

ENSCO PLC

FORM 8-K (Current report filing)

Filed 08/13/10 for the Period Ending 08/13/10

| | |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|
| Telephone | 4402076594660 |
| CIK | 0000314808 |
| Symbol | ESV |
| SIC Code | 1381 - Drilling Oil and Gas Wells |
| Industry | Oil Well Services & Equipment |
| Sector | Energy |
| Fiscal Year | 12/31 |

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT

PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

DATE OF REPORT (Date of earliest event reported): August 13, 2010

Enscopl

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

England and Wales
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation)

1-8097
(Commission File Number)

98-0635229
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

6 Chesterfield Gardens
London, England W1J 5BQ

(Address of Principal Executive Offices and Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **44 (0) 20 7659 4660**

Not Applicable

(Former name or former address, if changed since last report)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

- Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)
 - Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
 - Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
 - Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))
-

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INFORMATION TO BE INCLUDED IN THE REPORT

Item 8.01 Other Events

Item 9.01 Financial Statements and Exhibits

SIGNATURES

EXHIBIT INDEX

Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Updated Part II, "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Updated Part II, "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Updated Part II, "Item 6. Selected Financial Data" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

INFORMATION TO BE INCLUDED IN THE REPORT

Item 8.01 Other Events

EnSCO plc (the "Company," "EnSCO," "we" or "us") is filing this Current Report on Form 8-K (the "Report") for the purpose of updating our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") on February 25, 2010, as updated in the Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 8, 2010 (collectively the "2009 Form 10-K"), to reclassify ENSCO 57 as discontinued operations for all periods presented. We are filing a Current Report on Form 8-K simultaneously with this Report for the purpose of updating our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2010 filed with the SEC on April 22, 2010 (the "First Quarter Form 10-Q"), to reclassify ENSCO 57 as discontinued operations for all periods presented.

In April 2010, we sold jackup rig ENSCO 57 for \$47.1 million. ENSCO 57 operating results and the related gain on sale were reclassified as discontinued operations in our condensed consolidated statements of income in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 filed with the SEC on July 22, 2010 (the "Second Quarter Form 10-Q").

Each Item updated in the 2009 Form 10-K is filed as a separate exhibit to this Report. The specific disclosures updated within each Item are as follows:

- The consolidated statements of income and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009, included in Part II, "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" of our 2009 Form 10-K (filed as Exhibit 99.1 hereto);
- Sections a) and o) of Note 1, Note 10, Note 11, Note 13, Note 14 and Note 15 to our audited consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 and for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009, included in Part II, "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" of our 2009 Form 10-K (filed as Exhibit 99.1 hereto);
- The Introduction, Results of Operations, Liquidity and Capital Resources and Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates sections, included in Part II, "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of our 2009 Form 10-K (filed as Exhibit 99.2 hereto); and
- Consolidated Statement of Income Data and Consolidated Balance Sheet and Cash Flow Statement Data, included in Part II, "Item 6. Selected Financial Data" of our Form 2009 10-K (filed as Exhibit 99.3 hereto).

This filing includes updates only to the portions of Item 6, Item 7 and Item 8 of the 2009 Form 10-K that specifically relate to the reclassification of ENSCO 57 as discontinued operations and does not otherwise modify or update any other disclosures set forth in the 2009 Form 10-K. The revised Items included in this Report have not been updated for any events or circumstances occurring or existing after the date the 2009 Form 10-K was originally filed, except for the reclassification of ENSCO 57 as discontinued operations and the events reported in the Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 8, 2010. More current information related to the disposal of ENSCO 57 is contained in the Second Quarter Form 10-Q. This Report should be read in conjunction with the 2009 Form 10-K (except for Part II, Item 6, Item 7 and Item 8), the First Quarter Form 10-Q, the Second Quarter Form 10-Q and our other reports on Form 8-K filed during 2010.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains forward-looking statements that are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties and are based on information as of the date of this report. We assume no obligation to update these statements based on information after the date of this report.

Forward-looking statements include words or phrases such as "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "expect," "intend," "plan," "project," "could," "may," "might," "should," "will" and words and phrases of similar import. The forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements about the impact of the December 2009 reorganization of the Company's corporate structure (referred to elsewhere herein as the "redomestication") and our plans, objectives, expectations and intentions with respect thereto and with respect to future operations, including the tax savings or other benefits that we expect to achieve as a result of the redomestication. Forward-looking statements also include statements regarding future operations, market conditions, cash generation, anticipated commencement of the ENSCO 8502 drilling contract, contributions from our ultra-deepwater semisubmersible rig fleet expansion program, expense management, industry trends or conditions and the overall business environment; statements regarding future levels of, or trends in, utilization, day rates, revenues, operating expenses, contract term, contract backlog, capital expenditures, insurance, financing and funding; statements regarding future rig construction (including construction in progress and completion thereof), enhancement, upgrade or repair and timing thereof; statements regarding future delivery, mobilization, contract commencement, relocation or other movement of rigs and timing thereof; statements regarding future availability or suitability of rigs and the timing thereof; and statements regarding the likely outcome of litigation, legal proceedings, investigations or insurance or other claims and the timing thereof.

Forward-looking statements are made pursuant to safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Numerous factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements, including:

- changes in U.S. or non-U.S. laws, including tax laws, that could effectively reduce or eliminate the benefits we expect to achieve from our December 2009 redomestication or regulatory or legislative activity that would impact U.S. Gulf of Mexico operations, potentially resulting in a force majeure situation,
- an inability to realize expected benefits from the redomestication,
- costs related to the redomestication and ancillary matters, which could be greater than expected,
- industry conditions and competition, including changes in rig supply and demand or new technology,
- risks associated with the global economy and its impact on capital markets and liquidity,
- prices of oil and natural gas and their impact upon future levels of drilling activity and expenditures,
- further declines in drilling activity, which may cause us to idle or stack additional rigs,
- excess rig availability or supply resulting from delivery of newbuild drilling rigs,
- concentration of our rig fleet in premium jackups,
- concentration of our active ultra-deepwater semisubmersible drilling rigs in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico,
- cyclical nature of the industry,
- worldwide expenditures for oil and natural gas drilling,
- the ultimate resolution of the ENSCO 69 situation in general and the pending litigation, potential return of the rig or package policy political risk insurance recovery in particular,
- changes in the timing of revenue recognition resulting from the deferral of certain revenues for mobilization of our drilling rigs, time waiting on weather or time in shipyards, which are recognized over the contract term upon commencement of drilling operations,
- operational risks, including excessive unplanned downtime due to rig or equipment failure, damage or repair in general and hazards created by severe storms and hurricanes in particular,

- changes in the dates our rigs will enter a shipyard, be delivered, return to service or enter service,
- risks inherent to shipyard rig construction, repair or enhancement, including risks associated with concentration of our ENSCO 8500 Series® rig construction contracts in a single shipyard in Singapore, unexpected delays in equipment delivery and engineering or design issues following shipyard delivery,
- changes in the dates new contracts actually commence,
- renegotiation, nullification, cancellation or breach of contracts or letters of intent with customers or other parties, including failure to negotiate definitive contracts following announcements or receipt of letters of intent,
- risks associated with offshore rig operations or rig relocations,
- inability to collect receivables,
- availability of transport vessels to relocate rigs,
- environmental or other liabilities, risks or losses, whether related to hurricane damage, losses or liabilities (including wreckage or debris removal) in the Gulf of Mexico or otherwise, that may arise in the future which are not covered by insurance or indemnity in whole or in part,
- limited availability or high cost of insurance coverage for certain perils such as hurricanes in the Gulf of Mexico or associated removal of wreckage or debris,
- self-imposed or regulatory limitations on drilling locations in the Gulf of Mexico during hurricane season,
- impact of current and future government laws and regulation affecting the oil and gas industry in general and our operations in particular, including taxation, as well as repeal or modification of same,
- our ability to attract and retain skilled personnel,
- governmental action and political and economic uncertainties, which may result in expropriation, nationalization, confiscation or deprivation of our assets or create a force majeure situation,
- terrorism or military action impacting our operations, assets or financial performance,
- outcome of litigation, legal proceedings, investigations or insurance or other claims,
- adverse changes in foreign currency exchange rates, including their impact on the fair value measurement of our derivative instruments,
- potential long-lived asset or goodwill impairments,
- potential reduction in fair value of our auction rate securities and the ultimate resolution of our pending arbitration proceedings.

Moreover, the United States Congress, the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS"), the United Kingdom Parliament or Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs ("HMRC") may enact new statutory or regulatory provisions that could adversely affect our status as a non-U.S. corporation or otherwise adversely affect our anticipated consolidated effective income tax rate. Retroactive statutory or regulatory actions have occurred in the past, and there can be no assurance that any such provisions, if enacted or promulgated, would not have retroactive application.

In addition to the numerous factors described above, you should carefully read and consider "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in Part I and "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Part II of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, as updated in this report and the exhibits thereto. You should also carefully read and consider "Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Part I and "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in Part II of the Second Quarter Form 10-Q.

Item 9.01 Financial Statements and Exhibits

(d) Exhibits

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|--------------------|--|
| 23.1 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. |
| 99.1 | Updated Part II, "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. |
| 99.2 | Updated Part II, "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. |
| 99.3 | Updated Part II, "Item 6. Selected Financial Data" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase |

SIGN ATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

Ensc o plc

Date: August 13, 2010

/s/ DAVID A. ARMOUR
David A. Armour
Vice President - Finance

/s/ DOUGLAS J. MANKO
Douglas J. Manko
Controller and Assistant Secretary

EXHIBIT INDEX

| <u>Exhibit No.</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|--------------------|--|
| 23.1 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. |
| 99.1 | Updated Part II, "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. |
| 99.2 | Updated Part II, "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. |
| 99.3 | Updated Part II, "Item 6. Selected Financial Data" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase |

Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors
EnSCO plc:

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the registration statements on Form S-8 (Nos. 333-58625, 33-40282, 333-97757, 333-125048 and 333-156530) and on Form S-3 (No. 333-156705) of EnSCO plc of our reports dated February 25, 2010 (except for the updated disclosures and reclassification of ENSCO 50, ENSCO 51 and ENSCO 57 operating results from continuing to discontinued operations for all periods presented, as described in Note 11, as to which the date is June 8, 2010 for ENSCO 50 and ENSCO 51 and August 13, 2010 for ENSCO 57), with respect to the consolidated balance sheets of EnSCO plc as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of income and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009, and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, which reports appear in this Current Report on Form 8-K of EnSCO plc.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Dallas, Texas
August 13, 2010

As further discussed in Note 11 to our consolidated financial statements herein, our consolidated financial statements for all periods presented herein have been updated to reclassify ENSCO 57 as discontinued operations. This filing includes updates only to the portions of Item 6, Item 7 and Item 8 of the 2009 Form 10-K that specifically relate to the reclassification of ENSCO 57 as discontinued operations and does not otherwise modify or update any other disclosures set forth in the 2009 Form 10-K.

