contingent liabilities for which payment timing is non-determinable.

### Operations and Customers

#### Sales

Our pellet sales for the year 2005 were 22.3 million tons which is the second highest volume ever, surpassed only by last year's record sales of 22.6 million tons. The decrease in pellet sales was primarily due to a slowdown in the North American steel industry in the middle of 2005. We ended the year 2005 with 3.3 million tons of iron ore pellet inventory, approximately the same as last year. Our 2006 North American sales volume is projected to be approximately 21 million tons. We are largely committed under term supply agreements, which are subject to changes in customer requirements.

Portman sold 4.9 million tonnes of lump and fine ore since the acquisition and ended the year with .6 million tonnes of finished goods inventory. Portman's 2006 sales volume is projected to be 7.9 million tonnes, reflecting the project to increase capacity at the wholly owned Koolyanobbing operation to eight million tonnes per annum. The production is fully committed to steel companies in China and Japan for

## Table of Contents

approximately four years. Revenue per tonne is driven under annual benchmark prices between the major seaborne suppliers and the Chinese and Japanese steel mills.

### *Customers*

#### Mittal Steel USA

On December 17, 2004, Ispat International N.V. completed its acquisition of LNM Holdings N.V. to form Mittal. On April 13, 2005, Mittal completed its acquisition of ISG, subsequently renamed Mittal Steel USA. At the time of the acquisition of ISG, the Company had three different sales contracts with steel companies that became part of Mittal Steel USA:

- **Ispat.** Ispat was a wholly owned subsidiary of Ispat International N.V. On December 31, 2002, we entered into a Pellet Sale and Purchase Agreement with Ispat (the “Ispat Contract”), which provides that we are the sole outside supplier of iron ore pellets to Ispat. The Ispat Contract runs through January 2015.
- **Mittal ISG.** We entered into a Pellet Sale and Purchase Agreement with ISG on April 10, 2002, which runs through 2016 (the “ISG Contract”), under which we are the sole supplier of iron ore pellets for the former ISG’s Cleveland and Indiana Harbor Works. The ISG Contract was subsequently amended in December 2004.
- **Mittal Steel-Weirton (formerly Weirton).** Prior to the acquisition of ISG by Mittal, ISG had acquired Weirton, which was in chapter 11 bankruptcy at the time. The Company was one of two suppliers of iron ore pellets to Weirton. At the time of ISG’s acquisition of Weirton, we entered into an Amended and Restated Pellet Sale and Purchase Agreement dated May 17, 2004, with both ISG and Weirton (the “Weirton Contract”). The Weirton Contract runs through 2018.

In December 2005, Mittal merged Ispat into Mittal Steel USA and Mittal Steel USA assumed Ispat’s obligations under the Ispat Contract. Mittal Steel USA is a 62.3 percent equity participant in Hibbing and a 21 percent equity partner in Empire.

During 2005, our North American pellet sales totaled approximately 22.3 million tons, with pellet sales to Mittal Steel USA representing approximately 48 percent of North American sales volume. Currently, 2006 pellet sales are projected to be approximately 21 million tons, not including any sales to Mittal Steel-Weirton.

In 2005 Mittal Steel USA shut down Mittal Steel-Weirton’s blast furnace. The Weirton Contract has a minimum annual purchase obligation and requires Mittal Steel-Weirton to purchase “for the years 2004 and 2005 the greater of 67 percent of Mittal Steel-Weirton’s total annual iron ore pellet requirements, or 1.5 million tons and, for the years 2006 through and including 2018, a tonnage amount equal to Mittal Steel-Weirton’s total annual iron ore pellet tonnage requirements, with a minimum annual purchase obligation of 2.0 million tons per year, required for consumption in Mittal Steel-Weirton’s iron and steel making facilities in any year at Mittal Steel-Weirton”. Over the past few months we have been in discussions with Mittal Steel USA regarding the terms of the Weirton Contract in response to Mittal Steel USA’s request for relief from the minimum purchase obligation. These discussions have resulted in no agreement between the Company and Mittal Steel USA as to the Mittal Steel-Weirton minimum purchase obligation. Mittal Steel-Weirton purchased approximately 325,000 tons of iron ore pellets less than its 1.5 million minimum purchase obligation for 2005, and as a result we invoiced Mittal Steel-Weirton approximately \$17 million for this remaining tonnage. The sale of this tonnage would be recorded in 2006. Payment for this tonnage was due on January 30, 2006 and has not been received. Mittal Steel USA has advised us that the Mittal Steel-Weirton blast furnace has been permanently shut down and will not be restarted. Mittal Steel-Weirton has also taken the position that it has no future obligation to purchase pellets under the Weirton Contract.

Mittal Steel USA has also claimed that in 2004 it overpaid a supplemental steel price sharing provision (the “Special Steel Payment”) under the Weirton and ISG Contracts. Mittal claims that, prior to the acquisition of ISG by Mittal, surcharges were improperly included in the average annual unprocessed hot band

## Table of Contents

steel pricing for purposes of calculating the Special Steel Payment under both contracts, despite the fact that ISG itself calculated the amount of the Special Steel Payment, included surcharges in that calculation, and did not claim that it was making or had made any overpayment. Mittal Steel USA has claimed an overpayment of approximately \$8.7 million with respect to the Weirton Contract and approximately \$49.6 million with respect to the ISG Contract. We are confident that the Special Steel Payment calculation properly included all revenue including surcharges. We believe that Mittal Steel USA's positions with respect to the minimum purchase obligation and the Special Steel Payment are without merit.

We are currently negotiating with Mittal Steel USA in an attempt to resolve the foregoing disputes. We are also currently reviewing all of our legal options, including the possible initiation of an arbitration proceeding under the Weirton Contract.

### Algoma

We have a 15-year term supply agreement under which we are Algoma's sole supplier of iron ore pellets through 2016. Algoma is Canada's third-largest steelmaker. We sold 3.8 million tons and 3.3 million tons to Algoma in 2005 and 2004, respectively.

### Severstal

On October 23, 2003, Rouge Industries, Inc. ("Rouge"), a significant pellet sales customer of ours, filed for chapter 11 bankruptcy protection. On January 30, 2004, Rouge sold substantially all of its assets to Severstal North America, Inc. ("Severstal"). Severstal, as part of the acquisition of assets of Rouge, assumed our term supply agreement with Rouge with minimal modifications. On January 1, 2006, we entered into an amended and restated agreement whereby we will be the sole supplier of iron ore pellets through 2012, with certain minimum purchase requirements for certain years. We sold 3.6 million tons, 3.3 million tons and 3.0 million tons to Severstal in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

### WCI

On September 16, 2003, WCI petitioned for protection under chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. At the time of the filing, we had a trade receivable exposure of \$4.9 million, which was fully reserved in the third quarter of 2003. On October 14, 2004, the Company and the current owners of WCI reached agreement (the "2004 Pellet Agreement") for us to supply 1.4 million tons of iron ore pellets in 2005 and, in 2006 and thereafter, to supply 100 percent of WCI's annual requirements up to a maximum of two million tons of iron ore pellets. The 2004 Pellet Agreement is for a ten-year term, which commenced on January 1, 2005 and provides for full recovery of our \$4.9 million receivable plus \$.9 million of subsequent pricing adjustments. The 2004 Pellet Agreement was approved by the Bankruptcy Court on November 16, 2004. The receivable and subsequent pricing adjustments are to be paid in three equal annual installments of approximately \$1.9 million. The first payment, due on November 16, 2005, was timely received and classified as Customer bankruptcy recoveries on the Consolidated Statement of Operations. We sold 1.4 million tons and 1.7 million tons to WCI in 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Previously, the Bankruptcy Court denied confirmation of both of two competing plans of reorganization filed by (i) WCI, jointly with its current owner (which plan was supported by the USWA, the union representing WCI's hourly employees, and (ii) a group of WCI's secured noteholders. Subsequently, the secured noteholders amended their plan of reorganization (the "New Noteholder Plan") and obtained the support of the USWA for the New Noteholder Plan. Under the terms of the New Noteholder Plan, an entity controlled by the secured noteholders would acquire the steelmaking assets and business of WCI and assume the 2004 Pellet Agreement, including the obligation to cure the remaining unpaid pre-bankruptcy trade receivable owed to the Company by WCI. A hearing before the Bankruptcy Court on the confirmation of the New Noteholder Plan is scheduled to commence on March 13, 2006. WCI's current owner and the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation oppose confirmation of the New Noteholder Plan.

## Table of Contents

### Stelco

On January 29, 2004, Stelco Inc. (“Stelco”) applied and obtained Bankruptcy Court protection from creditors in Ontario Superior Court under the Companies’ Creditors Arrangement Act (“CCAA”). Pellet sales to Stelco totaled 1.4 million tons, 1.2 million tons, and .1 million tons in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Stelco is a 44.6 percent participant in Wabush, and U.S. subsidiaries of Stelco (which have not filed for bankruptcy protection) own 14.7 percent of Hibbing and 15 percent of Tilden. At the time of the filing, we had no trade receivable exposure to Stelco. Additionally, Stelco has continued to operate and has met its cash call requirements at the mining ventures to date.

Throughout the fall of 2005, Stelco worked to come to agreement with key stakeholders on a reorganization plan. On December 9, 2005, the Third Amended and Restated Plan of Compromise and Arrangement (the “Plan”) was agreed to. On December 10, the creditors affected by the Plan (the “Affected Creditors”) approved the Plan by substantially more than the statutorily-mandated minimum approval levels. On January 20, 2006, on motion by Stelco, the Honorable Mr. Justice Farley of the Superior Court of Ontario sanctioned the Plan as being fair and reasonable in all the circumstances. On February 14, 2006, Justice Farley issued an order approving the proposed reorganization. Stelco is now in the process of reorganizing pursuant to the Plan so as to be in a position to emerge from bankruptcy protection shortly. The current stay of proceedings against Stelco expires on March 31, 2006.

The Company, by agreement with Stelco, is not an Affected Creditor under the Plan. We did not vote on the approval of the Plan, and our claim against Stelco will not be released when Stelco emerges from bankruptcy protection. However, the Plan contemplates that \$350 million (Canadian) of new financing will be invested in Stelco. The investor may require, as a condition of such financing, that Stelco be reorganized into limited-partnership operating subsidiaries, one of which would be a “mining” subsidiary. Such a reorganization may affect the nature of the contractual and joint venture relationship between Stelco and the Company, and may require changes to those relationships. To date, we have not been approached by Stelco in this regard, but continue to monitor the situation closely.

### North American Production

Following is a summary of 2005, 2004 and 2003 mine production and our ownership:

Mine	Company’s Ownership*	Production (Million Tons)					
		Company’s Share			Total Production		
		2005	2004	2003	2005	2004	2003
Empire	79.0%	<b>3.8</b>	4.3	4.0	<b>4.8</b>	5.4	5.2
Tilden	85.0	<b>6.7</b>	6.6	6.0	<b>7.9</b>	7.8	7.0
Hibbing	23.0	<b>2.0</b>	1.9	1.8	<b>8.5</b>	8.3	8.0
Northshore	100.0	<b>4.9</b>	5.0	4.8	<b>4.9</b>	5.0	4.8
United Taconite**	70.0	<b>3.4</b>	2.9	0.1	<b>4.9</b>	4.1	1.6
Wabush	26.8	<b>1.3</b>	1.0	1.4	<b>4.9</b>	3.8	5.2
Total Production***		<b>22.1</b>	21.7	18.1	<b>35.9</b>	34.4	30.3

\* Represents the Company’s ownership at December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003.

\*\* 1.5 million tons produced during the first five months of 2003 occurred under the management of the previous mine owners and prior to the acquisition by United Taconite in December 2003.

\*\*\* Excludes United Taconite production under previous mine ownership.

We preliminarily expect total mine production in 2006 to be approximately 35 million tons; our share of production is currently estimated to be approximately 22 million tons. The decrease in 2006 estimated production principally reflects slightly lower production levels at all mines, except United Taconite and Wabush, based upon expected customer demand, which is subject to change. Production costs per ton are

## Table of Contents

expected to increase approximately 15 percent from the 2005 cost of goods sold and operating expenses (excluding freight and venture partners' cost reimbursements) of \$42.65 per ton.

During 2004, we initiated capacity expansion projects at our United Taconite and Northshore mines in Minnesota. An idled pellet furnace at United Taconite was restarted in the fourth quarter of 2004 to add approximately 1.0 million tons (our share .7 million tons) to annual production capacity. Our plan to restart an idled furnace to increase capacity by .8 million tons at our wholly owned Northshore mine in mid-2005 was deferred until market conditions warrant increased pellet production.

As a result of a 2004 work stoppage (See Labor Contracts), Wabush lost approximately 1.7 million tons of production (our share .5 million tons). Operations resumed on October 11, 2004.

### Australian Production

Portman's production totaled 5.2 million tonnes (including its .5 million tonne share of the Cockatoo Island joint venture) since the acquisition. Portman's current estimate of total year 2006 production is 8.0 million tonnes (.6 million tonnes from Cockatoo Island). Portman currently has a \$61 million project underway to increase its wholly owned production capacity to eight million tonnes per year by the end of the first quarter of 2006.

### Increased North American Mine Ownership

#### *United Taconite*

Effective December 1, 2003, United Taconite purchased the ore mining and pelletizing assets of Eveleth Mines, LLC. Eveleth Mines had ceased mining operations in May 2003 after filing for chapter 11 bankruptcy protection on May 1, 2003. Under the terms of the purchase agreement, United Taconite purchased all of Eveleth Mines' assets for \$3 million in cash and the assumption of certain liabilities, primarily mine closure-related environmental obligations. As a result of this transaction, we, after assigning appropriate values to assets acquired and liabilities assumed, were required to record an "extraordinary gain" of \$2.2 million, net of \$.5 million tax and \$1.2 million minority interest. In conjunction with this transaction, the Company and its Wabush Mines venture partners entered into pellet sales and trade agreements with Laiwu to optimize shipping efficiency. Sales to Laiwu under these contracts totaled .3 million tons and .2 million tons in 2005 and 2004, respectively.

#### *Empire Mine*

Effective December 31, 2002, we increased our ownership in Empire from 46.7 percent to 79 percent in exchange for assumption of all mine liabilities. Under the terms of the agreement, we indemnified Ispat from obligations of Empire in exchange for certain future payments to Empire and to us by Ispat of \$120.0 million, recorded at a present value of \$59.8 million at December 31, 2005 (\$64.1 million at December 31, 2004) with \$47.8 million classified as "Long-term receivable" with the balance current, over the 12-year life of the supply agreement. A subsidiary of Mittal Steel USA has retained a 21 percent ownership in Empire, which it has a unilateral right to put to us in 2008. We are the sole outside supplier of pellets purchased under the Ispat agreement, assumed by Mittal Steel USA, for the term of the supply agreement.

#### *Tilden Mine*

On January 31, 2002, we increased our ownership in Tilden from 40 percent to 85 percent with the acquisition of Algoma's interest in Tilden for assumption of mine liabilities associated with the interest. The acquisition increased our share of the annual production capacity by 3.5 million tons. Concurrently, a term supply agreement was executed that made us the sole supplier of iron ore pellets purchased by Algoma for a 15-year period.

## Table of Contents

### *Hibbing Mine*

In July 2002, we acquired (effective retroactive to January 1, 2002) an eight percent interest in Hibbing from Bethlehem for the assumption of mine liabilities associated with the interest. The acquisition increased our ownership of Hibbing from 15 percent to 23 percent. This transaction reduced Bethlehem's ownership interest in Hibbing to 62.3 percent. In October 2001, Bethlehem filed for protection under chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. At the time of the filing, we had a trade receivable of approximately \$1.0 million, which has been written off. In May 2003, ISG purchased the assets of Bethlehem, including Bethlehem's 62.3 percent interest in Hibbing.

### *Wabush Mines*

In August 2002, Acme Steel Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Acme, which had been under chapter 11 bankruptcy protection since 1998, rejected its 15.1 percent interest in Wabush. As a result, our interest increased to 26.83 percent. Acme had discontinued funding its Wabush obligations in August 2001.

### **Effect of Mine Ownership Increases**

While none of the increases in mine ownerships during 2002 required cash payments, the ownership changes resulted in our recognizing net obligations of approximately \$93 million at December 31, 2002. Additional consolidated obligations assumed totaled approximately \$163 million at December 31, 2002, primarily related to employment and legacy obligations at the Empire and Tilden mines, partially offset by non-capital non-current assets, principally the \$58.8 million Ispat long-term receivable. United Taconite's acquisition of the Eveleth mine assets in December 2003 was for \$3 million cash and assumption of certain liabilities, primarily mine closure-related environmental obligations.

### **Labor Contracts**

In August 2004, employees at the Empire and Tilden mines in Michigan and the Hibbing Taconite and United Taconite mines in Minnesota, represented by the USWA, ratified four-year labor agreements that are comparable to other USWA contracts in the industry. The agreements provide employees a nine percent wage increase over the four-year term and for us and our partners to increase funding into pension plans and VEBAs during the term of the contracts. Accelerated funding of these plans will better secure employee retiree benefits and reduce our future years' employment legacy costs. The agreements also provide that employees and future retirees share in healthcare insurance cost, with our share of future retirees' healthcare premiums capped at 2008 levels for 2009 and beyond. In addition, the union agreed to certain workforce flexibility provisions and other work rule modifications that will improve productivity.

On July 5, 2004, the USWA initiated a strike that idled Wabush mining and concentrating facilities in Labrador, Newfoundland and pelletizing and shipping facilities in Pointe Noire, Quebec. As a result of the work stoppage, Wabush lost approximately 1.7 million tons of production (our share .5 million tons). On October 10, 2004, a five-year labor agreement was ratified by the USWA, representing hourly employees at Wabush. The agreement provides for increases in wages and benefits that are expected to be partially offset by improved productivity associated with increased worker flexibility provisions. Operations resumed on October 11, 2004.

### **Other Related Items**

The iron ore industry has been identified by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") as an industrial category that emits pollutants established by the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments. These pollutants included over 200 substances that are now classified as hazardous air pollutants ("HAP"). The EPA is required to develop rules that would require major sources of HAP to utilize Maximum Achievable Control Technology ("MACT") standards for their emissions. Pursuant to this statutory requirement, the EPA published a final rule on October 30, 2003 imposing emission limitations and other requirements on taconite iron ore processing operations. We must comply with the new requirements not later

than October 30, 2006. Our projected capital expenditures in 2006 to meet the proposed MACT standards are approximately \$4.4 million.

### *Mesabi Nugget Project*

In 2002, we agreed to participate in Phase II of the Mesabi Nugget Project (“Project”). Other participants include Kobe Steel, Ltd., Steel Dynamics, Inc., Ferrometries, Inc. and the State of Minnesota. Construction of a \$16 million pilot plant at our Northshore mine, to test and develop Kobe Steel’s technology for converting iron ore into nearly pure iron in nugget form, was completed in May 2003. The high-iron-content product could be utilized to replace steel scrap as a raw material for electric steel furnaces and blast furnaces or basic oxygen furnaces of integrated steel producers or as feedstock for the foundry industry. A third operating phase of the pilot plant test in 2004 confirmed the commercial viability of this technology. The pilot plant ended operations August 3, 2004. The product was used by four electric furnace producers and one foundry with favorable results. Preliminary construction engineering and environmental permitting activities have been initiated for two potential commercial plant locations (one in Butler, Indiana near Steel Dynamics’ steelmaking facilities and one at our Cliffs Erie site in Hoyt Lakes, Minnesota). A non-binding term sheet for a commercial plant was executed in March 2005, and a decision to proceed with construction engineering was made in April. On July 26, 2005, the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency Citizens’ Board unanimously approved environmental permitting for the Cliffs Erie site. We would be the supplier of iron ore and have a minority interest in the first commercial plant. Our contribution to the project to-date has totaled \$6.3 million (\$1.0 million in 2005), including significant contributions of in-kind facilities and services. In January 2006, our board of directors authorized \$50 million in capital expenditures for the project, subject to the Project obtaining non-recourse financing for its capital requirements in excess of equity investments made by the Project participants and the Project participants reaching mutually agreed upon terms. Our equity interest in the venture is expected to be approximately 23 percent. Included in our board’s authorization is \$21 million for construction and operation of the commercial nugget plant, \$25 million to expand the Northshore concentrator to provide the iron ore concentrate, and \$4.4 million for railroad improvements to transport the concentrate. Negotiations are continuing.

### *PolyMet*

On February 16, 2004, we entered into an option agreement with PolyMet that granted PolyMet the exclusive right to acquire certain land, crushing and concentrating and other ancillary facilities located at our Cliffs Erie site (formerly owned by LTV Steel Mining Company (“LTVSMC”)). The iron ore mining and pelletizing operations were permanently closed in January 2001.

PolyMet is a non-ferrous mining company located in Vancouver, B.C. Canada. Its stock trades Over-The-Counter in the U.S. under the symbol POMGF.OB.

Under terms of the agreement, we received \$.5 million and one million shares of PolyMet for maintaining certain identified components of the facility, while PolyMet conducted a feasibility study on the development of its Northmet PolyMetallic non-ferrous ore deposits located near the Cliffs Erie site. PolyMet had until June 30, 2006 to exercise its option and acquire the assets covered under the agreement for additional consideration. We recorded the \$.5 million option payment and one million common shares (valued at approximately \$.2 million on the agreement date) under the deposit method and deferred recognition of the gain. We classified the PolyMet shares as available for sale and recorded mark-to-market changes in the value of the shares to other comprehensive income.

On November 15, 2005, we reached an agreement with PolyMet regarding the terms for the early exercise of PolyMet’s option to acquire the assets under the agreement and closed the sales transaction resulting in a \$9.5 million pre-tax gain. Under the terms of the agreement, we received cash of \$1.0 million and approximately 6.2 million common shares of PolyMet, which closed that day at \$1.25 per share. The additional PolyMet shares received in this transaction are classified as available for sale in Other Assets. We intend to hold our shares of PolyMet indefinitely. We expect to receive additional cash proceeds of \$2.4 million in quarterly installments by and according to the terms of the contract for deed executed by the

## Table of Contents

parties. As a final component of the purchase price, PolyMet will assume certain on-going site-related environmental and reclamation obligations.

### Wisconsin Electric Power Company Dispute

Two of our mines, Tilden and Empire (“the Mines”), currently purchase their electric power from WEPCO pursuant to the terms of special contracts specifying prices based on WEPCO “actual costs.” Effective April 1, 2005, WEPCO unilaterally changed its method of calculating the energy charges to the Mines. It is the Mines’ contention that WEPCO’s new billing methodology is inconsistent with the terms of the parties’ contracts and a dispute has arisen between WEPCO and the Mines over the pricing issue. Pursuant to the terms of the relevant contracts, the undisputed amounts are being paid to WEPCO, while the disputed amounts are being deposited into an interest-bearing escrow account maintained by a bank. The dispute has been submitted to binding arbitration under the terms of the contracts. For the period ending December 31, 2005, the Mines have deposited \$75.8 million into an escrow account of which \$5.3 million was deposited in January 2006. An amount of \$73.0 million, of which \$61.3 million was included in the escrow deposits and \$11.7 million has been paid to WEPCO, will be recovered in early 2006; however, we have been advised by WEPCO that they will oppose any release of these recoverable amounts from the escrow until completion of the arbitration. Additionally, WEPCO is disputing whether we have complied with the notification provisions related to Tilden’s annual pellet production in excess of seven million tons.

### Strategic Investments

We intend to continue to pursue investment and operations management opportunities to broaden our scope as a supplier of iron ore and other raw materials to the integrated steel industry through the acquisition of additional mining interests to strengthen our market position. We are particularly focused on expanding our international investments to capitalize on global demand for steel and iron ore. Our innovative United Taconite joint venture with Laiwu Steel Group, Ltd. and our Portman acquisition are examples of our ability to expand geographically, and we intend to continue to pursue similar opportunities in other regions. In the event of any future acquisitions or joint venture opportunities, we may consider using available liquidity or other sources of funding to make investments.

### Portman Acquisition

On April 19, 2005, Cliffs Australia completed the acquisition of 80.4 percent of the outstanding shares of Portman, a Western Australia-based independent iron ore mining and exploration company. The acquisition was initiated on March 31, 2005 by the purchase of approximately 68.7 percent of the outstanding shares of Portman. The assets consist primarily of iron ore inventory, land, mineral rights and iron ore reserves. The purchase price of the 80.4 percent interest was \$433.1 million, including \$12.4 million of acquisition costs. Additionally, we incurred \$9.8 million of foreign currency hedging costs related to this transaction, which were charged to first-quarter 2005 operations. The acquisition increased our customer base in China and Japan and established our presence in the Australian mining industry. Portman’s full-year 2005 production (excluding its .6 million tonne share of the 50 percent-owned Cockatoo Island joint venture) was approximately 6.0 million tonnes. Portman currently has a \$61 million project underway that is expected to increase its wholly owned production capacity to eight million tonnes per year by the end of the first quarter of 2006. The production is fully committed to steel companies in China and Japan for approximately four years. Portman’s reserves total approximately 89 million tonnes at December 31, 2005, and it has an active exploration program underway to increase its reserves.

The acquisition and related costs were financed with existing cash and marketable securities and \$175 million of interim borrowings under a new three-year \$350 million revolving credit facility. The outstanding balance was repaid in full on July 5, 2005. See NOTE 7 — Credit facilities.

The statement of consolidated financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2005 reflects the acquisition of Portman, effective March 31, 2005, under the purchase method of accounting. Assets acquired

## Table of Contents

and liabilities assumed have been recorded at estimated fair values as of the March 31, 2005 initial acquisition date as determined by preliminary results of an appraisal, which is substantially complete.

We continue to refine our purchase accounting to reflect a preliminary allocation developed with the assistance of an outside consultant. The current allocation increased Portman's iron ore inventory values by \$49.1 million to reflect a market-based valuation. Of the \$49.1 million inventory basis adjustment, \$23.1 million was allocated to product and work in process inventories, of which approximately \$19.9 million has been included in cost of goods sold through December 31, 2005. Most of the \$3.2 million remaining inventory basis adjustment is expected to be expensed prior to the end of 2006. Additionally, a long-term lease was classified as a capital lease resulting in an increase in property, plant and equipment, and capital lease obligations, of \$26.7 million. The valuation also resulted in assignment of goodwill, \$8.8 million, and a \$20.2 million increase in the value of our 50 percent interest in Cockatoo Island. The increase in value of Cockatoo Island was based upon a discounted cash flow analysis over the remaining two-year life of its iron ore reserves. These changes reduced the value assigned to Portman's iron ore reserves by \$90.8 million. Such amounts are subject to adjustment based on the finalization of the valuations and appraisals prior to March 31, 2006. Accordingly, the revised preliminary purchase price is subject to further revision. A comparison of the revised purchase price allocation to the initial allocation is as follows:

	(In Millions)		
	<u>Revised Allocation</u>	<u>Initial Allocation</u>	<u>Change</u>
<b>ASSETS</b>			
<b>Current Assets</b>			
Cash	\$ 24.1	\$ 24.1	\$
Iron Ore Inventory	54.8	29.0	25.8
Other	35.3	35.3	
Total Current Assets	<u>114.2</u>	<u>88.4</u>	<u>25.8</u>
<b>Property, Plant and Equipment</b>			
Iron Ore Reserves	413.5	504.3	(90.8)
Other	69.1	34.7	34.4
Total Property Plant and Equipment	<u>482.6</u>	<u>539.0</u>	<u>(56.4)</u>
Long-term Stockpiles	38.7	15.4	23.3
Investment in Cockatoo Island	24.8	4.6	20.2
Other Assets	5.8	6.7	(.9)
Goodwill	8.8		8.8
Total Assets	<u>\$ 674.9</u>	<u>\$ 654.1</u>	<u>\$ 20.8</u>
<b>LIABILITIES</b>			
Current Liabilities	\$ 35.8	\$ 34.7	\$ 1.1
Long-Term Liabilities	178.8	158.1	20.7
Total Liabilities	<u>214.6</u>	<u>192.8</u>	<u>21.8</u>
Net Assets	460.3	461.3	(1.0)
Minority Interest	(27.2)	(27.5)	.3
Purchase Price	<u>\$ 433.1</u>	<u>\$ 433.8</u>	<u>\$ (.7)</u>

### Environmental and Closure Obligations

At December 31, 2005, we had environmental and closure obligations, including our share of the obligations of ventures, of \$113.0 million (\$99.0 million at December 31, 2004), of which \$13.4 million is

## Table of Contents

current. Payments in 2005 were \$5.6 million (\$6.4 million in 2004). The obligations at December 31, 2005 include certain responsibilities for environmental remediation sites, \$17.8 million, closure of LTVSMC, \$30.4 million, and obligations for closure of our six North American operating mines, \$59.3 million, and \$5.5 million for Portman.

The LTVSMC closure obligation resulted from an October 2001 transaction where our subsidiaries received a net payment of \$50 million and certain other assets and assumed environmental and certain facility closure obligations of \$50.0 million, which obligations have declined to \$30.4 million at December 31, 2005, as a result of expenditures totaling \$19.6 million since 2001.

### *Milwaukee Solvay*

In September 2002, we received a draft of a proposed Administrative Order by Consent from the EPA, for clean-up and reimbursement of costs associated with the Milwaukee Solvay coke plant site in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. The plant was operated by a predecessor of the Company from 1973 to 1983, which predecessor was acquired by the Company in 1986. In January 2003, we completed the sale of the plant site and property to a third party. Following this sale, an Administrative Order by Consent (“Consent Order”) was entered into with the EPA by the Company, the new owner and another third party who had operated on the site. In connection with the Consent Order, the new owner agreed to take responsibility for the removal action and agreed to indemnify us for all costs and expenses in connection with the removal action. In the third quarter of 2003, the new owner, after completing a portion of the removal, experienced financial difficulties. In an effort to continue progress on the removal action, we expended approximately \$1.8 million in the second half of 2003, \$2.1 million in 2004 and \$.4 million in 2005. In September 2005, we received a notice of completion from the EPA documenting that all work has been fully performed in accordance with the Consent Order.

On August 26, 2004, we received a Request for Information pursuant to Section 104(e) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (“CERCLA”) relative to the investigation of additional contamination below the ground surface at the Milwaukee Solvay site. The Request for Information was also sent to 13 other potentially responsible parties (“PRPs”). On July 14, 2005, we received a General Notice Letter from the EPA notifying us that the EPA believes we may be liable under CERCLA and requesting that we, along with other PRPs, voluntarily perform clean-up activities at the site. We have responded to the General Notice Letter indicating that there had been no communications with other PRPs but also indicating our willingness to begin the process of negotiation with the EPA and other interested parties regarding a Consent Order. Subsequently, on July 26, 2005, we received correspondence from the EPA with a proposed Consent Order and informing us that three other PRPs had also expressed interest in negotiating with the EPA. At this time, the nature and extent of the contamination, the required remediation, the total cost of the clean-up and the cost-sharing responsibilities of the PRPs cannot be determined, although the EPA has advised us that it has incurred \$.5 million in past response costs, which the EPA will seek to recover from us and the other PRPs. We increased our environmental reserve for Milwaukee Solvay by \$.5 million in 2005 for potential additional exposure.

On December 23, 2005, we entered into a letter of intent with Kinnickinnic Development Group LLC (“KK Group”) pursuant to which the KK Group would acquire and redevelop the Milwaukee Solvay Site. Under the terms of the letter of intent, KK Group would acquire our mortgage on the site in consideration for the assumption of all our environmental obligations with respect to the site and a cash payment of approximately \$2.3 million. In addition, KK Group would be required to deposit \$4 million into an escrow account to fund any remaining environmental clean-up activities on the site and to purchase insurance coverage with a \$5 million limit. We are currently drafting definitive agreements documenting this agreement. Closing of the transaction would occur within 61 days of signing definitive agreements.

### *Rio Tinto*

The Rio Tinto Mine Site is a historic underground copper mine located near Mountain City, NV, where tailings were placed in Mill Creek, a tributary to the Owyhee River. Remediation work is being conducted in accordance with a Consent Order between the Nevada Department of Environmental Protection (“NDEP”)

## Table of Contents

and the Rio Tinto Working Group (“RTWG”) composed of the Company, Atlantic Richfield Company, Teck Cominco American Incorporated, and E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company. The Consent Order provides for technical review by the U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Indian Affairs, the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service, the NDEP and the Shoshone-Paiute Tribes of the Duck Valley Reservation (collectively, “Rio Tinto Trustees”) located downstream on the Owyhee River. The Consent Order is currently projected to continue through 2006 with the objective of supporting the selection of the final remedy for the Site. Costs are shared pursuant to the terms of a Participation Agreement between the parties of the RTWG, who have reserved the right to renegotiate any future participation or cost sharing following the completion of the Consent Order.

The Rio Tinto Trustees have made available for public comment their plans for the assessment of Natural Resource Damages (“NRD”). The RTWG commented on the plans and also are in discussions with the Rio Tinto Trustees informally about those plans. The notice of plan availability is a step in the damage assessment process. The studies presented in the plan may lead to a NRD claim under CERCLA. There is no monetized NRD claim at this time.

During 2005, the focus of the RTWG has been on development of alternatives for remediation of the mine site. A draft of an alternatives study has recently been reviewed with the Rio Tinto Trustees and the alternatives have essentially been reduced to three: (1) no action; (2) long-term water treatment, and (3) removal of the tailings. The estimated costs range from approximately \$1 million to \$27 million. In recognition of the potential for an NRD claim, the parties are exploring the possibility of a global settlement that would encompass both the site decision and the NRD issues and thereby avoid the lengthy litigation typically associated with NRD. The Company’s recorded reserve of approximately \$1.2 million reflects its estimated costs for completion of the existing Consent Order and the minimum “no action” alternative based on the current Participation Agreement.

### Northshore Notice of Violation

On February 10, 2006, our Northshore mine received a Notice of Violation (“Notice”) from the EPA. The Notice cites four alleged violations: (1) that Northshore violated the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (“PSD”) requirements of the Clean Air Act in the 1990 restart of Furnaces 11 and 12; (2) that Northshore mine violated the PSD Regulations in the 1995 restart of Furnace 6; (3) Title V operating permit violations for not including in the Title V permit all applicable requirements (including a compliance schedule for PSD and Best Available Control Technology (“BACT”) requirements associated with the furnace restarts); and (4) failure to comply with calibration of monitoring equipment as required under Northshore’s Title V permit. The alleged violations relating to the restart of Furnaces 11 and 12 occurred prior to our acquisition of Northshore (formerly Cyprus Northshore Mining Company) in a share purchase in 1994. We are currently investigating the allegations contained in the Notice.

### Market Risk

We are subject to a variety of market risks, including those caused by changes in market value of equity investments, commodity prices, foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates. We have established policies and procedures to manage risks; however, certain risks are beyond our control.

Our investment policy relating to cash and cash equivalents is to preserve principal and liquidity while maximizing the return through investment of available funds. The carrying value of these investments approximates fair value on the reporting dates.

We hold investments in highly liquid auction rate securities (“ARS”) in order to generate higher than typical money market investments. ARS typically are high credit quality, generally achieved with municipal bond insurance. Credit risks are eased by the historical track record of bond insurers, which back a majority of this market. Although rare, sell orders for any security traded through a Dutch auction process could exceed bids. Such instances are usually the result of a drastic deterioration of issuer credit quality. Should there be a failed auction, we may be unable to liquidate our position in the securities in the near term.

## Table of Contents

The rising cost of energy is an important issue for us as it comprises approximately 27 percent of our North American production costs. Our North American mining ventures consumed approximately 13.6 million mmbtu's (million btu's) of natural gas and 26.5 million gallons of diesel fuel (Company share 9.6 million mmbtu's and 16.6 million gallons of diesel fuel) in 2005. In 2005, the average price paid by the North American mining ventures was \$8.00 per mmbtu for natural gas and \$1.95 per gallon for diesel fuel. Recent trends indicate that electric power, natural gas and oil costs can be expected to increase over time, although the direction and magnitude of short-term changes are difficult to predict. Our strategy to address increasing energy rates includes improving efficiency in energy usage and utilizing the lowest cost alternative fuel. We also use forward purchases of natural gas and diesel fuel to stabilize fluctuations in near-term prices. For 2006, we purchased or have forward purchase contracts for 7.8 million mmbtu's of natural gas at an average price of \$9.86 per mmbtu and 3.2 million gallons of diesel fuel at \$2.05 per gallon for our North American mining ventures.

Our mining ventures enter into forward contracts for certain commodities, primarily natural gas and diesel fuel, as a hedge against price volatility. Such contracts, which are in quantities expected to be delivered and used in the production process, are a means to limit exposure to price fluctuations. At December 31, 2005, the notional amounts of the outstanding forward contracts were \$28.6 million (our share — \$24.7 million), with an unrecognized fair value loss of \$5.2 million (our share — \$4.4 million) based on December 31, 2005 forward rates. The contracts mature at various times through December 2006. If the forward rates were to change 10 percent from the year-end rate, the value and potential cash flow effect on the contracts would be approximately \$2.0 million (our share — \$1.7 million).

Our share of Wabush Mines operation in Canada represented approximately six percent of our North American pellet production. This operation is subject to currency exchange fluctuations between the U.S. and Canadian dollars; however, we do not hedge our exposure to this currency exchange fluctuation. Between 2003 and 2005, the value of the Canadian dollar rose against the U.S. dollar from \$.64 U.S. dollar per Canadian dollar at the beginning of 2003 to \$.86 U.S. dollars per Canadian dollar at December 31, 2005, an increase of 34 percent. The average exchange rate increased to \$.83 U.S. dollar per Canadian dollar in 2005 from an average of \$.77 U.S. dollar per Canadian dollar for 2004, an increase of eight percent. We do not believe that the recent increase in the U.S./ Canadian exchange rate is a trend that will continue in the long-term; however, short-term fluctuations cannot reasonably be predicted.

We are subject to changes in foreign currency exchange rates in Australia as a result of our operations at Portman, which could impact our financial condition. Foreign exchange risk arises from our exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates because our reporting currency is the United States dollar. We do not hedge our exposure to this currency exchange fluctuation. A 10 percent movement in quoted foreign currency exchange rates could result in a fair value change of approximately \$44 million in our net investment.