Item 8. *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f). Our internal control over financial reporting system is designed to provide reasonable assurance to our management and Board of Directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we have conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, we have concluded that our internal control over financial reporting is effective as of December 31, 2009 to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

KPMG LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm who audited our consolidated financial statements, have issued an audit report on our internal control over financial reporting. KPMG LLP's audit report on our internal control over financial reporting is included herein.

February 25, 2010

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
EnSCO plc:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of EnSCO plc and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of income and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of EnSCO plc and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), EnSCO plc and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated February 25, 2010, expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Dallas, Texas

February 25, 2010 except for the updated disclosures and reclassification of ENSCO 50, ENSCO 51 and ENSCO 57 operating results from continuing to discontinued operations for all periods presented, as described in Note 11, as to which the date is June 8, 2010 for ENSCO 50 and ENSCO 51 and August 13, 2010 for ENSCO 57.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
EnSCO plc:

We have audited EnSCO plc and subsidiaries' (EnSCO) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). EnSCO's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, EnSCO maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of EnSCO plc and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of income and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009, and our report dated February 25, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Dallas, Texas
February 25, 2010

ENSCO PLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(in millions, except per share amounts)

| | Year End ed December 31, | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
| OPERATING REVENUES | \$1,866.9 | \$2,210.3 | \$1,894.0 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | | |
| Contract drilling (exclusive of depreciation) | 695.8 | 705.4 | 605.4 |
| Depreciation | 192.0 | 173.3 | 166.1 |
| General and administrative | 64.0 | 53.8 | 59.5 |
| | 951.8 | 932.5 | 831.0 |
| OPERATING INCOME | 915.1 | 1,277.8 | 1,063.0 |
| OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE), NET | 8.8 | (4.2) | 37.8 |
| INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME TAXES | 923.9 | 1,273.6 | 1,100.8 |
| PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES | | | |
| Current income tax expense | 155.9 | 212.9 | 232.5 |
| Deferred income tax expense | 20.7 | 7.5 | 2.1 |
| | 176.6 | 220.4 | 234.6 |
| INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS | 747.3 | 1,053.2 | 866.2 |
| DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS | | | |
| Income from discontinued operations, net | 49.0 | 127.0 | 132.7 |
| Loss on disposal of discontinued operations, net | (11.8) | (23.5) | -- |
| | 37.2 | 103.5 | 132.7 |
| NET INCOME | 784.5 | 1,156.7 | 998.9 |
| NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS | (5.1) | (5.9) | (6.9) |
| NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO ENSCO | \$ 779.4 | \$ 1,150.8 | \$ 992.0 |
| EARNINGS PER SHARE - BASIC | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 5.22 | \$ 7.32 | \$ 5.82 |
| Discontinued operations | .26 | .72 | .89 |
| | \$ 5.48 | \$ 8.04 | \$ 6.71 |
| EARNINGS PER SHARE - DILUTED | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 5.22 | \$ 7.30 | \$ 5.80 |
| Discontinued operations | .26 | .72 | .89 |
| | \$ 5.48 | \$ 8.02 | \$ 6.69 |
| NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO ENSCO SHARES | | | |
| Basic | \$ 769.7 | \$ 1,138.2 | \$ 984.7 |
| Diluted | \$ 769.7 | \$ 1,138.2 | \$ 984.7 |
| WEIGHTED-AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING | | | |
| Basic | 140.4 | 141.6 | 146.7 |
| Diluted | 140.5 | 141.9 | 147.2 |
| CASH DIVIDENDS PER SHARE | \$.10 | \$.10 | \$.10 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

ENSCO PLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in millions, except share and par value amounts)

| | December 31, | |
|--|---------------------|------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| ASSETS | | |
| CURRENT ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$1,141.4 | \$ 789.6 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 324.6 | 482.7 |
| Other | 186.8 | 128.6 |
| Total current assets | 1,652.8 | 1,400.9 |
| PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, AT COST | 6,151.2 | 5,376.3 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | 1,673.9 | 1,505.0 |
| Property and equipment, net | 4,477.3 | 3,871.3 |
| GOODWILL | 336.2 | 336.2 |
| LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS | 60.5 | 64.2 |
| OTHER ASSETS, NET | 220.4 | 157.5 |
| | \$6,747.2 | \$5,830.1 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES | | |
| Accounts payable - trade | \$ 159.1 | \$ 195.8 |
| Accrued liabilities and other | 308.6 | 214.9 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | 17.2 | 17.2 |
| Total current liabilities | 484.9 | 427.9 |
| LONG-TERM DEBT | 257.2 | 274.3 |
| DEFERRED INCOME TAXES | 377.3 | 340.5 |
| OTHER LIABILITIES | 120.7 | 103.8 |
| COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES | | |
| ENSCO SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Common stock, U.S. \$.10 par value, 250.0 million shares authorized, 181.9 million shares issued as of December 31, 2008 | -- | 18.2 |
| Class A ordinary shares, U.S. \$.10 par value, 250.0 million shares authorized, 150.0 million shares issued as of December 31, 2009 | 15.0 | -- |
| Class B ordinary shares, £1 par value, 50,000 shares authorized and issued as of December 31, 2009 | .1 | -- |
| Additional paid-in capital | 602.6 | 1,761.2 |
| Retained earnings | 4,879.2 | 4,114.0 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | 5.2 | (17.0) |
| Treasury shares, at cost, 7.5 million shares and 40.1 million shares | (2.9) | (1,199.5) |
| Total Enesco shareholders' equity | 5,499.2 | 4,676.9 |
| NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS | 7.9 | 6.7 |
| Total equity | 5,507.1 | 4,683.6 |
| | \$6,747.2 | \$5,830.1 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

ENSCO PLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in millions)

| | <u>Year Ended December 31,</u> | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Net income | \$ 784.5 | \$1,156.7 | \$ 998.9 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations: | | | |
| Depreciation expense | 192.0 | 173.3 | 166.1 |
| Share-based compensation expense | 35.5 | 27.3 | 36.9 |
| Amortization expense | 31.1 | 31.8 | 10.2 |
| Deferred income tax expense | 20.7 | 7.5 | 2.1 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net | (49.0) | (127.0) | (132.7) |
| Loss on disposal of discontinued operations, net | 11.8 | 23.5 | -- |
| Other | .1 | 4.5 | (6.3) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Decrease (increase) in accounts receivable | 185.0 | (110.7) | (45.8) |
| Decrease (increase) in trading securities | 5.5 | (72.3) | -- |
| Increase in other assets | (72.6) | (40.5) | (133.6) |
| Increase (decrease) in liabilities | 20.5 | (67.9) | 200.3 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations | 1,165.1 | 1,006.2 | 1,096.1 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Additions to property and equipment | (857.7) | (764.1) | (517.3) |
| Proceeds from disposal of discontinued operations | 14.3 | 45.1 | -- |
| Proceeds from disposition of assets | 2.6 | 4.8 | 7.6 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (840.8) | (714.2) | (509.7) |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Reduction of long-term borrowings | (17.2) | (19.0) | (165.3) |
| Cash dividends paid | (14.2) | (14.3) | (14.8) |
| Proceeds from exercise of share options | 9.6 | 27.3 | 35.8 |
| Repurchase of shares | (6.5) | (259.7) | (527.6) |
| Other | (5.9) | 1.5 | .9 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (34.2) | (264.2) | (671.0) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | .5 | (15.0) | (.8) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities of discontinued operations | 61.2 | 147.3 | 149.1 |
| INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 351.8 | 160.1 | 63.7 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF YEAR | 789.6 | 629.5 | 565.8 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF YEAR | \$1,141.4 | \$ 789.6 | \$ 629.5 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

ENSCO PLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. DESCRIPTION OF THE BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

a) Business

We are one of the leading providers of offshore contract drilling services to the international oil and gas industry. We have one of the largest and most capable offshore drilling rig fleets in the world comprised of 44 drilling rigs, including 39 jackup rigs, four ultra-deepwater semisubmersible rigs and one barge rig. Additionally, we have four ultra-deepwater semisubmersible rigs under construction. We drill and complete offshore oil and natural gas wells for major international, government-owned and independent oil and gas companies on a "day rate" contract basis, under which we provide our drilling rigs and rig crews and receive a fixed amount per day for drilling the well. Our customers bear substantially all of the ancillary costs of constructing the well and supporting drilling operations, as well as the economic risk relative to the success of the well.

Our contract drilling operations are integral to the exploration, development and production of oil and natural gas. Our business levels and corresponding operating results are significantly affected by worldwide levels of offshore exploration and development spending by oil and gas companies. Such spending may fluctuate substantially from year-to-year and from region-to-region based on various social, political, economic and environmental factors. See "Note 13 - Segment Information" for additional information on our operations by segment and geographic region.

b) Redomestication

On December 23, 2009, we completed a reorganization of the corporate structure of the group of companies controlled by our predecessor, ENSCO International Incorporated ("Enesco Delaware"), pursuant to which an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary merged with Enesco Delaware, and Enesco plc became our publicly-held parent company incorporated under English law (the "redomestication"). In connection with the redomestication, each issued and outstanding share of common stock of Enesco Delaware was converted into the right to receive one American depositary share ("ADS" or "share"), each representing one Class A ordinary share, par value U.S. \$0.10 per share, of Enesco plc. The ADSs are governed by a deposit agreement with Citibank, N.A. as depositary and trade on the New York Stock Exchange (the "NYSE") under the symbol "ESV," the symbol for Enesco Delaware common stock before the redomestication. We are now incorporated under English law as a public limited company and have relocated our principal executive offices to London, England. Unless the context requires otherwise, the terms "Enesco," "Company," "we," "us" and "our" refer to Enesco plc together with all subsidiaries and predecessors.

The redomestication was accounted for as an internal reorganization of entities under common control and, therefore, Enesco Delaware's assets and liabilities were accounted for at their historical cost basis and not revalued in the transaction. We remain subject to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") reporting requirements, the mandates of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the applicable corporate governance rules of the NYSE, and we will continue to report our consolidated financial results in U.S. dollars and in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). We also must comply with additional reporting requirements of English law.

c) Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Enesco plc and its majority-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

d) Pervasiveness of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make certain estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the related revenues and expenses and disclosures of gain and loss contingencies as of the date of the financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

e) Foreign Currency Remeasurement

Our functional currency is the U.S. dollar. As is customary in the oil and gas industry, a majority of our revenues are denominated in U.S. dollars, however, a portion of the expenses incurred by our non-U.S. subsidiaries are denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar ("foreign currencies"). These transactions are remeasured in U.S. dollars based on a combination of both current and historical exchange rates. Transaction gains and losses, including certain gains and losses on our derivative instruments, are included in other income (expense), net, in our consolidated statement of income. We incurred net foreign currency exchange gains of \$2.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, net foreign currency exchange losses of \$10.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 and net foreign currency exchange gains of \$9.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2007.

f) Cash Equivalents and Short-Term Investments

Highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less at the date of purchase are considered cash equivalents. Highly liquid investments with maturities of greater than three months but less than one year as of the date of purchase are classified as short-term investments.

g) Property and Equipment

All costs incurred in connection with the acquisition, construction, enhancement and improvement of assets are capitalized, including allocations of interest incurred during periods that our drilling rigs are under construction or undergoing major enhancements and improvements. Repair and maintenance costs are charged to contract drilling expense in the period in which they occur. Upon sale or retirement of assets, the related cost and accumulated depreciation are removed from the balance sheet and the resulting gain or loss is included in contract drilling expense.