Portman hedges a portion of its United States currency-denominated sales in accordance with a formal policy. The primary objective for using derivative financial instruments is to reduce the earnings volatility attributable to changes in Australian and United States currency fluctuations. The instruments are subject to formal documentation, intended to achieve qualifying hedge treatment, and are tested at inception and at each reporting period as to effectiveness. Changes in fair value for highly effective hedges are recorded as a component of other comprehensive income. Ineffective portions are charged to operations. At December 31, 2005, Portman had outstanding A\$370.1 million in the form of call options, collars, convertible collars and forward exchange contracts with varying maturity dates ranging from January 2006 to October 2008, and a fair value loss based on the December 31, 2005 exchange rate of A\$.9 million. A one percent increase in rates from the month-end rate would increase the fair value and cash flow by approximately A\$2.0 million and a one percent decrease would decrease the fair value and cash flow by approximately A\$3.6 million.

## Outlook

Although production schedules are subject to change, most operations are expected to operate at or near capacity in 2006 and total North American pellet production is expected to be approximately 35 million tons with our share representing approximately 21 million tons.

## Table of Contents

Our 2006 North American sales volume is projected to be approximately 21 million tons, compared with 22.3 million tons in 2005. Revenue per ton from iron ore sales and services is dependent upon several price adjustment factors included in our term sales contracts, primarily the percentage change from 2005 to 2006 in the international pellet price for blast furnace pellets, PPI and actual revenue for steel sales for one of our customers.

Following is the estimated impact to our average North American revenue per ton from iron ore sales and services (excluding freight and venture partners' cost reimbursements) based on 2005 realization of \$58.77 per ton:

	2006 Revenue Effect (Change from 2005)	
	Percent	Price Per Ton
Potential Increase (Decrease):		
Each 10 Percent Change in International Pellet Price	3.5%	\$ 2.05
Each 10 Percent Change in PPI — Industrial Commodities less Fuel	2.1	1.25
Each 10 Percent Change in PPI — Fuel and Related Products	1.1	.64
Each \$10 Per Ton Change from \$520 Per Ton		
Average Hot Rolled Coil Price Realization*	.5	.29
Known Year-Over-Year Increase**	5.6	3.28

\* Valid for decreases through \$400 per ton; no upper limit.

\*\* Increase represents a combination of contractual base price increase, lag year adjustments and capped pricing on one contract.

Portman's estimate of 2006 sales is 7.9 million tonnes. Portman's estimate of 2006 production is 8.0 million tonnes, including .6 million from Cockatoo Island.

North American production costs per ton are expected to increase approximately 15 percent from the 2005 cost of goods sold and operating expenses (excluding freight and venture partners' cost reimbursements) of \$42.65 per ton.

As we look forward to 2006, we are concerned about the rising costs of much of our purchased energy and materials. While PPI escalation factors in our North American sales contracts will recover some of the expected inflation, we will need continued levels of solid steel pricing and an improved international iron ore price in order to maintain our sales margins.

### Critical Accounting Policies

Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations is based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP"). Preparation of financial statements requires management to make assumptions, estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, costs and expenses, and the related disclosures of contingencies. Management bases its estimates on various assumptions and historical experience, which are believed to be reasonable; however, due to the inherent nature of estimates, actual results may differ significantly due to changed conditions or assumptions. On a regular basis, management reviews the accounting policies, assumptions, estimates and judgments to ensure that our financial statements are fairly presented in accordance with GAAP. However, because future events and their effects cannot be determined with certainty, actual results could differ from our assumptions and estimates, and such differences could be material. Management believes that the following critical accounting estimates and judgments have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

## Table of Contents

### *Revenue Recognition*

See “Accounting Policies” in Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, for a complete discussion of our revenue recognition policy.

Most of our term supply agreements contain provisions for annual pricing adjustments. These provisions vary from agreement to agreement but typically include adjustments based upon changes in specified PPI including those for all commodities, industrial commodities, energy and steel, as well as changes in international pellet prices. For example, one of our term supply agreements contains a price adjustment provision that is based on changes in the world pellet price, as well as the PPI for all commodities and for steel. Each component constitutes one-third of the price adjustment. Other term supply agreements contain different adjustment factors, such as the PPI for fuel and related products, the Eastern Canadian Pellet Prices and steel prices. The adjustments generally operate in the same manner, with each factor typically comprising a portion of the price adjustment, although the weighting of each factor differs from agreement to agreement. One of our term supply agreements contains price collars, which typically limit the percentage increase or decrease in prices for our iron ore pellets during any one year. In most cases, these adjustment factors have not been finalized at the time our product is sold; we routinely estimate these adjustment factors for purposes of revenue recognition. Certain supply agreements with one customer include provisions for supplemental revenue or refunds based on the customer’s annual steel pricing at the time the product is consumed in the customer’s blast furnaces. We estimate these amounts for recognition at the time of sale. Our 2005 revenues included \$9.0 million of supplemental revenue on 2005 sales based on estimates of the customer’s 2006 steel pricing.

Estimated supplemental payments, totaling \$9.2 million, related to sales to one of the customer’s indefinitely idled facilities, have not been included in revenue. The pellets sold to this facility in 2005 have not been consumed and no definitive timetable for consumption or other disposition of these pellets has been determined.

Our rationale for shipping North American iron ore products to some customers in advance of payment for the products is to more closely relate timing of payment by customers to consumption, which also provides additional liquidity to our customers. Generally, our North American term supply agreements specify that title and risk of loss pass to the customer when payment for the pellets is received. This is a revenue recognition practice utilized to reduce our financial risk to customer insolvency. This practice is not believed to be widely used throughout the balance of the industry.

Revenue is recognized on services when the services are performed.

Where we are joint venture participants in the ownership of a North American mine, our contracts entitle us to receive royalties and management fees, which we earn as the pellets are produced.

Portman’s sales revenue is recognized at the F.O.B. point, which is generally when the product is loaded into the vessel. Foreign currency revenues are converted to Australian dollars at the currency exchange rate in effect at the time of the transaction.

### *Self-Insurance/Deductible Reserves*

We are largely self-insured with respect to employee non-occupational medical claims, and maintain workers’ compensation and general liability insurance programs where we retain an obligation for a portion of the claims through self-insured retentions or deductibles. We maintain an accrual for the estimated cost to settle open claims as well as incurred but not reported claims. These estimates take into consideration valuations provided by third-party actuaries and administrators, historical claims experience and current trends in claim costs, applicable deductible or retention levels under insured programs, changes in our business and workforce, and general economic factors and other assumptions that are reasonable to the circumstances. The estimated accruals for these liabilities could be affected if future occurrences and claims differ from assumptions used and historical trends. These accruals are reviewed on a quarterly basis, or more frequently if factors dictate a more frequent review is warranted.

## Table of Contents

### *Litigation Accruals*

We are subject to proceedings, lawsuits and other claims. We are required to assess the likelihood of any adverse judgments or outcomes to these matters as well as the potential ranges of probable losses. A determination of the amount of accrual required, if any, for these contingencies is made after careful analysis of each matter. The required accrual may change in the future due to new developments in each matter or changes in approach, such as a change in settlement strategy in dealing with these matters. We do not believe that any such matter will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

### *Tax Contingencies*

Domestic and foreign tax authorities periodically audit our income tax returns. These audits include questions regarding our tax-filing positions, including the timing and amount of deductions and allocation of income among various tax jurisdictions. At any time, multiple tax years are subject to audit by the various tax authorities. In evaluating the exposures associated with our various tax-filing positions, we record reserves for exposures on a probable basis. A number of years may elapse before a particular matter, for which we have established a reserve, is audited and fully resolved. When the actual results of a settlement with tax authorities differs from our established reserve for a matter, we adjust our tax contingencies reserve and income tax provision in the period in which the income tax matter is resolved.

### *Iron Ore Reserves*

We regularly evaluate our economic iron ore reserves and update them as required in accordance with SEC Industry Guide 7. The estimated ore reserves could be affected by future industry conditions, geological conditions and ongoing mine planning. Maintenance of effective production capacity or the ore reserve could require increases in capital and development expenditures. Generally as mining operations progress, haul lengths and lifts increase. Alternatively, changes in economic conditions, or the expected quality of ore reserves could decrease capacity or ore reserves. Technological progress could alleviate such factors, or increase capacity or ore reserves. Based on revised economic mine-planning studies, we reduced the estimates of the ore reserves at the Empire mine from 116 million tons at December 31, 2001 to 63 million tons at December 31, 2002 and further to 29 million tons at December 31, 2003. There was no change in 2004 except for production of 5.4 million tons, but in 2005, the estimated ore reserves were decreased to approximately 17 million tons. The 2005 reduction was due to production of 4.8 million tons and 2.0 million tons for the elimination of the remaining reserve in the CD-II deposit because these ores were found to be too difficult to process and had high incremental costs.

We also completed revised economic mine planning studies in the fourth quarter of 2002 for Wabush, and reduced the estimate of ore reserves at Wabush from 244 million tons to 94 million tons due to increasing mining and processing costs. Based on an update to those studies completed in the fourth quarter of 2003, we further significantly reduced the Wabush mine ore reserve estimate to 61 million tons. Our reserves at Wabush were approximately 51 million tons at December 31, 2005, with the reduction since 2003 primarily attributable to production and increased operating costs. The revised Wabush estimate is largely a reflection of increased operating costs, especially due to dewatering, and the impact of currency exchange rate changes.

We use our ore reserve estimates to determine the mine closure dates utilized in recording the fair value liability for asset retirement obligations. See Note 6 — Environmental and Mine Closure Obligations — Mine Closure in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Since the liability represents the present value of the expected future obligation, a significant change in ore reserves would have a substantial effect on the recorded obligation. We also utilize economic ore reserves for evaluating potential impairments of mine assets and in determining maximum useful lives utilized to calculate depreciation and amortization of long-lived mine assets. Decreases in ore reserves could significantly affect these items.

### *Asset Retirement Obligations*

The accrued mine closure obligations for our active mining operations reflect the adoption of SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations," effective January 1, 2002 to provide for

## Table of Contents

contractual and legal obligations associated with the eventual closure of the mining operations. Our obligations are determined based on detailed estimates adjusted for factors that an outside party would consider (i.e., inflation, overhead and profit), which were escalated (at an assumed three percent) to the estimated closure dates, and then discounted using a credit-adjusted risk-free interest rate of 10.25 percent (12.0 percent for United Taconite and 5.5 percent for Portman). The estimates at December 31, 2005 were revised using a three percent escalation factor and a six percent credit-adjusted risk-free discount rate for the incremental increases in the closure cost estimates. The closure date for each location was determined based on the exhaustion date of the remaining iron ore reserves. The estimated obligations are particularly sensitive to the impact of changes in mine lives given the difference between the inflation and discount rates. Changes in the base estimates of legal and contractual closure costs due to changed legal or contractual requirements, available technology, inflation, overhead or profit rates would also have a significant impact on the recorded obligations. See Note 6 — Environmental and Mine Closure Obligations — Mine Closure in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

### *Asset Impairment*

We monitor conditions that indicate that the carrying value of an asset or asset group may be impaired. We determine impairment based on the asset's ability to generate cash flow greater than its carrying value, utilizing an undiscounted probability-weighted analysis. If the analysis indicates the asset is impaired, the carrying value is adjusted to fair value. Fair value can be determined by market value and also comparable sales transactions or using a discounted cash flow method. The impairment analysis and fair value determination can result in significantly different outcomes based on critical assumptions and estimates including the quantity and quality of remaining economic ore reserves, and future iron ore prices and production costs. See Note 1 — Operations and Customers — Empire Mine and Wabush Mines and Note 4 — Discontinued Operation in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

### *Environmental Remediation Costs*

We have a formal code of environmental protection and restoration. Our obligations for known environmental problems at active and closed mining operations and other sites have been recognized based on estimates of the cost of investigation and remediation at each site. If the estimate can only be estimated as a range of possible amounts, with no specific amount being most likely, the minimum of the range is accrued. Management reviews its environmental remediation sites quarterly to determine if additional cost adjustments or disclosures are required. The characteristics of environmental remediation obligations, where information concerning the nature and extent of clean-up activities is not immediately available, or changes in regulatory requirements, result in a significant risk of increase to the obligations as they mature. Expected future expenditures are not discounted to present value. Potential insurance recoveries are not recognized until realized.

### *Employee Retirement Benefit Obligations*

The Company and its mining ventures sponsor defined benefit pension plans covering substantially all employees. These plans are largely noncontributory, and except for U.S. salaried employees, benefits are generally based on employees' years of service and average earnings for a defined period prior to retirement.

Additionally, the Company and its ventures provide postretirement medical and life insurance benefits ("OPEBs") to most full-time employees who meet certain length-of-service and age requirements. Our pension and medical costs (including OPEB) have increased substantially over the past several years. Lower interest rates, lower asset returns and continued escalation of medical costs have been the predominant causes of the increases. We have taken actions to control pension and medical costs. Effective July 1, 2003, we implemented changes to U.S. salaried employee plans to reduce costs by more than an estimated \$8.0 million on an annualized basis. Benefits under the current defined benefit formula were frozen for affected U.S. salaried employees and a new cash balance formula was instituted. Increases in affected U.S. salaried retiree healthcare co-pays became effective for retirements after June 30, 2003. A cap on our share of annual

## Table of Contents

medical premiums was also implemented for existing and future U.S. salaried retirees. Pursuant to the four-year U.S. labor agreements reached with the USWA, effective August 1, 2004, OPEB expense for 2004 and the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation (“APBO”) decreased \$4.9 million and \$48.0 million, respectively, to reflect negotiated plan changes, which capped our share of future bargaining unit retirees’ healthcare premiums at 2008 levels for the years 2009 and beyond. OPEB expense decreased \$10.6 million in 2005 as a result of the agreement. The new agreements also provide that the Company and its partners fund an estimated \$220 million into bargaining unit pension plans and VEBAs during the term of the contracts.

On December 8, 2003, Congress passed the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (“Medicare Act”). In May 2004, FASB issued Staff Position No. 106-2 (“FSP 106-2”), “Accounting and Disclosure Requirements Related to the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003,” which supersedes FSP 106-1. FSP 106-2 provides guidance on the accounting for the effects of the Medicare Act for employers that sponsor postretirement health care plans that provide prescription drug benefits and requires certain disclosures regarding the effect of the subsidy provided by the Medicare Act. We adopted FSP 106-2 in the second quarter of 2004 and applied the retroactive transition method. As a result, annual OPEB expense reflected annual pre-tax cost reductions of approximately \$3.6 million and \$4.1 million in 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Following is a summary of our defined benefit pension and OPEB funding and expense for the years 2003 through 2006:

	(In Millions)			
	Pension		OPEB	
	Funding	Expense	Funding	Expense
2003	\$ 6.4	\$ 32.0	\$ 17.0	\$ 29.1
2004	63.0	23.1	30.9	28.5
2005	40.6	20.7	31.8	17.9
2006 (Estimated)	46.2	23.1	37.6	16.6

Assumptions used in determining the benefit obligations and the value of plan assets for defined benefit pension plans and postretirement benefit plans (primarily retiree healthcare benefits) offered by the Company and its unconsolidated ventures are evaluated periodically by management in conjunction with outside actuaries. Critical assumptions, such as the discount rate used to measure the benefit obligations, the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets, and the medical care cost trend are reviewed annually. At December 31, 2005 we reduced our discount rate for U.S. plans to 5.50 percent from 5.75 percent at December 31, 2004, and reduced our discount rate for Canadian plans to 5.00 percent from 5.75 percent at December 31, 2004. Additionally, at December 31, 2005, we adopted the 1994 Group Annuity Mortality (“GAM”) table to determine the expected life of our plan participants, replacing the 1983 GAM table. Following are sensitivities on estimated 2006 pension and OPEB expense of potential further changes in these key assumptions:

	Increase in 2006 Expense (In Millions)	
	Pension	OPEB
	\$	\$
Decrease discount rate .25 percent	1.8	.5
Decrease return on assets 1 percent	5.7	1.1
Increase medical trend rate 1 percent	N/A	4.8

Changes in actuarial assumptions, including discount rates, employee retirement rates, mortality, compensation levels, plan asset investment performance, and healthcare costs, are selected by the Company after consulting with outside actuaries. Changes in actuarial assumptions and/or investment performance of plan assets can have a significant impact on our financial condition due to the magnitude of our retirement obligations. See Note 9 — Retirement Related Benefits in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Table of Contents

### *Accounting for Business Combinations*

During 2005, we completed the acquisition of 80.4 percent of Portman. We allocated the purchase price to assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their relative fair value at the date of acquisition, pursuant to SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations." In estimating the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, we consider information obtained during our due diligence process and utilize various valuation methods, including market prices, where available, appraisals, comparisons to transactions for similar assets and liabilities and present value of estimated future cash flows. We are required to make subjective estimates in connection with these valuations and allocations.

### **Forward-Looking Statements**

This report contains statements that constitute "forward-looking statements." These forward-looking statements may be identified by the use of predictive, future-tense or forward-looking terminology, such as "believes," "anticipates," "expects," "estimates," "intends," "may," "will" or similar terms. These statements speak only as of the date of this report, and we undertake no ongoing obligation, other than that imposed by law, to update these statements. These statements appear in a number of places in this report and include statements regarding our intent, belief or current expectations of our directors or our officers with respect to, among other things:

- trends affecting our financial condition, results of operations or future prospects;
- estimates of our economic iron ore reserves;
- our business and growth strategies;
- our financing plans and forecasts; and
- the potential existence of significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting that may be identified during the performance of testing under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

You are cautioned that any such forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve significant risks and uncertainties, and that actual results may differ materially from those contained in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, some of which are unknown. The factors that could adversely affect our actual results and performance include, without limitation:

- decreased steel production in North America caused by global overcapacity of steel, intense competition in the steel industry, increased imports of steel into the United States, consolidation in the steel industry, cyclicity in the steel market and other factors, all of which could result in decreased demand for our iron ore products;
- use by steel makers of products other than North American and Australian iron ore in the production of steel;
- uncertainty about the continued demand for steel to support rapid industrial growth in China;
- the highly competitive nature of the iron ore mining industry;
- our dependence on our North American term supply agreements with a limited number of customers as the North American and global steel industries consolidation continues (as evidenced by the merger of ISG and Ispat to form Mittal and the pending acquisition of Arcelor S.A. and Dofasco Inc.);
- changes in demand for our products under the requirements contracts we have with our customers;
- the provisions of our North American term supply agreements, including price adjustment provisions that may not allow us to match international prices for iron ore products;
- fluctuations in international prices for iron ore that may negatively impact our profitability;

## Table of Contents

- the substantial costs of mine closures, and the uncertainties regarding mine life and estimates of ore reserves;
- uncertainty relating to our North American customers' pending bankruptcies or reorganization proceedings, and the creditworthiness of our customers;
- uncertainty relating to the outcome of any contractual disputes with our customers;
- our change in strategy from a manager of iron ore mines to primarily a merchant of iron ore to steel company customers;
- increases in the cost or length of time required to complete capacity expansions;
- inability of the capacity expansions to achieve expected additional production volumes;
- our reliance on our joint venture partners to meet their obligations;
- unanticipated geological conditions, natural disasters, the nature and extent of disruptions in the economy from terrorist activities, interruptions in electrical or other power sources and equipment failures, which could cause shutdowns or production curtailments for us or our steel industry customers;
- increases in our costs and availability of equipment, supplies, electrical power, fuel or other energy sources;
- uncertainties relating to governmental regulation of our mines and our processing facilities, including under environmental laws;
- uncertainties relating to our pension plans;
- uncertainties relating to our ability to identify and consummate any strategic investments;
- adverse changes in currency values;
- uncertainties related to the appraisal of acquisitions and the related allocation of purchase price to the acquired assets and assumed liabilities;
- uncertainties relating to labor relations, including the potential for, and duration of, work stoppages;
- uncertainty relating to contractual disputes with any of our significant energy, material or service providers; and
- the success of cost-savings efforts.

You are urged to carefully consider these factors and the “— Risks Relating to the Company” above. All forward-looking statements attributable to us are expressly qualified in their entirety by the foregoing cautionary statements.

### **Item 7A. *Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures About Market Risk***

Information regarding our Market Risk is presented under the caption “Market Risk,” which is included in Item 7 and is incorporated by reference and made a part hereof.

## Table of Contents

### Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

#### Statement of Consolidated Operations

Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries

	Year Ended December 31 (In Millions, Except Per Share Amounts)		
	2005	2004	2003
REVENUES FROM PRODUCT SALES AND SERVICES			
Iron Ore	\$ 1,512.2	\$ 995.0	\$ 686.8
Freight and venture partners' cost reimbursements	227.3	208.1	138.3
	<u>1,739.5</u>	<u>1,203.1</u>	<u>825.1</u>
COST OF GOODS SOLD AND OPERATING EXPENSES	<u>(1,350.5)</u>	<u>(1,053.6)</u>	<u>(835.0)</u>
SALES MARGIN	389.0	149.5	(9.9)
OTHER OPERATING INCOME (EXPENSE)			
Royalties and management fee revenue	13.1	11.3	10.6
Casualty insurance recoveries	12.3		
Administrative, selling and general expenses	(47.9)	(33.1)	(25.1)
Impairment of mining assets		(5.8)	(2.6)
Customer bankruptcy recoveries (exposures)	2.0	(1.6)	(7.5)
Restructuring (charge) credit		.2	(8.7)
Miscellaneous — net	(12.0)	(2.9)	(5.1)
	<u>(32.5)</u>	<u>(31.9)</u>	<u>(38.4)</u>
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)	356.5	117.6	(48.3)
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)			
Gain on sale of ISG common stock		152.7	
Gain on sale of asset to PolyMet	9.5		
Interest income	13.9	11.5	10.6
Interest expense	(4.5)	(.8)	(4.6)
Other — net	(7.3)	4.2	7.1
	<u>11.6</u>	<u>167.6</u>	<u>13.1</u>
INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME TAXES AND MINORITY INTEREST	368.1	285.2	(35.2)
INCOME TAX CREDIT (EXPENSE)	(84.8)	35.0	.3
MINORITY INTEREST (net of tax \$5.4 million)	(10.1)		
INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	273.2	320.2	(34.9)
INCOME (LOSS) FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS (net of tax \$.4 million in 2005 and \$.3 million in 2004)	(.8)	3.4	
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE EXTRAORDINARY GAIN AND CUMULATIVE EFFECT OF ACCOUNTING CHANGE	272.4	323.6	(34.9)
EXTRAORDINARY GAIN (Net of: tax \$.5 million; minority interest \$1.7)	5.2		2.2
CUMULATIVE EFFECT OF ACCOUNTING CHANGE (net of tax \$2.8 million)	<u>5.2</u>		
NET INCOME (LOSS)	277.6	323.6	(32.7)
PREFERRED STOCK DIVIDENDS	(5.6)	(5.3)	
INCOME (LOSS) APPLICABLE TO COMMON SHARES	<u>\$ 272.0</u>	<u>\$ 318.3</u>	<u>\$ (32.7)</u>
EARNINGS (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE — BASIC			
Continuing operations	\$ 12.32	\$ 14.78	\$ (1.70)
Discontinued operations	(.04)	.16	
Extraordinary gain			.10
Cumulative effect of accounting changes	.24		
EARNINGS (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE — BASIC	<u>\$ 12.52</u>	<u>\$ 14.94</u>	<u>\$ (1.60)</u>
EARNINGS (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE — DILUTED			
Continuing operations	\$ 9.81	\$ 11.68	\$ (1.70)
Discontinued operations	(.03)	.12	
Extraordinary gain			.10
Cumulative effect of accounting changes	.19		
EARNINGS (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE — DILUTED	<u>\$ 9.97</u>	<u>\$ 11.80</u>	<u>\$ (1.60)</u>
AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES (In thousands)			
Basic	21,728	21,308	20,512
Diluted	27,836	27,421	20,512

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

## Table of Contents

### Statement of Consolidated Financial Position

Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries

	December 31 (In Millions)	
	2005	2004
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>CURRENT ASSETS</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 192.8	\$ 216.9
Marketable securities	9.9	182.7
Trade accounts receivable — net	53.7	54.1
Receivables from associated companies	5.4	3.5
Product inventories	119.1	108.2
Work in process inventories	56.7	15.8
Supplies and other inventories	70.5	59.6
Deferred and refundable taxes	12.1	41.5
Deposits in escrow	73.0	16.5
Other	42.8	32.6
<b>TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS</b>	<b>636.0</b>	<b>731.4</b>
<b>PROPERTIES</b>		
Plant and equipment	557.5	416.5
Land rights and mineral rights	421.8	20.9
	<b>979.3</b>	<b>437.4</b>
Allowances for depreciation and depletion	(176.5)	(153.5)
<b>NET PROPERTIES</b>	<b>802.8</b>	<b>283.9</b>
<b>OTHER ASSETS</b>		
Prepaid pensions — salaried	80.4	71.2
Long-term receivables	48.7	52.1
Deferred income taxes	66.5	44.2
Deposits and miscellaneous	53.8	20.8
Other investments	34.0	15.6
Intangible pension asset	13.9	12.6
Marketable securities	10.6	.5
<b>TOTAL OTHER ASSETS</b>	<b>307.9</b>	<b>217.0</b>
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$ 1,746.7</b>	<b>\$ 1,232.3</b>

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

## Table of Contents

### Statement of Consolidated Financial Position

Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries — (Continued)

	December 31 (In Millions)	
	2005	2004
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES</b>		
Accounts payable	\$ 122.9	\$ 73.3
Accrued employment costs	47.4	41.3
Pensions	45.3	31.0
Other postretirement benefits	36.6	34.9
Income taxes	29.1	15.0
State and local taxes	22.2	21.9
Environmental and mine closure obligations	13.4	6.0
Accrued expenses	28.9	21.7
Payables to associated companies	7.7	4.6
Other	9.2	7.4
<b>TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES</b>	<b>362.7</b>	<b>257.1</b>
<b>POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFIT LIABILITIES</b>		
Pensions, including minimum pension liability	119.6	113.9
Other postretirement benefits	85.2	102.7
<b>TOTAL POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFIT LIABILITIES</b>	<b>204.8</b>	<b>216.6</b>
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL AND MINE CLOSURE OBLIGATIONS</b>	<b>87.3</b>	<b>82.4</b>
<b>DEFERRED INCOME TAXES</b>	<b>116.7</b>	
<b>OTHER LIABILITIES</b>	<b>79.4</b>	<b>49.7</b>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>850.9</b>	<b>605.8</b>
<b>MINORITY INTEREST</b>	<b>71.7</b>	<b>30.0</b>
<b>3.25% REDEEMABLE CUMULATIVE CONVERTIBLE PERPETUAL PREFERRED STOCK — ISSUED 172,500 SHARES</b>	<b>172.5</b>	<b>172.5</b>
<b>SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Preferred stock — no par value		
Class A — 3,000,000 shares authorized, 172,500 issued and outstanding		
Class B — 4,000,000 shares authorized and unissued		
Common Shares — par value \$.50 a share		
Authorized — 56,000,000 shares;		
Issued — 33,655,882 shares	16.8	16.8
Capital in excess of par value of shares	93.9	86.3
Retained Earnings	824.2	565.3
Cost of 11,740,385 Common Shares in treasury (2004 — 12,057,110 shares)	(164.3)	(169.4)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(125.6)	(81.0)
Unearned compensation	6.6	6.0
<b>TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>	<b>651.6</b>	<b>424.0</b>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>	<b>\$ 1,746.7</b>	<b>\$ 1,232.3</b>

See notes to consolidated financial statements.





## Statement of Consolidated Shareholders' Equity

Cleveland-Cliffs and Consolidated Subsidiaries

	(In Millions)						
	Common Shares	Capital in Excess of Par Value of Shares	Retained Earnings	Common Shares in Treasury	Other Compre- hensive Income (Loss)	Unearned Compens- ation	Total
January 1, 2003	\$ 16.8	\$ 69.7	\$ 288.4	\$ (182.2)	\$ (110.7)	\$ (2.7)	\$ 79.3
Comprehensive income							
Net loss			(32.7)				(32.7)
Other comprehensive income							
Unrealized gain on securities					144.9		144.9
Minimum pension liability					22.2		22.2
Total comprehensive income							134.4
Stock options exercised		1.1		4.9			6.0
Stock and other incentive plans		3.5		3.7		1.2	8.4
December 31, 2003	16.8	74.3	255.7	(173.6)	56.4	(1.5)	228.1
Comprehensive income							
Net income			323.6				323.6
Other comprehensive income							
Minimum pension liability					7.3		7.3
Unrealized gain on securities					.2		.2
Reclassification adjustment — included in net income					(144.9)		(144.9)
Total comprehensive income							186.2
Stock options exercised		8.1		9.8			17.9
Stock and other incentive plans		3.9		.9		7.5	12.3
Issuance cost — Convertible Preferred Stock			(6.5)				(6.5)
Repurchases of Common Stock				(6.5)			(6.5)
Preferred Stock dividends			(5.3)				(5.3)
Common Stock dividends			(2.2)				(2.2)
December 31, 2004	16.8	86.3	565.3	(169.4)	(81.0)	6.0	424.0
<b>Comprehensive income</b>							
<b>Net income</b>			<b>277.6</b>				<b>277.6</b>
<b>Other comprehensive income</b>							
<b>Minimum pension liability</b>					<b>(19.5)</b>		<b>(19.5)</b>
<b>Unrealized gain on securities</b>					<b>1.5</b>		<b>1.5</b>
<b>Unrealized loss on Foreign     Currency Translation</b>					<b>(24.7)</b>		<b>(24.7)</b>
<b>Hedge reserve</b>					<b>(1.9)</b>		<b>(1.9)</b>
<b>Total comprehensive income</b>							<b>233.0</b>
Stock options exercised		3.2		2.4			5.6
Stock and other incentive plans		4.4		2.7		.6	7.7
Preferred Stock dividends			(5.6)				(5.6)
Common Stock dividends			(13.1)				(13.1)
December 31, 2005	<u>\$ 16.8</u>	<u>\$ 93.9</u>	<u>\$ 824.2</u>	<u>\$ (164.3)</u>	<u>\$ (125.6)</u>	<u>\$ 6.6</u>	<u>\$ 651.6</u>

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

## Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries

### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

#### Two-for-One Stock Split

On November 9, 2004, the Board of Directors of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc (the “Company,” “we,” “us,” “our,” and “Cliffs”) approved a two-for-one stock split of our Common Shares with a corresponding decrease in par value from \$1.00 to \$.50. The record date for the stock split was December 15, 2004 with a distribution date of December 31, 2004. Accordingly, all Common Shares, per share amounts, stock compensation plans and preferred stock conversion rates have been adjusted retroactively to reflect the stock split.

#### Accounting Policies

**Business:** We are the largest supplier of iron ore pellets to integrated steel companies in North America. We manage and own interests in North American mines and own ancillary companies providing transportation and other services to the mines.

On April 19, 2005, Cleveland-Cliffs Australia Pty Limited (“Cliffs Australia”), an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, completed the acquisition of 80.4 percent of Portman Limited’s (“Portman”) common stock. The acquisition was initiated on March 31, 2005 by the purchase of approximately 68.7 percent of the outstanding shares of Portman. The Statement of Consolidated Financial Position of the Company as of December 31, 2005 reflects the acquisition of Portman, effective March 31, 2005, under the purchase method of accounting. The 2005 results include revenue and expenses of Portman since the date of acquisition. See NOTE 3 — Portman Acquisition for further discussion.

**Basis of Consolidation:** The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its majority-owned subsidiaries, including:

- Tilden Mining Company L.C. (“Tilden”) in Michigan; consolidated since January 31, 2002, when we increased our ownership from 40 percent to 85 percent;
- Empire Iron Mining Partnership (“Empire”) in Michigan; consolidated effective December 31, 2002, when we increased our ownership from 46.7 percent to 79 percent;
- United Taconite LLC (“United Taconite”) in Minnesota; consolidated since December 1, 2003, when we acquired a 70 percent ownership interest; (see Note 1 — Operations and Customers — United Taconite).
- Portman in Western Australia; consolidated since March 31, 2005 when we initiated an acquisition by the purchase of approximately 68.7 percent of the outstanding shares. On April 19, 2005 we completed the acquisition of an additional 11.7 percent of the outstanding shares, increasing our ownership to 80.4 percent of Portman’s common stock.

Intercompany accounts are eliminated in consolidation. Investments in joint ventures in which our ownership is 50 percent or less, or in which we do not have control but have the ability to exercise significant influence over operating and financial policies, are accounted for under the equity method. Our share of equity income (if any) is eliminated against consolidated product inventory upon production, and against cost of goods sold and operating expenses when sold. This effectively reduces our cost for our share of the mining venture’s production to its cost, reflecting the cost-based nature of our participation in non-consolidated ventures.

“Other Investments” include our 26.83 percent equity interest in Wabush Mines (“Wabush”) and related entities; and Portman’s 50 percent interest in the Cockatoo Island Joint Venture, which we do not control. Our 23 percent equity interest in Hibbing Taconite Company (“Hibbing”), an unincorporated joint venture in Minnesota, which we do not control, was a net liability, and accordingly, was classified as “Other Liabilities.” Cliffs and Associates Limited (“CAL”) results are included in “Discontinued Operations” in the Statement of Consolidated Operations. See Note 4 — Discontinued Operations.

Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

**Revenue Recognition:** Revenue is recognized on the sale of products when title to the product has transferred to the customer in accordance with the specified terms of each term supply agreement. Generally, our North American term supply agreements provide that title transfers to the customer when payment is received. Under some term supply agreements, we ship the product to ports on the lower Great Lakes and/or to the customer's facilities prior to the transfer of title. Certain sales contracts with one customer include provisions for supplemental revenue or refunds based on the customer's annual steel pricing at the time the product is consumed in the customer's blast furnaces. We estimate these amounts for recognition at the time of sale when it is deemed probable that they will be realized. Estimated supplemental payments (on 1.1 million tons), which at current pricing would have amounted to approximately \$9.2 million, related to sales to one of the customer's indefinitely idled facilities, have not been included in revenue. The pellets sold to this facility in 2005 have not been consumed and no definitive timetable for consumption or other disposition of these pellets has been determined. Revenue for the year from product sales includes reimbursement for freight charges (\$70.5 million — 2005; \$71.7 million — 2004; \$59.2 million — 2003) paid on behalf of customers and cost reimbursement (\$156.8 million — 2005; \$136.4 million — 2004; \$79.1 million — 2003) from venture partners for their share of mine costs.

Our rationale for shipping iron ore products to customers in advance of payment for the products is to more closely relate timing of payment by customers to consumption, thereby providing additional liquidity to our customers. Title and risk of loss do not pass to the customer until payment for the pellets is received. This is a revenue recognition practice utilized to reduce our financial risk to customer insolvency. This practice is not believed to be widely used throughout the balance of the industry.

Revenue is recognized on the sale of services when the services are performed.

Where we are joint venture participants in the ownership of a mine, our contracts entitle us to receive royalties and management fees, which we earn as the pellets are produced.

Portman's sales revenue is recognized at the F.O.B. point, which is generally when the product is loaded into the vessel. Revenues denominated in a foreign currency are converted to Australian dollars at the currency exchange rate in effect at the time of the transaction.

**Business Risk:** The major business risk we face is lower customer consumption of iron ore from our mines, which may result from competition from other iron ore suppliers; increased use of iron ore substitutes, including imported semi-finished steel; customers rationalization or financial failure; or decreased North American steel production, resulting from increased imports or lower steel consumption. Our pellet sales are concentrated with a relatively few number of customers. Unmitigated loss of sales would have a greater impact on operating results and cash flow than revenue, due to the high level of fixed costs in the iron ore mining business and the high cost to idle or close mines. In the event of a venture participant's failure to perform, remaining solvent venturers, including the Company, may be required to assume and record additional material obligations. The premature closure of a mine due to the loss of a significant customer or the failure of a venturer would accelerate substantial employment and mine shutdown costs. See Note 1 — Operations and Customers.

**Use of Estimates:** The preparation of financial statements, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from estimates.

**Cash Equivalents:** We consider investments in highly liquid debt instruments with an initial maturity of three months or less at the date of purchase to be cash equivalents.

Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

**Marketable Securities:** We determine the appropriate classification of debt and equity securities at the time of purchase and re-evaluate such designation as of each balance sheet date. At December 31, 2005 and 2004, we had \$9.9 million and \$182.7 million, respectively, in highly-liquid auction rate securities (“ARS”), classified as trading with changes in market value, if any, included in income. We invest in ARS to generate higher returns than traditional money market investments. Although these securities have long-term stated contractual maturities, they can be presented for redemption at auction when rates are reset which is typically every 7, 28 or 35 days. As a result, we classify these securities as current assets. We had no realized or unrealized gains or losses related to these securities during the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004. All income, including any gains or losses related to these investments was recorded as interest income. In accordance with our investment policy, we only invest in ARS with high credit quality issuers and limit the amount of investment exposure to any one issuer.

At December 31, 2005 and 2004, we had \$10.6 million and \$.5 million, respectively, of non-current marketable securities, classified as “available for sale,” which are stated at fair value, with unrealized holding gains and losses included in other comprehensive income. See Note 15 — “Fair Value of Financial Instruments” for further information.

**Derivative Financial Instruments:** In the normal course of business, we enter into forward contracts for the purchase of commodities, primarily natural gas and diesel fuel, which are used in our operations. Such contracts are in quantities expected to be delivered and used in the production process and are not intended for resale or speculative purposes.

Portman, our Australian subsidiary, uses forward exchange contracts, options, collars and convertible collars to hedge its foreign currency exposure for a portion of its sales receipts denominated in United States currency. The primary objective for the use of these instruments is to reduce the volatility of earnings due to changes in the Australian/United States currency exchange rate, and to protect against undue adverse movement in these exchange rates. All hedges are tested for effectiveness at inception and at each reporting period thereafter.

**Inventories:** North American product inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost of iron ore inventories is determined using the last-in, first-out (“LIFO”) method. The excess of current cost over LIFO cost of iron ore inventories was \$39.9 million and \$17.6 million at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. During 2005, the inventory balances declined resulting in liquidation of LIFO layers. The effect of the inventory reduction decreased “cost of goods sold and operating expenses” by \$.9 million. At December 31, 2005 and 2004, we had approximately 1.2 million tons and 1.9 million tons, respectively, stored at ports on the lower lakes Great Lakes to service customers. We maintain ownership of the inventories until title has transferred to the customer, usually when payment is made. Maintaining iron ore products at ports on the lower Great Lakes reduces risk of non-payment by customers, as we retain title to the product until payment is received from the customer. It also assists the customers by more closely relating the timing of the customer’s payments for the product to the customer’s consumption of the products and by providing a portion of the three-month supply of inventories of iron ore the customers require during the winter when product shipments are curtailed over the Great Lakes. We track the movement of the inventory and have the right to verify the quantities on hand. Supplies and other inventories reflect the average cost method. North American finished product, work-in-process and supplies inventories as of December 31, 2005, were valued at \$193.9 million, of which \$105.3 million, or 54 percent (59 percent in 2004), is finished product.

At acquisition, the fair value of Portman’s iron ore inventory was assessed by reference to the selling price less costs of realization and an appropriate margin for selling efforts and costs to complete, with the exception of lower grade stockpiles. The net realizable value has been discounted to present value using a weighted average cost of capital, where appropriate. Optimal use of the lower grade stockpiles of high phosphorous ore is dependent on future production of standard ore for blending into saleable product. These stockpiles are scheduled to be utilized in the mine plan progressively over the life of the mine. Given the nature of these

## Table of Contents

### Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries

#### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

stockpiles and their dependence on future production, they have been assessed on the same basis as mineral rights associated with mining operations adjusted for the costs incurred to date to extract the ore and to reflect the benefits to Portman of having this ore available as an alternative to in-ground reserves. We maintain ownership of the inventories until title has transferred to the customer at the F.O.B. point, which is generally when the product is loaded into the vessel. Finished product, work-in-process and supplies inventories as of December 31, 2005, were valued at \$52.3 million, of which \$13.8 million, or 26 percent, is finished product.

**Deposits in Escrow:** Our Empire and Tilden mines purchase their electric power pursuant to the terms of special contracts. Effective April 1, 2005, the supplier unilaterally changed its method of calculating the energy charges. We are disputing the pricing and have filed a demand for arbitration under the terms of the contracts. Pursuant to the terms of the contracts, the disputed amounts, as well as a recoverable amount under the capped portion of the contracts, are being deposited in an interest-bearing escrow account maintained by a bank. For 2005, \$73.0 million has been paid pursuant to these contracts, of which \$61.3 million is included in the escrow deposits under the terms of the contracts, all of these amounts are expected to be recovered in early 2006; however we have been advised by Wisconsin Electric Power Company that they will oppose any release of these recoverable amounts from the escrow until completion of the arbitration. For 2004, \$16.5 million was paid to the supplier and recovered in the first quarter of 2005, pursuant to the terms of the contract.

**Iron Ore Reserves:** We review the iron ore reserves based on current expectations of revenues and costs, which are subject to change. Iron ore reserves include only proven and probable quantities of ore which can be economically mined and processed utilizing existing technology. Asset retirement obligations reflect remaining economic iron ore reserves.

**Properties:** North American properties are stated at cost. Depreciation of plant and equipment is computed principally by straight-line methods based on estimated useful lives, not to exceed the estimated economic iron ore reserves. Depreciation is provided over the following estimated useful lives:

Buildings	45 Years
Mining Equipment	10 to 20 Years
Processing Equipment	15 to 45 Years
Information Technology	2 to 7 Years

Depreciation is not adjusted when operations are temporarily idled.

Portman's properties were preliminarily valued under purchase accounting using the depreciated replacement cost ("DRC") approach as the primary valuation methodology. This method was utilized as it recognizes the value of specialized equipment and improvements as part of an ongoing business. When assessing the DRC of an asset, the expected remaining useful life was determined based on the shorter of the estimated remaining life of the asset and the life of the mine. Depreciation is provided over the following estimated useful lives:

<u>Asset Class</u>	<u>Basis</u>	<u>Life</u>
Plant and equipment	Straight line	5 – 13 years
Plant and equipment and mine assets	Production output	13 years
Motor vehicles, furniture & equipment	Straight line	3 – 5 years

## Table of Contents

### Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

The following table indicates the value of each of the major classes of our depreciable assets as of December 31, 2005 and 2004:

	(In Millions)	
	December 31	
	2005	2004
Land rights and mineral rights	\$ 421.8	\$ 20.9
Office and information technology	29.4	20.6
Buildings	32.4	24.8
Mining equipment	80.8	65.4
Processing equipment	175.8	160.6
Railroad equipment	75.4	52.6
Electric power facilities	28.9	28.6
Port facilities	37.4	
Interest capitalized during construction	19.0	18.8
Land improvements	11.1	11.1
Other	5.3	5.3
Construction in progress	62.0	28.7
	979.3	437.4
Allowance for depreciation and depletion	(176.5)	(153.5)
	<u>\$ 802.8</u>	<u>\$ 283.9</u>

Amortization of interest capitalized during construction is at the rate of approximately \$2 million per year.

The costs capitalized and classified under the caption “Land rights and mineral rights” represent lands where we own the surface and/or mineral rights. The value of the land rights is split between surface only, surface and minerals, and minerals only.

Portman’s interest in iron ore reserves and resources were preliminarily valued using a discounted cash flow method. Fair value was estimated based upon the present value of the expected future cash flows from iron ore operations over the economic lives of the mines.

The approximate net book value of the land rights and mineral rights is as follows:

	(In Millions)	
	December 31	
	2005	2004
Land rights	<u>\$ 4.8</u>	<u>\$ 6.0</u>
Mineral rights:		
Cost	\$ 417.0	\$ 14.9
Less depletion	19.3	5.5
Net mineral rights	<u>\$ 397.7</u>	<u>\$ 9.4</u>

Accumulated depletion relating to mineral rights, which was recorded using the unit-of -production method, is included in “Allowance for depreciation and depletion.”

**Goodwill:** Based on our preliminary purchase price allocation for our Portman acquisition, we have identified approximately \$8.8 million of excess purchase price over the fair value of assets acquired. This allocation is subject to further refinement as additional information becomes available. As required by

**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

SFAS No. 142, “Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets” (“SFAS 142”), goodwill was allocated to our Portman segment. SFAS 142 requires us to compare the fair value of the reporting unit to its carrying value on an annual basis to determine if there is potential goodwill impairment. If the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying value, an impairment loss is recorded to the extent that the fair value of the goodwill within the reporting unit is less than the carrying value of its goodwill.

**Preferred Stock:** In January 2004, we issued 172,500 shares of redeemable cumulative convertible perpetual preferred stock, without par value, issued at \$1,000 per share. The preferred stock pays quarterly cash dividends at a rate of 3.25 percent per annum and can be converted into our common shares at an adjusted rate of 32.3354 common shares (32.6652 at February 17, 2006) per share of preferred stock. The preferred stock is classified as “temporary equity” reflecting certain provisions of the agreement that could, under remote circumstances, require us to redeem the preferred stock for cash. See Note 11 — Preferred Stock for a more detailed discussion.

**Asset Impairment:** We monitor conditions that may affect the carrying value of our long-lived and intangible assets when events and circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets may be impaired. We determine impairment based on the asset’s ability to generate cash flow greater than the carrying value of the asset, using an undiscounted probability-weighted analysis. If projected undiscounted cash flows are less than the carrying value of the asset, the asset is adjusted to its fair value. See Note 1 — Operations and Customers — Empire Mine and Note 4 — Discontinued Operations.

**Repairs and Maintenance:** The cost of major power plant overhauls is amortized over the estimated useful life, which is the period until the next scheduled overhaul, generally 5 years. All other planned and unplanned repairs and maintenance costs are expensed during the year incurred.

**Income Taxes:** Income taxes are based on income (loss) for financial reporting purposes and reflect a current tax liability (asset) for the estimated taxes payable (recoverable) for all open tax years and changes in deferred taxes. In evaluating any exposures associated with our various tax filing positions, we record liabilities for exposures on a probable basis. Deferred tax assets or liabilities are determined based on differences between financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using enacted tax laws and rates. A valuation allowance is provided on deferred tax assets if it is determined that it is more likely than not that the asset will not be realized.

**Environmental Remediation Costs:** We have a formal code of environmental protection and restoration. Our obligations for known environmental problems at active and closed mining operations, and other sites have been recognized based on estimates of the cost of investigation and remediation at each site. If the cost can only be estimated as a range of possible amounts with no specific amount being most likely, the minimum of the range is accrued. Costs of future expenditures are not discounted to their present value. Potential insurance recoveries have not been reflected in the determination of the liabilities.

**Stock Compensation:** Effective January 1, 2003, we adopted the fair value method of recording stock-based employee compensation as contained in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS”) No. 123, “Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation.” As prescribed in SFAS No. 148, “Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation — Transition and Disclosure,” we elected to use the “prospective method.” The prospective method requires expense to be recognized for all awards granted, modified or settled beginning in the year of adoption. Historically, we applied the intrinsic method as provided in Accounting Principles Board (“APB”) Opinion No. 25, “Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees” and related interpretations and accordingly, no compensation cost had been recognized for stock options in prior years. As a result of adopting the fair value method for stock compensation, all future awards will be expensed over the stock options’ vesting period. The adoption did not have a significant financial effect in 2003. The following illustrates the

Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

pro forma effect on net income and earnings per share as if we had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 to all awards unvested in each period:

	Pro Forma (In Millions)		
	2005	2004	2003
Net income (loss) as reported	\$ 277.6	\$ 323.6	\$ (32.7)
Stock-based employee compensation:			
Add expense included in reported results	8.5	6.6	6.0
Deduct fair value-based method	(6.1)	(5.4)	(3.8)
Pro forma net income (loss)	<u>\$ 280.0</u>	<u>\$ 324.8</u>	<u>\$ (30.5)</u>
Earnings (loss) per share:			
Basic — as reported	<u>\$ 12.52</u>	<u>\$ 14.94</u>	<u>\$ (1.60)</u>
Basic — pro forma	<u>\$ 12.63</u>	<u>\$ 14.99</u>	<u>\$ (1.49)</u>
Diluted — as reported	<u>\$ 9.97</u>	<u>\$ 11.80</u>	<u>\$ (1.60)</u>
Diluted — pro forma	<u>\$ 10.06</u>	<u>\$ 11.84</u>	<u>\$ (1.49)</u>

The market value of restricted stock awards and performance shares is charged to expense over the vesting period.

In December, 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued SFAS No. 123R, “Share-Based Payment” (“SFAS 123R”), which replaces SFAS 123 and supersedes APB 25. SFAS 123R requires all share-based payments to employees be recognized in the financial statements. With limited exceptions, the amount of compensation cost will be measured based on the grant-date fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued. In addition, liability awards will be re-measured each reporting period. Compensation costs will be recognized over the period that an employee provides service in exchange for the award. SFAS 123R is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2005. We are currently evaluating the provisions of this Statement to determine the impact on our consolidated financial statements. It is, however, expected to reduce consolidated net income.

**Research and Development Costs:** Research and development costs, principally relating to the Mesabi Nugget project at the Northshore mine in Minnesota, are expensed as incurred. Mesabi Nugget project costs of \$1.8 million, \$.9 million and \$1.6 million in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively, were included in “Miscellaneous — net.” Mine development costs (“stripping”) are included in the cost of production as incurred. See “Accounting and Disclosure Changes.”

**Earnings Per Common Share:** Basic earnings per common share is calculated on the average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Diluted earnings per common share is based on the average number of common shares outstanding during each period, adjusted for the effect of outstanding stock options, restricted stock and performance shares, including the “as-if-converted” effect of the convertible preferred stock.

**Reclassifications:** Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to current year presentations. In the fourth quarter of 2005, we reclassified results for our operations in Venezuela to “Discontinued Operations”.

**Accounting and Disclosure Changes:** In May 2005, FASB issued Statement No. 154, “Accounting Changes and Error Corrections” (“SFAS 154”). SFAS 154, which replaces APB Opinion No. 20, “Accounting Changes” and SFAS No. 3, “Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements,”

**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

establishes new standards on accounting for changes in accounting principles. Pursuant to the new rules, all such changes must be accounted for by retrospective application to the financial statements of prior periods unless it is impracticable to do so. The statement is effective for accounting changes and correction of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. Early adoption is permitted. Adoption of SFAS 154 is not expected to materially affect our consolidated financial statements.

In March 2005, FASB issued Interpretation No. 47, “Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations” (“FIN 47”). FIN 47 clarifies that an entity is required to recognize a liability for the fair value of a conditional asset retirement obligation when incurred if the liability’s fair value can be reasonably estimated. The Interpretation is effective for years ending after December 15, 2005 with earlier adoption encouraged. Adoption of FIN 47 in the first quarter of 2005 did not impact our consolidated financial statements.

On March 17, 2005, the Emerging Issues Task Force (“EITF”) reached consensus on Issue No. 04-6, “Accounting for Stripping Costs Incurred during Production in the Mining Industry,” (“EITF 04-6”). The consensus clarifies that stripping costs incurred during the production phase of a mine are variable production costs that should be included in the cost of inventory. The consensus, which is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2005, permits early adoption. We elected to adopt EITF 04-6 in the first quarter ending March 31, 2005. As a result, we recorded an after-tax cumulative effect adjustment of \$4.2 million, \$.15 per diluted share, and increased product inventory by \$6.4 million effective January 1, 2005. At its June 29, 2005 meeting, FASB ratified a modification to EITF 04-6 to clarify that the term “inventory produced” means “inventory extracted.” In the fourth quarter, we recorded an additional after-tax cumulative effect adjustment of \$1.0 million, \$.04 per diluted share, and increased work-in -process inventory by \$1.6 million effective January 1, 2005 to comply with the modification.

In December 2004, FASB issued SFAS No. 153, “Exchange of Nonmonetary Assets an amendment of APB Opinion No. 29”. SFAS 153 eliminates the exception from fair value measurement for nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets and replaces it with an exception for exchanges that do not have commercial substance. The Statement is effective for nonmonetary exchanges occurring in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. Implementation of the Statement did not have a significant effect on our operations.

In November 2004, FASB issued SFAS No. 151, “Inventory Costs” which amends the guidance in ARB No. 43, Chapter 4, “Inventory Pricing”. SFAS 151 clarifies the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material (“spoilage”) and requires such costs to be recognized as current-period charges. Additionally, SFAS 151 requires that allocation of fixed production overhead costs be based on normal capacity. The statement is effective for years beginning after June 15, 2005, with early adoption permitted. The implementation of this standard in the fourth quarter of 2004 did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

On October 13, 2004, FASB ratified EITF 04-8, “The Effect of Contingently Convertible Debt on Diluted Earnings Per Share”, (“EITF 04-8”). The consensus specified that the dilutive effect of contingently convertible debt and preferred stock (“CoCos”) should be included in dilutive earnings per share computations (if dilutive), regardless of whether the market price trigger has been met. Previously, CoCos were only required to be included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share when the contingency was met. The effective date for EITF 04-8 implementation was for reporting periods ending after December 15, 2004. Earnings per share for 2004 have been adjusted from the date of issuance of our preferred stock.

In March 2004, the EITF reached consensus on Issue 04-3, “Mining Assets: Impairment and Business Combinations” (“EITF 04-3”). EITF 04-3 relates to estimating cash flows used to value mining assets or assess those assets for impairment. We assess impairment on economically recoverable ore utilizing existing technology. The release, which was effective for business combinations and impairment testing after March 31, 2004, did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial results.

**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

In December 2003, FASB modified SFAS Statement No. 132 (originally issued in February 1998), “Employers’ Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits,” to improve financial statement disclosures for defined benefit plans. The change replaces the existing SFAS disclosure requirements for pensions. The standard requires that companies provide more details about their plan assets, benefit obligations, cash flows, benefit costs and other relevant information. The guidance is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2003. Accordingly, our footnote disclosure regarding our pension and other postretirement (“OPEB”) benefits has been updated to conform to the requirements of SFAS No. 132R. See Note 9 — Retirement Related Benefits.

In May 2003, FASB issued SFAS No. 150, “Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity” (“SFAS 150”), to establish standards for how an issuer classifies and measures certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. SFAS 150 requires an issuer to classify a financial instrument that is within its scope as a liability, or an asset, which may have previously been classified as equity. We adopted SFAS 150 effective June 30, 2003, as required. The adoption of the Statement did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2003 (as revised December 2003), FASB issued Interpretation No. 46, “Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities” (“FIN 46”). FIN 46 clarifies the application of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, “Consolidated Financial Statements,” for certain entities in which equity investors do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties. FIN 46 requires that variable interest entities, as defined, should be consolidated by the primary beneficiary, which is defined as the entity that is expected to absorb the majority of the expected losses, receive the majority of the gains, or both. FIN 46 requires that companies disclose certain information about a variable interest entity created prior to February 1, 2003 if it is reasonably possible that the enterprise will be required to consolidate that entity. The application of FIN 46, which was previously required on July 1, 2003 for entities created prior to February 1, 2003 and immediately for any variable interest entities created subsequent to January 31, 2003, has been deferred until years ending after December 31, 2003, except for those companies which previously issued financial statements implementing the provisions of FIN 46. We have evaluated our unconsolidated entities and do not believe that any entity in which we have an interest, but do not currently consolidate, meets the requirements for a variable interest entity to be consolidated.

**Note 1 — Operations and Customers**

***United Taconite***

Effective December 1, 2003, United Taconite, a newly formed company owned 70 percent by a subsidiary of the Company and 30 percent by a subsidiary of Laiwu Steel Group Limited (“Laiwu”) of China, purchased the ore mining and pelletizing assets of Eveleth Mines LLC (“Eveleth Mines”). Eveleth Mines had ceased mining operations in May 2003 after filing for chapter 11 bankruptcy protection on May 1, 2003. Under the terms of the purchase agreement, United Taconite purchased all of Eveleth Mines’ assets for \$3 million in cash and the assumption of certain liabilities, primarily mine closure-related environmental obligations. As a result of this transaction, the assets acquired exceeded the cost of the acquisition, resulting in an extraordinary gain of \$2.2 million, net of \$.5 million tax and \$1.2 million minority interest. In conjunction with this transaction, the Company and its Wabush venture partners entered into pellet sales and trade agreements with Laiwu to optimize shipping efficiency. Pellet sales to Laiwu under these contracts totaled .3 million tons and .2 million tons in 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The mine began production in late December 2003 and produced 4.1 million tons (our share 2.9 million tons) in 2004 and 4.9 million tons in 2005 (our share 3.4 million tons). In 2005, we completed a production capacity expansion project that added approximately 1.0 million tons (our share .7 million tons) of annual

**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

production capacity with capital expenditures of \$13.3 million expended each year in 2005 and 2004. Production for 2006 is estimated to approximate 5.2 million tons (our share 3.6 million tons).

***Empire Mine***

Effective December 31, 2002, we increased our ownership in Empire from 46.7 percent to 79 percent in exchange for assumption of all mine liabilities. Under terms of the agreement, we indemnified Ispat Inland Inc. (“Ispat”) from obligations of Empire in exchange for certain future payments to Empire and to the Company by Ispat of \$120.0 million, recorded at a present value, including accrued interest at 12.4 percent, of \$59.8 million at December 31, 2005 (\$64.1 million at December 31, 2004) with \$47.8 million classified as “Long-term receivable” and the balance current, over the 12-year life of the supply agreement. A subsidiary of Ispat retained a 21 percent ownership in Empire, for which it has the unilateral right to put the interest to us in 2008. We are the sole supplier of pellets purchased by Ispat for the term of the supply agreement.

On December 17, 2004, Ispat International N.V. completed its acquisition of LNM Holdings N.V. to form Mittal Steel (“Mittal”). On April 13, 2005, Mittal completed its acquisition of ISG, subsequently renamed Mittal Steel USA. At the time of the acquisition of ISG, the Company had three different sales contracts with steel companies that became part of Mittal Steel USA:

- **Ispat.** Ispat was a wholly owned subsidiary of Ispat International N.V. On December 31, 2002, we entered into a Pellet Sale and Purchase Agreement with Ispat (the “Ispat Contract”), which provides that we are the sole outside supplier of iron ore pellets to Ispat. The Ispat Contract runs through January 2015.
- **Mittal ISG.** We entered into a Pellet Sale and Purchase Agreement with ISG on April 10, 2002, which runs through 2016 (the “ISG Contract”), under which we are the sole supplier of iron ore pellets for the former ISG’s Cleveland and Indiana Harbor Works. The ISG Contract was subsequently amended in December 2004.
- **Mittal Steel-Weirton (formerly Weirton).** Prior to the acquisition of ISG by Mittal, ISG had acquired Weirton, which was in chapter 11 bankruptcy at the time. The Company was one of two suppliers of iron ore pellets to Weirton. At the time of ISG’s acquisition of Weirton, we entered into an Amended and Restated Pellet Sale and Purchase Agreement dated May 17, 2004, with both ISG and Weirton (the “Weirton Contract”). The Weirton Contract runs through 2018.

In December 2005, Mittal merged Ispat into Mittal Steel USA and Mittal Steel USA assumed Ispat’s obligations under the Ispat Contract. Mittal Steel USA is a 62.3 percent equity participant in Hibbing and a 21 percent equity partner in Empire.

During 2005, our North American pellet sales totaled approximately 22.3 million tons, with pellet sales to Mittal Steel USA representing approximately 48 percent of North American sales volume. Currently, 2006 pellet sales are projected to be approximately 21 million tons, not including any sales to Mittal Steel-Weirton.

In 2005 Mittal Steel USA shut down Mittal Steel-Weirton’s blast furnace. The Weirton Contract has a minimum annual purchase obligation and requires Mittal Steel-Weirton to purchase “for the years 2004 and 2005 the greater of 67 percent of Mittal Steel-Weirton’s total annual iron ore pellet requirements, or 1.5 million tons and, for the years 2006 through and including 2018, a tonnage amount equal to Mittal Steel-Weirton’s total annual iron ore pellet tonnage requirements, with a minimum annual purchase obligation of 2.0 million tons per year, required for consumption in Mittal Steel-Weirton’s iron and steelmaking facilities in any year at Mittal Steel-Weirton”. Over the past few months we have been in discussions with Mittal Steel USA regarding the terms of the Weirton Contract in response to Mittal Steel USA’s request for relief from the minimum purchase obligation. These discussions have resulted in no agreement between the Company and Mittal Steel USA as to the Mittal Steel-Weirton minimum purchase obligation. Mittal Steel-Weirton

**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

purchased approximately 325,000 tons of iron ore pellets less than its 1.5 million minimum purchase obligation for 2005, and as a result we invoiced Mittal Steel-Weirton approximately \$17 million for this remaining tonnage. The sale of this tonnage would be recorded in 2006. Payment for this tonnage was due on January 30, 2006 and has not been received. Mittal Steel USA has advised us that the Mittal Steel-Weirton blast furnace has been permanently shut down and will not be restarted. Mittal Steel-Weirton has also taken the position that it has no future obligation to purchase pellets under the Weirton Contract.

Mittal Steel USA has also claimed that in 2004 it overpaid a supplemental steel price sharing provision (the “Special Steel Payment”) under the Weirton and ISG Contracts. Mittal claims that, prior to the acquisition of ISG by Mittal, surcharges were improperly included in the average annual unprocessed hot band steel pricing for purposes of calculating the Special Steel Payment under both contracts, despite the fact that ISG itself calculated the amount of the Special Steel Payment, included surcharges in that calculation, and did not claim that it was making or had made any overpayment. Mittal Steel USA has claimed an overpayment of approximately \$8.7 million with respect to the Weirton Contract and approximately \$49.6 million with respect to the ISG Contract. We are confident that the Special Steel Payment calculation properly included all revenue including surcharges. We believe that Mittal Steel USA’s positions with respect to the minimum purchase obligation and the Special Steel Payment are without merit.

We are currently negotiating with Mittal Steel USA in an attempt to resolve the foregoing disputes. We are also currently reviewing all of our legal options, including the possible initiation of an arbitration proceeding under the Weirton Contract.

As a result of increasing production costs at the Empire mine, revised economic mine planning studies were completed in the fourth quarter of 2002 and updated in the fourth quarter of 2003. Based on the outcome of these studies, the ore reserve estimates at Empire were reduced from 116 million tons at December 31, 2001 to 63 million tons at December 31, 2002 and 29 million tons at December 31, 2003. Ore reserves were approximately 23 million tons at December 31, 2004, reflecting 2004 production. In 2005, the ore reserves at Empire were reduced by production and by 2 million tons to eliminate difficult processing ore having a high stripping ratio in the CD-II deposit. The reduction in our ore reserve estimates for the Empire mine is due to the inability to develop effective mine plans that produce cost-justified combinations of production volume, ore quality and stripping requirements.

As a result of an impairment analysis, we concluded that the assets of Empire were impaired and accordingly recorded an impairment charge in 2002 of \$52.7 million to write-off the carrying value of the long-lived assets of Empire. We calculated estimated future net cash flows for purposes of assessing and measuring impairment by utilizing the guidance provided in SFAS 144, “Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets.” We utilized an undiscounted probability-weighted cash flow analysis to determine whether the Empire mine could generate cash flows greater than the carrying value of its long-lived assets. In our analysis, we based our revenue estimate on unescalated contractual pricing under the Ispat 12-year pellet supply agreement and included special payments of up to \$120 million by Ispat to Empire and the Company over the duration of the contract. The Ispat pellet revenue rate was utilized because Mittal Steel USA purchases the majority of Empire’s production. Our analysis was limited to the recovery of proven and probable ore reserves, reflected alternate annual production levels and unescalated production and capital costs (based on the production-level adjusted current year budget and five-year forecast) net of royalties and management fees paid to the Company. The analysis also incorporated funding requirements for employment legacy and environmental and mine closure obligations. The cash flow analysis indicated that the Empire assets were impaired and that the fair value of the Empire long-lived assets was determined to be zero. In 2004 and 2003, we recorded additional impairment charges of \$5.8 million and \$2.6 million, respectively, for fixed asset additions. Due primarily to the significant increase in 2005 pellet pricing, we determined, based on a cash flow analysis, that our Empire mine is no longer impaired; accordingly, capital additions at Empire in 2005 were not charged to expense.

**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

***Northshore Mine***

Our plan to re-start an idled furnace to increase capacity by .8 million tons to 5.6 million tons per year at our wholly owned Northshore mine has been deferred until market conditions warrant increased pellet production.

***Tilden Mine***

On January 31, 2002, we increased our ownership in Tilden from 40 percent to 85 percent with the acquisition of Algoma Steel Inc.'s ("Algoma") interest in Tilden for assumption of mine liabilities associated with the interest. The acquisition increased our annual production capacity by 3.5 million tons. Concurrently, a term supply agreement was executed that made us the sole supplier of iron ore pellets purchased by Algoma for a 15-year period. Sales to Algoma totaled 3.8 million tons in 2005 (3.3 million tons in both 2004 and 2003).

***Hibbing Mine***

In July 2002, we acquired (effective retroactive to January 1, 2002) an eight percent interest in Hibbing from Bethlehem Steel Corporation ("Bethlehem") for the assumption of mine liabilities associated with the interest. The acquisition increased our ownership of Hibbing from 15 percent to 23 percent. This transaction reduced Bethlehem's ownership interest in Hibbing to 62.3 percent. In October 2001, Bethlehem filed for protection under chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. In May 2003, ISG purchased the assets of Bethlehem, including Bethlehem's 62.3 percent interest in Hibbing.

***Wabush Mines***

Economic ore reserves at Wabush were reduced to 94 million tons at December 31, 2002 and further reduced to 61 million tons at December 31, 2003. Wabush ore reserves at December 31, 2004 decreased to 57 million tons, reflecting 2004 production. In 2005, the ore reserves at Wabush Mines were reduced by production and by less than 1 million tons due to higher than anticipated operating costs. The reduction in our ore reserve estimates for Wabush is largely a reflection of increased operating costs, the impact of a decrease in the value of the U.S. dollar and a reduction in maximum mining depth due to dewatering capabilities based on a hydroanalysis evaluation. Impairment analyses were prepared in 2003 and 2004 with results indicating that our long-lived assets at Wabush were not impaired. As directly related to Wabush, we believe that our ten-year supply agreement with Laiwu should ensure that Wabush operates at capacity for the foreseeable future.

**Koolyanobbing Operations**

Koolyanobbing, acquired in the acquisition of Portman, has a current capacity of approximately 6.0 million metric tons ("tonnes") annually. The capacity of the Koolyanobbing operations is in the process of being expanded to eight million tonnes per year. This expansion is primarily driven by the development of iron ore resources at Mt Jackson and Windarling. The upgrade in capacity is expected to be completed by the end of the first quarter of 2006.

**Cockatoo Island**

Cockatoo Island, acquired in the acquisition of Portman, is a joint venture with mining contracting group, Henry Walker Eltin ("HWE"), a company that entered receivership in late 2004. Both parties hold a 50 percent interest in the joint venture. As of February 1, 2006, HWE's mining assets were sold to Leighton Contractors Pty Ltd ("Leighton"), an Australian-based mining and construction contractor. Leighton also purchased HWE's subsidiary that owned its 50 percent interest in the Cockatoo Island joint venture and is

**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

continuing to manage the operation. Current mining at Cockatoo Island commenced in late 2002 with a three year mine life and production of approximately 1.2 million tonnes per year. Mining is scheduled for completion in 2007. There is limited opportunity for further expansion.

***Effect of Mine Ownership Increases***

While none of the increases in North American mine ownerships during 2002 required cash payments or assumption of debt, the ownership changes resulted in the Company recognizing net obligations of approximately \$93 million at December 31, 2002. Additional consolidated obligations assumed totaled approximately \$163 million at December 31, 2002, primarily related to employment and legacy obligations at Empire and Tilden mines, partially offset by non-capital long-term assets, principally the \$59 million Ispat long-term receivable. United Taconite's acquisition of the Eveleth Mines assets in Minnesota in December 2003 was for \$3 million cash and assumption of certain liabilities, primarily mine closure-related environmental expenses.

***Customers***

On October 23, 2003, Rouge Industries, Inc. ("Rouge"), a significant pellet sales customer of the Company, filed for chapter 11 bankruptcy protection. On January 30, 2004, Rouge sold substantially all of its assets to Severstal North America, Inc. ("Severstal"). Severstal, as part of the acquisition of assets of Rouge, assumed our term supply agreement with Rouge with minimal modifications. In January, 2006, we entered into an amended and restated agreement with Severstal. The contract provides that we would be the sole supplier of iron ore pellets through 2012, with certain minimum purchase requirements for certain years. We sold 3.6 million tons, 3.3 million tons and 3.0 million tons to Severstal in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Additionally, in the first quarter 2004, Rouge repaid a \$10 million secured loan balance outstanding plus accrued interest.

On September 16, 2003, WCI Steel Inc. ("WCI") petitioned for protection under chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. At the time of the filing, we had a trade receivable exposure of \$4.9 million, which was fully reserved in the third quarter of 2003. On October 14, 2004, the Company and the current owners of WCI reached agreement (the "2004 Pellet Agreement") for us to supply 1.4 million tons of iron ore pellets in 2005 and, in 2006 and thereafter, to supply one hundred percent of WCI's annual requirements up to a maximum of two million tons of iron ore pellets. The 2004 Pellet Agreement is for a ten-year term, which commenced on January 1, 2005 and provides for full recovery of our \$4.9 million receivable plus \$.9 million of subsequent pricing adjustments. The 2004 Pellet Agreement was approved by the Bankruptcy Court on November 16, 2004. The receivable and subsequent pricing adjustments are to be paid in three equal installments of approximately \$1.9 million. The first payment due on November 16, 2005, was timely received and has been classified as Customer bankruptcy recoveries in the Statement of Consolidated Operations. We sold 1.4 million tons and 1.7 million tons to WCI in 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Previously, the Bankruptcy Court denied confirmation of both of two competing plans of reorganization filed by (i) WCI, jointly with its current owner (which plan was supported by the USWA, the union representing WCI's hourly employees, and (ii) a group of WCI's secured noteholders. Subsequently, the secured noteholders amended their plan of reorganization (the "New Noteholder Plan") and obtained the support of the USWA for the New Noteholder Plan. Under the terms of the New Noteholder Plan, an entity controlled by the secured noteholders would acquire the steelmaking assets and business of WCI and assume the 2004 Pellet Agreement, including the obligation to cure the remaining unpaid pre-bankruptcy trade receivable owed to the Company by WCI. A hearing before the Bankruptcy Court on the confirmation of the New Noteholder Plan is scheduled to commence on March 13, 2006. WCI's current owner and the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation oppose confirmation of the New Noteholder Plan.

**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

On January 29, 2004, Stelco Inc. (“Stelco”) applied for and obtained Bankruptcy Court protection from creditors in Ontario Superior Court under the Companies’ Creditors Arrangement Act (“CCAA”). At the time of the filing, we had no trade receivable exposure to Stelco. Additionally, Stelco has continued to operate and has met its cash call requirements at the Tilden, Hibbing and Wabush mining ventures to date.

Throughout the fall of 2005, Stelco worked to come to agreement with key stakeholders on a reorganization plan. On December 9, 2005, the Third Amended and Restated Plan of Compromise and Arrangement (the “Plan”) was agreed to. On December 10, the creditors affected by the Plan (the “Affected Creditors”) approved the Plan by substantially more than the statutorily-mandated minimum approval levels. On January 20, 2006, on motion by Stelco, the Honorable Mr. Justice Farley of the Superior Court of Ontario sanctioned the Plan as being fair and reasonable in all the circumstances. On February 14, 2006, Justice Farley issued an order approving the proposed reorganization. Stelco is now in the process of reorganizing pursuant to the Plan so as to be in a position to emerge from bankruptcy protection shortly. The current stay of proceedings against Stelco expires on March 31, 2006.

Stelco’s existing shareholders have filed an appeal. We sold 1.4 million tons, 1.2 million tons and .1 million tons to Stelco in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Stelco is a 44.6 percent participant in the Wabush Mines joint venture, and U.S. subsidiaries of Stelco (which have not filed for bankruptcy protection) own 14.7 percent of Hibbing and 15 percent of Tilden.

**Note 2 — Restructuring**

In the third quarter 2003, we initiated a salaried employee reduction program in order to place us in a better position to address long-term strategic issues. The action resulted in a reduction of 136 staff employees at our corporate, central services and various mining operations, which represented an approximate 20 percent decrease in salaried workforce at our U.S. operations (prior to the acquisition of United Taconite). Accordingly, we recorded restructuring charges of \$8.7 million in 2003. Our share of the restructuring charges is principally related to pension and OPEB obligations, \$6.2 million, and one-time severance benefits, \$2.5 million. Included in the long-term restructuring charge was an OPEB plan curtailment credit of \$1.5 million. The program’s impact on the long-term pension and OPEB obligations was accounted for through the benefit plans in which the individual employees participated. Less than \$1.6 million of the one-time severance benefits required cash funding in 2003 leaving a remaining severance liability of approximately \$9 million at December 31, 2003. In 2004, we expended \$.7 million and recorded a \$.2 million credit to the restructuring charge in satisfaction of the obligation. The recognition of the one-time severance benefits were accounted for under SFAS No. 146, “Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities.”

**Note 3 — Portman Acquisition**

On April 19, 2005, Cliffs Australia completed the acquisition of 80.4 percent of the outstanding shares of Portman, a Western Australia-based independent iron ore mining and exploration company. The acquisition was initiated on March 31, 2005 by the purchase of approximately 68.7 percent of the outstanding shares of Portman. The assets consist primarily of iron ore inventory, land and mineral rights, and iron ore reserves. The purchase price of the 80.4 percent interest was \$433.1 million, including \$12.4 million of acquisition costs. Additionally, we incurred \$9.8 million of foreign currency hedging costs related to this transaction, which were included in “Other-net” in the Statement of Consolidated Operations. The acquisition increased our customer base in China and Japan and established our presence in the Australian mining industry. Portman’s 2005 production (excluding its .6 million tonne share of the 50 percent-owned Cockatoo Island joint venture) was approximately 6.0 million tonnes. Portman currently has a \$61 million project underway that is expected to increase its wholly owned production capacity to eight million tonnes per year by the end of the first quarter of 2006. The production is fully committed to steel companies in China and Japan for approximately four years.

**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

Portman's reserves total approximately 89 million tonnes at December 31, 2005, and it has an active exploration program underway to increase its reserves.

The acquisition and related costs were financed with existing cash and marketable securities and \$175 million of interim borrowings under a new three-year \$350 million revolving credit facility. The outstanding balance was repaid in full with a \$50 million payment on July 5, 2005. See NOTE 7 — Credit Facilities.

The Statement of Consolidated Financial Position of the Company as of December 31, 2005 reflects the acquisition of Portman, effective March 31, 2005, under the purchase method of accounting. Assets acquired and liabilities assumed have been recorded at estimated fair values as of the acquisition date as determined by preliminary results of an appraisal of assets and liabilities currently underway, which is expected to be finalized by March 31, 2006. At acquisition, Portman had currency derivatives used to hedge its currency exposure for a portion of its sales receipts denominated in U.S. dollars. Although Portman carried a hedge reserve, the reserve was not established in the allocation of purchase price. Settlement of the pre-acquisition contracts, with a fair value of \$13.0 million, therefore, are expensed upon delivery. Through December 31, 2005, \$9.8 million of hedge contracts were settled. As a result, we recognized the \$9.8 million as a reduction of revenues.

In the fourth quarter, we refined our purchase accounting to reflect our preliminary allocation with the assistance of an outside consultant. The adjustment since our initial allocation of the 80.4 percent interest in Portman, increased Portman's iron ore inventory values by \$49.1 million to reflect a market-based valuation. Of the \$49.1 million inventory basis adjustment, \$23.1 million was allocated to product and work in process inventories, of which approximately \$19.9 million has been included in cost of goods sold through December 31, 2005. Most of the \$3.2 million remaining inventory basis adjustment is expected to be expensed prior to the end of 2006. Additionally, a long-term lease was classified as a capital lease resulting in an increase in plant and equipment, and capital lease obligations, of \$26.7 million. The valuation also resulted in assignment of goodwill, \$8.8 million, and a \$20.2 million increase in the value of our 50 percent interest in our investment in Cockatoo Island. The goodwill is not deductible for tax purposes. The increase in value of Cockatoo Island was based upon a discount cash flow analysis over the remaining two-year life of its iron ore reserves. These changes reduced the value assigned to Portman's iron ore reserves by \$90.8 million. The \$0.7 million reduction in purchase price was attributable to the re-allocation of transaction costs to debt acquisition costs, which are being amortized over the three-year term of the credit facility. Such amounts are subject to adjustment based on the finalization of the valuations and appraisals. Accordingly, the revised

## Table of Contents

### Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

preliminary purchase price is subject to further revision. A comparison of the revised purchase price allocation to the initial allocation is as follows:

	(In Millions)		
	<u>Revised Allocation</u>	<u>Initial Allocation</u>	<u>Change</u>
<b>ASSETS</b>			
<b>CURRENT ASSETS</b>			
Cash	\$ 24.1	\$ 24.1	\$
Iron Ore Inventory	54.8	29.0	25.8
Other	<u>35.3</u>	<u>35.3</u>	<u></u>
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	114.2	88.4	25.8
<b>Property, Plant and Equipment</b>			
Iron Ore Reserves	413.5	504.3	(90.8)
Other	<u>69.1</u>	<u>34.7</u>	<u>34.4</u>
TOTAL PROPERTY PLANT AND EQUIPMENT	482.6	539.0	(56.4)
Long-term Stockpiles	38.7	15.4	23.3
Investment in Cockatoo Island	24.8	4.6	20.2
Other Assets	5.8	6.7	(.9)
Goodwill	8.8	<u></u>	<u>8.8</u>
Total Assets	<u>\$ 674.9</u>	<u>\$ 654.1</u>	<u>\$ 20.8</u>
<b>LIABILITIES</b>			
Current Liabilities	\$ 35.8	\$ 34.7	\$ 1.1
Long-Term Liabilities	<u>178.8</u>	<u>158.1</u>	<u>20.7</u>
Total Liabilities	214.6	192.8	21.8
Net Assets	460.3	461.3	(1.0)
Minority Interest	<u>(27.2)</u>	<u>(27.5)</u>	<u>.3</u>
Purchase Price	<u>\$ 433.1</u>	<u>\$ 433.8</u>	<u>\$ (.7)</u>

**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

The following pro forma information summarizes the results of operations for the year-ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, as if the Portman acquisition had been completed as of the beginning of 2004. The pro forma information gives effect to actual operating results prior to the acquisition. Adjustments made to revenues for hedging contracts, cost of goods sold for depletion amortization costs incurred and inventory effects, reflecting the allocation of purchase price to iron ore reserves and inventory, interest expense, income taxes and minority interest related to the acquisition, are reflected in the pro forma information. These pro forma amounts do not purport to be indicative of the results that would have actually been obtained if the acquisition had occurred as of the beginning of the periods presented or that may be obtained in the future.