Our property and equipment is depreciated on the straight-line method, after allowing for salvage values, over the estimated useful lives of our assets. Drilling rigs and related equipment are depreciated over estimated useful lives ranging from 4 to 30 years. Buildings and improvements are depreciated over estimated useful lives ranging from 2 to 30 years. Other equipment, including computer and communications hardware and software costs, is depreciated over estimated useful lives ranging from 2 to 6 years.

We evaluate the carrying value of our property and equipment for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable. For property and equipment used in our operations, recoverability is generally determined by comparing the net carrying value of an asset to the expected undiscounted future cash flows of the asset. If the carrying value of an asset is not recoverable, the amount of impairment loss is measured as the difference between the net book value of the asset and its estimated fair value. Property and equipment held for sale is recorded at the lower of net book value or net realizable value.

We recorded no impairment charges during the three-year period ended December 31, 2009. However, if the global economy were to deteriorate and/or the offshore drilling industry were to incur a significant prolonged downturn, it is reasonably possible that impairment charges may occur with respect to specific individual rigs, groups of rigs, such as a specific type of drilling rig, or rigs in a certain geographic location.

h) Goodwill

We are in the process of developing a fleet of ultra-deepwater semisubmersible rigs and established a separate business unit to manage our deepwater operations during 2008. Our jackup rigs and barge rig are managed by major geographic region. Accordingly, our business consists of four operating segments: (1) Deepwater, (2) Asia Pacific, (3) Europe and Africa and (4) North and South America. Each of our four operating segments provides one service, contract drilling.

Our four operating segments represent our reporting units. As a result of our 2008 reorganization to four operating segments and reporting units, we reassigned goodwill to our reporting units based on a relative fair value allocation approach as follows (in millions):

| | |
|-------------------------|----------------|
| Deepwater | \$143.6 |
| Asia Pacific | 84.6 |
| Europe and Africa | 61.4 |
| North and South America | 46.6 |
| Total | \$336.2 |

Goodwill is not allocated to operating segments in the measure of segment assets regularly reported to and used by management. No goodwill was acquired or disposed of during the three-year period ended December 31, 2009.

We test goodwill for impairment on an annual basis as of December 31 of each year or when events or changes in circumstances indicate that a potential impairment exists. The goodwill impairment test requires us to identify reporting units and estimate each unit's fair value as of the testing date. In most instances, our calculation of the fair value of our reporting units is based on estimates of future discounted cash flows to be generated by our drilling rigs.

We determined there was no impairment of goodwill as of December 31, 2009. However, if the global economy deteriorates and the offshore drilling industry were to incur a significant prolonged downturn, it is reasonably possible that our expectations of future cash flows may decline and ultimately result in impairment of our goodwill. Additionally, a significant decline in the market value of our shares could result in a goodwill impairment.

i) Operating Revenues and Expenses

Substantially all of our drilling contracts ("contracts") are performed on a day rate basis, and the terms of such contracts are typically for a specific period of time or the period of time required to complete a specific task, such as drill a well. Contract revenues and expenses are recognized on a per day basis, as the work is performed. Day rate revenues are typically earned, and contract drilling expense is typically incurred, on a uniform basis over the terms of our contracts.

In connection with some contracts, we receive lump-sum fees or similar compensation for the mobilization of equipment and personnel prior to the commencement of drilling services or the demobilization of equipment and personnel upon contract completion. Fees received for the mobilization or demobilization of equipment and personnel are included in operating revenues. The costs incurred in connection with the mobilization and demobilization of equipment and personnel are included in contract drilling expense.

Mobilization fees received and costs incurred are deferred and recognized on a straight-line basis over the period that the related drilling services are performed. Demobilization fees and related costs are recognized as incurred upon contract completion. Costs associated with the mobilization of equipment and personnel to more promising market areas without contracts are expensed as incurred.

Deferred mobilization costs were included in other current assets and other assets, net, and totaled \$52.7 million and \$47.5 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Deferred mobilization revenue was included in accrued liabilities and other, and other liabilities and totaled \$99.3 million and \$88.0 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

In connection with some contracts, we receive up-front lump-sum fees or similar compensation for capital improvements to our drilling rigs. Such compensation is deferred and recognized as revenue over the period that the related drilling services are performed. The cost of such capital improvements is capitalized and depreciated over the useful life of the asset. Deferred revenue associated with capital improvements was included in accrued liabilities and other, and other liabilities and totaled \$22.5 million and \$2.2 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

We must obtain certifications from various regulatory bodies in order to operate our drilling rigs and must maintain such certifications through periodic inspections and surveys. The costs incurred in connection with maintaining such certifications, including inspections, tests, surveys and drydock, as well as remedial structural work and other compliance costs, are deferred and amortized over the corresponding certification periods. Deferred regulatory certification and compliance costs were included in other current assets and other assets, net, and totaled \$9.7 million and \$6.5 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

In certain countries in which we operate, taxes such as sales, use, value-added, gross receipts and excise may be assessed by the local government on our revenues. We generally record our tax-assessed revenue transactions on a net basis in our consolidated statement of income.

j) Derivative Instruments

We use foreign currency forward contracts ("derivatives") to reduce our exposure to various market risks, primarily foreign currency exchange rate risk. See "Note 5 - Derivative Instruments" for additional information on how and why we use derivatives.

All derivatives are recorded on our consolidated balance sheet at fair value. Accounting for the gains and losses resulting from changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the use of the derivative and whether it qualifies for hedge accounting. Derivatives qualify for hedge accounting when they are formally designated as hedges and are effective in reducing the risk exposure that they are designated to hedge. Our assessment of hedge effectiveness is formally documented at hedge inception, and we review hedge effectiveness and measure any ineffectiveness throughout the designated hedge period on at least a quarterly basis.

Changes in the fair value of derivatives that are designated as hedges of the fair value of recognized assets or liabilities or unrecognized firm commitments ("fair value hedges") are recorded currently in earnings and included in other income (expense), net, in our consolidated statement of income. Changes in the fair value of derivatives that are designated as hedges of the variability in expected future cash flows associated with existing recognized assets or liabilities or forecasted transactions ("cash flow hedges") are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) ("AOCI"). Amounts recorded in AOCI associated with cash flow hedges are subsequently reclassified into contract drilling, depreciation or interest expense as earnings are affected by the underlying hedged forecasted transactions.

Gains and losses on a cash flow hedge, or a portion of a cash flow hedge, that no longer qualifies as effective due to an unanticipated change in the forecasted transaction are recognized currently in earnings and included in other income (expense), net, in our consolidated statement of income based on the change in the fair value of the derivative. When a forecasted transaction is no longer probable of occurring, gains and losses on the derivative previously recorded in AOCI are reclassified currently into earnings and included in other income (expense), net, in our consolidated statement of income.

We occasionally enter into derivatives that hedge the fair value of recognized assets or liabilities, but do not designate such derivatives as hedges or the derivatives otherwise do not qualify for hedge accounting. In these situations, there generally is a natural hedging relationship where changes in the fair value of the derivatives offset changes in the fair value of the underlying hedged items. Changes in the fair value of these derivatives are recognized currently in earnings in other income (expense), net, in our consolidated statement of income.

Derivatives with asset fair values are reported in other current assets or other assets, net, depending on maturity date. Derivatives with liability fair values are reported in accrued liabilities and other, or other liabilities depending on maturity date.

k) Income Taxes

We conduct operations and earn income in numerous countries and are subject to the laws of taxing jurisdictions within those countries, including U.K. and U.S. tax laws. Current income taxes are recognized for the amount of taxes payable or refundable based on the laws and income tax rates in the taxing jurisdictions in which operations are conducted and income is earned.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the anticipated future tax effects of temporary differences between the financial statement basis and the tax basis of our assets and liabilities using the enacted tax rates in effect at year-end. A valuation allowance for deferred tax assets is recorded when it is more-likely-than-not that the benefit from the deferred tax asset will not be realized.

In many of the jurisdictions in which we operate, tax laws relating to the offshore drilling industry are not well developed and change frequently. Furthermore, we may enter into transactions with affiliates or employ other tax planning strategies that generally are subject to complex tax regulations. As a result of the foregoing, the tax liabilities and assets we recognize in our financial statements may differ from the tax positions taken, or expected to be taken, in our tax returns. Our tax positions are evaluated for recognition using a more-likely-than-not threshold, and those tax positions requiring recognition are measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon effective settlement with a taxing authority that has full knowledge of all relevant information. Interest and penalties relating to income taxes are included in current income tax expense in our consolidated statement of income. See "Note 10 - Income Taxes" for additional information on our unrecognized tax benefits.

Our drilling rigs frequently move from one taxing jurisdiction to another based on where they are contracted to perform drilling services. The movement of drilling rigs among taxing jurisdictions may involve a transfer of drilling rig ownership among our subsidiaries. The pre-tax profit resulting from intercompany rig sales is eliminated and the carrying value of rigs sold in intercompany transactions remains at the historical net depreciated cost prior to the transaction. Our consolidated financial statements do not reflect the asset disposition transaction of the selling subsidiary or the asset acquisition transaction of the acquiring subsidiary. Income taxes resulting from the transfer of drilling rig ownership among subsidiaries, as well as the tax effect of any reversing temporary differences resulting from the transfers, are deferred and amortized on a straight-line basis over the remaining useful life of the rig.

In some instances, we may determine that certain temporary differences will not result in a taxable or deductible amount in future years, as it is more-likely-than-not we will commence operations and depart from a given taxing jurisdiction without such temporary differences being recovered or settled. Under these circumstances, no future tax consequences are expected and no deferred taxes are recognized in connection with such operations. We evaluate these determinations on a periodic basis and, in the event our expectations relative to future tax consequences change, the applicable deferred taxes are recognized.

It is our policy and intention to indefinitely reinvest all remaining and future undistributed earnings of Ensco Delaware's non-U.S. subsidiaries in such subsidiaries. Accordingly, no U.S. deferred taxes are provided on the undistributed earnings of Ensco Delaware's non-U.S. subsidiaries. See "Note 10 - Income Taxes" for additional information on the undistributed earnings of Ensco Delaware's non-U.S. subsidiaries.

l) Share-Based Compensation

We sponsor several share-based compensation plans that provide equity compensation to our employees, officers and directors. Share-based compensation cost is measured at fair value on the date of grant and recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period (usually the vesting period). The amount of compensation cost recognized in our consolidated statement of income is based on the awards ultimately expected to vest and, therefore, reduced for estimated forfeitures. All changes in estimated forfeitures are based on historical experience and are recognized as a cumulative adjustment to compensation cost in the period in which they occur. See "Note 9 - Benefit Plans" for additional information on our share-based compensation.

m) Fair Value Measurements

On January 1, 2008, we adopted certain provisions of FASB ASC 820-10 (previously SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements"). This standard establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy assigns the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities ("Level 1") and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs ("Level 3"). Level 2 measurements are inputs that are observable for assets or liabilities, either directly or indirectly, other than quoted prices included within Level 1.