	<b>Pro Forma (In Millions, Except Per Common Share)</b>	
	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Total Revenues	<b>\$ 1,802.2</b>	\$ 1,329.5
Income Before Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change	<b>279.0</b>	313.1
Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change	<b>5.2</b>	
Net Income	<b><u>\$ 284.2</u></b>	<u>\$ 313.1</u>
Earnings Per Common Share — Basic:		
Before Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change	<b>\$ 12.58</b>	\$ 14.45
Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change	<b>.24</b>	
Earnings Per Common Share — Basic	<b><u>\$ 12.82</u></b>	<u>\$ 14.45</u>
Earnings Per Common Share — Diluted:		
Before Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change	<b>\$ 10.02</b>	\$ 11.42
Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change	<b>.19</b>	
Earnings Per Common Share — Diluted	<b><u>\$ 10.21</u></b>	<u>\$ 11.42</u>

**Note 4 — Discontinued Operations**

Cliffs’ business/consulting arrangements with C.V.G. Ferrominera Orinoco C. A. of Venezuela to provide technical assistance in support of improving operations of a 3.3 million tonne per year pelletizing facility were terminated in the third quarter of 2005. We recorded after-tax expense of \$1.7 million related to this contract in 2005 and after-tax income of \$.3 million in 2004. These amounts are recorded under “Discontinued Operations” in the Statement of Consolidated Operations.

On July 23, 2004, CAL, an affiliate of the Company jointly owned by a subsidiary of the Company (82.3945 percent) and Outokumpu Technology GmbH (17.6055 percent), a German company (formerly known as Lurgi Metallurgie GmbH), completed the sale of CAL’s Hot Briquette Iron (“HBI”) facility located in Trinidad and Tobago to ISG. Terms of the sale include a purchase price of \$8.0 million plus assumption of liabilities. CAL may receive up to \$10 million in future payments contingent on HBI production and shipments. In 2005, we received payments totaling \$.6 million and at December 31, 2005, we have a receivable of \$.5 million. Mittal closed this facility at the end of 2005 and it is unlikely we will receive further payments related to this transaction. We recorded after-tax income of approximately \$.9 million and \$3.1 million in 2005 and 2004, respectively. The income is classified under “Discontinued Operations” in the Statement of Consolidated Operations.

**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

**Note 5 —Segment Reporting**

As a result of the Portman acquisition, we have organized into two operating and reporting segments based upon geographic location: North America and Australia. The North American segment, comprised of our mining operations in the United States and Canada, represented approximately 86 percent of our consolidated revenues for the nine month period following the Portman acquisition. The Australian segment, comprised of our acquired 80.4 percent Portman interest in Western Australia, represents approximately 14 percent of our consolidated revenues for the same period. There have been no intersegment revenues since the acquisition.

The North American segment is comprised of our six iron ore mining operations in Michigan, Minnesota and Eastern Canada. We manufacture 13 grades of iron ore pellets, including standard, fluxed and high manganese, for use in our customers' blast furnaces as part of the steel making process. Each of the mines has crushing, concentrating and pelletizing facilities used in the production process. More than 97 percent of the pellets are sold to integrated steel companies in the United States and Canada, using a single sales force.

The Portman operations include production facilities at the Koolyanobbing operations and a 50 percent interest in a joint venture at Cockatoo Island, producing lump ore and direct shipping fines for our customers in China and Japan. The Koolyanobbing operations has crushing and screening facilities used in the production process. Production is fully committed to steel companies in China and Japan for approximately four years.

We primarily evaluate performance based on segment operating income, defined as revenues less expenses identifiable to each segment. We have classified certain administrative expenses as unallocated corporate expenses.

## Table of Contents

### Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

The following table presents a summary of our segments for 2005, 2004 and 2003 based on the current reporting structure. A reconciliation of segment operating income to income before income taxes and minority interest is as follows:

	(In Millions)		
	2005	2004	2003
Revenues from product sales and services:			
North America(a)	\$ 1,307.7	\$ 995.0	\$ 686.8
Australia	204.5		
Total revenues from product sales and services	<u>\$ 1,512.2</u>	<u>\$ 995.0</u>	<u>\$ 686.8</u>
Segment operating income:			
North America	\$ 375.8	\$ 150.7	\$ (23.2)
Australia	23.1		
Segment operating income	<u>398.9</u>	<u>150.7</u>	<u>(23.2)</u>
Unallocated corporate expenses	(42.4)	(33.1)	(25.1)
Other income (expense)	11.6	167.6	13.1
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes and minority interest	<u>\$ 368.1</u>	<u>\$ 285.2</u>	<u>\$ (35.2)</u>
Capital expenditures:			
North America	\$ 71.9	\$ 54.4	\$ 20.1
Australia	37.9		
Total capital expenditures	<u>\$ 109.8(b)</u>	<u>\$ 54.4</u>	<u>\$ 20.1</u>
Segment assets:			
North America	\$ 1,079.6	\$ 1,232.3	\$ 881.6
Australia	667.1		
Total consolidated assets	<u>\$ 1,746.7</u>	<u>\$ 1,232.3</u>	<u>\$ 881.6</u>

(a) Excludes freight and venture partners' cost reimbursements.

(b) Includes \$12.0 million of accruals and other non-cash additions.

## Table of Contents

### Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

Included in the consolidated financial statements are the following amounts relating to geographic locations:

	(In Millions)		
	2005	2004	2003
<b>Revenue(1)</b>			
United States	\$ 1,007.6	\$ 919.7	\$ 653.2
Canada	454.1	231.2	162.4
China	232.6	56.6	5.9
Japan	54.9		
Other Countries	3.4	6.9	14.2
Total Revenue	<u>\$ 1,752.6</u>	<u>\$ 1,214.4</u>	<u>\$ 835.7</u>
<b>Long-Lived Assets(2)</b>			
United States	322.8	285.8	255.0
Canada	19.3	16.9	16.9
Australia	485.9		
Total	<u>\$ 828.0</u>	<u>\$ 302.7</u>	<u>\$ 271.9</u>

(1) Revenue is attributed to countries based on the location of the customer and includes both “Product sales and services” and “Royalties and management fees” revenues.

(2) Net properties include our equity share of unconsolidated ventures.

Following is a summary of our significant customers measured as a percent of “Product sales and services” revenues from continuing operations:

Customer	Percent of Sales Revenues*		
	2005	2004	2003
Mittal Steel USA	37%	56%	53%
Algoma	19	14	17
Severstal	11	13	16
WCI	7	6	7
Stelco	7	5	1
Laiwu	2	1	1
Kobe Steel, Ltd.	2		
AK Steel Holding Corporation	2	1	
Others**	13	4	5
	<u>100%</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>100%</u>

\* Excludes freight and minority interest cost reimbursements.

\*\* Others in 2005 primarily include revenues from Portman.

### Note 6 — Environmental and Mine Closure Obligations

At December 31, 2005, the Company, including its share of unconsolidated ventures, had environmental and mine closure liabilities of \$113.0 million, of which \$13.4 million was classified as current. Payments in

**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

2005 were \$5.6 million (2004 — \$6.4 million; 2003 — \$7.5 million). Following is a summary of the obligations:

	(In Millions)	
	2005	2004
Environmental	\$ 17.8	\$ 13.0
Mine Closure		
LTV Steel Mining Company	30.4	33.8
Operating Mines	64.8	52.2
Total Mine Closure	95.2	86.0
Total Environmental and Mine Closure*	\$ 113.0	\$ 99.0

\* Includes \$12.3 million and \$10.6 million at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, of our share of unconsolidated ventures.

***Environmental***

Included in the obligation are environmental liabilities of \$17.8 million. Our obligations for known environmental remediation exposures at active and closed mining operations and other sites have been recognized based on the estimated cost of investigation and remediation at each site. If the cost can only be estimated as a range of possible amounts with no specific amount being most likely, the minimum of the range is accrued in accordance with SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies." Future expenditures are not discounted, and potential insurance recoveries have not been reflected. Additional environmental exposures could be incurred, the extent of which cannot be assessed.

The environmental liability includes our obligations related to five sites which are independent of our iron mining operations, three former iron ore-related sites, two leased land sites where we are lessor and miscellaneous remediation obligations at our operating units. Included in our December 31, 2005 obligation is \$5.2 million for the estimated remaining clean-up costs related to a PCB spill at Tilden in the fourth quarter of 2005. The expense was included in "Miscellaneous-net" in the Statement of Consolidated Operations. The obligation also includes Federal and State sites where we are named as a potentially responsible party ("PRP"); the Rio Tinto mine site in Nevada, the Milwaukee Solvay site in Wisconsin, and the Kipling and Deer Lake sites in Michigan.

***Milwaukee Solvay Site***

In September 2002, we received a draft of a proposed Administrative Order by Consent from the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), for clean-up and reimbursement of costs associated with the Milwaukee Solvay coke plant site in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. The plant was operated by a predecessor of the Company from 1973 to 1983, which predecessor was acquired by the Company in 1986. In January 2003, we completed the sale of the plant site and property to a third party. Following this sale, an Administrative Order by Consent ("Consent Order") was entered into with the EPA by the Company, the new owner and another third party who had operated on the site. In connection with the Consent Order, the new owner agreed to take responsibility for the removal action and agreed to indemnify us for all costs and expenses in connection with the removal action. In the third quarter of 2003, the new owner, after completing a portion of the removal, experienced financial difficulties. In an effort to continue progress on the removal action, we expended approximately \$.9 million in the second half of 2003, \$2.1 million in 2004 and \$.4 million in 2005. In September 2005, we received a notice of completion from the EPA documenting that all work has been fully performed in accordance with the Consent Order.

**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

On August 26, 2004, we received a Request for Information pursuant to Section 104(e) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (“CERCLA”) relative to the investigation of additional contamination below the ground surface at the Milwaukee Solvay site. The Request for Information was also sent to 13 other PRPs. On July 14, 2005, we received a General Notice Letter from the EPA notifying us that the EPA believes we may be liable under CERCLA and requesting that we, along with other PRPs, voluntarily perform clean-up activities at the site. We have responded to the General Notice Letter indicating that there had been no communications with other PRPs but also indicating our willingness to begin the process of negotiation with the EPA and other interested parties regarding a Consent Order. Subsequently, on July 26, 2005, we received correspondence from the EPA with a proposed Consent Order and informing us that three other PRPs had also expressed interest in negotiating with the EPA. At this time, the nature and extent of the contamination, the required remediation, the total cost of the clean-up and the cost sharing responsibilities of the PRPs cannot be determined, although the EPA has advised us that it has incurred \$.5 million in past response costs, which the EPA will seek to recover from us and the other PRPs. We increased our environmental reserve for Milwaukee Solvay by \$.5 million in 2005 for potential additional exposure.

On December 23, 2005, we entered into a letter of intent with Kinnickinnic Development Group LLC (“KK Group”) pursuant to which the KK Group would acquire and redevelop the Milwaukee Solvay site. Under the terms of the letter or intent, KK Group would acquire our mortgage on the site in consideration for the assumption of all our environmental obligations with respect to the site and a cash payment of \$2.25 million. In addition, KK Group would be required to deposit \$4 million into an escrow account to fund any remaining environmental clean-up activities on the site and to purchase insurance coverage with a \$5 million limit. We are currently drafting definitive agreements documenting this agreement. Closing of the transaction would occur within sixty-one days of signing definitive agreements.

*Rio Tinto*

The Rio Tinto Mine site is a historic underground copper mine located near Mountain City, NV, where tailings were placed in Mill Creek, a tributary to the Owyhee River. Remediation work is being conducted in accordance with a Consent Order between the Nevada Department of Environmental Protection (“NDEP”) and the Rio Tinto Working Group (“RTWG”) composed of the Company, Atlantic Richfield Company, Teck Cominco American Incorporated, and E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company. The Consent Order provides for technical review by the U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Indian Affairs, the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service, the NDEP and the Shoshone-Paiute Tribes of the Duck Valley Reservation (collectively, “Rio Tinto Trustees”) located downstream on the Owyhee River. The Consent Order is currently projected to continue through 2006 with the objective of supporting the selection of the final remedy for the site. Costs are shared pursuant to the terms of a Participation Agreement between the parties of the RTWG, who have reserved the right to renegotiate any future participation or cost sharing following the completion of the Consent Order.

The Rio Tinto Trustees have made available for public comment their plans for the assessment of Natural Resource Damages (“NRD”). The RTWG commented on the plans and also are in discussions with the Rio Tinto Trustees informally about those plans. The notice of plan availability is a step in the damage assessment process. The studies presented in the plan may lead to a NRD claim under CERCLA. There is no monetized NRD claim at this time.

During 2005, the focus of the RTWG has been on development of alternatives for remediation of the mine site. A draft of an alternatives study has recently been reviewed with the Rio Tinto Trustees and the alternatives have essentially been reduced to three: (1) no action; (2) long-term water treatment, and (3) removal of the tailings. The estimated costs range from approximately \$1 million to \$27 million. In recognition of the potential for an NRD claim, the parties are exploring the possibility of a global settlement

**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

that would encompass both the site decision and the NRD issues and thereby avoid the lengthy litigation typically associated with NRD. The Company's recorded reserve of approximately \$1.2 million reflects its estimated costs for completion of the existing Consent Order and the minimum "no action" alternative based on the current Participation Agreement.

*Kipling Furnace Site*

By letter dated November 19, 1991, the Michigan Department of Natural Resources, now the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality ("MDEQ"), notified us that it believed we were liable for contamination at the Kipling Furnace site in Kipling, Michigan and requested that we voluntarily undertake actions to remediate the site. We owned and operated a portion of the site from approximately 1902 through 1925 when we sold the property to CITGO Petroleum Company ("CITGO"). CITGO, in turn, operated at the site and thereafter sold the northern portion of the site to a third party. This northern portion of the site was the location of the majority of our former operations. CITGO has been working formally with MDEQ to address the portions of the site impacted by CITGO's operations on the property, which occurred between 1925 and 1986. CITGO submitted a remedial action plan in August 2003 to the MDEQ. However, the MDEQ subsequently rejected this remedial action plan as being inadequate.

We responded to the 1991 letter by performing a hydrogeological investigation at the site pursuant to Michigan's Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, which allows parties to conduct environmental response activity without state agency oversight. Our initial investigation took place in 1996, with follow-up monitoring occurring in 1998 through 2003. We developed a proposed remedial action plan to address materials associated with our former operations at the site. We currently estimate the cost of implementing our proposed remedial action to be approximately \$.3 million, which expenditures were previously provided in our environmental reserve. We have not yet implemented the proposed remedial action plan.

By a letter dated June 10, 2004, the MDEQ made a new demand to both CITGO and the Company to take responsive activities at the property, including development and submittal of a remedial action plan to the MDEQ for approval. We met with the MDEQ to discuss this letter and submitted a response. Subsequently, the Company and CITGO agreed to cooperate in the development of a joint remedial action plan as encouraged by MDEQ. Additional investigative work at the site has been undertaken by CITGO. At this time, it is unclear whether the MDEQ, once it is apprised of our response activities at the site to date, will require us to conduct further investigations or implement a remedial action plan going beyond what we have already developed internally. Conducting further investigations, revising our proposed remedial action plan, or implementing the plan, could result in much higher costs than currently anticipated.

*Deer Lake*

Deer Lake is a reservoir located near Ishpeming, Michigan that historically provided water storage for the Carp Power Plant that was razed in 1972. Elevated concentrations of mercury in Deer Lake fish were noted in 1981. Three known sources of mercury to the lake were atmospheric deposition, historic use of mercury in gold amalgamation on the west side of the lake, and releases of mercury to the City of Ishpeming sewer system, including waste assay solutions from a laboratory operated by the Company. The State of Michigan filed suit in 1982 alleging that the Company had liability for its mercury releases. A Consent Agreement was entered in 1984 that required certain remediation and mitigation, which was performed, and by 2003 mercury concentrations in fish had declined significantly. Subsequently, the State and the Company have engaged in negotiations to comprehensively and completely resolve the Company's alleged liability for mercury releases, the outcome of which is yet to be determined.

**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

*Northshore Mine Notice of Violation*

On February 10, 2006, our Northshore mine received a Notice of Violation (“Notice”) from the EPA. The Notice cites four alleged violations: (1) that Northshore violated the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (“PSD”) requirements of the Clean Air Act in the 1990 restart of Furnaces 11 and 12; (2) that Northshore mine violated the PSD Regulations in the 1995 restart of Furnace 6; (3) Title V operating permit violations for not including in the Title V permit all applicable requirements (including a compliance schedule for PSD and Best Available Control Technology (“BACT”) requirements associated with the furnace restarts); and (4) failure to comply with calibration of monitoring equipment as required under Northshore’s Title V permit. The alleged violations relating to the restart of Furnaces 11 and 12 occurred prior to our acquisition of Northshore (formerly Cyprus Northshore Mining Company) in a share purchase in 1994. We are currently investigating the allegations contained in the Notice.

*Mine Closure*

The mine closure obligation of \$95.2 million includes the accrued obligation at December 31, 2005 for a closed operation formerly known as the LTV Steel Mining Company (“LTVSMC”) and for our six North American operating mines and Portman. The LTVSMC closure obligation results from an October 2001 transaction where subsidiaries of the Company received a net payment of \$50.0 million and certain other assets and assumed environmental and certain facility closure obligations of \$50.0 million, which at December 31, 2005, have declined to \$30.4 million as a result of expenditures totaling \$19.6 million since 2001 (\$3.3 million in 2005).

The accrued closure obligation for our active mining operations of \$64.8 million reflects the adoption of SFAS No. 143, “Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations,” which was effective January 1, 2002, to provide for contractual and legal obligations associated with the eventual closure of the mining operations and the effects of mine ownership increases in 2002. We determined the obligations, based on detailed estimates, adjusted for factors that an outside third party would consider (i.e., inflation, overhead and profit), escalated to the estimated closure dates and then discounted using a credit adjusted risk-free interest rate of 10.25 percent (12.0 percent for United Taconite and 5.5 percent for Portman). The estimates at December 31, 2005 were revised using a three percent escalation factor and a six percent credit-adjusted risk-free discount rate for the incremental increases in the closure cost estimates. The closure date for each location was determined based on the exhaustion date of the remaining economic iron ore reserves. The accretion of the liability and amortization of the property and equipment will be recognized over the estimated mine lives for each location.

The following summarizes our asset retirement obligation liability, including our share of unconsolidated associated companies, at December 31:

	(In Millions)	
	2005	2004
Asset Retirement Obligation at Beginning of Year	\$ 52.2	\$ 45.2
Accretion Expense	5.7	4.6
Portman Acquisition	5.1	
Minority Interest	.2	.2
Revision in Estimated Cash Flows	1.6	2.2
Asset Retirement Obligation at End of Year	<u>\$ 64.8</u>	<u>\$ 52.2</u>

**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

**Note 7 — Credit Facilities**

In the first quarter 2004, we repaid the remaining \$25.0 million balance on our senior unsecured note agreement. On April 23, 2004, we entered into a \$30 million unsecured revolving credit agreement which was scheduled to expire on April 29, 2005. On March 28, 2005, we entered into a \$350 million unsecured credit agreement with a syndicate of 13 financial institutions. The new facility provides \$350 million in borrowing capacity under a revolving credit line, with a choice of interest rates and maturities, subject to the three-year term of the agreement. The \$350 million credit agreement replaced the existing \$30 million unsecured revolving credit facility. The new facility has various financial covenants based on earnings, debt, total capitalization, and fixed cost coverage. As of December 31, 2005, we were in compliance with the covenants in the credit agreement.

Interest rates range from LIBOR plus 1.25 percent to LIBOR plus 2.0 percent, based on debt and earnings, or the prime rate. We did not have any borrowings outstanding as of December 31, 2005. The maximum amount of borrowings outstanding was \$175 million during 2005. The outstanding balance was repaid in full with a \$50 million payment on July 5, 2005.

Portman is party to a A\$40 million credit agreement. The facility has various covenants based on earnings, asset ratios and fixed cost coverage. The floating interest rate is 80 basis points over the 90-day bank bill swap rate in Australia. Under this facility, Portman has remaining borrowing capacity of A\$29.0 million on December 31, 2005, after reduction of A\$11.0 million for commitments under outstanding performance bonds.

Portman secured five-year financing from its customers in China as part of its long-term sales agreements to assist with the funding of the expansion of its Koolyanobbing mining operation. The borrowings, totaling \$7.7 million, accrue interest annually at five percent. The borrowings require a \$.8 million principal payment plus accrued interest to be made each January 31 for the next four years with the remaining balance due in full in January 2010.

**Note 8 — Lease Obligations**

The Company and its unconsolidated ventures lease certain mining, production, and other equipment under operating leases. Our operating lease expense, including our share of unconsolidated ventures, was \$16.3 million in 2005, \$19.7 million in 2004 and \$24.6 million in 2003. Included in 2005 was \$.3 million of operating lease expense at Portman.

Assets acquired under capital leases by the Company, including our share of unconsolidated ventures, were \$41.4 million (including \$31.3 million at Portman) and \$13.9 million at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Corresponding accumulated amortization of capital leases included in respective allowances for depreciation was \$14.5 million and \$8.2 million at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

Future minimum payments under capital leases and noncancellable operating leases, at December 31, 2005 were:

Year Ending December 31	(In Millions)			
	Company's Share		Total	
	Capital Leases	Operating Leases	Capital Leases	Operating Leases
2006	\$ 5.9	\$ 14.8	\$ 9.1	\$ 22.9
2007	6.2	10.6	7.6	13.8
2008	3.9	5.2	4.8	6.0
2009	3.9	4.5	4.7	4.7
2010	3.1	3.9	3.3	3.9
2011 and thereafter	18.2	2.3	18.2	2.3
Total minimum lease payments	41.2	\$ 41.3	47.7	\$ 53.6
Amounts representing interest	9.9		10.4	
Present value of net minimum lease payments	\$ 31.3		\$ 37.3	

Total minimum lease payments include \$33.2 million for capital leases and \$2.6 million for operating leases associated with the Portman acquisition. Our share of total minimum lease payments, \$82.5 million, is comprised of our consolidated obligation of \$76.2 million and our share of unconsolidated ventures' obligations of \$6.3 million, principally related to Hibbing and Wabush.

Additionally, Portman has long-term contracts with port and rail facilities with minimum "take or pay" clauses. The port contract includes minimum tonnage requirements of 2.5 million tonnes from 2006 through 2015 at an annual cost of \$.9 million. The rail contract includes minimum take or pay requirements of 5.0 million tonnes, or \$38.8 million, from 2006 through 2012. Portman also has capital commitments of \$10.7 million at December 31, 2005, related to the production expansion to eight million tonnes.

**Note 9 — Retirement Related Benefits**

The Company and its unconsolidated ventures offer defined benefit pension plans, defined contribution pension plans and other postretirement benefit plans, primarily consisting of retiree healthcare benefits, as part of a total compensation and benefits program. Portman does not have employee retirement benefit obligations.

The defined benefit pension plans are largely noncontributory, and, except for U.S. salaried employees, benefits are generally based on employees' years of service and average earnings for a defined period prior to retirement or a minimum formula. Effective July 1, 2003, the pension benefits for certain U.S. salaried employees were frozen under the prior benefit formula and a cash balance pension formula was implemented for service after June 30, 2003. Effective July 1, 2004, the pension benefits for U.S. salaried employees of the Lake Superior and Ishpeming Railroad Company ("LS&I") Pension Plan were frozen under the prior benefit formula and a cash balance pension formula was implemented for service after June 30, 2004. The cash balance formula provides benefits based on employees' years of service and average earnings. Defined pension plan benefit changes pursuant to the new four-year labor agreements reached with the United Steelworkers of America ("USWA") for U.S. employees, effective August 1, 2004, and similar changes agreed on for salaried workers, were first recognized in 2005 pension expense. The changes enhanced the temporary supplemental benefit provided under the defined benefit plans and resulted in an increase of \$4.0 million in projected benefit obligation ("PBO") and \$.6 million in 2005 pension expense.

**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

In addition, the Company and its unconsolidated ventures currently provide various levels of retirement health care and life insurance benefits (“Other Benefits” or OPEB) to most full-time employees who meet certain length of service and age requirements (a portion of which are pursuant to collective bargaining agreements). Most plans require retiree contributions and have deductibles, co-pay requirements, and benefit limits. Most bargaining unit plans require retiree contributions and co-pays for major medical and prescription drug coverage. Effective July 1, 2003, we imposed an annual limit on our cost for medical coverage under the U.S. salaried plans, except for the plans covering participants at the Northshore and LS&I operations. A similar type of limit was previously implemented at Northshore. The annual limit applies to each covered participant and equals \$7,000 for coverage prior to age 65 and \$3,000 for coverage after age 65, with the retiree’s participation adjusted based on the age at which retiree’s benefits commence. The covered participant pays an amount for coverage equal to the excess of (i) the average cost of coverage for all covered participants, over (ii) the participant’s individual limit, but in no event will the participant’s cost be less than 15 percent of the average cost of coverage for all covered participants. Currently, the average cost for coverage prior to age 65 and after age 65 are below the respective limits of \$7,000 and \$3,000. The changes implemented to the U.S. salaried pension and other benefit plans reduced costs by more than an estimated \$8.0 million on an annualized basis. We do not provide Other Benefits for most U.S. salaried employees hired after January 1, 1993. Other Benefits are provided through programs administered by insurance companies whose charges are based on benefits paid.

Pursuant to the four-year labor agreements reached with the USWA for U.S. employees, effective August 1, 2004, OPEB expense for 2004 and the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation (“APBO”) decreased \$4.9 million and \$48.0 million, respectively, to reflect negotiated plan changes, which capped our share of future bargaining unit retirees’ healthcare premiums at 2008 levels for the years 2009 and beyond. The new agreements also provide that the Company and its partners fund an estimated \$220 million into bargaining unit pension plans and retiree healthcare accounts (“VEBAs”) during the term of the contracts.

Additionally, year 2005 and 2004 OPEB expense reflect estimated cost reductions of \$3.6 million and \$4.1 million, respectively, due to the effect of the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (“Medicare Drug Act”). We elected to adopt the retroactive transition method for recognizing the OPEB cost reduction in the second quarter 2004. Accordingly, first quarter 2004 results were restated to reduce the previously reported net loss by \$.6 million or \$.05 per share. Additionally, the APBO decreased \$25.8 million as of January 1, 2005.

During 2003, we terminated certain U.S. salaried employees. Enhanced benefits were provided to most of these employees under the defined benefit pension and postretirement benefit plans. Such employees who were within 3 years (4 years for employees at LS&I) of meeting retirement eligibility under the plans were granted an additional 3 years (4 years for employees at LS&I) of age and service for purposes of satisfying such eligibility requirements. In addition, such employees covered under the Pension Plan for Employees of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and its Associated Employers were granted a special credit under their cash balance account, generally equal to 2 weeks of base pay per year of service up to 52 weeks of such pay, increased by 11 percent to reflect certain tax liabilities.

The following table summarizes the annual costs for the retirement plans.

	(In Millions)		
	2005	2004	2003
Defined benefit pension plans	\$ 20.7	\$ 23.1	\$ 32.0
Defined contribution pension plans	3.8	3.0	1.9
Other postretirement benefits	17.9	28.5	29.1
Total	<u>\$ 42.4</u>	<u>\$ 54.6</u>	<u>\$ 63.0</u>

## Table of Contents

### Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

The following one-time loss (gain) recognized in 2003 due to the special termination benefits and curtailment under the plans associated with the involuntary terminations in the U.S. during 2003 are included in the annual costs shown above.

	<u>(In Millions)</u>		
	<u>Special Termination Benefits</u>	<u>Curtailment Gain</u>	<u>Total</u>
Defined benefit pension plans	\$ 7.1		\$ 7.1
Other postretirement benefits	1.5	(1.5)	
Total	<u>\$ 8.6</u>	<u>\$ (1.5)</u>	<u>\$ 7.1</u>

The effect of the benefit plan changes the year of recognition for the previously mentioned salaried benefit plan changes in 2003 and benefit changes associated with the new labor agreements and the Medicare Drug Act in 2004 are summarized as follows:

	<u>(In Millions)</u>	
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>
Reduction in annual cost		
Defined benefit pension plans		\$ 3.8
Other post-retirement benefits	9.0	3.4
Total	<u>\$ 9.0</u>	<u>\$ 7.2</u>
Reduction in PBO or APBO		
Defined benefit pension plans (PBO)		\$ 20.7
Other postretirement benefits (APBO)	73.1	23.4
Total	<u>\$ 73.1</u>	<u>\$ 44.1</u>

We utilize December 31 as our measurement date for determining pension and other benefit obligations and assets.

## Table of Contents

### Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

The following tables and information provide additional disclosures for our plans, including our proportionate share of plans of our unconsolidated ventures.

#### *Obligations and Funded Status*

	(In Millions)			
	Pension Benefits		Other Benefits	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
<b>Change in Benefit Obligations</b>				
Benefit obligations — beginning of year	\$ 715.5	\$ 642.6	\$ 318.2	\$ 373.8
Service cost (excluding expenses)	10.6	10.7	2.5	4.0
Interest cost	41.6	40.9	17.5	19.8
Plan amendments	4.0	(.1)		(48.0)
Actuarial loss (gain)	63.4	73.9	5.8	(9.5)
Benefits paid	(47.2)	(44.5)	(20.4)	(21.3)
Participant contributions			3.3	3.3
Other	1.6	(8.0)	.3	(3.9)
Benefit obligations — end of year	<u>\$ 789.5</u>	<u>\$ 715.5</u>	<u>\$ 327.2</u>	<u>\$ 318.2</u>

## Table of Contents

### Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

	(In Millions)			
	Pension Benefits		Other Benefits	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
<b>Change in Plan Assets</b>				
Fair value of plan assets — beginning of year	\$ 541.2	\$ 471.4	\$ 75.5	\$ 56.6
Actual return on plan assets	48.1	57.2	5.3	6.5
Employer contributions	40.6	63.0	15.2	13.1
Benefits paid	(47.2)	(44.5)	(.5)	(.5)
Asset transfers/refund		(.1)		
Change in ownership share		(5.8)		(.2)
Exchange rate gain (loss)	1.4			
Fair value of plan assets — end of year	<u>\$ 584.1</u>	<u>\$ 541.2</u>	<u>\$ 95.5</u>	<u>\$ 75.5</u>
<b>Funded Status at December 31</b>				
Fair value of plan assets	\$ 584.1	\$ 541.2	\$ 95.5	\$ 75.5
Benefit obligations	<u>789.5</u>	<u>715.5</u>	<u>327.2</u>	<u>318.2</u>
Funded status (plan assets less benefit obligations)	(205.4)	(174.3)	(231.7)	(242.7)
Amounts not recognized:				
Unrecognized net loss	259.7	213.4	163.0	166.8
Unrecognized prior service cost (benefit)	17.4	16.4	(60.7)	(70.2)
Unrecognized net obligation (asset) at date of adoption	<u>(2.4)</u>	<u>(6.3)</u>	<u>.3</u>	<u>.3</u>
Net amount recognized	<u>\$ 69.3</u>	<u>\$ 49.2</u>	<u>\$ (129.1)</u>	<u>\$ (145.8)</u>
Prepaid benefit cost	\$ 171.6	\$ 158.6		
Accrued benefit cost	(102.3)	(109.4)		
Additional minimum liability	(166.5)	(133.6)		
Intangible asset	15.6	14.5		
Accumulated other comprehensive income	107.9	77.8		
Effect of change in mine ownership & minority interest	<u>43.0</u>	<u>41.3</u>		
<b>Net amount recognized</b>	<u>\$ 69.3</u>	<u>\$ 49.2</u>		

Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

Additional Information on Pension Benefit Obligations as of December 31, 2005

	(In Millions)					
	U.S. Pension Plans			Canadian Pension Plans		Total
	Salaried	Hourly	Mining	Salaried	Hourly	
Projected benefit obligation	\$ 243.4	\$ 453.7	\$ 41.3	\$ 20.7	\$ 30.4	\$ 789.5
Accumulated benefit obligation (ABO)	241.7	429.6	38.4	20.0	30.4	760.1
Fair value of plan assets	245.6	266.0	27.2	20.3	25.0	584.1
Unfunded ABO		163.6	11.2		5.4	180.2
Net amount recognized	79.3	(14.7)	(.6)	3.7	1.6	69.3
Additional minimum liability		148.9	10.6		7.0	166.5
Intangible asset		(13.9)	(.8)		(.9)	(15.6)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		\$ 135.0	\$ 9.8		\$ 6.1	\$ 150.9

Our net pension liability of \$97.2 million at December 31, 2005 is recorded as \$119.3 million of \$119.6 million in “Pensions, including minimum pension liability,” \$45.3 million in current liabilities as “Pensions,” \$80.4 million as “Prepaid Pensions — Salaried,” and the remainder minor amounts reflected as equity investments.

The \$129.1 million liability for Other Benefits at December 31, 2005 is recorded as \$85.2 million of long-term “Other post-retirement benefits,” and \$36.6 million in current liabilities as “Other postretirement benefits,” with the remainder reflected in equity investments.

The accumulated benefit obligation for all defined benefit pension plans was \$760.1 million and \$687.4 million at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The projected benefit obligation, accumulated benefit obligation, and fair value of plan assets for the pension plans with an accumulated benefit obligation in excess of plan assets were \$525.4 million, \$498.4 million, and \$318.2 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2005, and \$465.8 million, \$443.9 million, and \$285.5 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2004.

Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost

	(In Millions)			
	Pension Benefits		Other Benefits	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Service cost	\$ 10.6	\$ 10.7	\$ 2.5	\$ 4.0
Interest cost	41.6	40.9	17.5	19.8
Expected return on plan assets	(44.6)	(38.1)	(7.1)	(5.4)
Amortization:				
Net (asset) obligation	(3.9)	(3.9)		1.7
Prior service costs	3.1	2.6	(6.4)	(4.5)
Net actuarial loss (gain)	13.9	11.9	11.4	12.9
Other		(1.0)		
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 20.7	\$ 23.1	\$ 17.9	\$ 28.5

Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

Additional Information

	(In Millions)			
	Pension Benefits		Other Benefits	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Effect of change in mine ownership & minority interest	\$ 43.0	\$ 41.3	N/A	N/A
Minimum liability included in other comprehensive income	107.9	77.8	N/A	N/A
Actual return on plan assets	48.1	57.2	5.3	6.5

Assumptions

Historically, the U.S. discount rate has been set for all plans using the Moody's Aa corporate bond index. As of December 30, 2005, this rate was 5.41 percent. The Company, through an independent consultant, matched the projected cash flows used to determine the PBO and APBO to a projected yield curve of approximately 400 Aa graded bonds in the 10th to 90th percentiles. These bonds are either noncallable or callable with make-whole provisions. The duration matching produced rates ranging from 5.52 percent to 5.59 percent for the Company's U.S. pension plans. Based upon these results, the Company selected a discount rate of 5.50 percent for its U.S. plans.

The Canadian discount rate is set based upon a model by an independent consultant. The model discount rates for Canada are determined by calculating the single level discount rate that, when applied to a particular cash flow pattern, produces the same present value as discounting the cash flow pattern using spot rates generated from a high-quality corporate bond yield curve. Based on the cash flow patterns and liability duration for the Canadian plans, which are dependent on the demographic profile of each plan, the December 31, 2005 discount rate is 5.00 percent.

Weighted-average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations at December 31:

	Pension Benefits		Other Benefits	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
<i>U.S.</i>				
Discount rate	5.50%	5.75%	5.50%	5.75%
Rate of compensation increase	4.12	4.16	N/A	N/A
<i>Canada</i>				
Discount rate	5.00%	5.75%	5.00%	5.75%
Rate of compensation increase	4.00	4.00	N/A	N/A

Weighted-average assumptions used to determine net benefit cost for years ended December 31:

	Pension Benefits		Other Benefits	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
<i>U.S.</i>				
Discount rate	5.75%	6.25%	5.75%	6.25%
Expected return on plan assets	8.50	8.50	8.50	8.50
Rate of compensation increase	4.16	4.19	4.50	4.19
<i>Canada</i>				
Discount rate	5.75%	6.00%	5.75%	6.00%
Expected return on plan assets	8.00	8.00	3.00	6.50
Rate of compensation increase	4.00	4.00		

**Table of Contents**

**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

*Assumed Health Care Cost Trend Rates at December 31:*

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
<b>U.S.</b>		
Health care cost trend rate assumed for next year	<b>8.0%</b>	9.0%
Ultimate health care cost trend rate	<b>5.0</b>	5.0
Year that the ultimate rate is reached	<b>2009</b>	2009
<b>Canada</b>		
Health care cost trend rate assumed for next year	<b>8.0%</b>	9.0%
Ultimate health care cost trend rate	<b>5.0</b>	5.0
Year that the ultimate rate is reached	<b>2009</b>	2009

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the health care plans. A one-percentage-point change in assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effects:

	<u>(In Millions)</u>	
	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Decrease</u>
Effect on total of service and interest cost	\$ 2.0	\$ 1.6
Effect on postretirement benefit obligation	35.0	28.0

**Plan Assets**

*Pension*

The pension plans asset allocation at December 31, 2005, and 2004, and target allocation for 2006 are as follows:

<u>Asset Category</u>	<u>2006 Target Allocation</u>	<u>Percentage of Plan Assets at December 31</u>	
		<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Equity securities	54.4%	<b>50.7%</b>	63.6%
Debt securities	32.7	<b>30.5</b>	29.2
Hedge funds	9.2	<b>9.1</b>	
Real estate	3.7	<b>8.3</b>	7.2
Cash		<b>1.4</b>	
Total	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

	<u>(In Millions)</u>	
	<u>Assets at December 31</u>	
<u>Asset Category</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Equity securities	\$ <b>296.2</b>	\$ 344.2
Debt securities	<b>178.1</b>	158.0
Hedge funds	<b>53.3</b>	
Real estate	<b>48.2</b>	39.0
Cash	<b>8.3</b>	
Total	<u>\$ <b>584.1</b></u>	<u>\$ 541.2</u>

**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

The expected return on plan assets represents the weighted average of expected returns for each asset category. Expected returns are determined based on historical performance, adjusted for current trends. The expected return is net of benefit plan expenses.

**VEBA & CLIR Contracts**

Assets for other benefits include deposits relating to insurance contracts (“CLIR”) and VEBA trusts pursuant to bargaining agreements that are available to fund retired employees’ life insurance obligations and medical benefits. The other benefit plan asset allocation at December 31, 2005, and 2004, and target allocation for 2006 are as follows:

<u>Asset Category</u>	<u>2006 Target Allocation</u>	<u>Percentage of Plan Assets at December 31</u>	
		<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Equity securities	59.2%	<b>59.8%</b>	65.0%
Debt securities	35.2	<b>34.5</b>	35.0
Hedge funds	5.6	<b>5.5</b>	
Cash		<b>.2</b>	
Total	<u>100.0%</u>	<u><b>100.0%</b></u>	<u>100.0%</u>

<u>Asset Category</u>	<u>(In Millions) Assets at December 31</u>	
	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Equity securities	\$ <b>57.2</b>	\$ 49.2
Debt securities	<b>32.9</b>	26.3
Hedge funds	<b>5.2</b>	
Cash	<b>.2</b>	
Total	<u><b>\$ 95.5</b></u>	<u>\$ 75.5</u>

The expected return on plan assets represents the weighted average of expected returns for each asset category. Expected returns are determined based on historical performance, adjusted for current trends. The expected return is net of benefit plan expenses.

*Participant and Company Contributions*

<u>Company Contributions</u>	<u>(In Millions)</u>			
	<u>Pension Benefits</u>	<u>Other Benefits</u>		
		<u>VEBA</u>	<u>Direct Payments</u>	<u>Total</u>
2004	\$ 63.0	\$ 13.1	\$ 17.8	\$ 30.9
2005	40.6	15.2	16.6	31.8
2006 (expected)	46.2	17.7	19.7	37.4

Annual contributions to the pension plans are made within income tax deductibility restrictions in accordance with statutory regulations. In the event of plan termination, the plan sponsors could be required to fund additional shutdown and early retirement obligations that are not included in the pension obligations.

VEBA plans are not subject to minimum regulatory funding requirements. Amounts contributed are pursuant to bargaining agreements.

## Table of Contents

### Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

Contributions by participants to the other benefit plans were \$3.3 million for both years ending December 31, 2005 and 2004.

We are currently considering various options for the amount to be contributed to the pension plans during 2006. The amounts reflected represent minimum funding requirements and bargaining agreements.

#### Estimated Cost for 2006

For 2006, the Company, including its share of the plans of its unconsolidated ventures, estimates net periodic benefit cost for the U.S. and Canadian plans as follows:

	(In Millions)
Defined benefit pension plans	\$ 23.1
Defined contribution plans	3.9
Other postretirement benefits	16.6
Total	<u>\$ 43.6</u>

#### Estimated Company Benefit Payments

	(In Millions)			
	Pension Benefits	Gross Company Payments	Other Benefits Less Medicare Subsidy	Net Company Payments
2006	\$ 51.1	\$ 21.0	\$ 1.3	\$ 19.7
2007	51.7	22.6	1.4	21.2
2008	53.9	23.7	1.4	22.3
2009	53.9	24.6	1.4	23.2
2010	54.6	25.2	1.4	23.8
2011-2015	288.4	132.2	7.5	124.7

#### Other Potential Benefit Obligations

While the foregoing reflects our obligation, including our proportionate share of unconsolidated ventures, total Company exposure in the event of non-performance of other venturers (at Hibbing and Wabush) is potentially greater. Following is a summary comparison of the total obligation including other venturers' proportionate shares versus our share:

	December 31, 2005 (In Millions)			
	Company's Share		Total	
	Defined Benefit Pensions	Other Benefits	Defined Benefit Pensions	Other Benefits
Fair value of plan assets	\$ 584.1	\$ 95.5	\$ 798.9	\$ 124.3
Benefit obligation	789.5	327.2	1,064.1	407.7
Underfunded status of plan	<u>\$ (205.4)</u>	<u>\$ (231.7)</u>	<u>\$ (265.2)</u>	<u>\$ (283.4)</u>
Additional shutdown and early retirement benefits	<u>\$ 88.3</u>	<u>\$ 36.9</u>	<u>\$ 130.1</u>	<u>\$ 57.4</u>

**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

**Note 10 — Income Taxes**

The components of the provision for income taxes on continuing operations consisted of the following:

	(In Millions)		
	2005	2004	2003
Current provision (benefit):			
North American federal	\$ 64.3	\$ 47.0	\$ (1.1)
North American state/provincial & local	3.4	4.7	.3
Australian	<u>21.5</u>		
	<u>89.2</u>	51.7	(.8)
Deferred provision (benefit):			
North American	10.1	(86.7)	.5
Australian	<u>(14.5)</u>		
	<u>(4.4)</u>	(86.7)	.5
Total provision (benefit) on continuing operations	<u>\$ 84.8</u>	<u>\$ (35.0)</u>	<u>\$ (.3)</u>

Our 2005 provision for North American federal income taxes is the sum of U.S. federal income tax of \$63.4 million and Canadian federal income tax of \$.9 million. The current provision for North American state/provincial and local income taxes is the sum of U.S. state and local income taxes of \$3.3 million and Canadian provincial income taxes of \$.1 million.

Our 2005 North American provision for deferred income taxes from operations reflects the net of a deferred tax charge of \$18.0 million related primarily to the 2005 utilization of previously recorded deferred tax assets; a credit of \$8.9 million due to the elimination of a valuation allowance associated with separate return year net operating loss carryforwards of one of our subsidiaries, a charge of \$2.8 million to write-down state deferred tax assets due to a major Ohio legislative change enacted in 2005, and a credit of \$1.8 million for prior years' tax adjustments, primarily related to the finalization of an audit covering the 2001 and 2002 tax years.

Our 2005 Australian provision for deferred income taxes from operations reflects a net deferred tax credit of \$14.5 million attributable primarily to the reversal of deferred tax liabilities established by U.S. GAAP purchase accounting in connection with our acquisition of an 80.4 percent equity interest in Portman. Such deferred tax liabilities relate to the step-up in the financial accounting basis of the inventories, ore reserves, and plant and equipment of Portman; and reverse as post-acquisition operations sell the inventories, mine the ore reserves and utilize the Portman plant and equipment.

Our 2004 credit provision for deferred income taxes from operations reflects the reduction in valuation allowance, \$113.8 million, net of a charge of \$30.6 million for realization of tax assets during 2004, and a credit of \$3.0 million for the recognition of future state income tax benefits. For 2003 and through the third quarter of 2004, we maintained a deferred tax asset valuation allowance sufficient to fully reserve our net deferred tax asset due to uncertainty regarding realization. In the fourth quarter of 2004, we determined, based on the existence of sufficient evidence, that we no longer required a valuation allowance other than \$8.9 million related to net operating loss carryforwards attributable to pre-consolidation separate return years of one of our subsidiaries.

Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

Reconciliation of our income tax attributable to continuing operations computed at the United States federal statutory rate is as follows:

	(In Millions)		
	2005	2004	2003
Tax at statutory rate of 35 percent	\$ 128.8	\$ 99.8	\$ (11.6)
Increase (decrease) due to:			
Percentage depletion in excess of cost depletion	(37.6)	(16.7)	(2.3)
Non-deductible expense	5.5	1.4	.6
Effect of state & foreign taxes	4.9	.1	.6
Prior years' tax adjustments	(1.8)	(.5)	12.7
Valuation allowance	(8.9)	(113.8)	.8
Rate differential on foreign earnings	(1.1)		
Other items — net	(5.0)	(5.3)	(1.1)
Income tax expense (credit)	<u>\$ 84.8</u>	<u>\$ (35.0)</u>	<u>\$ (.3)</u>

The components of income taxes for other than continuing operations consisted of the following:

	(In Millions)		
	2005	2004	2003
Discontinued operations	\$ (.5)	\$ 1.8	\$
Extraordinary gain			(.5)
Cumulative effect of accounting change	2.8		
Other comprehensive (income) loss:			
ISG Common Stock		(34.5)	34.5
Minimum pension liability	(10.5)	4.0	
Other	<u>.8</u>	<u>.1</u>	<u>(.2)</u>
	(9.7)	(30.4)	34.3
Paid in capital — stock options	(2.6)	(2.0)	(.4)

During 2005, the income tax recorded to “Other comprehensive income” consisted of a deferred tax credit of \$10.5 million related to the deferred tax asset for the \$30.0 million increase in the minimum pension liability, partially offset by a deferred tax charge of \$.8 million associated with marking-to -market an investment in PolyMet. Also, in 2005, we recorded net losses, net of tax benefits of \$.5 million with respect to discontinued operations in Trinidad and Tobago in 2002 and in Venezuela in 2005; as well as an adjustment to our shareholders’ equity, net of a tax benefit of \$2.6 million associated with the exercise of stock options.

During 2004, the income tax recorded to “Other comprehensive income” consisted of a deferred tax credit to reverse the \$34.5 million deferred tax liability recorded in 2003 related to the mark-to -market adjustment to our investment in ISG common stock, as we fully monetized our investment in ISG, as well as adjustments to reflect the tax impacts associated with decreases in minimum pension obligations and marking-to -market an investment in PolyMet; the tax impact of \$4.1 million attributable to these two items was allocated to each component. Also, we recorded income, net of a tax charge of \$1.8 million, with respect to operations we discontinued in Trinidad and Tobago in 2002 and in Venezuela in 2005; as well as an adjustment to our shareholders’ equity, net of a tax benefit of \$2.0 million associated with the exercise of stock options.

## Table of Contents

### Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries

#### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

At December 31, 2005, Current Liabilities — “Income taxes” on the Statement of Consolidated Financial Position includes \$29.1 million for federal, state, provincial and local income taxes. The liability includes tax contingencies related to prior years of \$11.2 million, \$10.9 million of which relates to U.S. subsidiaries doing business in Canada. In accordance with SFAS No. 5, “Accounting for Contingencies,” our accrual is based upon our estimate of the probable loss. The income tax contingencies also include interest expense; no penalties have been assessed or accrued.

Significant components of our deferred tax assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2005 and 2004 are as follows:

	<u>(In Millions)</u>	
	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Deferred tax assets:		
Postretirement benefits other than pensions	\$ 21.2	\$ 23.4
Pensions, including minimum pension liability	33.1	22.3
Loss carryforwards	3.3	8.9
Capital loss carryforwards	11.1	
Alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards	13.0	8.4
Asset retirement obligation	5.1	6.4
Product inventories	2.3	5.4
U.S. state income taxes	.2	
Investment in ventures		1.4
Development	8.9	7.7
Other liabilities	25.0	27.3
Total deferred tax assets before valuation allowance	<u>123.2</u>	111.2
Deferred tax asset valuation allowance	<u>11.1</u>	8.9
Net deferred tax assets	<u>112.1</u>	102.3
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Inventory	5.3	
Properties	134.0	21.5
Investment in ventures	10.1	
Other assets	6.4	
Total deferred tax liabilities	<u>155.8</u>	21.5
Net deferred tax assets (liabilities)	<u>\$ (43.7)</u>	<u>\$ 80.8</u>

## Table of Contents

### Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

The deferred tax amounts are classified on the balance sheet as current or long-term in accordance with the asset or liability to which they relate. Following is a summary:

	(In Millions)	
	2005	2004
Deferred tax assets:		
North America		
Current	\$ 11.7	\$ 36.6
Long-term	66.5	44.2
Total deferred tax assets	<u>78.2</u>	<u>80.8</u>
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Australia		
Current	5.2	
Long-term	116.7	
Total deferred tax liabilities	<u>121.9</u>	
Net deferred tax assets (liabilities)	<u>\$ (43.7)</u>	<u>\$ 80.8</u>

Through our acquisition of Portman, we have \$11.1 million of Australian deferred tax assets related to capital loss carryforwards of \$37.0 million. Under Australian income tax law, capital losses are deductible from taxable capital gains, not from ordinary taxable income, but can be carried forward indefinitely. Further, we must satisfy either a continuity of ownership test or a same business test to claim a deduction for past losses. Due to the restrictions posed by these tests, as well as Portman's uncertainty as to when, if ever, it may generate sufficient capital gains that could be offset, we have booked a full valuation allowance against this deferred tax asset.

At December 31, 2005, cumulative undistributed earnings of our Australian subsidiaries included in consolidated retained earnings amounted to \$16.3 million. These earnings are indefinitely reinvested in international operations. Accordingly, no provision has been made for deferred taxes related to the future repatriation of these earnings, nor is it practicable to determine the amount of this liability.

#### Note 11 — Preferred Stock

**Preferred Stock:** In January 2004, we completed an offering of \$172.5 million of redeemable cumulative convertible perpetual preferred stock, without par value, issued at \$1,000 per share. The preferred stock pays quarterly cash dividends at a rate of 3.25 percent per annum, has a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share and is convertible into our common shares at an adjusted rate of 32.3354 common shares (32.6652 at February 17, 2006) per share of preferred stock, which is equivalent to an adjusted conversion price of \$30.93 per share at December 31, 2005 (\$30.61 at February 17, 2006), subject to further adjustment in certain circumstances. Each share of preferred stock may be converted by the holder if during any fiscal quarter ending after March 31, 2004 the closing sale price of our common stock for at least 20 trading days in a period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the preceding quarter exceeds 110 percent of the applicable conversion price on such trading day (\$34.02 at December 31, 2005; this threshold was met as of December 31, 2005). The satisfaction of this condition allows conversion of the preferred stock during the fiscal quarter ending March 31, 2006 only. Holders of preferred stock may also convert: (1) if during the five business day period after any five consecutive trading-day period in which the trading price per share of preferred stock for each day of that period was less than 98 percent of the product of the closing sale price of our common stock and the applicable conversion rate on each such day; (2) upon the occurrence of certain corporate transactions; or (3) if the preferred stock has been called for redemption. On

**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

or after January 20, 2009, the Company, at its option, may redeem some or all of the preferred stock at a redemption price equal to 100 percent of the liquidation preference, plus accumulated but unpaid dividends, but only if the closing price exceeds 135 percent of the conversion price, subject to adjustment, for 20 trading days within a period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the trading day before the date we give the redemption notice. We may also exchange the preferred stock for convertible subordinated debentures in certain circumstances. We have reserved approximately 5.6 million common treasury shares for possible future issuance for the conversion of the preferred stock. Our shelf registration statement with respect to the resale of the preferred stock, the convertible subordinated debentures that we may issue in exchange for the preferred stock and the common shares issuable upon conversion of the preferred stock and the convertible subordinated debentures was declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 22, 2004. The Company is no longer contractually obligated to maintain the effectiveness of the registration statement due to the expiration of the effectiveness period. Accordingly, on February 14, 2006, the Company deregistered 92,655 shares of Preferred Stock, \$172,500,000 in aggregate principal amount of debentures and approximately 5.6 million common shares that have not been resold. The preferred stock is classified for accounting purposes as “temporary equity” reflecting certain provisions of the agreement that could, under remote circumstances, require us to redeem the preferred stock for cash. The net proceeds after offering expenses were approximately \$166 million. A portion of the proceeds was utilized to repay the remaining outstanding \$25.0 million in principal amount of our senior unsecured notes in the first quarter of 2004. We have also used approximately \$63.0 million to fund our underfunded pension plans and contributed \$13.1 million to our VEBAs in 2004.

**Note 12 — Stock Plans**

The 1992 Incentive Equity Plan, as amended in 1999, authorizes us to issue up to 3,400,000 Common Shares to employees upon the exercise of Options Rights, as Restricted Shares, in payment of Performance Shares or Performance Units that have been earned, as Deferred Shares, or in payment of dividend equivalents paid on awards made under the Plan. Such shares may be shares of original issuance, treasury shares, or a combination of both. Stock options may be granted at a price not less than the fair market value of the stock on the date the option is granted, generally are not subject to repricing, and must be exercisable not later than ten years and one day after the date of grant. Common Shares may be awarded or sold to certain employees with disposition restrictions over specified periods.

The 1996 Nonemployee Directors’ Compensation Plan (“Directors’ Plan”), as amended in 2001, authorized the Company to issue up to 200,000 Common Shares to nonemployee Directors. The Directors’ Plan was amended effective in 1999 to provide for the grant of 4,000 Restricted Shares with a five-year vesting to nonemployee Directors first elected on or after January 1, 1999, and provided that nonemployee Directors take at least 40 percent of their annual retainer in Common Shares (“Required Retainer”). The Directors’ Plan was amended and restated January 1, 2004 to provide for Director Share Ownership Guidelines (“Guidelines”). A Director is required by the end of a four-year period to own either (i) a total of at least 4,000 Common Shares, or (ii) hold Common Shares with a market value of at least \$100,000. If the Director meets the Guidelines, the Director may elect to receive cash for the Required Retainer.

The Directors’ Plan was further amended and restated January 1, 2005 to eliminate the 4,000 restricted share grant to new Directors on the Board and include an Annual Equity Grant (“Equity Grant”) in lieu of the restricted share grant. The Equity Grant is granted at the Company’s Annual Meeting each year to all nonemployee Directors newly elected by the shareholders. The value of the Equity Grant is \$32,500 payable in restricted shares with a three-year vesting period from the date of grant. A Director who is 69 or older at the Equity Grant date will receive common shares with no restrictions.

We recorded expense of \$8.5 million in 2005, \$6.6 million in 2004, and \$6.0 million in 2003 relating to other stock-based compensation, primarily the Performance Share program.

**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

In March 2005, we issued approximately 68,000 shares of restricted stock with a vesting date of December 31, 2007. As of November 30, 2005, we re-measured the shares for retiree-eligible employees to defer the immediate recognition of tax to the recipients. We immediately vested one-half of the restricted grant awards, resulting in the acceleration of approximately \$1.9 million of expense.

SFAS No. 123 requires pro forma disclosure of net income and earnings per share as if the fair value method for valuing stock options had been applied. Our pro forma information follows:

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>
Net income (loss) (millions)	\$ <b>280.0</b>	\$ 324.8	\$ (30.5)
Earnings (loss) per share:			
Basic	\$ <b>12.63</b>	\$ 14.99	\$ (1.49)
Diluted	\$ <b>10.06</b>	\$ 11.84	\$ (1.49)

There were no options issued in 2005, 2004 or 2003.

Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

Stock option, restricted stock award, deferred stock allocation, and performance share activities under our Incentive Equity Plans, and the Nonemployee Directors' Compensation Plan are summarized as follows:

	2005		2004		2003	
	Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
<b>Stock options:</b>						
Options outstanding at beginning of year	218,084	\$ 31.17	956,932	\$ 26.40	1,627,456	\$ 23.97
Granted during the year						
Exercised	(175,050)	32.53	(719,780)	24.94	(361,064)	16.69
Cancelled or expired	(15,900)	19.19	(19,068)	27.32	(309,460)	24.92
Options outstanding at end of year	27,134	29.38	218,084	31.17	956,932	26.40
Options exercisable at end of year	27,134	29.38	218,084	31.17	956,932	26.40
<b>Restricted awards:</b>						
Awarded and restricted at beginning of year	60,750		88,114		129,514	
Awarded during the year	75,563				51,370	
Vested	(39,723)		(27,364)		(84,770)	
Cancelled					(8,000)	
Awarded and restricted at end of year	96,590		60,750		88,114	
<b>Performance shares:</b>						
Allocated at beginning of year	617,182		769,212		704,436	
Allocated during the year	55,906		121,560		314,210	
Issued	(135,728)		(88,532)		(86,492)	
Forfeited/cancelled	(126,301)		(185,058)		(162,942)	
Allocated at end of year	411,059		617,182		769,212	
<b>Directors' retainer and voluntary shares:</b>						
Awarded at beginning of year	6,360		18,684		15,624	
Awarded during the year	1,229		6,360		18,684	
Issued	(6,661)		(18,684)		(15,624)	
Awarded at end of year	928		6,360		18,684	
<b>Reserved for future grants or awards at end of year:</b>						
Employee plans	635,651		621,188		538,622	
Directors' plans	46,664		51,624		57,984	
Total	682,315		672,812		596,606	

**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

Exercise prices for stock options outstanding as of December 31, 2005 ranged from \$14.78 to \$37.90, summarized as follows:

<b>Range of Exercise Prices</b>	<b>Outstanding and Exercisable</b>		
	<b>Number of Shares Underlying Options</b>	<b>Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life</b>	<b>Weighted Average Exercise Price</b>
\$10 — \$20	1,400	4.0	\$ 14.78
\$20 — \$30	12,400	1.5	21.87
\$30 — \$40	13,334	3.0	37.90
	<u>27,134</u>	2.4	\$ 29.38

**Note 13 — Other Comprehensive Income**

Components of Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) and related tax effects allocated to each are shown below:

	<b>(In Millions)</b>		
	<b>Pre-tax Amount</b>	<b>Tax Benefit (Provision)</b>	<b>After-tax Amount</b>
<b>Year Ended December 31, 2003:</b>			
Minimum pension liability	\$ (89.1)	\$ .6	\$ (88.5)
Unrealized gain on securities	179.3	(34.4)	144.9
	<u>\$ 90.2</u>	<u>\$ (33.8)</u>	<u>\$ 56.4</u>
<b>Year Ended December 31, 2004:</b>			
Minimum pension liability	\$ (77.8)	\$ (3.4)	\$ (81.2)
Unrealized gain on securities	.3	(.1)	.2
	<u>\$ (77.5)</u>	<u>\$ (3.5)</u>	<u>\$ (81.0)</u>
<b>Year Ended December 31, 2005:</b>			
Minimum pension liability	\$ (107.9)	\$ 7.1	\$ (100.8)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(24.7)		(24.7)
Unrealized loss on derivative financial instruments	(2.6)	.8	(1.8)
Unrealized gain on securities	2.6	(.9)	1.7
	<u>\$ (132.6)</u>	<u>\$ 7.0</u>	<u>\$ (125.6)</u>

Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) balances are as follows:

	(In Millions)				
	Minimum Pension Liability	Unrealized Gain on Securities	Foreign Currency Translation	Unrealized Loss on Derivative Financial Instruments	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Gain (Loss)
Balance December 31, 2002	\$ (110.7)				\$ (110.7)
Change during 2003	22.2	144.9			167.1
Balance December 31, 2003	(88.5)	144.9			56.4
Change during 2004	7.3	(144.7)			(137.4)
<b>Balance December 31, 2004</b>	<b>(81.2)</b>	<b>.2</b>			<b>(81.0)</b>
Change during 2005	(19.6)	1.5	(24.7)	(1.8)	(44.6)
<b>Balance December 31, 2005</b>	<b>\$ (100.8)</b>	<b>\$ 1.7</b>	<b>\$ (24.7)</b>	<b>\$ (1.8)</b>	<b>\$ (125.6)</b>

Note 14 — Shareholders' Equity

Under our share purchase rights plan, one half of a right is attached to each of our Common Shares outstanding or subsequently issued. One right entitles the holder to buy from the Company one-hundredth of one Common Share. The rights expire on September 19, 2007 and are not exercisable until the occurrence of certain triggering events, which include the acquisition of, or tender or exchange offer for, 20 percent or more of our Common Shares. There are approximately 336,000 Common Shares, adjusted for the two-for-one stock split, reserved for these rights. We are entitled to redeem the rights upon the occurrence of certain events.

Note 15 — Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amount and fair value of our financial instruments at December 31, 2005 and 2004 were as follows:

	(In Millions)			
	2005		2004	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 192.8	\$ 192.8	\$ 216.9	\$ 216.9
Marketable securities (short-term)	9.9	9.9	182.7	182.7
Long-term receivable*	60.7	60.7	64.1	64.1
Marketable securities (long-term)	10.6	10.6	.5	.5
Long-term debt*	7.7	7.3		
Preferred stock	172.5	172.5	172.5	306.1

\* Includes current portion.

The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities approximates fair value due to the short maturity or the highly liquid nature of these instruments.

On February 16, 2004, we entered into an option agreement with PolyMet that granted PolyMet the exclusive right to acquire certain land, crushing and concentrating and other ancillary facilities located at our Cliffs Erie site in Hoyt Lakes, Minnesota (formerly owned by LTVSMC). The iron ore mining and pelletizing operations were permanently closed in January 2001.

**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

PolyMet is a non-ferrous mining company located in Vancouver, B.C. Canada. Its stock trades Over-The-Counter in the U.S. under the symbol POMGF.OB.

Under terms of the agreement, we received \$500,000 and one million shares of PolyMet for maintaining certain identified components of the facility, while PolyMet conducted a feasibility study on the development of its Northmet PolyMetallic non-ferrous ore deposits located near the Cliffs Erie site. PolyMet had until June 30, 2006 to exercise its option and acquire the assets covered under the agreement for additional consideration. We recorded the \$500,000 option payment and one million common shares (valued at \$230,000 on the agreement date) under the deposit method and deferred recognition of the gain. We classified the PolyMet shares as available for sale and recorded mark-to-market changes in the value of the shares to other comprehensive income.

On November 15, 2005, we reached an agreement with PolyMet regarding the terms for the early exercise of PolyMet's option to acquire the assets under the agreement and closed the sales transaction resulting in a \$9.5 million pre-tax gain. Under the terms of the agreement, we received cash of \$1.0 million and approximately 6.2 million common shares of PolyMet, which closed that day at \$1.25 per share. The additional PolyMet shares received in this transaction are classified as available for sale in Other Assets — non current. We intend to hold our shares of PolyMet indefinitely. We are entitled to receive additional cash proceeds of \$2.4 million in quarterly installments by and according to the terms of the contract for deed executed by the parties. As a final component of the purchase price, PolyMet will assume certain on-going site related environmental and reclamation obligations.

The fair value of the long-term receivable from Ispat Inland of \$59.8 million and \$64.1 million at December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively, is based on the discount rate utilized by the Company, which represents an approximate credit adjusted rate for unsecured obligations. Portman has a non-interest bearing rail credit receivable of \$.9 million at December 31, 2005.

The fair value of Portman's long-term debt was determined based on a discounted cash flow analysis and estimated current borrowing rates.

At December 31, 2005 and 2004, our U.S. mining ventures had in place forward contracts for the purchase of natural gas and diesel fuel in the notional amount of \$28.6 million (our share — \$24.7 million) and \$28.3 million (our share — \$23.9 million), respectively. The unrecognized fair value loss on the contracts at December 31, 2005, which mature at various times through December 2006 was estimated to be \$5.2 million (our share — \$4.4 million) based on December 31, 2005 forward rates.

Portman hedges a portion of its United States currency-denominated sales in accordance with a formal policy. The primary objective for using derivative financial instruments is to reduce the earnings volatility attributable to changes in Australian and United States currency fluctuations. The instruments are subject to formal documentation, intended to achieve qualifying hedge treatment, and are tested at inception and at each reporting period as to effectiveness. Changes in fair value for highly effective hedges are recorded as a component of other comprehensive income. Ineffective portion \$2.5 million since acquisition, were charged to operations. At December 31, 2005, Portman had outstanding A\$370.1 million in the form of call options, collars, convertible collars and forward exchange contracts with varying maturity dates ranging from January 2006 to October 2008, and a fair value loss based on the December 31, 2005 spot rate of A\$.9 million. A one percent increase in rates from the month-end rate would increase the fair value and cash flow by approximately A\$2.0 million and a one percent decrease would decrease the fair value and cash flow by approximately A\$3.6 million.

**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements — (Continued)

**Note 16 — Earnings Per Share**

The following table summarizes the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share.

	<b>(In Millions, Except Per Share)</b>					
	2005		2004		2003	
	Amount	Per Share	Amount	Per Share	Amount	Per Share
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 273.2	\$12.57	\$ 320.2	\$15.03	\$ (34.9)	\$ (1.70)
Preferred dividend	(5.6)	(.26)	(5.3)	(.25)		
Income (loss) from continuing operations applicable to common shares	267.6	12.32	314.9	14.78	(34.9)	(1.70)
Discontinued operations	(.8)	(.04)	3.4	.16		
Extraordinary gain					2.2	.10
Cumulative effect	5.2	.24				
Income applicable to common shares — basic	<u>272.0</u>	<u>\$12.52</u>	318.3	<u>\$14.94</u>	(32.7)	<u>\$ (1.60)</u>
Dilutive effect preferred dividend	5.6		5.3			
Income applicable to common shares plus assumed conversions — diluted	<u>\$ 277.6</u>	<u>\$ 9.97</u>	<u>\$ 323.6</u>	<u>\$11.80</u>	<u>\$ (32.7)</u>	<u>\$ (1.60)</u>
Average number of shares (in thousands)						
Basic	21,728		21,308		20,512	
Employee stock plans	530		547			
Convertible preferred stock	5,578		5,566			
Diluted	<u>27,836</u>		<u>27,421</u>		<u>20,512</u>	

For 2003, the dilutive effects of employee stock plans of 311,600 shares were excluded from the computation of earnings per share because they were anti-dilutive.

**Note 17 — Contingencies**

The Company and its ventures are periodically involved in litigation incidental to their operations. Management believes that any pending litigation will not result in a material liability in relation to our consolidated financial statements.

## Table of Contents

### Quarterly Results of Operations (Unaudited)

(In Millions, Except Per Share Amounts)

	2005				
	Quarters				Year
	First*	Second	Third	Fourth	
Revenues from product sales and services	\$ 271.2	\$ 485.3	\$ 514.1	\$ 468.9	\$ 1,739.5
Operating income	34.1	138.9	122.4	61.1	356.5
Income before extraordinary gain and cumulative effect of accounting change	\$ 21.0	\$ 99.7	\$ 85.6	\$ 66.1	\$ 272.4
Net income	26.2	99.7	85.6	66.1	277.6
Earnings per share					
Basic	\$ 1.15	\$ 4.53	\$ 3.86	\$ 2.97	\$ 12.52
Diluted	.95	3.59	3.07	2.36	9.97

\* Net income and earnings per share have been restated by \$1.0 million and \$.04 per diluted share, respectively, to reflect the additional cumulative effect adjustment related to stripping recognized in the fourth quarter. Operating income and work in process inventories increased by \$1.6 million for the pre-tax amount.

First quarter results included a \$8.0 million pre-tax gain for a cumulative effect adjustment related to stripping costs and a \$9.8 million charge for currency hedging costs related to the acquisition of Portman. Results for 2005 include Portman's results since the March 31, 2005 acquisition. Second quarter results included a \$10.6 million pre-tax business interruption recovery. Fourth quarter results included a \$9.5 million gain on the sale of certain assets to PolyMet.

	2004				
	Quarters				Year
	First*	Second	Third	Fourth	
Revenues from product sales and services	\$ 233.7	\$ 297.7	\$ 344.8	\$ 326.9	\$ 1,203.1
Operating income (loss)	(3.3)	36.1	46.8	38.0	117.6
Income before extraordinary gain and cumulative effect of accounting change		\$ 32.8	\$ 87.5	\$ 203.3	\$ 323.6
Net income		32.8	87.5	203.3	323.6
Earnings (loss) per share					
Basic	\$ (.05)	\$ 1.49	\$ 4.03	\$ 9.41	\$ 14.94
Diluted	(.05)	1.21	3.18	7.31	11.80

\* Restated for the effect of adopting the Medicare Drug Act.

First quarter results included a \$1.6 million pre-tax charge for customer bankruptcy exposure. Third quarter results included a \$56.8 million pre-tax gain on the sale of ISG common stock. Fourth quarter results included an additional pre-tax gain on ISG common stock sales of \$95.9 million and a decrease in income taxes for the \$113.8 million reversal of deferred tax asset allowance.

The sum of the quarterly earnings per-share amounts does not equal the annual amount reported since per-share amounts are computed independently for each quarter and for the full year based upon respective weighted-average common shares outstanding and other diluted potential shares.

Common Share Price Performance and Dividends (Unaudited)

	2005			2004		
	<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>	<u>Dividends</u>	<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>	<u>Dividends</u>
First Quarter	\$ 88.35	\$ 46.80	\$ .10	\$ 34.04	\$ 21.28	\$
Second Quarter	75.50	51.14	.10	33.84	19.71	
Third Quarter	88.67	56.85	.20	40.25	25.03	
Fourth Quarter	99.25	70.90	.20	53.56	33.35	.10
Year	99.25	46.80	<u>\$ .60</u>	53.56	19.71	<u>\$ .10</u>

**Report Of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of  
Cleveland-Cliffs Inc  
Cleveland, Ohio

We have audited the accompanying statements of consolidated financial position of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the related statements of consolidated operations, shareholders’ equity and cash flows for the years then ended. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and the financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements presents fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

As discussed in the Accounting Policy note to the financial statements, in 2005 the Company changed its method of accounting for stripping costs incurred during the production phase of a mine.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 17, 2006 expressed an unqualified opinion on management’s assessment of the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting and an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP  
Cleveland, Ohio  
February 17, 2006

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

Shareholders and Board of Directors  
Cleveland-Cliffs Inc

We have audited the accompanying statements of consolidated operations, shareholders' equity and cash flows of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and consolidated subsidiaries (the "Company") for the year ended December 31, 2003 listed in the index at Item 15(a). Our audit also included the financial statement schedule listed in the index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and consolidated subsidiaries consolidated results of operations and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2003, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

As discussed in the Accounting Policy Note to the financial statements, in 2003 the Company changed its method of accounting for stock-based compensation.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Cleveland, Ohio  
January 28, 2004



**Item 9. *Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.***

None.

**Item 9A. *Controls and Procedures.***

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure based closely on the definition of "disclosure controls and procedures" in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and the Company's Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based on the foregoing, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level as of the date of the evaluation conducted by our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer.

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting or in other factors that occurred during the Company's last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

***Management Report on Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting***

The Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Company's internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to the Company's management and Board of Directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements.

All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005. In making this assessment, it used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework*. Based on its assessment, management believes that, as of December 31, 2005, the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective, based on those criteria.

On April 19, 2005, we completed the acquisition of 80.4 percent of Portman. The acquisition was initiated on March 31, 2005 by the purchase of approximately 68.7 percent of the outstanding shares of Portman. As permitted by the SEC, management excluded Portman from management's assessment of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005. Portman constituted approximately 38 percent of consolidated total assets as of December 31, 2005 and approximately 14 percent of consolidated total revenues for the nine month period since the acquisition. Portman will be included in management's assessment of the internal control over financial reporting for Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and our consolidated subsidiaries as of December 31, 2006.

The Company's independent auditors have issued an audit report on its assessment of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. This report appears on page 124.

## Report Of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of  
Cleveland-Cliffs Inc  
Cleveland, Ohio

We have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying "Report of Management on Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting", that Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and subsidiaries (the "Company") maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. As described in "Managements Report on Internal Controls over Financial Reporting," management excluded from their assessment the internal control over financial reporting at Portman Limited, which was acquired on March 31, 2005 and whose financial statements reflect total assets and revenues constituting 38 percent and 14 percent, respectively, of the related consolidated financial statement amounts as of and for the year ended December 31, 2005. Accordingly, our audit did not include the internal control over financial reporting at Portman Limited. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management's assessment that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the statement of consolidated financial position as of December 31, 2005, and the related statements of consolidated operations, shareholders' equity and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2005 and the financial statement schedule as of and for the year ended December 31, 2005 of the Company and our report dated February 17, 2006, expressed an unqualified opinion on the financial statements and financial statement schedule and included an explanatory paragraph relating to the change in method of accounting for stripping costs incurred during the production phase of a mine.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP  
Cleveland, Ohio  
February 17, 2006

## PART III

**Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant.**

The information regarding Directors required to be furnished by this Item will be set forth in our definitive Proxy Statement to Security Holders and is incorporated herein by reference and made a part hereof from the Proxy Statement. The information regarding executive officers required by this item is set forth in Part I hereof under the heading “Executive Officers of the Registrant,” which information is incorporated herein by reference and made a part hereof.

**Item 11. Executive Compensation.**

The information required to be furnished by this Item will be set forth in our definitive Proxy Statement to Security Holders and is incorporated herein by reference and made a part hereof from the Proxy Statement.

**Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.**

(a) The information required to be furnished by this Item will be set forth in our definitive Proxy Statement to Security Holders and is incorporated herein by reference and made a part hereof from the Proxy Statement.

(b) The table below sets forth certain information regarding the following equity compensation plans of ours as of December 31, 2005: the 1992 Equity Incentive Plan (“1992 Incentive Plan”), the Management Performance Incentive Plan (“MPI Plan”), the Mine Performance Bonus Plan (“Mine Plan”), the Voluntary Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan (“VNQDC Plan”) and the Nonemployee Directors’ Compensation Plan. All of those plans have been approved by shareholders, except for the MPI Plan, the Mine Plan, and the VNQDC Plan.

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column(a))
	(a)	(b)	(c)
Equity Compensation Plans Approved By Security Holders	438,193(1)	\$ 29.38	682,315(2)
Equity Compensation Plans Not Approved By Security Holders	0	N/A	(3)

- (1) Includes 411,059 performance share awards, an award initially denominated in shares, but no shares are actually issued until performance targets are met. The weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights, column (b), does not take these awards into account.
- (2) Includes 635,651 Common Shares remaining available under the 1992 Incentive Plan, which authorizes the Compensation and Organization Committee to make awards of Option Rights, Restricted Shares, Deferred Shares, Performance Shares and Performance Units (including up to 96,590 Restricted Shares and Deferred Shares); and 46,664 Common Shares remaining available under the Nonemployee Directors’ Compensation Plan, which authorizes the award of Restricted Shares to Directors upon their election to the Board at the Annual Meeting (Annual Equity Grant), and provides that the Directors are required to take 40 percent of their retainer in Common Shares, unless they meet the Directors Share Ownership Guidelines, and may take up to 100 percent of their retainer and other fees in Common Shares.
- (3) The MPI Plan, the Mine Plan, and the VNQDC Plan provide for the issuance of Common Shares, but do not provide for a specific amount available under the Plans. Descriptions of those Plans are set forth below.