Our auction rate securities, marketable securities held in our supplemental executive retirement plans ("SERP") and derivatives are measured at fair value. Our auction rate securities are measured at fair value using an income approach valuation model (Level 3 inputs) to estimate the price that will be received in exchange for our auction rate securities in an orderly transaction between market participants ("exit price"). The exit price is derived as the weighted-average present value of expected cash flows over various periods of illiquidity, using a risk-adjusted discount rate that is based on the credit risk and liquidity risk of our auction rate securities. See "Note 3 - Long-Term Investments" for additional information on our auction rate securities, including a description of the securities and underlying collateral, a discussion of the uncertainties relating to their liquidity and our accounting treatment.

Assets held in our SERP are measured at fair value based on quoted market prices (Level 1 inputs). Our derivatives are measured at fair value based on market prices that are generally observable for similar assets and liabilities at commonly quoted intervals (Level 2 inputs). See "Note 5 - Derivative Instruments" for additional information on our derivative instruments, including a description of our foreign currency hedging activities and related methods used to manage foreign currency exchange rate risk.

See "Note 8 - Fair Value Measurements" for additional information on the fair value measurement of our financial assets and liabilities.

n) Earnings Per Share

On January 1, 2009, we adopted certain provisions of FASB ASC 260-10-45 (previously FASB Staff Position EITF 03-6-1, "Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions are Participating Securities"). This standard addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, need to be included in the earnings allocation in computing earnings per share ("EPS") under the two-class method. Non-vested share awards granted to our employees and non-employee directors contain nonforfeitable dividend rights and, therefore, are now considered participating securities. We have prepared our current period basic and diluted EPS computations and retrospectively revised our comparative prior period computations to exclude net income allocated to non-vested share awards.

The following table is a reconciliation of net income attributable to Ensco shares used in our basic and diluted EPS computations for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009 (in millions):

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|---|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| Net income attributable to Ensco | \$779.4 | \$1,150.8 | \$992.0 |
| Net income allocated to non-vested share awards | (9.7) | (12.6) | (7.3) |
| Net income attributable to Ensco shares | \$769.7 | \$1,138.2 | \$984.7 |

The following table is a reconciliation of the weighted-average shares used in our basic and diluted earnings per share computations for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009 (in millions):

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Weighted-average shares - basic | 140.4 | 141.6 | 146.7 |
| Potentially dilutive share options | .1 | .3 | .5 |
| Weighted-average shares - diluted | 140.5 | 141.9 | 147.2 |

Antidilutive share options totaling 1.1 million, 746,000 and 503,000 were excluded from the computation of diluted EPS for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

o) Noncontrolling Interests

On January 1, 2009, we adopted certain provisions of FASB ASC 810-10 (previously SFAS No. 160, "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements"). This standard clarifies that a noncontrolling interest should be reported as equity in the consolidated financial statements and requires net income attributable to both the parent and the noncontrolling interest to be disclosed separately on the face of the consolidated statement of income. These presentation and disclosure provisions required retrospective application to all prior periods presented.

Noncontrolling interests are classified as equity on our consolidated balance sheet and net income attributable to noncontrolling interests is presented separately on our consolidated statement of income. In our Asia Pacific operating segment, local third parties hold a noncontrolling ownership interest in three of our subsidiaries. No changes in the ownership interests of these subsidiaries occurred during the three-year period ended December 31, 2009.

Income from continuing operations attributable to Ensco for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009 was as follows (in millions):

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|--|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| Income from continuing operations | \$747.3 | \$1,053.2 | \$866.2 |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests | (4.2) | (5.1) | (5.9) |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to Ensco | \$743.1 | \$1,048.1 | \$860.3 |

Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net, attributable to EnSCO for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009 was as follows:

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|--|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| Income from discontinued operations | \$37.2 | \$103.5 | \$132.7 |
| Income from discontinued operations attributable to noncontrolling interests | (.9) | (.8) | (1.0) |
| <u>Income from discontinued operations attributable to EnSCO</u> | <u>\$36.3</u> | <u>\$102.7</u> | <u>\$131.7</u> |

2. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 consisted of the following (in millions):

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> |
|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Drilling rigs and equipment | \$4,801.1 | \$3,829.8 |
| Other | 47.0 | 45.5 |
| Work in progress | 1,303.1 | 1,501.0 |
| | <u>\$6,151.2</u> | <u>\$5,376.3</u> |

Work in progress as of December 31, 2009 primarily consisted of \$1,262.5 million related to the construction of our ENSCO 8500 Series® ultra-deepwater semisubmersible rigs and costs associated with various modification and enhancement projects. ENSCO 8502 was delivered in January 2010 and the related construction costs will remain classified as work in progress until the rig is placed into service during the third quarter of 2010. Work in progress as of December 31, 2008 primarily consisted of \$1,445.2 million related to the construction of our ENSCO 8500 Series® rigs and costs associated with various modification and enhancement projects.

3. LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, we held \$66.8 million and \$72.3 million (par value), respectively, of long-term debt instruments with variable interest rates that periodically reset through an auction process ("auction rate securities"). Our auction rate securities were originally acquired in January 2008 and have final maturity dates ranging from 2025 to 2047.

Auctions for our auction rate securities began to fail in February 2008 as there were more sellers than buyers at the scheduled interest rate auctions and parties desiring to sell their auction rate securities were unable to do so. When an auction fails, the interest rate is adjusted according to the provisions of the associated security agreement. Through December 31, 2009, auctions for our auction rate securities continued to fail with the exception of the successful auction of \$4.7 million of our securities during June 2008. Auction rate securities totaling \$5.5 million and \$6.0 million were redeemed at par during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Additionally, \$2.5 million of our auction rate securities were redeemed at par in January 2010.

Our investments in auction rate securities as of December 31, 2009 were diversified across fifteen separate issues and each issue maintains scheduled interest rate auctions in either 28-day or 35-day intervals. The majority of our auction rate securities are currently rated Aaa by Moody's, AAA by Standard & Poor's and/or AAA by Fitch. An aggregate \$64.3 million (par value), or 96%, of our auction rate securities were issued by state agencies and are supported by student loans for which repayment is substantially guaranteed by the U.S. government under the Federal Family Education Loan Program ("FFELP").

Upon acquisition in January 2008, we designated our auction rate securities as trading securities as it was our intent to sell them in the near-term. Due to illiquidity in the auction rate securities market, we intend to hold our auction rate securities until they can be redeemed by issuers, repurchased by brokerage firms or sold in a market that facilitates orderly transactions. Although we will hold our auction rate securities longer than originally anticipated, we continue to designate them as trading securities.

Our auction rate securities were measured at fair value as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and net unrealized gains of \$1.8 million and unrealized losses of \$8.1 million were included in other income (expense), net, in our consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. See "Note 8 - Fair Value Measurements" for additional information on the fair value measurement of our auction rate securities.

The carrying value of our auction rate securities was \$60.5 million and \$64.2 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. We are currently unable to determine whether issuers of our auction rate securities will attempt and/or be able to refinance them and have classified our auction rate securities as long-term investments on our consolidated balance sheets. Cash flows from purchases and sales of our auction rate securities are classified as operating activities in our consolidated statement of cash flows.

4. LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 consisted of the following (in millions):

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| 7.20% Debentures due 2027 | \$148.9 | \$148.8 |
| 6.36% Bonds due 2015 | 76.0 | 88.7 |
| 4.65% Bonds due 2020 | 49.5 | 54.0 |
| | 274.4 | 291.5 |
| Less current maturities | (17.2) | (17.2) |
| Total long-term debt | \$257.2 | \$274.3 |

Debentures Due 2027

In November 1997, EnSCO Delaware issued \$150.0 million of unsecured 7.20% Debentures due November 15, 2027 (the "Debentures") in a public offering. Interest on the Debentures is payable semiannually in May and November and may be redeemed at any time at our option, in whole or in part, at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, and a make-whole premium. The indenture under which the Debentures were issued contains limitations on the incurrence of indebtedness secured by certain liens and limitations on engaging in certain sale/leaseback transactions and certain merger, consolidation or reorganization transactions. The Debentures are not subject to any sinking fund requirements. On December 22, 2009, in connection with the redomestication, EnSCO plc entered into a supplemental indenture to unconditionally guarantee the principal and interest payments on the Debentures.

Bonds Due 2015 and 2020

In January 2001, a subsidiary of EnSCO Delaware issued \$190.0 million of 15-year bonds to provide long-term financing for ENSCO 7500. The bonds will be repaid in 30 equal semiannual principal installments of \$6.3 million ending in December 2015. Interest on the bonds is payable semiannually, in June and December, at a fixed rate of 6.36%. In October 2003, a subsidiary of EnSCO Delaware issued \$76.5 million of 17-year bonds to provide long-term financing for ENSCO 105. The bonds will be repaid in 34 equal semiannual principal installments of \$2.3 million ending in October 2020. Interest on the bonds is payable semiannually, in April and October, at a fixed rate of 4.65%.

Both bond issuances are guaranteed by the United States of America, acting by and through the United States Department of Transportation, Maritime Administration ("MARAD"), and Enasco Delaware issued separate guaranties to MARAD, guaranteeing the performance of obligations under the bonds. On February 19, 2010, the documents governing MARAD's guarantee commitments were amended to address certain changes arising from the redomestication and to include Enasco plc as an additional guarantor of the debt obligations.

Revolving Credit Facility

In June 2005, Enasco Delaware executed a \$350.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility (the "Credit Facility") with a syndicate of lenders for a five-year term, expiring in June 2010. The Credit Facility was amended on December 22, 2009 to address certain changes arising from the redomestication and to include Enasco plc as an additional guarantor.

Advances under the Credit Facility bear interest at LIBOR plus an applicable margin rate (currently .35% per annum), depending on our credit rating. We pay a facility fee (currently .10% per annum) on the total \$350.0 million commitment, which is also based on our credit rating, and pay an additional utilization fee on outstanding advances if such advances equal or exceed 50% of the total \$350.0 million commitment. We had no amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility as of December 31, 2009 and 2008.

Maturities

The aggregate maturities of our long-term debt, excluding unamortized discounts of \$1.1 million, as of December 31, 2009 were as follows (in millions):

| | |
|--------------|----------------|
| 2010 | \$ 17.2 |
| 2011 | 17.2 |
| 2012 | 17.2 |
| 2013 | 17.2 |
| 2014 | 17.2 |
| Thereafter | 189.5 |
| Total | \$275.5 |

Interest expense totaled \$20.9 million, \$21.6 million and \$32.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. All interest expense incurred during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 was capitalized in connection with the construction of our ENSCO 8500 Series® rigs. During the year ended December 31, 2007, \$30.4 million of interest expense was capitalized.

5. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

On January 1, 2009, we adopted certain disclosure provisions of FASB ASC 815-10-50 (previously SFAS No. 161, "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities"). These provisions require enhanced disclosures about (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under FASB ASC 815 (previously SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities") and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, operating results and cash flows.

We use derivatives to reduce our exposure to various market risks, primarily foreign currency exchange rate risk. We maintain a foreign currency risk management strategy that utilizes derivatives to reduce our exposure to unanticipated fluctuations in earnings and cash flows caused by changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Although no interest rate related derivative instruments were outstanding as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, we occasionally employ an interest rate risk management strategy that utilizes derivative instruments to minimize or eliminate unanticipated fluctuations in earnings and cash flows arising from changes in, and volatility of, interest rates. We minimize our credit risk relating to the counterparties of our derivatives by transacting with multiple, high-quality financial institutions, thereby limiting exposure to individual counterparties, and by monitoring the financial condition of our counterparties. We do not enter into derivatives for trading or other speculative purposes.

All derivatives were recorded on our consolidated balance sheets at fair value. Accounting for the gains and losses resulting from changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the use of the derivative and whether it qualifies for hedge accounting. See "Note 1 - Description of the Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" for additional information on how derivatives are accounted for under FASB ASC 815.

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, our consolidated balance sheets included net foreign currency derivative assets of \$13.2 million and net foreign currency derivative liabilities of \$20.3 million, respectively. See "Note 8 - Fair Value Measurements" for additional information on the fair value measurement of our derivatives. Derivatives recorded at fair value on our consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 consisted of the following (in millions):

| | Assets | | Liabilities | |
|---|--------|-------|-------------|--------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments | | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts - current ⁽¹⁾ | \$10.2 | \$.3 | \$1.1 | \$25.8 |
| Foreign currency forward contracts - non-current ⁽²⁾ | 3.8 | 5.1 | -- | .0 |
| | 14.0 | 5.4 | 1.1 | 25.8 |
| Derivatives not Designated as Hedging Instruments | | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts - current ⁽¹⁾ | .3 | .1 | .0 | .0 |
| | .3 | .1 | .0 | .0 |
| Total | \$14.3 | \$5.5 | \$1.1 | \$25.8 |

(1) Derivative assets and liabilities that have maturity dates equal to or less than twelve months from the respective balance sheet dates were included in other current assets and accrued liabilities and other, respectively, on our consolidated balance sheets.

(2) Derivative assets and liabilities that have maturity dates greater than twelve months from the respective balance sheet dates were included in other assets, net, and other liabilities, respectively, on our consolidated balance sheets.

We utilize derivatives to hedge forecasted foreign currency denominated transactions ("cash flow hedges"), primarily to reduce our exposure to foreign currency exchange rate risk associated with the portion of our remaining ENSCO 8500 Series® construction obligations denominated in Singapore dollars and contract drilling expenses denominated in various other currencies. As of December 31, 2009, we had cash flow hedges outstanding to exchange an aggregate \$288.5 million for various currencies, including \$195.9 million for Singapore dollars, \$54.1 million for British pounds, \$25.4 million for Australian dollars and \$13.1 million for other currencies.

Gains and losses on derivatives designated as cash flow hedges included in our consolidated statements of income for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009 were as follows (in millions):

| | Gain (Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) ("OCI") on Derivatives (Effective Portion) | | | (Loss) Gain Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) | | | (Loss) Gain Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) ⁽¹⁾ | | |
|---|---|-----------------|--------------|--|----------------|---------------|---|----------------|--------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| | Foreign currency forward contracts ⁽²⁾ | \$13.5 | \$(16.4) | \$8.2 | \$(8.0) | \$(2.9) | \$ 7.9 | \$(2.9) | \$(1.0) |
| Interest rate lock contracts ⁽³⁾ | -- | -- | -- | (.7) | (.7) | (1.0) | -- | -- | - |
| Total | \$13.5 | \$(16.4) | \$8.2 | \$(8.7) | \$(3.6) | \$ 6.9 | \$(2.9) | \$(1.0) | \$.7 |

- (1) Gains and losses recognized in income for ineffectiveness and amounts excluded from effectiveness testing were included in other income (expense), net, in our consolidated statements of income.
- (2) Gains and losses on derivative instruments reclassified from AOCI into income (effective portion) were included in contract drilling expense in our consolidated statements of income.
- (3) Losses on derivatives reclassified from AOCI into income (effective portion) were included in other income (expense), net, in our consolidated statements of income.

We have net assets and liabilities denominated in numerous foreign currencies and use various methods to manage our exposure to foreign currency exchange rate risk. We predominantly structure our drilling contracts in U.S. dollars, which significantly reduces the portion of our cash flows and assets denominated in foreign currencies. We occasionally enter into derivatives that hedge the fair value of recognized foreign currency denominated assets or liabilities but do not designate such derivatives as hedging instruments. In these situations, a natural hedging relationship generally exists whereby changes in the fair value of the derivatives offset changes in the fair value of the underlying hedged items. As of December 31, 2009, we had derivatives not designated as hedging instruments outstanding to exchange an aggregate \$61.5 million for various currencies, including \$20.7 million for Singapore dollars, \$17.7 million for Australian dollars, \$9.6 million for British pounds and \$13.5 million for other currencies.

Net gains of \$4.6 million, net losses of \$3.5 million and net gains of \$2.0 million associated with our derivatives not designated as hedging instruments were included in other income (expense), net, in our consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

If we were to incur a hypothetical 10% adverse change in foreign currency exchange rates, net unrealized losses associated with our foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities and related derivatives as of December 31, 2009 would approximate \$27.9 million, including \$20.9 million related to our Singapore dollar exposures. All of our outstanding derivatives mature during the next two years.

As of December 31, 2009, the estimated amount of net gains associated with derivative instruments, net of tax, that will be reclassified to earnings during the next twelve months was as follows (in millions):

| | |
|--|--------------|
| Net gains to be reclassified to contract drilling expense | \$3.9 |
| Net losses to be reclassified to other income (expense), net | (.6) |
| Net gains to be reclassified to earnings | \$3.3 |

6. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 was comprised of net gains and losses on derivative instruments, net of tax. The components of our comprehensive income, net of tax, for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009 were as follows (in millions):

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Net Income | \$784.5 | \$1,156.7 | \$ 998.9 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | |
| Net change in fair value of derivatives | 13.5 | (16.4) | 8.2 |
| Reclassification of unrealized gains and losses on derivative instruments from other comprehensive loss (income) into net income | 8.7 | 3.6 | (6.9) |
| Net other comprehensive income (loss) | 22.2 | (12.8) | 1.3 |
| Comprehensive income | 806.7 | 1,143.9 | 1,000.2 |
| Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (5.1) | (5.9) | (6.9) |
| Comprehensive income attributable to Ensco | \$801.6 | \$1,138.0 | \$ 993.3 |

7. SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

In conjunction with the redomestication in December 2009, each issued and outstanding share of common stock of Ensco Delaware was converted into the right to receive one American depositary share, each representing one Class A ordinary share, par value U.S. \$0.10 per share, of Ensco plc. In total, 150.0 million Class A ordinary shares were issued, with 142.6 million exchanged for shares of common stock of Ensco Delaware. The remaining 7.4 million Class A ordinary shares were held as treasury shares on our December 31, 2009 consolidated balance sheet. Prior to the redomestication, Ensco plc also issued 50,000 Class B ordinary shares, par value £1 per share, to Ensco Delaware. The Class B ordinary shares have no voting rights or rights to dividends or distributions.

Prior to the redomestication, Ensco Delaware retired 40.2 million treasury shares with a historical cost totaling \$1,203.9 million under authorization from our Board of Directors. Pursuant to its certificate of incorporation in effect prior to the redomestication, Ensco Delaware had 20.0 million authorized shares of preferred stock, U.S. \$1 par value, and none had been issued as of December 31, 2008.

Activity in our various shareholders' equity accounts for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009 was as follows (in millions):

| | <u>Shares</u> | <u>Par Value</u> | <u>Additional Paid-In Capital</u> | <u>Retained Earnings</u> | <u>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)</u> | <u>Treasury Shares</u> | <u>Noncontrolling Interest</u> |
|---|---------------|------------------|---|------------------------------|--|----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| BALANCE, December 31, 2006 | 178.7 | \$17.9 | \$1,621.3 | \$1,994.5 | \$ (5.5) | \$ (412.2) | \$ 3.4 |
| Cumulative effect of adoption of FIN 48 | -- | -- | -- | 5.8 | -- | -- | -- |
| Net income | -- | -- | -- | 992.0 | -- | -- | 6.9 |
| Cash dividends paid | -- | -- | -- | (14.8) | -- | -- | -- |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | (5.7) |
| Shares issued under share-based compensation plans, net | 1.6 | .1 | 35.7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Tax benefit from share-based compensation | -- | -- | 6.6 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Repurchase of shares | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | (527.6) | -- |
| Share-based compensation cost | -- | -- | 36.9 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Net other comprehensive income | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1.3 | -- | -- |
| BALANCE, December 31, 2007 | 180.3 | 18.0 | 1,700.5 | 2,977.5 | (4.2) | (939.8) | 4.6 |
| Net income | -- | -- | -- | 1,150.8 | -- | -- | 5.9 |
| Cash dividends paid | -- | -- | -- | (14.3) | -- | -- | -- |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | (3.8) |
| Shares issued under share-based compensation plans, net | 1.6 | .2 | 27.1 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Tax deficiency from share-based compensation | -- | -- | 5.3 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Repurchase of shares | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | (259.7) | -- |
| Share-based compensation cost | -- | -- | 28.3 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Net other comprehensive loss | -- | -- | -- | -- | (12.8) | -- | -- |
| BALANCE, December 31, 2008 | 181.9 | 18.2 | 1,761.2 | 4,114.0 | (17.0) | (1,199.5) | 6.7 |
| Net income | -- | -- | -- | 779.4 | -- | -- | 5.1 |
| Cash dividends paid | -- | -- | -- | (14.2) | -- | -- | -- |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | (3.9) |
| Shares issued under share-based compensation plans, net | .9 | .1 | 9.5 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Tax deficiency from share-based compensation | -- | -- | (2.4) | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Repurchase of shares | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | (6.5) | -- |
| Retirement of treasury shares | (40.2) | (4.0) | (1,200.0) | -- | -- | 1,203.9 | -- |
| Share-based compensation cost | -- | -- | 34.3 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Net other comprehensive income | -- | -- | -- | -- | 22.2 | -- | -- |
| Cancellation of shares of common stock during redomestication | (142.6) | (14.3) | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Issuance of ordinary shares pursuant to the redomestication | 150.1 | 15.1 | -- | -- | -- | (.8) | -- |
| BALANCE, December 31, 2009 | 150.1 | \$ 15.1 | \$ 602.6 | \$4,879.2 | \$ 5.2 | \$ (2.9) | \$ 7.9 |

The Board of Directors previously authorized the repurchase of up to \$1,500.0 million of our shares. From inception of our share repurchase programs during 2006 through December 31, 2008, we repurchased an aggregate 16.5 million shares at a cost of \$937.6 million (an average cost of \$56.79 per share). No shares were repurchased under the share repurchase programs during 2009. In December 2009, in conjunction with the redomestication, the remaining repurchase authorization was extended authorizing management to repurchase up to \$562.4 million of ADSs from time to time pursuant to share repurchase agreements with two investment banks. Although such amount remained available for repurchase as of December 31, 2009, the Company will not repurchase any shares without further consultation with and approval by the Board of Directors of Enscopl.

8. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The following fair value hierarchy table categorizes information regarding our financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 (in millions):

| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Total |
|---|---|--|--|---------------|
| As of December 31, 2009 | | | | |
| Auction rate securities | \$ -- | \$ -- | \$60.5 | \$60.5 |
| Supplemental executive retirement plan assets | 18.7 | -- | -- | 18.7 |
| Derivatives, net | -- | 13.2 | -- | 13.2 |
| Total financial assets | \$18.7 | \$13.2 | \$60.5 | \$92.4 |
| As of December 31, 2008 | | | | |
| Auction rate securities | \$ -- | \$ -- | \$64.2 | \$64.2 |
| Supplemental executive retirement plan assets | 13.9 | -- | -- | 13.9 |
| Total financial assets | \$13.9 | \$ -- | \$64.2 | \$78.1 |
| Derivatives, net | \$ -- | \$20.3 | \$ -- | \$20.3 |
| Total financial liabilities | \$ -- | \$20.3 | \$ -- | \$20.3 |

Auction Rate Securities

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, we held long-term debt instruments with variable interest rates that periodically reset through an auction process totaling \$66.8 million and \$72.3 million (par value), respectively. These auction rate securities were classified as long-term investments on our consolidated balance sheets. Our auction rate securities were originally acquired in January 2008 and have maturity dates ranging from 2025 to 2047. Our auction rate securities were measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant Level 3 inputs as of December 31, 2009 and 2008. The following table summarizes the fair value measurements of our auction rate securities using significant Level 3 inputs, and changes therein, for each of the years in the two-year period ended December 31, 2009 (in millions):

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> |
|------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Beginning Balance | \$64.2 | \$ -- |
| (Sales) purchases, net | (5.5) | 72.3 |
| Unrealized gains (losses)* | 1.8 | (8.1) |
| Realized losses | -- | -- |
| Transfers in and/or out of Level 3 | -- | -- |
| Ending balance | <u>\$60.5</u> | <u>\$64.2</u> |

*Unrealized gains (losses) are included in other income (expense), net, in our consolidated statement of income.

Before utilizing Level 3 inputs in our fair value measurements, we considered whether observable inputs were available. As a result of continued auction failures, quoted prices for our auction rate securities did not exist as of December 31, 2009. Accordingly, we concluded that Level 1 inputs were not available. Brokerage statements received from the five broker/dealers that held our auction rate securities included their estimated market value as of December 31, 2009. Four broker/dealers valued our auction rate securities at par and the fifth valued our auction rate securities at 88% of par. Due to the lack of transparency into the methodologies used to determine the estimated market values, we have concluded that estimated market values provided on our brokerage statements do not constitute valid inputs, and we do not utilize them in measuring the fair value of our auction rate securities.

We determined that use of a valuation model was the best available technique for measuring the fair value of our auction rate securities. We used an income approach valuation model to estimate the price that would be received in exchange for our auction rate securities in an orderly transaction between market participants ("exit price") as of December 31, 2009. The exit price was derived as the weighted-average present value of expected cash flows over various periods of illiquidity, using a risk-adjusted discount rate that was based on the credit risk and liquidity risk of our auction rate securities.

While our valuation model was based on both Level 2 (credit quality and interest rates) and Level 3 inputs, we determined that our Level 3 inputs were significant to the overall fair value measurement of our auction rate securities, particularly the estimates of risk-adjusted discount rates and ranges of expected periods of illiquidity. We believe that we have the ability to maintain our investment in these securities until they are redeemed, repurchased or sold in a market that facilitates orderly transactions.

Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan Assets and Liabilities

The ENSCO Supplemental Executive Retirement Plans (the "SERP") are non-qualified plans where eligible employees and non-employee directors may defer a portion of their compensation for use after retirement. Assets held in the SERP were marketable securities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using Level 1 inputs and were included in other assets, net, on our consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008. The fair value measurement of assets held in the SERP was based on quoted market prices.

Derivatives

Our derivatives were measured at fair value on a recurring basis using Level 2 inputs as of December 31, 2009 and 2008. See "Note 5 - Derivative Instruments" for additional information on our derivatives, including a description of our foreign currency hedging activities and related methodologies used to manage foreign currency exchange rate risk. The fair value measurement of our derivatives was based on market prices that are generally observable for similar assets or liabilities at commonly quoted intervals.

Other Financial Instruments

The carrying values and estimated fair values of our debt instruments as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 were as follows (in millions):

| | <u>December 31,</u> <u>2009</u> | | <u>December 31,</u> <u>2008</u> | |
|---|------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|---|
| | <u>Carrying</u> <u>Value</u> | <u>Estimated</u> <u>Fair</u> <u>Value</u> | <u>Carrying</u> <u>Value</u> | <u>Estimated</u> <u>Fair</u> <u>Value</u> |
| 7.20% Debentures | \$148.9 | \$155.9 | \$148.8 | \$140.3 |
| 6.36% Bonds, including current maturities | 76.0 | 85.8 | 88.7 | 103.9 |
| 4.65% Bonds, including current maturities | 49.5 | 53.8 | 54.0 | 62.1 |

The estimated fair value of our 7.20% Debentures was determined using quoted market prices. The estimated fair values of our 6.36% Bonds and 4.65% Bonds were determined using an income approach valuation model. The estimated fair value of our cash and cash equivalents, receivables, trade payables and other liabilities approximated their carrying values as of December 31, 2009 and 2008.

9. BENEFIT PLANS

Non-Vested Share Awards

During 2005, our shareholders approved the 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "LTIP") to provide for the issuance of non-vested share awards, share option awards and performance awards. Under the LTIP, 10.0 million shares were reserved for issuance as awards to officers, non-employee directors and key employees who are in a position to contribute materially to our growth, development and long-term success. The LTIP originally provided for the issuance of non-vested share awards up to a maximum of 2.5 million new shares. In May 2009, our shareholders approved an amendment to the LTIP to increase the maximum number of non-vested share awards from 2.5 million to 6.0 million. As of December 31, 2009, there were 3.3 million shares available for issuance of non-vested share awards under the LTIP. Non-vested share awards may be issued as new shares or issued out of treasury at the Company's discretion.

Under the LTIP, grants of non-vested share awards generally vest at rates of 20% or 33% per year, as determined by a committee or subcommittee of the Board of Directors. Prior to the adoption of the LTIP, non-vested share awards were issued under a predecessor plan and generally vested at a rate of 10% per year. All non-vested share awards have voting and dividend rights effective on the date of grant. Compensation expense is measured using the market value of our shares on the date of grant and is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period (usually the vesting period).

During 2007, we entered into a retirement agreement with our former CEO and non-executive Chairman of our Board of Directors. The agreement provided that, upon retirement, he would receive a grant of 92,000 non-vested share awards which vest at a rate of one-third per year upon each of the first three anniversaries of his retirement date. Furthermore, the agreement modified the vesting term of 28,750 unvested share options and 105,000 non-vested share awards previously granted to him so that such awards vested upon his retirement. We recognized an additional \$10.4 million of non-vested share award compensation expense during 2007 as a result of the retirement agreement, of which \$5.0 million related to the modification of his previous awards.

The following table summarizes non-vested share award related compensation expense recognized during each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009 (in millions):

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Contract drilling | \$16.8 | \$11.4 | \$ 5.5 |
| General and administrative | 11.4 | 7.6 | 17.5 |
| Non-vested share award related compensation expense included in operating expenses | 28.2 | 19.0 | 23.0 |
| Tax benefit | (7.0) | (4.7) | (7.1) |
| Total non-vested share award related compensation expense included in net income | \$21.2 | \$14.3 | \$15.9 |

The following table summarizes the value of non-vested share awards granted and vested during each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009:

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Weighted-average grant-date fair value of non-vested share awards granted (per share) | \$40.91 | \$67.99 | \$60.18 |
| Total fair value of non-vested share awards vested during the period (in millions) | \$18.6 | \$17.9 | \$19.8 |

The following table summarizes non-vested share award activity for the year ended December 31, 2009 (shares in thousands):

| | <u>Shares</u> | <u>Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value</u> |
|------------------------------------|---------------|---|
| Non-vested as of January 1, 2009 | 1,755 | \$60.27 |
| Granted | 613 | 40.91 |
| Vested | (495) | 58.89 |
| Forfeited | (62) | 56.94 |
| Non-vested as of December 31, 2009 | 1,811 | \$54.21 |

As of December 31, 2009, there was \$78.0 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share awards, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.2 years.

Share Option Awards

Under the LTIP, share option awards ("options") may be issued to our officers, non-employee directors and key employees who are in a position to contribute materially to our growth, development and long-term success. A maximum 7.5 million shares were reserved for issuance as options under the LTIP. Options granted to officers and employees generally become exercisable in 25% increments over a four-year period or 33% increments over a three-year period and, to the extent not exercised, expire on the seventh anniversary of the date of grant. Options granted to non-employee directors are immediately exercisable and, to the extent not exercised, expire on the seventh anniversary of the date of grant. The exercise price of options granted under the LTIP equals the market value of the underlying shares on the date of grant. As of December 31, 2009, options to purchase 1.2 million shares were outstanding under the LTIP and 5.3 million shares were available for issuance as options. Upon option exercise, new shares may be issued or shares may be issued out of treasury at the Company's discretion.

The following table summarizes option related compensation expense recognized during each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009 (in millions):

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Contract drilling | \$ 1.7 | \$ 3.3 | \$ 5.8 |
| General and administrative | 3.7 | 5.0 | 7.8 |
| Option related compensation expense included in operating expenses | 5.4 | 8.3 | 13.6 |
| Tax benefit | (1.6) | (2.3) | (3.8) |
| Total option related compensation expense included in net income | \$ 3.8 | \$ 6.0 | \$ 9.8 |

The fair value of each option is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. The following weighted-average assumptions were utilized in the Black-Scholes model for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009:

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.8% | -- | 4.8% |
| Expected term (in years) | 3.9 | -- | 4.7 |
| Expected volatility | 53.3% | -- | 29.8% |
| Dividend yield | .2% | -- | .2% |

Expected volatility is based on the historical volatility in the market price of our shares over the period of time equivalent to the expected term of the options granted. The expected term of options granted is derived from historical exercise patterns over a period of time equivalent to the contractual term of the options granted. We have not experienced significant differences in the historical exercise patterns among officers, employees and non-employee directors for them to be considered separately for valuation purposes. The risk-free interest rate is based on the implied yield of U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues on the date of grant with a remaining term approximating the expected term of the options granted.