### **MPI Plan**

The MPI Plan provides an opportunity for elected officers and other management employees to earn annual cash bonuses. Bonuses may also be paid in Common Shares. Certain participants in the MPI Plan may elect to defer all or a portion of such bonus into the VNQDC Plan. Such participants in the MPI Plan may elect to have his or her deferred cash bonus credited to an account with deferred Common Shares (“Bonus Exchange Shares”) by completing an election form prior to the date the bonus would otherwise be paid. These participants may also elect at this time to have dividends credited with respect to the Bonus Exchange Shares, either credited in additional deferred Common Shares, deferred in cash or paid out in cash in an in-service compensation distribution. In order to encourage elections to be credited with deferred Common Shares, such participants in the MPI Plan, who elect to have their cash bonuses credited to an account with Bonus Exchange Shares, will be credited with restricted deferred Common Shares in the amount of 25 percent of the Bonus Exchange Shares (“Bonus Match Shares”). These Participants must comply with the employment and non-distribution requirements for the Bonus Exchange Shares during a five-year period for the Bonus Match Shares to become vested and nonforfeitable.

### **Mine Plan**

The Mine Plan provides an opportunity for senior mine managers to earn cash bonuses. Bonuses earned under the Mine Plan are determined and paid quarterly to the participants. Certain participants may elect to defer all or part of their quarterly cash bonuses under the VNQDC Plan. These participants in the Mine Plan may further elect to have his or her deferred cash bonus credited to an account with deferred Common Shares. Each year these participants under the Mine Plan must make their Bonus Exchange Shares election (for the four quarters of that year). Such elections must be made by December 31 of the year prior to the year in which the quarterly bonuses are earned. As with the Participants electing Bonus Exchange Shares under the MPI Plan, Participants under the Mine Plan electing Bonus Exchange Shares will receive or be credited with restricted Bonus Match Shares in an amount of 25 percent of the Bonus Exchange Shares with the same five-year vesting period.

### **VNQDC Plan**

The VNQDC Plan was originally adopted by the Board of Directors to provide certain key management and highly compensated employees of ours or our selected affiliates with the opportunity to defer receipt of a portion of their regular compensation in order to defer taxation of these amounts. The VNQDC Plan also permits deferral of bonus awards under the MPI Plan, the Mine Plan, and Performance Share Plan (awarded under the 1992 Incentive Equity Plan). In addition, the VNQDC Plan contains the Management Share Acquisition Program (“MSAP”), whose purpose is to provide designated management employees with the opportunity to acquire deferred interests in Common Shares through deferral of their bonuses. The VNQDC Plan also contains the Officer Share Acquisition Program (“OSAP”), which permits elected officers to acquire deferred interests in Common Shares with compensation previously deferred in cash under the VNQDC Plan. When participants in the MPI Plan, the Mine Plan or the MSAP or OSAP elect to have accounts credited with deferred Common Shares under the VNQDC Plan, a match by us equal to 25 percent of the value of the deferred Common Shares will be credited by us to the accounts of participants.

### **Item 13. *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.***

The information, if any, required to be furnished by this Item will be set forth in our definitive Proxy Statement to Security Holders and is incorporated herein by reference and made a part hereof from the Proxy Statement.

### **Item 14. *Principal Accountant Fees and Services.***

The information, if any, required to be furnished by this Item will be set forth in our definitive Proxy Statement to Security Holders and is incorporated herein by reference and made a part hereof from the Proxy Statement.

**PART IV**

**Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K.**

(a)(1) and (2) — List of Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules.

The following consolidated financial statements of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc are included at Item 8 above:

Statement of Consolidated Financial Position — December 31, 2005 and 2004

Statement of Consolidated Operations — Years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003

Statement of Consolidated Cash Flows — Years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003

Statement of Consolidated Shareholders' Equity — Years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The following consolidated financial statement schedule of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc is included herein in Item 15(d) and attached as Exhibit 99 (a).

**Schedule II — Valuation and Qualifying Accounts**

All other schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulation of the Securities and Exchange Commission are not required under the related instructions or are inapplicable, and therefore have been omitted.

(3) List of Exhibits — Refer to Exhibit Index on pages 130-136 which is incorporated herein by reference.

(c) Exhibits listed in Item 15(a)(3) above are incorporated herein by reference.

(d) The schedule listed above in Item 15(a)(1) and (2) is attached as Exhibit 99(a) and incorporated herein by reference.

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CLEVELAND-CLIFFS INC

By: /s/ DONALD J. GALLAGHER

Name: Donald J. Gallagher  
 Title: Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

Date: February 21, 2006

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<u>Signatures</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
/s/ J. S. BRINZO	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	February 21, 2006
J. S. Brinzo		
/s/ D. J. GALLAGHER	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (Principal Financial Officer)	February 21, 2006
D. J. Gallagher		
/s/ R. J. LEROUX	Vice President and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)	February 21, 2006
R. J. Leroux		
*	Director	February 21, 2006
R. C. Cambre		
*	Director	February 21, 2006
R. Cucuz		
*	Director	February 21, 2006
S. M. Cunningham		
*	Director	February 21, 2006
B. J. Eldridge		
*	Vice Chairman and Director	February 21, 2006
D. H. Gunning		
*	Director	February 21, 2006
J. D. Ireland, III		
*	Director	February 21, 2006
F. R. McAllister		



## Table of Contents

<u>Signatures</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
*	Director	February 21, 2006
R. Phillips		
*	Director	February 21, 2006
R. K. Riederer		
*	Director	February 21, 2006
A. Schwartz		

\* The undersigned, by signing his name hereto, does sign and execute this Annual Report on Form 10-K pursuant to a Power of Attorney executed on behalf of the above-indicated officers and directors of the registrant and filed herewith as Exhibit 24 on behalf of the registrant.

By: /s/ DONALD J. GALLAGHER

DONALD J. GALLAGHER  
(Donald J. Gallagher, as Attorney-in -Fact)

## EXHIBIT INDEX

All documents referenced below were filed pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 by Cleveland-Cliffs Inc, file number 1-08944, unless otherwise indicated.

Exhibit Number		Pagination by Sequential Numbering System
<b>Articles of Incorporation and By-Laws of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc</b>		
3(a)	Amended Articles of Incorporation of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc as filed with Secretary of State of the State of Ohio on January 20, 2004 (filed as Exhibit 3(a) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc on February 13, 2004 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
3(b)	Amendment to Amended Articles of Incorporation as filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Ohio on November 30, 2004 (filed as Exhibit 3(a) to Form 8-K on November 30, 2004 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
3(c)	Regulations of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc (filed as Exhibit 3(b) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 2, 2001 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
<b>Instruments defining rights of security holders, including indentures</b>		
4(a)	Form of Common Stock (filed as Exhibit 4(a) to Form 8-K/A of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on December 6, 2004 and incorporated by reference).	Not Applicable
4(b)	Form of Series A-2 Preferred Stock Certificate (filed as Exhibit 4(b) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc on February 13, 2004 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
4(c)	Rights Agreement, dated September 19, 1997, by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Computershare Trust Company, N.A. (successor-in-interest to First Chicago Trust Company of New York), as Rights Agent (filed as Exhibit 4(b) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 5, 2002 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
4(d)	Amendment No. 1, effective as of November 15, 2001, to Rights Agreement by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Computershare Trust Company, N.A. (successor-in-interest to First Chicago Trust Company of New York), as Rights Agent (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to Amendment No. 1 to Form 8-A of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on December 14, 2001 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
4(e)	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of January 21, 2004, by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated (filed as Exhibit 4(e) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc on February 13, 2004 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
4(f)	Multicurrency Credit Agreement, entered into as of March 28, 2005, among Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and various institutions from time to time as lenders, Fifth Third Bank as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer, and Fleet National Bank as Syndication Agent (filed as Exhibit 4(a) to Form 8-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc on March 31, 2005 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
<b>Material Contracts</b>		
10(a)	* Cleveland-Cliffs Inc Supplemental Retirement Benefit Plan (as Amended and Restated, effective January 1, 2001) (filed as Exhibit 10 (c) to Form 10-Q of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on July 27, 2001 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable

\* Reflects management contract or other compensatory arrangement required to be filed as an Exhibit pursuant to Item 15(c) of this Report contract or other compensatory arrangement required to be filed as an Exhibit pursuant to Item 14(c) of this Report.

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>		<b>Pagination by Sequential Numbering System</b>
10(b)	* Amendment No. 1 to the Cleveland-Cliffs Inc Supplemental Retirement Benefit Plan (as Amended and Restated Effective January 1, 2001), dated as of November 13, 2001 (filed as Exhibit 10(b) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 5, 2002 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(c)	* Severance Agreements, dated as of January 1, 2000, by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and certain executive officers (filed as Exhibit 10(b) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc on March 16, 2000 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(d)	* Severance Agreement, dated as of April 16, 2001 by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and David H. Gunning (filed as Exhibit 10(b) to Form 10-Q of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on July 27, 2001, and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(e)	* Severance Agreement, by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Donald J. Gallagher, dated as of March 9, 2004 (filed as Exhibit 10(b) to Form 10-Q of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc on July 29, 2004 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(f)	* Severance Agreement, by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Joseph A. Carrabba, dated as of May 23, 2005 (filed as Exhibit 10(a) to Form 10-Q of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc on July 28, 2005 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(g)	* Employment and Separation Agreement entered into April 8, 2003 by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Thomas J. O'Neil (filed as Exhibit 10(a) to Form 10-Q of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on July 31, 2003 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(h)	* Employment Agreement between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Joseph A. Carrabba dated April 29, 2005 (filed as Exhibit 10(b) to Form 10-Q of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc on July 28, 2005 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(i)	* Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Subsidiaries Management Performance Incentive Plan, effective as of January 1, 2004 (Summary Description) (filed as Exhibit 10(c) to Form 10-Q of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on July 29, 2004 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(j)	* Form of Indemnification Agreement with Directors (filed as Exhibit 10(f) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 2, 2001 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(k)	* Director and Officer Indemnification Agreement, dated as of July 10, 2001 by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and David H. Gunning (filed as Exhibit 10(a) to Form 10-Q filed on October 25, 2001 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(l)	* Cleveland-Cliffs Inc 1992 Incentive Equity Plan (as Amended and Restated as of May 13, 1997), effective as of May 13, 1997 (filed as Exhibit 10(i) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 5, 2002 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(m)	* Amendment to the Cleveland-Cliffs Inc 1992 Incentive Equity Plan (as Amended and Restated as of May 13, 1997), effective May 11, 1999 (filed as Appendix A to Proxy Statement of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on March 22, 1999 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable

\* Reflects management contract or other compensatory arrangement required to be filed as an Exhibit pursuant to Item 15(c) of this Report contract or other compensatory arrangement required to be filed as an Exhibit pursuant to Item 14(c) of this Report.

## Table of Contents

<u>Exhibit Number</u>		<u>Pagination by Sequential Numbering System</u>
10(n)	* Form of Restricted Shares Agreement under the 1992 Incentive Equity Plan (as Amended and Restated as of May 13, 1997) as amended, authorized by the Compensation & Organization Committee of the Company and effective as of March 8, 2005 (filed as Exhibit 10(a) to Form 8-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on March 14, 2005 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(o)	* Form of Amendment No. 1 to the Cleveland-Cliffs Inc Restricted Shares Agreement dated March 8, 2005 (filed as Exhibit 10(b) to Form 8-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on December 1, 2005 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(p)	* Form of Restricted Shares Agreement under the 1992 Incentive Equity Plan (as Amended and Restated as of May 13, 1997) as amended, between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Joseph A. Carrabba effective May 23, 2005 (filed as Exhibit 10(c) to Form 10-Q of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on July 28, 2005 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(q)	* Amended and Restated Cleveland-Cliffs Inc Retirement Plan for Non-Employee Directors effective as of July 1, 1995 (filed as Exhibit 10(l) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 2, 2001 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(r)	* Amendment to Amended and Restated Cleveland-Cliffs Inc Retirement Plan for Non-Employee Directors dated as of January 1, 2001 (filed as Exhibit 10(d) to Form 10-Q of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on July 27, 2001 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(s)	* Second Amendment to the Amended and Restated Cleveland-Cliffs Inc Retirement Plan for Non-Employee Directors effective as of January 14, 2003 (filed as Exhibit 10(a) to Form 10-Q of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on April 24, 2003 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(t)	* Trust Agreement No. 1 (Amended and Restated effective June 1, 1997), dated June 12, 1997, by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and KeyBank National Association, Trustee, with respect to the Cleveland-Cliffs Inc Supplemental Retirement Benefit Plan, Severance Pay Plan for Key Employees and certain executive agreements (filed as Exhibit 10(o) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 5, 2002 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(u)	* Trust Agreement No. 1 Amendments to Exhibits, effective as of January 1, 2000, by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and KeyBank National Association, as Trustee (filed as Exhibit 10(n) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on March 16, 2000 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(v)	* First Amendment to Trust Agreement No. 1, effective September 10, 2002, by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and KeyBank National Association, as Trustee (filed as Exhibit 10(p) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 5, 2003 and incorporated by reference).	Not Applicable

\* Reflects management contract or other compensatory arrangement required to be filed as an Exhibit pursuant to Item 15(c) of this Report contract or other compensatory arrangement required to be filed as an Exhibit pursuant to Item 14(c) of this Report.

## Table of Contents

<u>Exhibit Number</u>		<u>Pagination by Sequential Numbering System</u>
10(w)	* Amended and Restated Trust Agreement No. 2, effective as of October 15, 2002, by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and KeyBank National Association, Trustee, with respect to Executive Agreements and Indemnification Agreements with the Company's Directors and certain Officers, the Company's Severance Pay Plan for Key Employees, and the Retention Plan for Salaried Employees (filed as Exhibit 10(q) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 5, 2003 and incorporated by reference).	Not Applicable
10(x)	* Trust Agreement No. 5, dated as of October 28, 1987, by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and KeyBank National Association, Trustee, with respect to the Cleveland-Cliffs Inc Voluntary Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan (filed as Exhibit 10(v) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 2, 2001 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(y)	* First Amendment to Trust Agreement No. 5, dated as of May 12, 1989, by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and KeyBank National Association, Trustee (filed as Exhibit 10(x) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 2, 2001 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(z)	* Second Amendment to Trust Agreement No. 5, dated as of April 9, 1991, by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and KeyBank National Association, Trustee (filed as Exhibit 10(y) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 2, 2001 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(aa)	* Third Amendment to Trust Agreement No. 5, dated as of March 9, 1992, by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and KeyBank National Association, Trustee (filed as Exhibit 10(z) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 2, 2001 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(bb)	* Fourth Amendment to Trust Agreement No. 5, dated November 18, 1994, by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and KeyBank National Association, Trustee (filed as Exhibit 10(w) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on March 16, 2000 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(cc)	* Fifth Amendment to Trust Agreement No. 5, dated May 23, 1997, by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and KeyBank National Association, Trustee (filed as Exhibit 10(cc) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 5, 2002 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(dd)	* Trust Agreement No. 7, dated as of April 9, 1991, by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and KeyBank National Association, Trustee, with respect to the Cleveland-Cliffs Inc Supplemental Retirement Benefit Plan (filed as Exhibit 10(ee) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 2, 2001 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(ee)	* First Amendment to Trust Agreement No. 7, by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and KeyBank National Association, Trustee, dated as of March 9, 1992 (filed as Exhibit 10(ff) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 2, 2001 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(ff)	* Second Amendment to Trust Agreement No. 7, dated November 18, 1994, by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and KeyBank National Association, Trustee (filed as Exhibit 10(bb) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on March 16, 2000 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable

\* Reflects management contract or other compensatory arrangement required to be filed as an Exhibit pursuant to Item 15(c) of this Report contract or other compensatory arrangement required to be filed as an Exhibit pursuant to Item 14(c) of this Report.

## Table of Contents

Exhibit Number		Pagination by Sequential Numbering System
10(gg)	* Third Amendment to Trust Agreement No. 7, dated May 23, 1997, by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and KeyBank National Association, Trustee (filed as Exhibit 10(ii) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 5, 2002 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(hh)	* Fourth Amendment to Trust Agreement No. 7, dated July 15, 1997, by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and KeyBank National Association, Trustee (filed as Exhibit 10(jj) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 5, 2002 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(ii)	* Amendment to Exhibits to Trust Agreement No. 7, effective as of January 1, 2000, by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and KeyBank National Association, Trustee (filed as Exhibit 10(ee) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on March 16, 2000 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(jj)	* Trust Agreement No. 8, dated as of April 9, 1991, by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and KeyBank National Association, Trustee, with respect to the Cleveland-Cliffs Inc Retirement Plan for Non-Employee Directors (filed as Exhibit 10(kk) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 2, 2001 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(kk)	* First Amendment to Trust Agreement No. 8, dated as of March 9, 1992, by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and KeyBank National Association, Trustee (filed as Exhibit 10(ll) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 2, 2001 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(ll)	* Second Amendment to Trust Agreement No. 8, dated June 12, 1997, by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and KeyBank National Association, Trustee (filed as Exhibit 10(nn) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 5, 2002 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(mm)	* Trust Agreement No. 9, dated as of November 20, 1996, by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and KeyBank National Association, Trustee, with respect to the Cleveland-Cliffs Inc Nonemployee Directors' Supplemental Compensation Plan (filed as Exhibit 10(oo) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 5, 2002 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(nn)	* Trust Agreement No. 10, dated as of November 20, 1996, by and between Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and KeyBank National Association, Trustee, with respect to the Cleveland-Cliffs Inc Nonemployee Directors' Compensation Plan (filed as Exhibit 10(pp) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 5, 2002 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(oo)	* Cleveland-Cliffs Inc Change in Control Severance Pay Plan, effective as of January 1, 2000 (filed as Exhibit 10(jj) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on March 16, 2000 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(pp)	* Cleveland-Cliffs Inc Voluntary Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan (Amended and Restated as of January 1, 2000) (filed as Exhibit 10(a) to Form 10-Q of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on July 27, 2000 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(qq)	* Cleveland-Cliffs Inc Long-Term Incentive Program, effective as of May 8, 2000 (filed as Exhibit 10(rr) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 2, 2001 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(rr)	* Cleveland-Cliffs Inc 2000 Retention Unit Plan, effective as of May 8, 2000 (filed as Exhibit 10(ss) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 2, 2001 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable

\* Reflects management contract or other compensatory arrangement required to be filed as an Exhibit pursuant to Item 15(c) of this Report contract or other compensatory arrangement required to be filed as an Exhibit pursuant to Item 14(c) of this Report.



## Table of Contents

Exhibit Number		Pagination by Sequential Numbering System
10(ss)	* Form of Long-Term Incentive Program Participant Grant and Agreement for Performance Period 2002-2004 (filed as Exhibit 10(oo) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 22, 2005 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(tt)	* Form of Long-Term Incentive Program Participant Grant and Agreement for Performance Period 2003-2005 (filed as Exhibit 10(pp) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 22, 2005 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(uu)	* Form of Long-Term Incentive Program Participant Grant and Agreement for Performance Period 2004-2006 (filed as Exhibit 10(qq) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 22, 2005 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(vv)	* Form of Long-Term Incentive Program Participant Grant and Agreement for Performance Period 2005-2007 (filed as Exhibit 10(a) to Form 8-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on March 15, 2005 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(ww)	* Cleveland-Cliffs Inc Nonemployee Directors' Supplemental Compensation Plan, effective as of July 1, 1995 (filed as Exhibit 10(tt) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 2, 2001 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(xx)	* First Amendment to Cleveland-Cliffs Inc Nonemployee Directors' Supplemental Compensation Plan, effective as of January 1, 1999 (filed as Exhibit 10(mm) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on March 25, 1999 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(yy)	* Second Amendment to the Cleveland-Cliffs Inc Nonemployee Directors' Supplemental Compensation Plan, effective as of January 14, 2003 (filed as Exhibit 10(b) to Form 10-Q of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on April 24, 2003 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(zz)	* Cleveland-Cliffs Inc Nonemployee Directors' Compensation Plan (Amended and Restated as of January 1, 2005)	Filed Herewith
10(aaa)	** Pellet Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated and effective as of January 31, 2002, by and among The Cleveland-Cliffs Iron Company, Cliffs Mining Company, Northshore Mining Company and Algoma Steel Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10(a) to Form 10-Q of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on April 25, 2002 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(bbb)	** Pellet Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated and effective as of April 10, 2002, by and among The Cleveland-Cliffs Iron Company, Cliffs Mining Company, Northshore Mining Company, Northshore Sales Company, International Steel Group Inc., ISG Cleveland Inc., and ISG Indiana Harbor Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10(a) to Form 10-Q of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc on July 25, 2002 and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(ccc)	** First Amendment to Pellet Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated and effective December 16, 2004, by and among The Cleveland-Cliffs Iron Company, Cliffs Mining Company, Northshore Mining Company, Cliffs Sales Company (formerly known as Northshore Sales Company), International Steel Group Inc., ISG Cleveland Inc., and ISG Indiana Harbor (filed as Exhibit 10(a) to Form 8-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc on December 29, 2004, and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable

\* Reflects management contract or other compensatory arrangement required to be filed as an Exhibit pursuant to Item 15(c) of this Report

\*\* Confidential treatment requested and/or approved as to certain portions, which portions have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

## Table of Contents

<u>Exhibit Number</u>		<u>Pagination by Sequential Numbering System</u>
10(ddd)	** Pellet Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated and effective as of December 31, 2002, by and among The Cleveland-Cliffs Iron Company, Cliffs Mining Company, and Ispat Inland Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10(vv) to Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc filed on February 5, 2003, and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(eee)	** Amended and Restated Pellet Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated and effective as of May 17, 2004, by and among The Cleveland-Cliffs Iron Company, Cliffs Mining Company, Northshore Mining Company, Cliffs Sales Company, International Steel Group Inc., and ISG Weirton Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10(a) of Form 8-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc on September 21, 2004, and incorporated by reference)	Not Applicable
10(fff)	** Amended and Restated Pellet Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated and effective January 1, 2006 by and among Cliffs Sales Company, The Cleveland-Cliffs Iron Company, Cliffs Mining Company, and Severstal North America, Inc.	Filed Herewith
21	Subsidiaries of the registrant	Filed Herewith
23	Consent of independent registered public accounting firm	Filed Herewith
24	Power of Attorney	Filed Herewith
31(a)	Certification Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 7241, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, signed and dated by John S. Brinzo as of February 21, 2006	Filed Herewith
31(b)	Certification Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 7241, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, signed and dated by Donald J. Gallagher as of February 21, 2006	Filed Herewith
32(a)	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, signed and dated by John S. Brinzo, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc, as of February 21, 2006	Filed Herewith
32(b)	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, signed and dated by Donald J. Gallagher, Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc, as of February 21, 2006	Filed Herewith
99(a)	Schedule II — Valuation and Qualifying Account	Filed Herewith

\* Reflects management contract or other compensatory arrangement required to be filed as an Exhibit pursuant to Item 15(c) of this Report

\*\* Confidential treatment requested and/or approved as to certain portions, which portions have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

**EXHIBIT 10(zz)**

**CLEVELAND-CLIFFS INC NONEMPLOYEE  
DIRECTORS' COMPENSATION PLAN  
(AS AMENDED AND RESTATED AS OF JANUARY 1, 2005)**

**RECITALS**

WHEREAS, Cleveland-Cliffs Inc ("Company"), with approval of the Company's shareholders on May 14, 1996, established the Cleveland-Cliffs Inc Nonemployee Directors' Compensation Plan ("Plan"), effective July 1, 1996;

WHEREAS, with approval of the Board of Directors of the Company ("Board"), the Plan was amended by the First Amendment to the Plan effective November 12, 1996;

WHEREAS, with the approval of the Board, the Plan was further amended by the Second Amendment to the Plan, effective May 13, 1997;

WHEREAS, with the approval of the Board, the Plan was further amended by the Third Amendment, effective January, 1999;

WHEREAS, with the approval of the Board and the shareholders, the Plan was further amended by the Fourth Amendment, effective May 8, 2001;

WHEREAS, with the approval of the Board, the Plan was amended and restated, effective January 1, 2004.

WHEREAS, the Company now desires to further amend and restate the Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors of the Company has approved such amendment and restatement, effective as of January 1, 2005, in accordance with Section 8.2 of the Plan.

## AMENDMENT AND RESTATEMENT

NOW, THEREFORE, the Plan is amended and restated as follows:

### ARTICLE I. DEFINITIONS

Whenever the following terms are used in this Plan they shall have the meanings specified below unless the context clearly indicates to the contrary:

- (a) "Account": A Deferred Fee Account and/or a Deferred Share Account, as the context may require.
- (b) "Accounting Date": December 31 of each year and the last day of each calendar quarter.
- (c) "Accounting Period": The quarterly period beginning on the date immediately following an Accounting Date and ending the next following Accounting Date.
- (d) "Administrator": The Board Affairs Committee of the Board or any successor committee designated by the Board.
- (e) "Annual Equity Grants": The Restricted Shares or Shares awarded annually pursuant to Section 3.1.
- (f) "Beneficiary": The person or persons (natural or otherwise) designated pursuant to Section 7.7.
- (g) "Board": The Board of Directors of the Company.
- (h) "Change in Control": The meaning set forth in Section 3.1(c).
- (i) "Code": The Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.
- (j) "Company": Cleveland-Cliffs Inc or any successor or successors thereto.
- (k) "Declared Rate": The Moody's Corporate Average Bond Yield as adjusted on the first business day of January, April, July and October or such other rate as the Administrator shall determine from time to time.
- (l) "Deferral Commitment": An agreement made by a Director in a Participation Agreement to have all of his or her Annual Equity Grant and/or all or a specified portion of his or her Fees, Required Retainer Shares and/or Voluntary Shares deferred under the Plan for a specified period in the future.
- (m) "Deferral Period": The Plan Year for which a Director has elected to defer all of his or her Annual Equity Grant and/or all or a portion of his or her Fees, Required Retainer Shares and/or Voluntary Shares.

- (n) "Deferred Fees": The Fees credited to a Director's Deferred Fee Account pursuant to Articles IV and V and payable to a Director pursuant to Article VII.
- (o) "Deferred Fee Account": The account maintained on the books of the Company for each Director pursuant to Article V.
- (p) "Deferred Shares": The Annual Equity Grant, Required Retainer Shares and Voluntary Shares credited to a Director's Deferred Share Account pursuant to Articles IV and VI and payable to a Director pursuant to Article VII.
- (q) "Deferred Share Account": The account maintained on the books of the Company for each Director pursuant to Article VI.
- (r) "Director": An individual duly elected or chosen as a Director of the Company who is not also an employee of the Company or any of its subsidiaries.
- (s) "Director Share Ownership Guidelines": Guidelines relating to ownership of Shares by Directors as established by the Administrator from time to time.
- (t) "Disability" or "Disabled": A Director shall be deemed to have a "Disability" or be "Disabled" if the Participant (i) is unable to engage in any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months, or (ii) is, by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months, receiving income replacement benefits for a period of not less than 3 months under an employer-sponsored accident and health plan.
- (u) "Election Filing Date": December 31 of the calendar year next preceding the first day of (i) in the case of dividends deferred under Section 3.1(f) of the Plan, the Plan Year in which Restricted Shares (on which such dividends are declared) are granted (ii) in the case of Fees, the Deferral Period in which the Fees would otherwise be earned (iii) in the case of an Annual Equity Grant, the Deferral Period in which the Restricted Shares or Shares would otherwise be awarded (iv) in the case of Required Retainer Shares and Voluntary Shares, the Deferral Period in which such shares would otherwise be earned.
- (v) "Fair Market Value": With respect to a Share, the last reported closing price for a Share on the New York Stock Exchange (or any appropriate over-the-counter market if the Shares are no longer listed on such Exchange) for a day specified herein for which such fair market value is to be calculated, or if there was no sale of Shares so reported for such day, on the most recently preceding day on which there was such a sale.
- (w) "Fees": The portion of the annual Retainer and other Director compensation payable in cash.
- (x) "Participation Agreement": The agreement submitted by a Director to the Administrator in which a Director may specify an amount of Voluntary Shares, or may elect to

defer receipt of all of his Annual Equity Grant and/or all or any portion of his or her Fees, Required Retainer Shares and/or Voluntary Shares for a specified period in the future.

(y) "Plan": The Plan set forth in this instrument as it may from time to time be amended.

(z) "Plan Year": The 12-month period beginning January 1 and ending December 31.

(aa) "Prior Plan": The Company's Plan for Deferred Payment of Directors' Fees originally adopted in 1981.

(bb) "Restricted Shares": Shares automatically awarded pursuant to Section 3.1 as to which neither the substantial risk of forfeiture nor the restrictions on transfer referred to in Section 3.1 hereof have expired.

(cc) "Retainer": The portion of a Director's annual compensation that is payable without regard to number of Board or committee meetings attended, committee positions or the Lead Director position.

(dd) "Required Retainer Shares": Such number of Shares or dollar value of a Director's Retainer as the Administrator may specify from time to time as the portion of a Director's Retainer required to be paid in Shares, less any amount such Director may have elected to receive in cash pursuant to Section 3.2 (a)(ii).

(ee) "Rule 16b-3": Rule 16b-3 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (or any successor rule to the same effect), as in effect from time to time.

(ff) "Settlement Date": The date which is the earliest to occur of the following: (i) the date of a Director's Termination of Service (including by death); (ii) the date a Director becomes Disabled; (iii) the date of the occurrence of a Change in Control of the Company; or (iv) the date prior to the date of Termination of Service selected by a Director in a Participation Agreement for distribution of all or a portion of the Annual Equity Grants, Fees, Required Retainer Shares and Voluntary Shares deferred during such Deferral Period as provided in Section 7.3.

(gg) "Shares": The Company's fully paid, non-assessable Common Shares, par value \$0.50 per share. Shares may be shares of original issuance or treasury shares or a combination of the foregoing.

(hh) "Termination of Service": A separation from service as defined under Section 409A of the Code.

(ii) "Unforeseeable Emergency": A severe financial hardship to a Director or Beneficiary resulting from (i) an illness or accident of the Participant or Beneficiary or his or her spouse or dependent (as defined in Section 152(a) of the Code), (ii) loss of the Participant's or Beneficiary's property due to casualty, or (iii) other similar or extraordinary circumstances arising as a result of events beyond the control of the Participant or Beneficiary.

(jj) "Voluntary Shares": The meaning set forth in Section 3.2(b).

## ARTICLE II. PURPOSE

The purpose of this Plan is to provide for the Annual Equity Grant to Directors and for the payment to Directors of a portion of the Retainer earned by them for services as Directors in Shares in order to further align the interests of Directors with the shareholders of the Company and thereby promote the long-term success and growth of the Company. In addition, the Plan is intended to provide Directors with opportunities to invest additional amounts of their compensation payable for services as a Director in Shares and defer receipt of any or all of such compensation.

## ARTICLE III. ANNUAL EQUITY GRANTS, REQUIRED RETAINER SHARES AND VOLUNTARY SHARES

### 3.1 Automatic Annual Equity Grants.

(a) For the year 2005 only, each Director shall automatically on July 12, 2005, receive 433 Restricted Shares, which is the number of Restricted Shares equal to \$32,500 divided by the Fair Market Value per Share on July 12, 2005, pro-rated from July 1, 2005. Each Director who joins the Board after the date of the 2005 annual meeting, but before the 2006 annual meeting, shall automatically receive on the date such Director joins the Board, the number of Restricted Shares equal to \$32,500 divided by the Fair Market Value of a Share on such date, pro-rated from the date such Director joins the Board.

(b) Beginning with the year 2006, each Director, shall automatically receive each year on the date of the annual meeting, the number of Restricted Shares equal to \$32,500 divided by the Fair Market Value of a Share on the date of the annual meeting. Each Director who joins the Board after an annual meeting, shall receive a pro-rated amount of Restricted Shares from the date such Director joins the Board. Notwithstanding the foregoing, any Director who is age 69 years old or older on the date of an annual meeting shall, in lieu of Restricted Shares, receive an equal number of Shares (with no restrictions).

(c) The Restricted Shares may not be assigned, exchanged, pledged, sold, transferred or otherwise disposed of by a Director, except to the Company, and shall be subject to forfeiture as herein provided until the earliest to occur of the following ("Vesting Event"): (a) the third anniversary of the date of award;

(b) a Change in Control (as defined below); (c) death; or (d) Disability. Any purported transfer in violation of the provisions of this paragraph shall be null and void, and the purported transferee shall obtain no rights with respect to such Restricted Shares. For purposes of this Section 3.1, "Change in Control" shall mean the occurrence of any of the following events:

(i) The acquisition by any individual, entity or group (within the meaning of Section 13(d)(3) or 14(d)(2) of the Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) (a "Person") of beneficial ownership (within the meaning of Rule 13d-3 promulgated under the Exchange Act) of 30% or more of the combined voting power of the then outstanding voting stock of the Company; provided, however, that for purposes of this Section 3.1(c)(i) of Article III, the following acquisitions shall not constitute a Change in Control: (A) any issuance of voting stock of the Company directly from the Company that is approved by the Incumbent Board (as defined in Section 3.1(c)(ii) of

Article III below), (B) any acquisition by the Company of voting stock of the Company, (C) any acquisition of voting stock of the Company by any employee benefit plan (or related trust) sponsored or maintained by the Company or any subsidiary, or (D) any acquisition of voting stock of the Company by any Person pursuant to a Business Combination that complies with clauses (A), (B) and (C) of Section 3.1(c)(iii) of Article III, below; or

(ii) individuals who, as of the date hereof, constitute the Board (the "Incumbent Board") cease for any reason to constitute at least a majority of the Board; provided, however, that any individual becoming a Director subsequent to the date hereof whose election, or nomination for election by the Company's shareholders, was approved by a vote of at least a majority of the Directors then comprising the Incumbent Board (either by a specific vote or by approval of the proxy statement of the Company in which such person is named as a nominee for director, without objection to such nomination) shall be deemed to have been a member of the Incumbent Board, but excluding, for this purpose, any such individual whose initial assumption of office occurs as a result of an actual or threatened election contest (within the meaning of Rule 14a-11 of the Exchange Act) with respect to the election or removal of Directors or other actual or threatened solicitation of proxies or consents by or on behalf of a Person other than the Board; or

(iii) consummation of a reorganization, merger or consolidation involving the Company, a sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company, or any other transaction involving the Company (each, a "Business Combination"), unless, in each case, immediately following such Business Combination, (A) all or substantially all of the individuals and entities who were the beneficial owners of voting stock of the Company immediately prior to such Business Combination beneficially own, directly or indirectly, more than 55% of the combined voting power of the then outstanding shares of voting stock of the entity resulting from such Business Combination (including, without limitation, an entity which as a result of such transaction owns the Company or all or substantially all of the Company's assets either directly or through one or more subsidiaries) in substantially the same proportions relative to each other as their ownership, immediately prior to such Business Combination, of the voting stock of the Company, (B) no Person (other than the Company, such entity resulting from such Business Combination, or any employee benefit plan (or related trust) sponsored or maintained by the Company, any subsidiary or such entity resulting from such Business Combination) beneficially owns, directly or indirectly, 30% or more of the combined voting power of the then outstanding shares of voting stock of the entity resulting from such Business Combination, and (C) at least a majority of the members of the Board of Directors of the entity resulting from such Business Combination were members of the Incumbent Board at the time of the execution of the initial agreement or of the action of the Board providing for such Business Combination; or

(iv) approval by the shareholders of the Company of a complete liquidation or dissolution of the Company, except pursuant to a Business Combination that complies with clauses (A), (B) and (C) of Section 3.1(c)(iii) of Article III.

For purposes of this Section 3.1(c) of Article III, voting stock means securities entitled to vote generally in the election of directors, and subsidiary means an entity in which the Company

directly or indirectly beneficially owns 50% or more of the outstanding capital or profits interests or voting stock.

(d) All of the Restricted Shares shall be forfeited by a Director who is terminated before a Vesting Event; provided, however, if service as a Director is terminated by the Company owing to removal as a Director without cause before the third anniversary of the date of an award, a portion of the Restricted Shares covered by such award that then remain forfeitable shall become freely transferable and nonforfeitable on a prorated basis (rounded up to the nearest whole Restricted Share) for the number of full months the Director remained on the Board during the three-year period from the date of grant.

(e) Unless otherwise directed by the Administrator, all certificates representing Restricted Shares shall be held in custody by the Company until the occurrence of a Vesting Event. As a condition to each award of Restricted Shares, unless otherwise determined by the Administrator, each Director shall have delivered to the Company a stock power, endorsed in blank, relating to the Restricted Shares covered by such award. After the occurrence of a Vesting Event, assuming no event has occurred that would effect a forfeiture of a Director's Restricted Shares, a certificate or certificates evidencing unrestricted ownership of such Shares shall be delivered to the Director.

(f) With respect to dividends on Restricted Shares:

(i) A Director may elect, prior to December 31, 2005 or the applicable Election Filing Date for any Plan Year thereafter, that all cash dividends declared with respect to Restricted Shares awarded during such Plan Year shall be deferred and reinvested in additional Common Shares during the period of restriction of such Restricted Shares and which shall be subject to the same restrictions as such Restricted Shares. Such deferral election shall be effective as of the applicable Election Filing Date and shall apply to dividends declared on Restricted Shares granted in the Plan Year following the applicable Election Filing Date and in each subsequent Plan Year unless terminated for a subsequent Plan Year by filing a termination election by the applicable Election Filing Date for such subsequent Plan Year.

(ii) In addition, any Director who joins the Board on or after January 1 of any Plan Year may make such an election with respect to dividends declared on his or her award of Restricted Shares within 30 days after becoming a Director. Such deferral election shall be effective when filed and shall apply only to dividends declared on Restricted Shares granted during the remainder of such Plan Year and in each subsequent Plan Year unless terminated for a subsequent Plan Year by filing a termination election by the applicable Election Filing Date for such subsequent Plan Year.

(iii) Once such a deferral election has been terminated, another deferral election may not be made.

(iv) All such deferred dividends shall be reinvested and held in the Director's name and shall be delivered as additional unrestricted Common Shares on the applicable Vesting Date, subject to vesting as provided in Section 3.1(c) hereof and proration as provided in Section 3.1(d) hereof.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, in accordance with Question and Answer 20 of Internal Revenue Service Notice 2005-1, prior to December 31, 2005 a Director may elect to cancel his or her deferral election that was made in 2005 that covered Restricted Shares granted in 2005 under Section 3.1(a) hereof as long as (i) the amounts subject to cancellation are includible in the gross income of the Director in the taxable year in which such amounts are earned and vested and (ii) the amounts subject to such cancellation shall be distributed to the Director.

### 3.2 Required Retainer Shares and Voluntary Shares.

#### (a) Payment of Retainer.

(i) Subject to Section 3.2(a)(ii), Section 3.2(b) and Article IV of this Plan, the Retainer established by the Administrator from time to time shall be payable in part as Required Retainer Shares payable on January 1 of the following year, and the balance of such Retainer and all other Fees shall be payable in cash quarterly in advance of each Accounting Period.

(ii) If a Director meets the Director Share Ownership Guidelines on December 1 of 2004 or any year thereafter, such Director may elect, by the filing of a Participant Agreement or such other form as may be approved by the Administrator by March 29, 2004 or by December 15 of such year, as applicable, to have up to 100% of such Director's Retainer paid by the Company in cash. Such election shall apply to the Director's Retainer for the Plan Year commencing January 1, 2004 or the Plan Year following such election, as applicable.

(b) Voluntary Shares. Prior to the commencement of any calendar quarter, a Director may elect by the filing of a Participation Agreement to have up to 100% of his or her Fees for such quarter paid by the Company in the form of Voluntary Shares and in lieu of the cash payment. Such Participation Agreement must be filed as a one-time election. Such election, unless subsequently terminated, shall apply to a Director's Fees for the remainder of the current Plan Year and each subsequent Plan Year. Once an election has been terminated another election may not be made.

(c) Issuance of Shares. On the first business day of each year the Company shall issue (i) to each Director a number of Shares equal to such Director's Required Retainer Shares for each Accounting Period during the prior Plan Year divided by the Fair Market Value per Share on the first day of such Accounting Period and (ii) to each Director who has made an election under Section 3.2(b), a number of Shares for each such Accounting Period equal to the portion of such Director's Fees for such Accounting Period that such Director has elected to receive as Voluntary Shares for such Accounting Period divided by the Fair Market Value per Share on the first day of such Accounting Period (less, in each case, the portion of the Required Retainer Shares and Voluntary Shares the Director elected to defer under Section 4.3). To the extent that the application of the foregoing formula would result in the issuance of fractional Shares, no fractional Shares shall be issued, but instead, the Company shall maintain two separate non-interest-bearing accounts for each Director, which accounts shall be credited with the amount of any Required Retainer Shares or Voluntary Shares, as the case may be, not convertible into whole Shares, which amounts shall be combined with Required Retainer Shares

and Voluntary Shares, respectively, which are paid for the next following Plan Year. When whole Shares are issued by the Company to the Director on January 1, the amounts in such accounts shall be reduced by that amount which (when added to the Required Retainer Shares and Voluntary Shares for such Director for such quarter) results in the issuance of the maximum number of Shares to such Director. The Company shall pay any and all fees and commissions incurred in connection with the payment of Required Retainer Shares and Voluntary Shares to a Director in Shares.

#### **ARTICLE IV. DEFERRAL OF FEES, ANNUAL EQUITY GRANT, REQUIRED RETAINER SHARES AND VOLUNTARY SHARES**

4.1 Deferral of Fees. A Director may elect to defer all or a specified percentage of his or her Fees earned for any Deferral Period by filing a Participation Agreement with the Administrator by the applicable Election Filing Date. Such Deferral Commitment shall be effective as of the applicable Election Filing Date and shall continue to be effective from Deferral Period to Deferral Period until terminated or modified by filing a new Participation Agreement with the Administrator, provided that such Participant Agreement must be filed by the applicable Election Filing Date for the Deferral Period for which it is to be effective.

4.2 Crediting of Deferred Fees. The portion of a Director's Fees that is deferred pursuant to a Deferral Commitment shall be credited promptly following each Plan Year to the Director's Deferred Fee Account as of the date the corresponding non-deferred portion of his or her Fees would have been paid to the Director.

4.3 Deferral of Annual Equity Grant. A Director may elect to defer all of his or her Annual Equity Grant (in lieu of receiving Restricted Shares or Shares pursuant to Section 3.1(b)) awarded during any Deferral Period by filing a Participation Agreement with the Administrator by the applicable Election Filing Date. Such Deferral Commitment shall be effective as of the applicable Election Filing Date and shall continue to be effective from Deferral Period to Deferral Period until terminated by filing a new Participation Agreement with the Administrator, provided that such Participation Agreement must be filed by the applicable Election Filing Date for the Plan Year for which it is to be effective. Once such a Deferral Commitment has been terminated, another Deferral Commitment may not be made. Such Deferred Shares are still subject to forfeiture pursuant to Section 6.5.

4.4 Deferral of Required Retainer Shares and Voluntary Shares. A Director may elect to defer all or a specified percentage of his or her Required Retainer Shares and his or her Voluntary Shares earned for any Deferral Period by filing a Participation Agreement with the Administrator by the applicable Election Filing Date. Such Deferral Commitment shall be effective as of the applicable Election Filing Date and shall continue to be effective from Deferral Period to Deferral Period until terminated or modified by filing a new Participation Agreement with the Administrator, provided that such Participation Agreement must be filed by the applicable Election Filing Date for the Deferral Period for which it is to be effective.

4.5 Crediting of Deferred Shares. The portion of a Director's Annual Equity Grant, Required Retainer Shares and Voluntary Shares that is deferred pursuant to a Deferral Commitment shall be credited promptly following each Plan Year to the Director's Deferred

Share Account as of the date the corresponding non-deferred portion of his or her Required Retainer Shares and Voluntary Shares would have been issued to the Director.

#### **ARTICLE V. DEFERRED FEE ACCOUNT**

5.1 Determination of Deferred Fee Account. On any particular date, a Director's Deferred Fee Account shall consist of the aggregate amount credited thereto pursuant to Section 4.2, plus any interest credited pursuant to Section 5.2, minus the aggregate amount of distributions, if any, made from such Deferred Fee Account.

5.2 Crediting of Interest. Each Deferred Fee Account to which Fees have been credited in dollar amounts shall be increased by the amount of interest earned since the immediately preceding Accounting Date. Interest shall be credited at the Declared Rate as of each Accounting Date based on the average daily balance of the Director's Deferred Fee Account since the immediately preceding Accounting Date, but after the Deferred Fee Account has been adjusted for any contributions or distributions to be credited or deducted for such period. Interest for the period prior to the first Accounting Date applicable to a Deferred Fee Account shall be prorated.

5.3 Adjustments to Deferred Fee Accounts. Each Director's Deferred Fee Account shall be immediately debited with the amount of any distributions under the Plan to or on behalf of the Director or, in the event of his or her death, his or her Beneficiary.

5.4 Statements of Deferred Fee Accounts. As soon as practicable after the end of each Plan Year, a statement shall be furnished to each Director or, in the event of his or her death, to his or her Beneficiary showing the status of his or her Deferred Fee Account as of the end of the Accounting Period, any changes in such Account since the end of the immediately preceding Accounting Period, and such other information as the Administrator shall determine.

5.5 Vesting of Deferred Fee Account. A Director shall be 100% vested in his or her Deferred Fee Account at all times.

#### **ARTICLE VI. DEFERRED SHARE ACCOUNT**

6.1 Determination of Deferred Share Account. On any particular date, a Director's Deferred Share Account shall consist of the aggregate number of Deferred Shares credited thereto pursuant to Section 4.5, plus any dividend equivalents credited pursuant to Section 6.2, minus the aggregate amount of distributions, if any, made from such Deferred Share Account.

6.2 Crediting of Dividend Equivalents. Each Deferred Share Account shall be credited as of the end of each Accounting Period with additional Deferred Shares equal in value to the amount of cash dividends paid by the Company during such Accounting Period on that number of Shares equivalent to the number of Deferred Shares in such Deferred Share Account during such Accounting Period. The dividend equivalents shall be valued by dividing the dollar value of such dividend equivalents by the Fair Market Value on the Accounting Date next following the dividend payment date. Until a Director or his or her Beneficiary receives his or her entire Deferred Share Account, the unpaid balance thereof credited in Deferred Shares shall be credited with dividend equivalents as provided in this Section 6.2.

6.3 Adjustments to Deferred Share Accounts. Each Director's Deferred Share Account shall be immediately debited with the amount of any distributions under the Plan to or on behalf of the Director or, in the event of his or her death, his or her Beneficiary.

6.4 Statements of Deferred Share Accounts. As soon as practicable after the end of each Plan Year, a statement shall be furnished to each Director or, in the event of his or her death, to his or her Beneficiary showing the status of his or her Deferred Share Account as of the end of the Accounting Period, any changes in such Account since the end of the immediately preceding Accounting Period, and such other information as the Administrator shall determine.

6.5 Vesting of Deferred Share Account. A Director shall be 100% vested in his or her Deferred Share Account at all times with respect to the deferral of Required Retainer Shares and Voluntary Shares, and with respect to any Annual Equity Grant received when a Director was 69 years of age or older. A Director shall become 100% vested in his or her Deferred Share Account with respect to each Annual Equity Grant upon the occurrence of a Vesting Event described in Section 3.1(c) (excluding any such Annual Equity Grant made to a Director who was 69 or older on the date of grant of such Annual Equity Grant) and any unvested portion shall be forfeited upon Termination of Service.

## **ARTICLE VII. DISTRIBUTION OF BENEFITS**

7.1 Settlement Date. A Director, or in the event of such Director's death, his or her Beneficiary shall be entitled to all or a portion of the balance in such Director's Deferred Fee Account and Deferred Share Account, as provided in this Article VII, following such Director's Settlement Date or Dates.

7.2 Amount to be Distributed. The amount to which a Director, or in the event of such Director's death, his or her Beneficiary is entitled in accordance with the following provisions of this Article VII shall be based on the Director's adjusted balances in his or her Deferred Fee Account and Deferred Share Account determined as of the Accounting Date coincident with or next following his or her Settlement Date or Dates.

7.3 In-Service Distribution. A Director may irrevocably elect to receive a pre-termination distribution of all or any specified percentage of his or her Deferred Fees or vested Deferred Shares commencing on a specified date in a Plan Year that begins at least three Plan Years after the date such Fees and Shares otherwise would have been payable. Such election (i) shall be made in a Participation Agreement filed at the same time he or she files a Deferral Commitment covering such Deferred Fees or Deferred Shares as provided in Sections 4.1, 4.2 or Section 4.4 and (ii) shall contain the Director's irrevocable election to receive such Deferred Fees or Deferred Shares under one of the forms provided in Section 7.4 or Section 7.5. Notwithstanding the foregoing, with respect to Deferred Shares in lieu of an Annual Equity Grant in the form of Restricted Shares for a Director under age 69 at the date of grant, the specified distribution date must be at least three years from the date of grant.

7.4 Form of Distribution -- Deferred Fees. Thirty days after the end of the Accounting Period in which a Director's Settlement Date occurs, the Company shall distribute or cause to be distributed, to the Director the balance of the Director's Deferred Fee Account as determined under Section 7.2, under one of the forms provided in this Section 7.4. In the event

of a Director's death, the balance of his or her Deferred Fee Account shall be distributed to his or her Beneficiary in a lump sum.

Distribution of a Director's Deferred Fee Account shall be made in one of the following forms as elected by the Director:

- (a) by payment in cash in a single lump sum;
- (b) by payment in cash in not greater than ten annual installments; or
- (c) a combination of (a) and (b) above. The Director shall designate the percentage payable under each option.

The Director's election of the form of distribution of Deferred Fees shall be on a Participation Agreement filed with the Administrator at the same time the Director files a Deferral Commitment covering such Deferred Fees pursuant to Section 4.1 hereof.

The amount of cash to be distributed in each installment shall be equal to the quotient obtained by dividing the Director's Deferred Fee Account balance as of the date of such installment payment by the number of installment payments remaining to be made to or in respect of such Director at the time of calculation.

If a Director fails to make an election in a timely manner as provided in this Section 7.4, distribution shall be made in cash in a single lump sum.

7.5 Form of Distribution -- Deferred Shares. Thirty days after the end of the Accounting Period in which a Director's Settlement Date occurs, the Company shall distribute or cause to be distributed, to the Director a number of Shares equal to the number of Deferred Shares in the Director's Deferred Share Account as determined under Section 7.2, under one of the forms provided in this Section 7.5. In the event of a Director's death, the number of Shares equal to the number of Deferred Shares in his or her Deferred Share Account shall be distributed to his or her Beneficiary in a single distribution.

Distribution of a Director's Deferred Share Account shall be made in one of the following forms as elected by the Director:

- (a) by payment in Shares or cash in a single distribution;
- (b) by payment in Shares or cash in not greater than ten annual installments; or
- (c) a combination of (a) and (b) above. The Director shall designate the percentage payable under each option.

The Director's election of the form of distribution of Deferred Shares shall be on a Participation Agreement filed with the Administrator at the same time the Director files a Deferral Commitment covering the Annual Equity Grant, Required Retainer Shares or Voluntary Shares comprising such Deferred Shares pursuant to Section 4.3 or 4.4 hereof.

The number of Shares to be distributed in each installment shall be equal to the quotient obtained by dividing the number of Deferred Shares in the Director's Deferred Share Account as of the date of such installment payment by the number of installment payments remaining to be made to or in respect of such Director at the time of calculation. Fractional Shares shall be rounded down to the nearest whole Share, and such fractional amount shall be re-credited as a fractional Deferred Share in the Director's Deferred Share Account.

If a Director elects payment in a single distribution in cash, the amount of the payout shall be equal to the Fair Market Value of the Deferred Shares in the Director's Deferred Share Account on the Settlement Date. If such Director elects payout in installments in cash, an amount equal to the Fair Market Value of the Deferred Shares in the Director's Deferred Share Account on the Settlement Date shall be transferred to the Director's Deferred Fee Account pending distribution.

If a Director fails to make an election in a timely manner as provided in this Section 7.5, distribution of the Director's Deferred Share Account shall be made in Shares in a single distribution.

7.6 Special Distributions. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Article VII, with respect to Deferred Fees or Deferred Shares that were earned and vested prior to January 1, 2005, a Director may elect to receive a distribution of part or all of his or her Deferred Fee Account and/or Deferred Share Account attributable to such Deferred Fees or Deferred Shares in one or more distributions if (and only if) the amount in the Director's Deferred Fee Account and/or the number of the Shares in the Director's Deferred Share Account subject to such distribution is reduced by 6%. The remaining 6% of the portion of the electing Director's Deferred Fee Account and/or Deferred Share Account subject to such distribution shall be forfeited. If a Director makes an election under this Section 7.6 during a Plan Year in which he or she is also making deferrals under the deferral portion of the Plan: (i) such election shall be effective as of the last day of the Plan Year in which it is submitted to the Administrator and any distribution made pursuant to such an election shall be made on such day and (ii) such Director shall terminate his or her participation in the deferral portion of the Plan for the two Plan Years following the Plan Year during which the Director's election is effective under this Section 7.6. If a Director makes an election under this Section 7.6 during a Plan Year in which he or she is not making any deferrals under the deferral portion of the Plan: (i) such election shall be effective when it is submitted to the Administrator and any distribution made pursuant to such an election shall be made within sixty days of the date such election is submitted to the Administrator and (ii) such Director shall not be eligible to participate in the deferral portion of the Plan for the two Plan Years following the Plan Year during which the Director's election is effective under this Section 7.6.

7.7 Beneficiary Designation. As used in the Plan the term "Beneficiary" means:

- (a) The person last designated as Beneficiary by the Director in writing on a form prescribed by the Administrator;
- (b) If there is no designated Beneficiary or if the person so designated shall not survive the Director, such Director's spouse; or

(c) If no such designated Beneficiary and no such spouse is living upon the death of a Director, or if all such persons die prior to the distribution of the Director's balance in his or her Deferred Fee Account and Deferred Share Account, then the legal representative of the last survivor of the Director and such persons, or, if the Administrator shall not receive notice of the appointment of any such legal representative within one year after such death, the heirs-at-law of such survivor shall be the Beneficiaries to whom the then remaining balance of such Accounts shall be distributed (in the proportions in which they would inherit his or her intestate personal property).

Any Beneficiary designation may be changed from time to time by the filing of a new form. No notice given under this Section 7.7 shall be effective unless and until the Administrator actually receives such notice.

7.8 Facility of Payment. Whenever and as often as any Director or his or her Beneficiary entitled to payments hereunder shall be under a legal disability or, in the sole judgment of the Administrator, shall otherwise be unable to apply such payments to his or her own best interests and advantage, the Administrator in the exercise of its discretion may direct all or any portion of such payments to be made in any one or more of the following ways: (i) directly to him or her; (ii) to his or her legal guardian or conservator; or (iii) to his or her spouse or to any other person, to be expended for his or her benefit; and the decision of the Administrator, shall in each case be final and binding upon all persons in interest.

7.9 Elections to Change Time and Form of Distribution. A Director may make an election to change the time of commencement of distribution (s) of his or her Deferred Fee Account or vested Deferred Shares Account, the form of payment of such accounts, or both, with respect to an amount previously deferred by the Director under a Deferral Commitment if all of the following requirements are met:

(a) Such election may not take effect until at least twelve months after the date on which the election is made;

(b) In the case of a election related to a payment other than a payment on account of death, Disability or occurrence of an Unforeseeable Emergency, the first payment under such election shall in all cases be deferred for a period of not less than five years from the date such payment would otherwise have been made (or, in the case of installment payments, which shall be treated as a single payment for purposes of this Section 7.9, five years from the date the first installment payment was scheduled to be paid); and

(c) Any subsequent election related to a distribution that is to be made at a specified date or pursuant to a fixed schedule pursuant to Sections 7.3 of the Plan must be made not less than twelve months prior to the date the payment was scheduled to be made under the prior election or deemed election (or, in the case of installment payments, which shall be treated as a single payment for purposes of this Section 7.9, twelve months prior to the date the first installment payment was scheduled to be paid).

7.10 Unforeseeable Emergency. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Article VII, in the event of an Unforeseeable Emergency and at the request of a Director or Beneficiary, accelerated payment shall be made to the Participant or Beneficiary of all or a part of his or her

(i) Deferred Fee Account or (ii) vested Deferred Share Account. Payments of amounts as a result of an Unforeseeable Emergency may not exceed the amount necessary to satisfy such Unforeseeable Emergency plus amounts necessary to pay taxes reasonably anticipated as a result of the distribution(s), after taking into account the extent to which the hardship is or may be relieved through reimbursement or compensation by insurance or otherwise by liquidation of the Participant's assets (to the extent the liquidation of such assets would not itself cause severe financial hardship).

#### **ARTICLE VIII. ADMINISTRATION, AMENDMENT AND TERMINATION**

8.1 Administration. The Plan shall be administered by the Administrator. The Administrator shall have such powers as may be necessary to discharge its duties hereunder. The Administrator may, from time to time, employ, appoint or delegate to an agent or agents (who may be an officer or officers of the Company) and delegate to them such administrative duties as it sees fit, and may from time to time consult with legal counsel who may be counsel to the Company. The Administrator shall have no power to add to, subtract from or modify any of the terms of the Plan, or to change or add to any benefits provided under the Plan, or to waive or fail to apply any requirements of eligibility for a benefit under the Plan. No member of the Administrator shall act in respect of his or her own Deferred Fee Account or his or her own Deferred Share Account. All decisions and determinations by the Administrator shall be final and binding on all parties. No member of the Administrator shall be liable for any such action taken or determination made in good faith. All decisions of the Administrator shall be made by the vote of the majority, including actions and writing taken without a meeting. All elections, notices and directions under the Plan by a Director shall be made on such forms as the Administrator shall prescribe.

8.2 Amendment and Termination. The Board may alter or amend this Plan from time to time or may terminate it in its entirety; PROVIDED, HOWEVER, that no such action shall, without the consent of a Director, affect the rights in any Shares issued or to be issued to such Director, in any Deferred Shares in a Director's Deferred Share Account or in any amounts in a Director's Deferred Fee Account (except that this limitation requiring the consent of a Director to certain actions shall not apply to any amendment or termination that is deemed necessary by the Company to ensure compliance with Section 409A of the Code); and further provided, that, without further approval by the shareholders of the Company no such action shall (a) increase the total number of Shares available for issuance under this Plan specified in Article X or (b) otherwise cause Rule 16b-3 to become inapplicable to this Plan.

#### **ARTICLE IX. FINANCING OF BENEFITS**

9.1 Financing of Benefits. The Shares and benefits payable in cash under the Plan to a Director or, in the event of his or her death, to his or her Beneficiary shall be paid by the Company from its general assets. The right to receive payment of the Shares and benefits payable in cash represents an unfunded, unsecured obligation of the Company. No person entitled to payment under the Plan shall have any claim, right, security interest or other interest in any fund, trust, account, insurance contract, or asset of the Company which may be responsible for such payment.

9.2 Security for Benefits. Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 9.1, nothing in this Plan shall preclude the Company from setting aside Shares or funds in trust ("Trust") pursuant to one or more trust agreements between a trustee and the Company. However, no Director or Beneficiary shall have any secured interest or claim in any assets or property of the Company or the Trust and all Shares or funds contained in the Trust shall remain subject to the claims of the Company's general creditors.

#### **ARTICLE X. SHARES SUBJECT TO PLAN**

10.1 Shares Subject to Plan. Subject to adjustment as provided in this Plan, the total number of Shares which may be issued under this Plan shall be 200,000 (100,000 of which were approved in 1996 and 100,000 of which were added as of May 8, 2001).

10.2 Adjustments. In the event of any change in the outstanding Shares by reason of (a) any stock dividend, stock split, combination of shares, recapitalization or any other change in the capital structure of the Company, (b) any merger, consolidation, spin-off, split-off, spin-out, split-up, reorganization, partial or complete liquidation or other distribution of assets, issuance of rights or warrants to purchase securities, or (c) any other corporate transaction or event having an effect similar to any of the foregoing, the number and kind of shares specified in Article III, the number or kind of Shares that may be issued under the Plan as specified in Article X and the number of Deferred Shares in a Director's Deferred Share Account shall automatically be adjusted so that the proportionate interest of the Directors shall be maintained as before the occurrence of such event. Such adjustment shall be conclusive and binding for all purposes with respect to the Plan.

#### **ARTICLE XI. PRIOR PLANS**

11.1 1992 Incentive Equity Plan. No further options shall be issued to the Directors under Section 8 of the Company's 1992 Incentive Equity Plan on or after July 1, 1996.

11.2 Plan for Deferred Payment of Director's Fees. Effective May 14, 1996, the Prior Plan was discontinued, except that amounts remaining payable to former Directors in the Prior Plan were paid in accordance with its terms.

#### **ARTICLE XII. GENERAL PROVISIONS**

12.1 Interests Not Transferable; Restrictions on Shares and Rights to Shares. No rights to Shares or other benefits payable in cash shall be assigned, pledged, hypothecated or otherwise transferred by a Director or any other person, voluntarily or involuntarily, other than (i) by will or the laws of descent and distribution, or (ii) to the extent permitted by Section 409A of the Code, pursuant to a judgment, order or decree from a state domestic relations court which requires payment of all or a part of a Director's interest under the Plan to an "alternate payee" as defined in Section 414(p) of the Code. No person shall have any right to commute, encumber, pledge or dispose of any other interest herein or right to receive payments hereunder, nor shall such interests or payments be subject to seizure, attachment or garnishment for the payments of any debts, judgments, alimony or separate maintenance obligations or be transferable by operation of law in the event of bankruptcy, insolvency or otherwise, all payments and rights hereunder being expressly declared to be nonassignable and nontransferable.

12.2 Governing Law. The provisions of this Plan shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Ohio.

12.3 Withholding Taxes. To the extent that the Company is required to withhold Federal, state or local taxes in connection with any component of a Director's compensation in cash or Shares, and the amounts available to the Company for such withholding are insufficient, it shall be a condition to the receipt of any Shares that the Director make arrangements satisfactory to the Company for the payment of the balance of such taxes required to be withheld, which arrangement may include relinquishment of the Shares.

12.4 Application of the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004.

(a) Notwithstanding any other provision of the Plan, any Deferred Fees or Deferred Shares (and earnings thereon) that qualify for "grandfathered status" under Section 409A of the Code because they were earned and vested prior to January 1, 2005 shall continue to be governed by the law applicable to nonqualified deferred compensation prior to the addition of Section 409A of the Code and shall be subject to the terms and conditions specified in the Plan as in effect prior to such date and shall not be modified by this amendment and restatement of the Plan (other than Section 7.6 hereof).

(b) To the extent applicable, it is intended that this Plan comply with the provisions of Section 409A of the Code. The Plan shall be administered in a manner consistent with this intent, and any provision that would cause the Plan to fail to satisfy Section 409A of the Code shall have no force and effect until amended to comply with Section 409A of the Code (which amendment may be retroactive to the extent permitted under Section 409A of the Code and may be made by the Company without consent of the participants). Any reference in this Plan to Section 409A of the Code will also include any proposed, temporary or final regulations, or any other guidance, promulgated with respect to such Section by the U.S. Department of the Treasury or the Internal Revenue Service.

(c) Notwithstanding any other provision of the Plan to the contrary, to the extent that Deferred Shares shall be deemed to be vested or restrictions lapse, expire or terminate upon the occurrence of a Change in Control or all or a portion of a Director's Deferred Fee Account or Deferred Share Account becomes payable upon the occurrence of such a Change in Control and, in either case, such Change in Control does not constitute a "change in the ownership or effective control" or a "change in the ownership or substantial portion of the assets" of the Company within the meaning of Section 409A(a)(2)(A)(v) of the Code, then though such Deferred Shares may be deemed to be vested or restrictions lapse, expire or terminate upon the occurrence of a Change in Control and all or a portion of such Deferred Fee Account or Deferred Share Account shall become payable upon the occurrence of a Change in Control, payment will be made, to the extent necessary to comply with the provisions of Section 409A of the Code, to the Director upon the earliest to occur of the following events: (i) the date of a Director's Termination of Service (including by death); (ii) the date payment would have been made in the absence of any provisions in the Plan to the contrary (provided such date is permissible under Section 409A of the Code); or (iii) the Participant's death.

12.5 Rule 16b-3. This Plan is intended to comply with Rule 16b-3. The Board may make such other changes in the terms or operation of the Plan as may then be necessary or

appropriate to comply with such Rule, including, without limitation, by eliminating any restriction originally included in the Plan to comply with Rule 16b-3 that may no longer be required. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the Board may change the number of Restricted Shares and Restricted Retainer Shares to be awarded under Section 3.1 and 3.2, respectively, from time to time, and the Board may provide for annual election of Voluntary Shares pursuant to Section 3.3 if such election would be permitted by Rule 16b-3.

12.6 Miscellaneous. Headings are given to the sections of this Plan solely as a convenience to facilitate reference. Such headings, numbering and paragraphing shall not in any case be deemed in any way material or relevant to the construction of this Plan or any provisions thereof. The use of the singular shall also include within its meaning the plural, and vice versa.

**EXHIBIT 10(fff)**

**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL HAS BEEN  
OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.  
ASTERISKS DENOTE SUCH OMISSIONS.**

**AMENDED AND RESTATED PELLET SALE AND PURCHASE AGREEMENT**

THIS AMENDED AND RESTATED PELLET SALE AND PURCHASE AGREEMENT (the "Agreement"), entered into, dated and effective as of January 1, 2006 (the "Effective Date"), by and among CLIFFS SALES COMPANY, an Ohio corporation ("Cliffs Sales"), THE CLEVELAND-CLIFFS IRON COMPANY, an Ohio corporation ("Iron"), CLIFFS MINING COMPANY, a Delaware corporation ("Mining") ("Cliffs Sales", "Iron" and "Mining" being collectively referred to herein as "Cliffs") and SEVERSTAL NORTH AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation ("Severstal").

**RECITALS**

WHEREAS, Cliffs and Rouge Steel Company ("Rouge") are parties to that certain Pellet Sale, Purchase and Trade Agreement dated January 1, 1991, as amended (the "Original Contract"), pursuant to which Cliffs provided Rouge with iron ore pellets in connection with Rouge's steel manufacturing and processing activities; and

WHEREAS, on October 23, 2003, Rouge filed for protection under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code in the bankruptcy case styled In re: Rouge Industries, Inc., et al., case number 03-13272 (the "Bankruptcy Case") in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware; and

WHEREAS, on or about January 30, 2004, in connection with the Bankruptcy Case, OAO Severstal acquired substantially all of Rouge's assets, including an assignment by Rouge of the Original Contract pursuant to Section 365 of the United States Bankruptcy Code to Severstal, a subsidiary of OAO Severstal, which Severstal has expressly agreed to assume; and

WHEREAS, during the years 2004 and 2005 respectively, Cliffs sold to Severstal and Severstal purchased from Cliffs 3,276,317 tons and approximately 3,450,000 tons of standard grades of iron ore pellets upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Original Contract, as

**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL HAS BEEN  
OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.  
ASTERISKS DENOTE SUCH OMISSIONS.**

amended, including the amendments contained in the January 29, 2004 Letter Agreement by and between Rouge, Iron, Mining and Severstal (the "2004 Letter Agreement"); and

WHEREAS, Cliffs desires to continue to sell to Severstal and Severstal desires to continue to purchase from Cliffs certain quantities of standard grades of iron ore pellets upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants hereinafter set forth, Cliffs and Severstal agree as follows:

SECTION 1. - DEFINITIONS.

The terms quoted in the above parentheses of the first introductory paragraph of this Agreement and the WHEREAS clauses, other terms quoted throughout this Agreement, and the terms defined below in this Section 1 shall have the meanings assigned to them for purposes of this Agreement. Attached as Appendix I to this Agreement is a locator list of all defined terms used throughout the Agreement.

- (a). The word "ton", as used herein, shall mean a gross ton of 2,240 pounds avoirdupois natural weight.
- (b). The word "pellets", as used herein, shall mean a product obtained by pelletizing iron ore or iron ore concentrates, suitable for iron making in blast furnaces.
- (c). The words "[\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets", as used herein, shall mean pellets to which have been added sufficient quantities of [\*\*\*\*\*] and [\*\*\*\*\*] so as to increase the percentage of [\*\*\*\*\*] content of the pellet to a minimum of [\*\*\*\*\*] and the percentage of [\*\*\*\*\*] content of the pellet to a minimum of [\*\*\*\*\*] (unless such specifications are changed pursuant to Section 4), such pellets being those currently produced at the [\*\*\*\*\*], located in [\*\*\*\*\*].
- (d). The words "[\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets", as used herein, shall mean pellets with approximately [\*\*\*\*\*] content produced at the [\*\*\*\*\*] iron ore plant located at [\*\*\*\*\*].

**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL HAS BEEN  
OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.  
ASTERISKS DENOTE SUCH OMISSIONS.**

(e). The words "iron unit", as used herein, shall mean one percent (1%) iron contained in a ton.

(f). The word "year", as used herein, shall mean a calendar year.

**SECTION 2. - SALE AND PURCHASE.**

(a). Cliffs shall sell and by these presents does sell and shall deliver to Severstal, the tonnages and grades of [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets and [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets or other mutually agreed pellets (the [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets, [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets and any other mutually agreed pellets collectively referred to herein as "Cliffs' Pellets") on the terms and conditions as hereinafter provided. Severstal shall purchase and by these presents does purchase and shall receive and pay for such tonnages and grades of Cliffs' Pellets on the terms and conditions as hereinafter provided.

**SECTION 3. - TONNAGE/IRON UNITS.**

(a). During the term of this Agreement, Cliffs shall sell to Severstal and Severstal shall purchase from Cliffs all of Severstal's annual iron ore pellet tonnage requirements, such requirements being equal to Severstal's total annual iron ore pellet tonnage requirements, based on Severstal's operating configuration as of the Effective Date of this Agreement, for consumption in Severstal's iron and steelmaking facilities in any year ("Severstal's Annual Pellet Tonnage Requirements").

(b). The iron ore pellets to be purchased by Severstal during the term of this Agreement shall consist of [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets, [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets, or other mutually agreed pellets, provided that Cliffs has available for sale [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets. In the event Cliffs has [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets available, Severstal may purchase up to [\*\*\*\*\*] of Severstal's Annual Pellet Tonnage Requirements in [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets. In the event Cliffs does not have sufficient [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets available, Severstal and Cliffs shall mutually agree on another acceptable Cliffs' iron ore pellet, which substituted pellet shall be priced at a per iron unit that would yield a comparable delivered cost to Severstal had Severstal been able to purchase the [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets.

**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL HAS BEEN  
OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.  
ASTERISKS DENOTE SUCH OMISSIONS.**

(c). During the years [\*\*\*\*\*], (i) Severstal shall purchase from Cliffs all of Severstal's Annual Pellet Tonnage Requirements at prices to be established in accordance with the Agreement, and (ii) such purchases shall be in amounts equal to a minimum aggregate tonnage of [\*\*\*\*\*] tons of Cliffs' Pellets and shall in each year of the years [\*\*\*\*\*] be in accordance with the following schedule:

YEAR	MINIMUM TONNAGE
[*****]	[*****]
Non-allocated [*****]	[*****]
TOTAL	[*****]

The non-allocated tonnage shall be purchased during the years [\*\*\*\*\*] and, for the purchases in year 2006, shall be in addition to the minimum tonnage purchased during such year.

(d). [\*\*\*\*\*]

(e). All tons sold by Cliffs to Severstal, as provided for in this Section 3, shall be based on actual natural iron content and determined as herein provided.

**SECTION 4. - NOTIFICATION AND NOMINATION.**

(a). With respect to the tonnage of Cliffs' Pellets to be purchased by Severstal for the year 2006 (and during any years after 2006 in the event the Agreement is extended pursuant to Section 16), on or before [\*\*\*\*\*] of the prior year thereto, Severstal shall notify Cliffs in writing of the preliminary total number of iron units which Severstal shall purchase from Cliffs.

(b). With respect to the preliminary tonnage nominations as provided for in Section 4(a) above, on or before [\*\*\*\*\*] of the then current year, Severstal may, by written notification to Cliffs, adjust its preliminary tonnage nominations by not more than [\*\*\*\*\*], up or down. If, by [\*\*\*\*\*] of the then current year, Severstal shall have adjusted its preliminary tonnage nominations, then such adjusted tonnage nominations shall be deemed their final tonnage

**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL HAS BEEN  
OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.  
ASTERISKS DENOTE SUCH OMISSIONS.**

nominations for such year, and Severstal shall be obligated to purchase such tonnage in accordance with such final tonnage nominations.

(c). If, however, Severstal has not adjusted its preliminary tonnage nomination as provided for above, then on or before [\*\*\*\*\*] of the then current year, Severstal may, by written notification to Cliffs, adjust its preliminary tonnage nominations by not more than [\*\*\*\*\*], up or down. Such adjusted tonnage nominations shall be deemed to be Severstal's final tonnage nominations for such year, and Severstal shall be obligated to purchase such tonnage with Cliffs in accordance with such final tonnage nominations.

(d). If no adjustment is made on or before [\*\*\*\*\*], then the preliminary tonnage nomination, as provided above, shall be deemed to be Severstal's final tonnage nominations for such year, and Severstal shall be obligated to purchase such tonnages with Cliffs in accordance with such preliminary tonnage nominations.

**SECTION 5. - PRICE AND ADJUSTMENTS.**

(a). Quarterly Adjustments. Effective as of the quarter ended [\*\*\*\*\*], prices for Cliffs' Pellets shall be adjusted on a calendar quarterly basis based upon estimated and actual changes in the published pricing factors and indices specified in Section (d)(i) below (a "Quarterly Price Adjustment"). Cliffs shall calculate the Quarterly Price Adjustment and provide Severstal with such Quarterly Price Adjustment by the 15th day after the end of each calendar quarter, or on such later date as may be mutually agreed between Cliffs and Severstal. The adjusted prices for [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets and [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets determined in such Quarterly Price Adjustment shall have prospective effect until the next Quarterly Price Adjustment and shall have retroactive effect for the prior calendar quarters for the applicable year. Any overpayment or underpayments for such prior calendar quarters shall be promptly paid, by Cliffs or Severstal as applicable, within thirty (30) days of the determination of any such Quarterly Price Adjustment.

**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL HAS BEEN  
OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.  
ASTERISKS DENOTE SUCH OMISSIONS.**

(b). Year End Adjustments. A final adjustment shall be made to the prices for Cliffs' Pellets for each year on or about [\*\*\*\*\*] of the following year once final pricing factors and indices for the applicable year have been published in accordance with Section (d)(i) below (the "Year End Adjustment").

(c). [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets. The price for [\*\*\*\*\*] tonnage of [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets sold by Cliffs and purchased by Severstal shall have a [\*\*\*\*\*] price per iron unit of [\*\*\*\*\*], which is comprised of [\*\*\*\*\*] per iron unit paid in the [\*\*\*\*\*] plus [\*\*\*\*\*] per iron unit in excess of [\*\*\*\*\*] carried forward to [\*\*\*\*\*].

(d). Adjustment to [\*\*\*\*\*] Price. The price for the [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets as provided for in (c) above, purchased in the year [\*\*\*\*\*] (and during any years after [\*\*\*\*\*] in the event the Agreement is extended pursuant to Section 16) shall be adjusted. In order to determine the adjusted price to be paid for the [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets for each such year, the price per iron unit for the year [\*\*\*\*\*] and for each of the respective years thereafter, as then calculated, for [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets shall be further adjusted, up or down, each year for the year in determination by an amount equal to the sum of the adjustment factors (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) below:

(i). [\*\*\*\*\*] of the amount obtained by multiplying the as then adjusted price per iron unit for [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets for the year in determination by a fraction (as converted to a decimal) determined by,

(y) dividing the numerator of which is the amount by which the [\*\*\*\*\*] for the calendar year in determination changes (up to down) from [\*\*\*\*\*] for the immediately preceding year; and

(z) by the denominator of which is [\*\*\*\*\*] for the immediately preceding year.