The following table summarizes option activity for the year ended December 31, 2009 (shares and intrinsic value in thousands, term in years):

| | <u>Shares</u> | <u>Weighted-Average Exercise Price</u> | <u>Weighted-Average Contractual Term</u> | <u>Intrinsic Value</u> |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|----------------------------|
| Outstanding as of January 1, 2009 | 1,544 | \$45.15 | | |
| Granted | 115 | 41.29 | | |
| Exercised | (344) | 28.03 | | |
| Forfeited | (48) | 52.06 | | |
| Expired | (54) | 53.60 | | |
| Outstanding as of December 31, 2009 | 1,213 | \$48.98 | 3.8 | \$1,043 |
| Exercisable as of December 31, 2009 | 794 | \$47.87 | 3.4 | \$1,043 |

The following table summarizes the value of options granted and exercised during each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009:

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Weighted-average grant-date fair value of options granted (per share) | \$17.17 | \$ -- | \$20.44 |
| Intrinsic value of options exercised during the year (in millions) | \$ 3.6 | \$25.5 | \$30.0 |

The following table summarizes information about options outstanding as of December 31, 2009 (shares in thousands):

| <u>Exercise Prices</u> | <u>Options Outstanding</u> | | | <u>Options Exercisable</u> | |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|--|
| | <u>Number Outstanding</u> | <u>Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life</u> | <u>Weighted-Average Exercise Price</u> | <u>Number Exercisable</u> | <u>Weighted-Average Exercise Price</u> |
| \$23.12 - \$33.55 | 163 | 2.4 years | \$33.54 | 163 | \$33.54 |
| 41.29 - 47.12 | 381 | 4.2 years | 45.10 | 228 | 46.48 |
| 50.09 - 52.82 | 369 | 3.5 years | 50.31 | 243 | 50.32 |
| 57.38 - 60.74 | 300 | 4.4 years | 60.67 | 160 | 60.69 |
| | 1,213 | 3.8 years | \$48.98 | 794 | \$47.87 |

As of December 31, 2009, there was \$4.7 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to options, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.5 years.

Performance Awards

On November 3, 2009, our Board of Directors approved amendments to the LTIP which, among other things, provide for a type of performance award payable in EnSCO shares, cash or a combination thereof upon attainment of specified performance goals based on relative total shareholder return and absolute and relative return on capital employed. The performance goals are determined by a committee or subcommittee of the Board of Directors. The LTIP provides for the issuance of up to a maximum of 2.5 million new shares for the payment of performance awards, all of which were available for the payment of performance awards as of December 31, 2009. Performance awards that are paid in EnSCO shares may be issued as new shares or issued out of treasury at the Company's discretion.

In November 2009, performance awards were issued to certain of our officers who are in a position to contribute materially to our growth, development and long-term success. Performance awards generally vest at the end of a three-year measurement period based on attainment of performance goals. Our performance awards are liability awards with compensation expense measured based on the estimated probability of attainment of the specified performance goals and recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period. The estimated probable outcome of attainment of the specified performance goals is based on historical experience and any subsequent changes in this estimate are recognized as a cumulative adjustment to compensation cost in the period in which the change in estimate occurs.

We recognized \$1.9 million of compensation expense for performance awards during the year ended December 31, 2009, which was included in general and administrative expense in our consolidated statement of income. No performance award compensation expense was recognized during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007. As of December 31, 2009, there was \$11.2 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested performance awards, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.5 years.

Savings Plan

We have a profit sharing plan (the "ENSCO Savings Plan") which covers eligible employees, as defined. Profit sharing contributions require Board of Directors approval and may be paid in cash or shares. We recorded profit sharing contribution provisions of \$14.2 million, \$16.6 million and \$14.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The ENSCO Savings Plan includes a 401(k) savings plan feature which allows eligible employees to make tax deferred contributions to the plan. We generally make matching cash contributions that vest over a three-year period based on the amount of employee contributions and rates set by our Board of Directors. We match 100% of the amount contributed by the employee up to a maximum of 5% of eligible salary. Matching contributions totaled \$4.1 million, \$5.0 million and \$5.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. We have 1.0 million shares reserved for issuance as matching contributions under the ENSCO Savings Plan.

10. INCOME TAXES

EnSCO Delaware, our predecessor company, was domiciled in the U.S. and subject to a statutory rate of 35% through December 23, 2009, the effective date of the redomestication. We were subject to the U.K. statutory rate of 28% for the remaining nine days of 2009. The income tax information for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 has been presented from the perspective of an enterprise domiciled in the U.S.

We generated \$286.5 million, \$383.2 million and \$319.5 million of income from continuing operations before income taxes in the U.S. and \$637.4 million, \$890.4 million and \$781.3 million of income from continuing operations before income taxes in non-U.S. countries for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The following table summarizes components of the provision for income taxes from continuing operations for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009 (in millions):

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Current income tax expense: | | | |
| U.S. | \$ 63.7 | \$101.4 | \$ 96.3 |
| Non-U.S. | 92.2 | 111.5 | 136.2 |
| | <u>155.9</u> | <u>212.9</u> | <u>232.5</u> |
| Deferred income tax expense (benefit): | | | |
| U.S. | 25.3 | 12.7 | 5.7 |
| Non-U.S. | (4.6) | (5.2) | (3.6) |
| | <u>20.7</u> | <u>7.5</u> | <u>2.1</u> |
| | | | |
| Total income tax expense | <u>\$176.6</u> | <u>\$220.4</u> | <u>\$234.6</u> |

The following table summarizes significant components of deferred income tax assets (liabilities) as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 (in millions):

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Deferred revenue | \$ 34.1 | \$ 9.7 |
| Employee benefits, including share-based compensation | 25.6 | 21.2 |
| Other | 18.3 | 24.2 |
| Total deferred tax assets | <u>78.0</u> | <u>55.1</u> |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Property and equipment | (348.9) | (320.2) |
| Intercompany transfers of property | (45.5) | (36.6) |
| Deferred costs | (23.5) | (18.5) |
| Other | (7.7) | (.4) |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | <u>(425.6)</u> | <u>(375.7)</u> |
| Net deferred tax liability | <u>\$(347.6)</u> | <u>\$(320.6)</u> |
| Net current deferred tax asset | \$ 29.7 | \$ 19.9 |
| Net noncurrent deferred tax liability | (377.3) | (340.5) |
| Net deferred tax liability | <u>\$(347.6)</u> | <u>\$(320.6)</u> |

The income tax rates imposed in the taxing jurisdictions in which our non-U.S. subsidiaries conduct operations vary, as does the tax base to which the rates are applied. In some cases, tax rates may be applicable to gross revenues, statutory or negotiated deemed profits or other bases utilized under local tax laws, rather than to net income. In addition, our drilling rigs frequently move from one taxing jurisdiction to another. As a result, our consolidated effective income tax rate may vary substantially from year-to-year, depending on the relative components of our earnings generated in taxing jurisdictions with higher tax rates and lower tax rates.

In December 2009, we incurred an \$8.8 million current income tax expense in connection with certain restructuring activities undertaken immediately following our redomestication. Our consolidated effective income tax rate on continuing operations for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009, differs from the U.S. statutory income tax rate as follows:

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| U.S. statutory income tax rate | 35.0% | 35.0% | 35.0% |
| Non-U.S. taxes | (17.8) | (19.3) | (13.2) |
| Amortization of deferred charges | | | |
| associated with intercompany rig sales | 1.8 | 1.3 | -- |
| Redomestication related income taxes | 1.0 | -- | -- |
| Net (benefit) expense in connection with resolutions | | | |
| of tax issues and adjustments relating to prior years | (.9) | .5 | (.6) |
| Other | -- | (.2) | .1 |
| Effective income tax rate | 19.1% | 17.3% | 21.3% |

Unrecognized Tax Benefits

On January 1, 2007, we adopted the recognition and disclosure provisions of FASB ASC 740-10-25 (previously FIN 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (as amended)"). Our tax positions are evaluated for recognition using a more-likely-than-not threshold, and those tax positions requiring recognition are measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon effective settlement with a taxing authority that has full knowledge of all relevant information. As a result of adopting this standard, we reported a \$5.8 million increase to our January 1, 2007 balance of retained earnings. As of December 31, 2009, we had \$17.6 million of unrecognized tax benefits, of which \$12.2 million would impact our effective income tax rate if recognized. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 is as follows (in millions):

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Balance, beginning of year | \$26.8 | \$13.5 |
| Increases in unrecognized tax benefits as a result of tax positions taken during the current year | 2.0 | 7.2 |
| Increases in unrecognized tax benefits as a result of tax positions taken during prior years | -- | 12.7 |
| Decreases in unrecognized tax benefits as a result of tax positions taken during prior years | (2.7) | (1.3) |
| Settlements with taxing authorities | (8.7) | (.9) |
| Lapse of applicable statutes of limitations | (.8) | (3.3) |
| Impact of foreign currency exchange rates | 1.0 | (1.1) |
| Balance, end of year | \$17.6 | \$26.8 |

Accrued interest and penalties totaled \$15.8 million and \$12.9 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and were included in other liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets. We recognized net expense of \$3.3 million, net benefits of \$6.8 million and net expense of \$2.3 million associated with interest and penalties during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Interest and penalties are included in current income tax expense in our consolidated statement of income.

Tax years as early as 2002 remain subject to examination in the tax jurisdictions in which we operated. We participate in the U.S. Internal Revenue Service's Compliance Assurance Process which, among other things, provides for the resolution of tax issues in a timely manner and generally eliminates the need for lengthy post-filing examinations. Our 2008 and 2009 U.S. federal tax returns remain subject to examination.

During the third quarter of 2009, in connection with the audit of prior year tax returns, we reached a settlement with the tax authority in one of our non-U.S. jurisdictions which resulted in an \$8.7 million reduction in unrecognized tax benefits and a \$4.4 million net income tax benefit, inclusive of interest and penalties.

During 2008, in connection with an examination of a prior period tax return, we recognized a \$5.4 million liability for unrecognized tax benefits associated with certain tax positions taken in prior years, which resulted in an \$8.9 million net income tax expense, inclusive of interest and penalties.

During 2008, statutes of limitations applicable to certain of our tax positions lapsed resulting in a \$2.9 million decline in unrecognized tax benefits and an \$11.5 million net income tax benefit, inclusive of interest and penalties.

During 2007, new information became available in one of our non-U.S. jurisdictions that enabled us to conclude that an uncertain tax position established in prior years had been effectively settled. As a result, we recognized an aggregate \$11.1 million current tax benefit, inclusive of interest and penalties.

Statutes of limitations applicable to certain of our tax positions will lapse during 2010. Therefore, it is reasonably possible that our unrecognized tax benefits will decline during the next twelve months by \$2.4 million, which includes \$900,000 of accrued interest and penalties.