**Plus;**

**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL HAS BEEN  
OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.  
ASTERISKS DENOTE SUCH OMISSIONS.**

(ii) [\*\*\*\*\*] of the amount obtained by multiplying the as then adjusted price per iron unit for [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets for the year in determination by the fraction (as converted to a decimal) determined by,

(y) dividing the numerator, which is the amount by which [\*\*\*\*\*] for the calendar year in determination changes (up or down) from the immediately preceding calendar years [\*\*\*\*\*];

(z) by the denominator, which is the immediately preceding calendar year's [\*\*\*\*\*];

**Plus;**

(iii) [\*\*\*\*\*] of the amount obtained by multiplying the [\*\*\*\*\*] by the fraction (as converted to decimal) determined by

(y) dividing the numerator, which is the amount by which [\*\*\*\*\*] for the calendar year in determination changes (up or down) from the immediately preceding calendar years [\*\*\*\*\*];

(z) by the denominator, which is the immediately preceding calendar year's [\*\*\*\*\*];

**Plus;**

(iv) [\*\*\*\*\*] of the amount obtained by multiplying [\*\*\*\*\*] for the year in determination by the fraction (as converted to decimal) determined by

(y) dividing the numerator, which is the amount by which [\*\*\*\*\*] for the calendar year in determination changes (up or down) from the immediately preceding calendar years [\*\*\*\*\*];

(z) by the denominator, which is the immediately preceding calendar year's [\*\*\*\*\*].

**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL HAS BEEN  
OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.  
ASTERISKS DENOTE SUCH OMISSIONS.**

An example of the calculation of the adjustment under this Section 5(d) is included as Exhibit I to this Agreement.

(e). [\*\*\*\*\*]

(f). [\*\*\*\*\*]

(g). [\*\*\*\*\*]

(i). [\*\*\*\*\*]

(ii). [\*\*\*\*\*]

(iii). [\*\*\*\*\*]

(h). [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets. The price for any tonnage of [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets shall be the price for [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets calculated as provided in Section 5(d) above, [\*\*\*\*\*]. Sample price calculations for [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets are attached as Exhibits II(a), II(b), III(a) and III(b) and are incorporated herein by reference.

(i). The price for any other mutually agreed upon Cliffs' iron ore pellets, which are substituted for the [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets, shall be priced so as to provide Severstal with a delivered price equal to the price for the substituted [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets, as the case may be.

(j). [\*\*\*\*\*]

**SECTION 6. - DELIVERY, CREDIT AND PAYMENT.**

(a). For all cargoes of Cliffs' Pellets shipped to Severstal beginning as of the date hereof, Cliffs shall retain title to the cargoes of Cliffs' Pellets so shipped until Severstal makes payment for the Cliffs' Pellets. Severstal shall pay Cliffs for each cargo of Cliffs' Pellets shipped during the month by wire transfer to Cliffs no later than [\*\*\*\*\*] following the shipment of a cargo. Title to the Cliffs' Pellets for each such cargo of Cliffs' Pellets shipped shall pass to Severstal [\*\*\*\*\*].

(b). [\*\*\*\*\*]

(c). In the event Severstal shall fail to make prompt payment, Cliffs, in addition to all other remedies available to it in law or in equity, shall have the right, but not the obligation, to

**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL HAS BEEN  
OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.  
ASTERISKS DENOTE SUCH OMISSIONS.**

withhold further performance by it under this Agreement until all claims it may have against Severstal under this Agreement are fully satisfied.

**SECTION 7. - GRANT OF SECURITY INTEREST.**

- (a). Severstal acknowledges and agrees that, except [\*\*\*\*\*], it is the intent of the parties that title to the Cliffs' Pellets shall pass to Severstal solely upon [\*\*\*\*\*] in accordance with the terms of this Agreement. However, to secure the payment and performance of all obligations of Severstal due to Cliffs pursuant to this Agreement, Severstal hereby grants, pledges and assigns to Cliffs a purchase money security interest ("PMSI") in all of Severstal's right, title and interest in and to the Cliffs' Pellets to the extent that Severstal takes possession of any Cliffs' Pellets in any fashion prior to making payment as required under this Agreement as well as the proceeds of any of the Cliffs' Pellets, including the proceeds of any insurance related thereto (collectively, the "Collateral").
- (b). Upon delivery of any of the Collateral to Severstal by Cliffs, the Collateral shall be located at the addresses set forth on Attachment A hereto. Severstal will deliver written notice to Cliffs at least thirty (30) days prior to any change in the locations of any of the Collateral.
- (c). The PMSI granted to Cliffs that attaches to a specific shipment of inventory shall automatically terminate upon the date of Cliffs' receipt from Severstal of payment in full for said shipment (the "PMSI Termination Date"). Prior to the applicable PMSI Termination Date, the Collateral will at all times be free and clear of any lien, security interest, mortgage, charge or encumbrance created by or through Severstal or any of its affiliates that is senior to the security interest granted to Cliffs pursuant to this Agreement.
- (d). Severstal hereby authorizes Cliffs to file UCC financing statements and any amendments, modifications or continuation statements thereto, as Cliffs, in its sole discretion, deems necessary or advisable to perfect its security interest in the Cliffs' Pellets granted hereunder, that describes the Collateral and to include any information required for the

**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL HAS BEEN  
OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.  
ASTERISKS DENOTE SUCH OMISSIONS.**

sufficiency or filing office acceptance of any such financing statements, amendments, modifications or continuation statements. Severstal covenants and agrees to (i) provide promptly any information requested by Cliffs for inclusion on such financing statements, amendments, modifications or continuation statements and to provide prompt notice of any change in such information and (ii) to take such further actions and duly execute and deliver such further documentation as Cliffs may request in order to fully protect its security interest in the Cliffs' Pellets granted hereunder.

(e). Prior to the applicable PMSI Termination Date, Severstal will keep and preserve the Collateral in a commercially reasonable manner and will not use, sell or offer to sell, pledge or encumber, process, destroy or consume the Collateral.

(f). Severstal and Cliffs acknowledge that in the event of a default hereunder by Severstal, Cliffs will have all the rights and remedies afforded a secured party under the Uniform Commercial Code as adopted in the State of Michigan with respect to the Collateral.

**SECTION 8. - ANALYSES.**

The [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets delivered hereunder will be sampled at the [\*\*\*\*\*] Mine and analyzed by mine technicians or such independent chemists as may be mutually agreed upon, and said analyses shall be final and the weighted average of all such analyses of each grade of [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets delivered hereunder shall constitute the basis of settlement hereunder for such grade of [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets. The cost of sampling and analyzing by independent chemists, if requested by any party, shall be borne by the party requesting such sampling and analyzing.

The [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets delivered hereunder will be sampled at the [\*\*\*\*\*] iron ore pellet plant and analyzed by mine technicians or such independent chemists as may be mutually agreed upon, and said analyses shall be final and the weighted average of all such analyses of each grade of [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets delivered hereunder shall constitute the basis of settlement hereunder for

**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL HAS BEEN  
OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.  
ASTERISKS DENOTE SUCH OMISSIONS.**

such grade of [\*\*\*\*\*] Pellets. The cost of sampling and analyzing by independent chemists, if requested by any party, shall be borne by the party requesting such sampling and analyzing.

**SECTION 9. - QUALITY.**

Cliffs' Pellets, when loaded for shipment, will be consistent with the typical specifications and analysis limits set forth on Exhibit IV. Both parties acknowledge the need for defining measurement of product characteristic capabilities and quality system requirements. The basis for agreement will be the use of statistical calculation of capabilities and a quality system based on ISO 9001:2000 requirements.

**SECTION 10. - SHIPMENTS.**

Within thirty (30) days prior to the first shipment of Cliffs' Pellets of each year, Cliffs and Severstal will establish a schedule of shipments for the Cliffs' Pellets for such year, which schedule may be changed during such year by mutual agreement. In the event Cliffs and Severstal are unable to agree upon a shipping schedule, the Cliffs' Pellets will be available for shipment in approximately equal amounts over the nine month period of April through December of each year during the term of this Agreement and shipment and delivery shall be made in accordance with such availability.

**SECTION 11. - WEIGHTS.**

Vessel bill of lading weight determined by certified railroad scale weights, certified belt scale weights or certified bin scale weights in accordance with the procedures in effect from time to time at each of the loading ports shall be accepted by the parties as finally determining the amounts of the Cliffs' Pellets delivered to Severstal pursuant to this Agreement.

**SECTION 12. - EMPLOYMENT OF VESSELS OR RAILROAD CARS.**

Severstal shall assume the obligation for arranging, providing and paying for the appropriate vessels for the transportation of all of the Cliffs' Pellets delivered by Cliffs to Severstal.

**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL HAS BEEN  
OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.  
ASTERISKS DENOTE SUCH OMISSIONS.**

Cliffs shall be responsible for any and all demurrage charges incurred during the loading of [\*\*\*\*\*]Pellets for Severstal at [\*\*\*\*\*].

**SECTION 13. - WARRANTIES.**

THERE ARE NO WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, WHICH EXTEND BEYOND THE PROVISIONS OF THIS AGREEMENT, INCLUDING ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR INTENDED PURPOSE. All claims for substantial variance in quality of the Cliffs' Pellets, as described herein, shall be deemed waived unless made in writing delivered to Cliffs within the thirty (30) calendar days after completion of discharge at port of discharge. No claim will be entertained after the Cliffs' Pellets have been consumed. Each party shall afford the other party prompt and reasonable opportunity to inspect the Cliffs' Pellets as to which any claim is made as above stated. The Cliffs' Pellets shall not be returned without prior written consent of Cliffs. In no event shall Cliffs be liable for Severstal's cost of processing, lost profits, injury to good will or any other special or consequential damages.

**SECTION 14. - FORCE MAJEURE.**

Neither party hereto shall be liable for damages resulting from failure to produce, deliver or accept and pay for all or any of the Cliffs' Pellets, as described herein, if and to the extent that such production, delivery or acceptance would be contrary to or would constitute a violation of any regulation, order or requirement of a recognized governmental body or agency, or if such failure is caused by or results directly or indirectly from acts of God, war, insurrections, interference by foreign powers, strikes, hindrances, labor disputes, labor shortages, fires, floods, embargoes, accidents, acts of terrorism, or uncontrollable delays at the mines, steel plant, on the railroads or docks or in transit, shortage of transportation facilities, disasters of navigation, or other causes, similar or dissimilar, if such other causes are beyond the control of the party charged with a failure to deliver or to accept and pay for the Cliffs' Pellets. A party claiming a

**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL HAS BEEN  
OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.  
ASTERISKS DENOTE SUCH OMISSIONS.**

force majeure shall give the other party prompt notice of the force majeure, including the particulars thereof and, insofar as known, the probable extent and duration of the force majeure. To the extent a force majeure is claimed hereunder by a party hereto, such shall relieve the other party from fulfilling its corresponding agreement hereunder to the party claiming such force majeure, but only for the period and to the extent of the claimed force majeure, unless otherwise mutually agreed, to by the parties. The party that is subject to a force majeure shall use commercially reasonable efforts to cure or remove the force majeure event as promptly as possible to resume performance of its obligations under this Agreement.

**SECTION 15. - NOTICES.**

All notices, consents, reports and other documents authorized and required to be given pursuant to this Agreement shall be given in writing and either personally served on an officer of the parties hereto to whom it is given or mailed, postage prepaid, or sent by facsimile addressed as follows:

**If to Cliffs:**

Cliffs Sales Company  
1100 Superior Avenue - 15th Floor Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2589  
Attention: Secretary  
cc: General Manager - Sales and Traffic Facsimile: (216) 694-5385

**If to Severstal:**

Severstal North America, Inc.  
3001 Miller Road  
Dearborn, Michigan 48121

Attention: Director, Purchasing and Transportation Facsimile: (313) 337-9377

provided, however, that any party may change the address to which notices or other communications to it shall be sent by giving to the other party written notice of such change, in which case notices and other communications to the party giving the notice of the change of

**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL HAS BEEN  
OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.  
ASTERISKS DENOTE SUCH OMISSIONS.**

address shall not be deemed to have been sufficiently given or delivered unless addressed to it at the new address as stated in said notice.

**SECTION 16. - TERM.**

The initial term of this Agreement shall commence as of the Effective Date and continue in effect until [\*\*\*\*\*]. The Agreement shall continue annually from and after [\*\*\*\*\*] for the obligation of Severstal to purchase from Cliffs and Cliffs to sell to Severstal all of Severstal's Annual Pellet Tonnage Requirements pursuant to Section 3(a) above. Notwithstanding the above, Severstal shall have the right to terminate the Agreement if at any time after [\*\*\*\*\*], blast furnace production is no longer maintained by Severstal at the former Rouge Steel Company facilities and Severstal no longer requires iron ore pellets for consumption at such facilities in connection with blast furnace production. In accordance with Section 3(d), during the years [\*\*\*\*\*], in the event Severstal requires iron ore pellets for consumption in Severstal's iron and steel making at the former Rouge Steel Company facilities in any year, Severstal shall purchase from Cliffs and Cliffs shall sell to Severstal all of Severstal's Annual Pellet Tonnage Requirements for each of the years [\*\*\*\*\*] and except as otherwise stated in Section 3(c) Severstal shall have no minimum annual purchase obligation under the Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the event that in any of the years following the year [\*\*\*\*\*] Severstal purchases less than [\*\*\*\*\*] tons of Cliffs' Pellets in any such year, Cliffs shall have the right to terminate the Agreement by written notice six (6) months prior to the end of the following year.

**SECTION 17. - AMENDMENT.**

This Agreement may not be modified or amended except by an instrument in writing signed by the parties hereto.

**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL HAS BEEN  
OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.  
ASTERISKS DENOTE SUCH OMISSIONS.**

**SECTION 18. - MERGER, TRANSFER AND ASSIGNMENT.**

(a). Severstal shall not merge, consolidate or reorganize with any person, partnership, corporation or other entity unless the surviving or resulting person, partnership, corporation or other entity assumes in writing all of Severstal's obligations under this Agreement. Any obligations required to be assumed by a surviving or resulting person, partnership, corporation or entity in accordance with this Section 18(b) shall be limited to the Severstal obligations under this Agreement. Severstal shall not sell or transfer all or substantially all of its business to any other person, partnership, corporation, joint venture or other entity ("Severstal Transferee") unless the Severstal Transferee assumes in writing all of Severstal's obligations under this Agreement.

(b). Cliffs shall not merge, consolidate or reorganize with any person, partnership, corporation or other entity unless the surviving or resulting person, partnership, corporation or other entity assumes in writing all of Cliffs' obligations under this Agreement. Cliffs shall not sell or transfer all or substantially all of its iron ore business to any other person, partnership, corporation, joint venture or other entity ("Cliffs Transferee") unless the Cliffs Transferee assumes in writing all of Cliffs' obligations under this Agreement.

(c). All the covenants, stipulations and agreements herein contained shall inure to the benefit of and bind the parties hereto and their respective successors, transferees and permitted assigns, and any of the latter's subsequent successors, transferees and permitted assigns.

**SECTION 19. - WAIVER.**

No waiver of any of the terms of this Agreement shall be valid unless in writing. No waiver of any breach of any provision hereof or default under any provisions hereof shall be deemed a waiver of any subsequent breach or default of any kind whatsoever.

**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL HAS BEEN  
OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.  
ASTERISKS DENOTE SUCH OMISSIONS.**

**SECTION 20. - CONFIDENTIALITY**

(a). Cliffs and Severstal acknowledge that this Agreement contains certain pricing, adjustment and term provisions which are confidential, proprietary or of a sensitive commercial nature and which would put Cliffs or Severstal at a competitive disadvantage if disclosed to the public (the "Confidential Information"). Cliffs and Severstal agree that all provisions of this Agreement shall be kept confidential and, without the prior written consent of the other party, shall not be disclosed to any party not a party to this Agreement except as required by law or governmental or judicial order and except that disclosure of the existence of this Agreement shall not be precluded by this Section 20.

(b). If either party is required by law or governmental or judicial order or receives legal process or court or agency directive requesting or requiring disclosure of any of the Confidential Information contained in this Agreement, such party will promptly notify the other party prior to disclosure to permit such party to seek a protective order or take other appropriate action to preserve the confidentiality of such Confidential Information. If either party determines to file this Agreement with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") or any other federal, state or local governmental or regulatory authority, or with any stock exchange or similar body, such determining party will use its best efforts to obtain confidential treatment of such Confidential Information pursuant to any applicable rule, regulation or procedure of the Commission and any applicable rule, regulation or procedure relating to confidential filings made with any such other authority or exchange. If the Commission (or any such other authority or exchange) denies such party's request for confidential treatment of such Confidential Information, such party will use its best efforts to obtain confidential treatment of the portions thereof that the other party designates. Each party will allow the other party to participate in seeking to obtain such confidential treatment for Confidential Information.

**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL HAS BEEN  
OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.  
ASTERISKS DENOTE SUCH OMISSIONS.**

**SECTION 21. - GOVERNING LAW.**

This Agreement shall in all respects, including matters of construction, validity and performance, be governed by and be construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Michigan.

**SECTION 22. - REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES.**

(a). Severstal represents and warrants to Cliffs that (i) the execution and delivery of this Agreement by Severstal and the performance of its obligations hereunder have been duly authorized by all requisite corporate action, (ii) neither the execution and delivery of this Agreement, nor the performance of its obligations hereunder by Severstal shall, or after the lapse of time or giving of notice shall, conflict with, violate or result in a breach of, or constitute a default under the certificate of incorporation or bylaws of Severstal or any law, statute, rule or regulation applicable to it, or conflict with, violate or result in a breach of or constitute a default under the material agreement to which it is a party or by which it or any of its properties is bound, or any judgment, order, award or decree to which Severstal is a party or by which it is bound, or require any approval, consent, authorization or other action by any court, governmental authority or regulatory body or any creditor of Severstal or any other person or entity, and (iii) this Agreement constitutes a valid and binding obligation of Severstal and is enforceable against Severstal in accordance with its terms.

(b). Cliffs represents and warrants to Severstal that: (i) the execution and delivery of this Agreement by Cliffs and the performance of its obligations hereunder have been duly authorized by all requisite corporate actions, (ii) neither the execution and delivery of this Agreement nor the performance of its obligations hereunder by Cliffs shall, or after the lapse of time or giving of notice shall, conflict with, violate or result in a breach of, or constitute a default under the certificate of incorporation or bylaws of Cliffs or any law, statute, rule or regulation applicable to it, or conflict with, violate or result in the breach of or constitute a default under any material

**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL HAS BEEN  
OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.  
ASTERISKS DENOTE SUCH OMISSIONS.**

agreement to which it is a party or by which it or any of its properties is bound, or any judgment, order, award or decree to which Cliffs is a party or by which it is bound, or require any approval, consent, authorization or other action by any court, governmental authority or regulatory body or any creditor of Cliffs or any other person or entity, and (iii) this Agreement constitutes a valid and binding obligation of Cliffs and is enforceable against Cliffs in accordance with its terms.

**SECTION 23. - COUNTERPARTS.**

This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

**SECTION 24. - ARBITRATION.**

(a). Upon notice by either party to the other, all disputes, claims, questions or disagreements arising out or relating to this Agreement or breach, termination, enforcement, interpretation or validity thereof, including the determination of the scope or applicability of this Agreement to arbitrate, shall be determined by arbitration administered by the American Arbitration Association in accordance with the provisions of its Commercial Arbitration Rules, modified as follows:

(i). The place of arbitration shall be Cleveland, Ohio;

(ii). Unless the parties consent in writing to a lesser number, the arbitration proceedings shall be conducted before a panel of three neutral arbitrators, one to be appointed by Cliffs, one to be appointed by Severstal, and third to be selected by the two arbitrators. None of the arbitrators shall be an employee, officer, director or consultant of, or of a direct competitor of, Severstal or Cliffs;

(iii). Either party may apply to the arbitrators seeking injunctive relief until the arbitration award is rendered or the controversy is otherwise resolved. Either party also may, without waiving any remedy under this Agreement, seek from any court having jurisdiction any interim or provisional relief that is necessary to protect the rights or property of that party,

**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL HAS BEEN  
OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.  
ASTERISKS DENOTE SUCH OMISSIONS.**

pending the establishment of the arbitral tribunal (or pending the arbitral tribunal's determination of the merits of the controversy);

(iv). Consistent with the expedited nature of arbitration, each party will, upon the written request of the other party, promptly provide the other with copies of documents on which the producing party may rely or otherwise which may be relevant in support of or in opposition to any claim or defense; any dispute regarding discovery, or the relevance or scope thereof, shall be determined by the arbitrators, which determination shall be conclusive; and all discovery shall be completed within 45 days following the appointment of the arbitrators;

(v). In connection any arbitration arising out of this Agreement, the arbitrators shall have no authority to alter, amend, or modify any of the terms and conditions of this Agreement, and further, the arbitrators may not enter any award that alters, amends or modifies terms or conditions of this Agreement in any form or manner;

(vi). The award or decision shall be made within nine months of the filing of the notice of intention to arbitrate, and the arbitrators shall agree to comply with this schedule before accepting appointment; provided, however, that this time limit may be extended by written agreement signed by both parties or by the arbitrators, if necessary; and

(vii). In connection with any arbitration related to this Agreement, each party shall be responsible for its own costs and expenses, and the parties will equally split the cost of conducting the arbitration itself.

(b). The judgment of the arbitrators shall be final and binding on the parties, and judgment upon the award rendered by the arbitrators may be entered and enforced by any court of the United States or any state thereof.

**SECTION 25. - ENTIRE AGREEMENT**

This agreement, the Recitals and the Exhibits attached to this Agreement (all of which shall be deemed to be incorporated into the Agreement and made a part hereof) set forth the

**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL HAS BEEN  
OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.  
ASTERISKS DENOTE SUCH OMISSIONS.**

entire understanding of the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof and supersede all prior agreements, understandings or letters of intent among any of the parties hereto, including, without limitation, that certain Pellet Sale and Purchase and Trade Agreement, dated and effective as of January 1, 1991, by and between Iron and Rouge Steel Company, as amended by the letter agreements dated as of [\*\*\*\*\*] and the 2004 Letter Agreement.

[Signature Page Follows This Page]

**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL HAS BEEN  
OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.  
ASTERISKS DENOTE SUCH OMISSIONS.**

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Agreement effective as of the date first written above.

*CLIFFS SALES COMPANY*

*BY: /s/ W. R. Calfee*  
-----

*NAME: William R. Calfee*  
*TITLE: Executive V. P. - Commercial*

*THE CLEVELAND-CLIFFS IRON COMPANY*

*BY: /s/ W. R. Calfee*  
-----

*NAME: William R. Calfee*  
*TITLE: Executive V. P. - Commercial*

*CLIFFS MINING COMPANY*

*BY: /s/ W. R. Calfee*  
-----

*NAME: William R. Calfee*  
*TITLE: Executive V. P. - Commercial*

*SEVERSTAL NORTH AMERICA, INC.*

*BY: /s/ William E. Hornberger*  
-----

*NAME: William E. Hornberger*  
*TITLE: EVP*

**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL HAS BEEN  
OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.  
ASTERISKS DENOTE SUCH OMISSIONS.**

**APPENDIX I**

**GLOSSARY**

2004 Letter Agreement.....	2
[*****].....	8
Agreement.....	1
Bankruptcy Case.....	1
Cliffs.....	1
Cliffs' Pellets.....	3
Cliffs Sales.....	1
Cliffs Transferee.....	17
Collateral.....	11
Commission.....	18
Confidential Information.....	18
Effective Date.....	1
Iron.....	1
iron unit.....	2
Mining.....	1
Original Contract.....	1
pellets.....	2
PMSI.....	11
PMSI Termination Date.....	12
[*****].....	7
[*****].....	8
[*****].....	7
[*****].....	7
Quarterly Price Adjustment.....	5
Rouge.....	1
Severstal.....	1
Severstal Transferee.....	17
Severstal's Annual Tonnage Requirements.....	3
shipment week.....	11
[*****].....	9

**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL HAS BEEN  
OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.  
ASTERISKS DENOTE SUCH OMISSIONS.**

[*****].....	9
[*****] Pellets.....	2
[*****].....	2
ton.....	2
[*****] Pellets.....	2
year.....	2
Year End Adjustment.....	6

**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL HAS BEEN  
OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.  
ASTERISKS DENOTE SUCH OMISSIONS.**

**LIST OF EXHIBITS**

[*****] PRICE ADJUSTMENT FORMULA FOR YEARS [*****].....	EXHIBIT I
EXAMPLES OF ADJUSTMENTS IN [*****] PELLETT PRICING.....	EXHIBIT II(a)
EXAMPLES OF [*****] PELLETT PRICING.....	EXHIBIT II(b)
EXAMPLES OF YEAR-TO-YEAR PELLETT PRICE CALCULATIONS [*****].....	EXHIBIT III(a)
EXAMPLES OF YEAR-TO-YEAR PELLETT PRICE CALCULATIONS [*****].....	EXHIBIT III(b)
CLEVELAND-CLIFFS INC PELLETT TYPICAL ANALYSIS AS LOADED TO VESSEL FOR SHIPMENT.....	EXHIBIT IV

**CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL HAS BEEN  
OMITTED AND FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.  
ASTERISKS DENOTE SUCH OMISSIONS.**

**AMENDED AND RESTATED PELLET SALE AND PURCHASE AGREEMENT  
[\*\*\*\*\*] PRICE ADJUSTMENT FORMULA FOR YEARS [\*\*\*\*\*]**

**CURRENT YEAR'S PRICE ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION EXHIBIT I**

(1) [\*\*\*\*\*]

$$\frac{[*****] - [*****]}{[*****]} = \frac{[*****]}{[ (*****)]}$$

$$[*****] \times [*****] \times [*****] = A$$

(2) [\*\*\*\*\*]

$$\frac{[*****] - [*****]}{[*****]} = \frac{[*****]}{[ (*****)]}$$

$$[*****] \times [*****] \times [*****] = B$$

(3) [\*\*\*\*\*]

$$\frac{[*****] - [*****]}{[*****]} = \frac{[*****]}{[ (*****)]}$$

$$[*****] \times [*****] \times [*****] = C$$

(4) [\*\*\*\*\*]

$$\frac{[*****] - [*****]}{[*****]} = \frac{[*****]}{[ (*****)]}$$

$$[*****] \times [*****] \times [*****] = D$$

CURRENT YEAR'S ADJUSTED PRICE PER IRON UNIT

$$- \text{PRECEDING YEAR'S ADJUSTED PRICE PER IRON UNIT} + (A + B + C + D) = \text{CURRENT YEAR'S ADJUSTED PRICE PER IRON UNIT}$$

CURRENT YEAR'S ESTIMATED PELLET PRICE PER TON

$$- \text{CURRENT YEAR'S ADJUSTED PRICE PER IRON UNIT} \times \text{CURRENT YEAR'S EXPECTED NATURAL IRON CONTENT} = \text{CURRENT YEAR'S ESTIMATED PELLET PRICE PER TON}$$













**Ratio of Earnings To Combined Fixed Charges  
And Preferred Stock Dividend Requirements  
(In Millions)**

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
Consolidated pretax income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 368.1	\$ 285.2	\$ (35.2)	\$ (57.3)	\$ (28.7)
Undistributed earnings of non-consolidated affiliates	.1	4.2	.1	(1.3)	
Amortization of capitalized interest	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	
Interest expense	4.5	.8	4.4	6.5	8.5
Interest portion of rental expense	6.2	7.5	8.6	9.4	6.8
Earnings	<u>\$ 380.9</u>	<u>\$ 299.7</u>	<u>\$ (20.1)</u>	<u>\$ (40.9)</u>	<u>\$ (13.4)</u>
Interest expense	\$ 4.5	\$ .8	\$ 4.4	\$ 6.5	\$ 8.5
Interest portion of rental expense	6.2	7.5	8.6	9.4	6.8
Preferred Stock dividend requirements	6.8	6.5			
Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividend Requirements	<u>\$ 17.5</u>	<u>\$ 14.8</u>	<u>\$ 13.0</u>	<u>\$ 15.9</u>	<u>\$ 15.3</u>
<b>RATIO OF EARNINGS TO COMBINED FIXED CHARGES AND PREFERRED STOCK DIVIDEND REQUIREMENTS</b>	<b>21.8x</b>	20.3x	(1)	(2)	(3)

- (1) For the year ended December 31, 2003, earnings were inadequate to cover fixed charges. We would need an additional \$33.1 million of earnings in order to cover our fixed charges.
- (2) For the year ended December 31, 2002, earnings were inadequate to cover fixed charges. We would need an additional \$56.8 million of earnings in order to cover our fixed charges.
- (3) For the year ended December 31, 2001, earnings were inadequate to cover fixed charges. We would need an additional \$28.7 million of earnings in order to cover our fixed charges.



## Subsidiaries of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc

Name of Subsidiary	Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization
CALipso Sales Company(3)	Delaware
Cleveland-Cliffs Australia Holdings Pty Limited(13)	Australia
Cleveland-Cliffs Australia Pty Limited(13)	Australia
Cleveland-Cliffs International Holding Company(13)	Delaware
Cleveland-Cliffs Ore Corporation(1)(2)	Ohio
Cliffs and Associates Limited(3)	Trinidad
Cliffs Biwabik Ore Corporation(2)	Minnesota
Cliffs Empire, Inc.(1)	Michigan
Cliffs Erie L.L.C.(8)	Delaware
Cliffs International Management Company LLC	Delaware
Cliffs Marquette, Inc.(1)(2)	Michigan
Cliffs Mining Company	Delaware
Cliffs Mining Services Company	Delaware
Cliffs Minnesota Mining Company	Delaware
Cliffs Natural Stone, LLC(11)	Minnesota
Cliffs Oil Shale Corp.(2)	Colorado
Cliffs Reduced Iron Corporation	Delaware
Cliffs Reduced Iron Management Company(4)	Delaware
Cliffs Sales Company	Ohio
Cliffs Synfuel Corp.(2)	Utah
Cliffs TIOP, Inc.(1)(5)	Michigan
Empire Iron Mining Partnership(6)	Michigan
Hibbing Taconite Company, a joint venture(7)	Minnesota
IronUnits LLC	Delaware
Lake Superior & Ishpeming Railroad Company	Michigan
Lasco Development Corporation	Michigan
Marquette Iron Mining Partnership(2)	Michigan
Marquette Range Coal Services Company(5)(6)	Michigan
Minerais Midway Ltee-Midway Ore Company Ltd.(8)	Quebec, Canada
Northshore Mining Company	Delaware
Pickands Hibbing Corporation(7)	Minnesota
Portman Limited(13)	Australia
Republic Wetlands Preserve LLC(2)	Michigan
Seignelay Resources, Inc.(8)	Delaware
Silver Bay Power Company(9)	Delaware
Syracuse Mining Company(8)	Minnesota
The Cleveland-Cliffs Iron Company	Ohio
The Cleveland-Cliffs Steamship Company(1)	Delaware
Tilden Mining Company L.C.(5)	Michigan
United Taconite LLC (12)	Delaware
Wabush Iron Co. Limited(8)(10)	Ohio

- 
- (1) The named subsidiary is a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Cleveland-Cliffs Iron Company, which in turn is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc.
  - (2) Marquette Iron Mining Partnership (“Marquette Partnership”) is a Michigan partnership. Cleveland-Cliffs Ore Corporation and Cliffs Marquette, Inc., wholly-owned subsidiaries of The Cleveland-Cliffs Iron Company, have a combined 100 percent interest in the Marquette Partnership. Cleveland-Cliffs Ore Corporation also owns 100 percent of Cliffs Biwabik Ore Corporation. The Marquette Partnership owns 100 percent of Cliffs Oil Shale Corp., Cliffs Synfuel Corp. and Republic Wetlands Preserve LLC.
  - (3) Cliffs and Associates Limited is a Trinidad corporation. Cliffs Reduced Iron Corporation has an 82.39 percent interest in Cliffs and Associates Limited. CALipso Sales Company is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Cliffs and Associates Limited.
  - (4) The named subsidiary is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Cliffs Reduced Iron Corporation, which in turn is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc.
  - (5) Tilden Mining Company L.C. is a Michigan limited liability company. Cliffs TIOP, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Cleveland-Cliffs Iron Company, has an 85 percent interest in Tilden Mining Company L.C. Tilden Mining Company L.C. has a 51 percent interest in Marquette Range Coal Service Company.
  - (6) Empire Iron Mining Partnership is a Michigan partnership. The Cleveland-Cliffs Iron Company has a 79 percent indirect interest in the Empire Iron Mining Partnership. Empire Iron Mining Partnership has a 48.57 percent interest in Marquette Range Coal Service Company.
  - (7) Cliffs Mining Company has a 10 percent and Pickands Hibbing Corporation, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Cliffs Mining Company, has a 13 percent interest in Hibbing Taconite Company, a joint venture.
  - (8) The named subsidiary is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Cliffs Mining Company, which in turn is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc.
  - (9) The named subsidiary is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Northshore Mining Company, which in turn is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc.
  - (10) Wabush Iron Co. Limited is an Ohio corporation. Wabush Iron Co. Limited owns a 26.83 percent interest in Wabush Mines.
  - (11) Cliffs Natural Stone, LLC is a Minnesota limited liability company. Cliffs Erie L.L.C., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Cliffs Mining Company, has a 56 percent interest in Cliffs Natural Stone, LLC.
  - (12) United Taconite LLC is a Delaware limited liability company. Cliffs Minnesota Mining Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc, has a 70 percent interest in United Taconite LLC.
  - (13) Cleveland-Cliffs Australia Pty Limited is an Australian corporation. Cleveland-Cliffs Australia Holdings Pty Limited owns 100 percent of Cleveland-Cliffs Australia Pty Limited. Cleveland-Cliffs Australia Pty Limited has a 80.402 percent interest in Portman Limited. Cleveland-Cliffs Australia Holdings Pty Limited is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Cleveland-Cliffs International Holding Company, which in turn is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc.



**Consent Of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

We consent to the incorporation by reference in Registration Statement No. 333-30391 on Form S-8 pertaining to the 1992 Incentive Equity Plan (as amended and restated as of May 13, 1997) and the related prospectus; in Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement No. 333-56661 on Form S-8 pertaining to the Northshore Mining Company and Silver Bay Power Company Retirement Savings Plan and the related prospectus; in Registration Statement No. 333-06049 on Form S-8 pertaining to the Cleveland-Cliffs Inc Nonemployee Directors' Compensation Plan; in Registration Statement No. 333-84479 on Form S-8 pertaining to the 1992 Incentive Equity Plan (as amended and restated as of May 11, 1999); and in Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement No. 333-64008 on Form S-8 pertaining to the Cleveland-Cliffs Inc Nonemployee Directors' Compensation Plan (as amended and restated as of January 1, 2004); of our reports dated February 17, 2006, relating to the financial statements and financial statement schedule of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and management's report of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc for the year ended December 31, 2005.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Cleveland, Ohio  
February 17, 2006



**POWER OF ATTORNEY**

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that the undersigned Directors and officers of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc, an Ohio corporation (“Company”), hereby constitute and appoint John S. Brinzo, Donald J. Gallagher, and George W. Hawk and each of them, their true and lawful attorney or attorneys-in-fact, with full power of substitution and revocation, for them and in their name, place and stead, to sign on their behalf as a Director or officer of the Company, or both, as the case may be, an Annual Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, and to sign any and all amendments to such Annual Report, and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorney or attorneys-in-fact, and each of them, full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in and about the premises, as fully to all intents and purposes as they might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorney or attorneys-in-fact or any of them or their substitute or substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Executed as of the 21st day of February, 2006.

/s/ J. S. Brinzo

---

J. S. Brinzo  
Chairman and Chief  
Executive Officer and Director  
(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ R. C. Cambre

---

R. C. Cambre, Director

/s/ R. Cucuz

---

R. Cucuz, Director

/s/ S. M. Cunningham

---

S. M. Cunningham

/s/ B. J. Eldridge

---

B. J. Eldridge, Director

/s/ D. H. Gunning

---

D. H. Gunning  
Vice Chairman and Director

/s/ J. D. Ireland, III

---

J. D. Ireland, III, Director

/s/ F. R. McAllister

---

F. R. McAllister, Director

/s/ R. Phillips

---

R. Phillips, Director

/s/ R. K. Riederer

---

R. K. Riederer, Director

/s/ A. Schwartz

---

A. Schwartz, Director

/s/ D. J. Gallagher

---

D. J. Gallagher

Senior Vice President, Chief Financial

Officer and Treasurer

(Principal Financial Officer)

/s/ R. J. Leroux

---

R. J. Leroux

Vice President and Controller

(Principal Accounting Officer)



**CERTIFICATION**

I, John S. Brinzo, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

By: /s/ John S. Brinzo

---

John S. Brinzo  
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Date: February 21, 2006



**CERTIFICATION**

I, Donald J. Gallagher, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-1(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

By: /s/ Donald J. Gallagher

---

Donald J. Gallagher  
Executive Vice President,  
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

Date: February 21, 2006



**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906  
OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Form 10-K"), I, John S. Brinzo, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to such officer's knowledge:

- (1) The Form 10-K fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m or 78o(d)); and
- (2) The information contained in the Form 10-K fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company as of the dates and for the periods expressed in the Form 10-K.

Date: February 21, 2006

By: /s/ John S. Brinzo

John S. Brinzo  
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer



**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906  
OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report of Cleveland-Cliffs Inc (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Form 10-K"), I, Donald J. Gallagher, Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to such officer's knowledge:

- (1) The Form 10-K fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m or 78o(d)); and
- (2) The information contained in the Form 10-K fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company as of the dates and for the periods expressed in the Form 10-K.

Date: February 21, 2006

By: /s/ Donald J. Gallagher

---

Donald J. Gallagher  
Executive Vice President,  
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer



**Cleveland-Cliffs Inc and Consolidated Subsidiaries**  
**Schedule II — Valuation and Qualifying Accounts**  
**(Dollars in Millions)**

<u>Classification</u>	<u>Balance at Beginning of Year</u>	<u>Additions</u>			<u>Deductions</u>	<u>Balance at End of Year</u>
		<u>Charged to Cost and Expenses</u>	<u>Charged to Other Accounts</u>	<u>Acquisition</u>		
Year Ended December 31, 2005:						
Deferred Tax Valuation Allowance	\$ 8.9			\$ 11.1	\$ 8.9	\$ 11.1
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts	4.8				1.9	2.9
Year Ended December 31, 2004:						
Deferred Tax Valuation Allowance	122.7	(113.8)				8.9
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts	4.8	1.6			1.6	4.8
Year Ended December 31, 2003:						
Deferred Tax Valuation Allowance	120.6	9.8	(7.7)			122.7
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts	1.0	4.8			1.0	4.8
Other	.6				.6	

Additions charged to other accounts in 2003 were charged directly to shareholders' equity.