Intercompany Transfer of Drilling Rigs

In connection with restructuring activities undertaken immediately following the redomestication, we transferred ownership of four of our ENSCO 8500 Series® rigs among two of our subsidiaries in December 2009. The income tax liability resulting from the transfer totaled \$30.8 million and will be paid by the selling subsidiary during 2010. The related income tax expense was deferred and is being amortized on a straight-line basis over the remaining useful lives of the associated rigs, which range from 29 to 30 years. Similarly, the tax effects of \$45.6 million of reversing temporary differences of the selling subsidiary were also deferred and are being amortized on the same basis and over the same periods as described above.

In December 2007, we transferred ownership of three drilling rigs among two of our subsidiaries resulting in an income tax liability of \$96.5 million which was paid during 2008. The \$96.5 million of income taxes paid and the tax effects of \$54.8 million of reversing temporary differences of the selling subsidiary were deferred and are being amortized over the remaining useful lives of the related drilling rigs, which ranged from three to eight years.

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the unamortized balance associated with deferred charges for income taxes incurred in connection with intercompany transfers of drilling rigs totaled \$99.0 million and \$91.3 million, respectively, and was included in other assets, net, on our consolidated balance sheets. Current income tax expense for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 included \$23.1 million, \$23.1 million and \$2.9 million, respectively, of amortization of income taxes incurred in connection with intercompany transfers of drilling rigs.

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the deferred tax liability associated with temporary differences of transferred drilling rigs totaled \$45.5 million and \$36.5 million, respectively, and was included in deferred income taxes on our consolidated balance sheets. Deferred income tax expense for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 included benefits of \$7.0 million, \$7.2 million and \$3.9 million, respectively, of amortization of deferred reversing temporary differences associated with intercompany transfers of drilling rigs.

Undistributed Earnings

We do not provide deferred taxes on the undistributed earnings of EnSCO Delaware because our policy and intention is to reinvest such earnings indefinitely or until such time that they can be distributed in a tax-free manner. We do not provide deferred taxes on the undistributed earnings of EnSCO Delaware's non-U.S. subsidiaries because our policy and intention is to reinvest such earnings indefinitely.

During 2007, a non-U.S. subsidiary declared a \$1,200.0 million dividend to EnSCO Delaware, which included the distribution of its \$922.1 million of earnings and the return of \$277.9 million of previously invested capital. We utilized foreign tax credits to offset substantially all U.S. tax obligations associated with the 2007 repatriation of earnings by EnSCO Delaware's non-U.S. subsidiary.

The earnings distribution was undertaken because it provided, with minimal U.S. tax impact, substantial funding flexibility for management initiatives, including the continuation and/or extension of our ongoing share repurchase program and greater options relative to future fleet expansion efforts. This distribution was made in consideration of unique circumstances and, accordingly, does not change our intention to reinvest the undistributed earnings of EnSCO Delaware's non-U.S. subsidiaries indefinitely. Furthermore, both our U.S. and non-U.S. subsidiaries have significant net assets, liquidity, contract backlog and other financial resources available to meet their operational and capital investment requirements and otherwise allow management to continue to maintain its policy of reinvesting the undistributed earnings of EnSCO Delaware and EnSCO Delaware's non-U.S. subsidiaries indefinitely.

As of December 31, 2009, the aggregate undistributed earnings of EnSCO Delaware and EnSCO Delaware's non-U.S. subsidiaries totaled \$2,614.1 million and were indefinitely reinvested. Should we make a distribution in the form of dividends or otherwise, we may be subject to additional income taxes. The unrecognized deferred tax liability related to the undistributed earnings of EnSCO Delaware and EnSCO Delaware's non-U.S. subsidiaries was \$523.1 million as of December 31, 2009.

11. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Rig Sales

In April 2010, we sold jackup rig ENSCO 57 for \$47.1 million, of which two deposits of \$4.7 million each were received in December 2009 and March 2010, respectively. In March 2010, we sold jackup rigs ENSCO 50 and ENSCO 51 for an aggregate \$94.7 million, of which a deposit of \$4.7 million was received in December 2009. ENSCO 50, ENSCO 51 and ENSCO 57 operating results were reclassified as discontinued operations in our consolidated statements of income for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009. The rigs' aggregate net book value and other assets as of December 31, 2009 totaled \$93.7 million.

ENSCO 69

From May 2007 to June 2009, ENSCO 69 was contracted to Petrosucre, a subsidiary of Petróleos de Venezuela S.A., the national oil company of Venezuela ("PDVSA"). During portions of 2008 and 2009, PDVSA subsidiaries reportedly lacked funds and generally were not paying their contractors and service providers. In January 2009, we suspended drilling operations on ENSCO 69 after Petrosucre failed to satisfy its contractual obligations and meet commitments relative to the payment of past due invoices. Petrosucre then took over complete control of ENSCO 69 drilling operations utilizing Petrosucre employees and a portion of the Venezuelan rig crews we had utilized. Petrosucre initially advised us that it was temporarily taking over operations on the rig, and our supervisory rig personnel remained onboard to observe Petrosucre's operations.

On June 4, 2009, after Petrosucre's failure to satisfy its contractual payment obligations, failure to reach a mutually acceptable agreement with us and denial of our request to demobilize ENSCO 69 from Venezuela, Petrosucre advised that it would not return the rig and would continue to operate it without our consent. Petrosucre further advised that it would release ENSCO 69 after a six-month period, subject to a mutually agreed accord addressing the resolution of all remaining obligations under the ENSCO 69 drilling contract. On June 6, 2009, we terminated our contract with Petrosucre and removed all remaining EnSCO employees from the rig.

Due to Petrosucre's failure to satisfy its contractual obligations and meet payment commitments, and in consideration of the Venezuelan government's recent nationalization of assets owned by international oil and gas companies and oilfield service companies, we concluded it was remote that ENSCO 69 would be returned to us by Petrosucre and operated again by Ensco. Therefore, we recorded the disposal of ENSCO 69 during the second quarter of 2009 and recognized a pre-tax loss of \$18.1 million representing the rig's net book value of \$17.3 million and inventory and other assets totaling \$800,000. The disposal was classified as loss on disposal of discontinued operations, net, in our consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2009. ENSCO 69 operating results were reclassified as discontinued operations in our consolidated statements of income for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009.

In November 2009, we executed an agreement with Petrosucre to mitigate our losses and resolve issues relative to outstanding amounts owed by Petrosucre for drilling operations performed by Ensco through the date of termination of the drilling contract in June 2009 (the "agreement"). Although ENSCO 69 will continue to be fully controlled and operated by Petrosucre, the agreement also requires Petrosucre to compensate us for its ongoing use of the rig. We recognized \$33.1 million of pre-tax income from discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2009 associated with collections under the agreement, consisting of \$21.2 million of revenues from Petrosucre's use of the rig during 2009 and \$11.9 million from the release of bad debt provisions recorded during 2008.

Although the agreement obligates Petrosucre to make additional payments during 2010 for its use of the rig during 2009, the associated income was not recognized in our consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2009, as collectability was not reasonably assured. There can be no assurances relative to the recovery of outstanding contract entitlements, insurance recovery, the return of ENSCO 69 to us by Petrosucre or the imposition of customs duties in relation to the rig's ongoing presence in Venezuela. See "Note 12 - Commitments and Contingencies" for additional information on ENSCO 69.

ENSCO 74

In September 2008, ENSCO 74 was lost as a result of Hurricane Ike. Portions of its legs remained underwater adjacent to the customer's platform, and we conducted extensive aerial and sonar reconnaissance but did not locate the rig hull. In March 2009, the sunken hull of ENSCO 74 was located approximately 95 miles from the original drilling location when it was struck by an oil tanker. The rig was a total loss, as defined under the terms of our insurance policies. The operating results of ENSCO 74 were reclassified as discontinued operations in our consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007. See "Note 12 - Commitments and Contingencies" for additional information on the loss of ENSCO 74 and associated contingencies.

The following table summarizes income from discontinued operations for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009 (in millions):

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Revenues | \$105.0 | \$276.3 | \$249.8 |
| Operating expenses | 46.7 | 119.5 | 90.0 |
| Operating income before income taxes | 58.3 | 156.8 | 159.8 |
| Income tax expense | 9.3 | 29.8 | 27.1 |
| Loss on disposal of discontinued operations, net | (11.8) | (23.5) | -- |
| Income from discontinued operations | \$ 37.2 | \$103.5 | \$132.7 |

Debt and interest expense are not allocated to our discontinued operations.

12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Leases

We are obligated under leases for certain of our offices and equipment. Rental expense relating to operating leases was \$14.2 million, \$13.9 million and \$12.0 million during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Future minimum rental payments under our noncancellable operating lease obligations are as follows: \$7.5 million during 2010; \$3.4 million during 2011; \$2.2 million during 2012; \$1.9 million during 2013 and \$7.3 million thereafter.

Capital Commitments

The following table summarizes the aggregate contractual commitments related to our four ENSCO 8500 Series® rigs currently under construction (in millions):

| | |
|-------|-----------|
| 2010 | \$ 482.4 |
| 2011 | 425.5 |
| 2012 | 219.0 |
| Total | \$1,126.9 |

The actual timing of these expenditures may vary based on the completion of various construction milestones, which are, to a large extent, beyond our control.

FCPA Internal Investigation

Following disclosures by other offshore service companies announcing internal investigations involving the legality of amounts paid to and by customs brokers in connection with temporary importation of rigs and vessels into Nigeria, the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors and management commenced an internal investigation in July 2007. The investigation initially focused on our payments to customs brokers relating to the temporary importation of ENSCO 100, our only rig that operated offshore Nigeria during the pertinent period.

As is customary for companies operating offshore Nigeria, we had engaged independent customs brokers to process customs clearance of routine shipments of equipment, materials and supplies and to process the ENSCO 100 temporary importation permits, extensions and renewals. One or more of the customs brokers that our subsidiary in Nigeria used to obtain the ENSCO 100 temporary import permits, extensions and renewals also provided this service to other offshore service companies that have undertaken Foreign Corrupt Practices Act ("FCPA") compliance internal investigations.

The principal purpose of our investigation was to determine whether any of the payments made to or by our customs brokers were inappropriate under the anti-bribery provisions of the FCPA or whether any violations of the recordkeeping or internal accounting control provisions of the FCPA occurred. Our Audit Committee engaged a Washington, D.C. law firm with significant experience in investigating and advising upon FCPA matters to assist in the internal investigation.

Following notification to the Audit Committee and to KPMG LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, in consultation with the Audit Committee's external legal counsel, we voluntarily notified the United States Department of Justice and the SEC that we had commenced an internal investigation. We expressed our intention to cooperate with both agencies, comply with their directives and fully disclose the results of the investigation. The internal investigation process has involved extensive reviews of documents and records, as well as production to the authorities, and interviews of relevant personnel. In addition to the temporary importation of ENSCO 100, the investigation has examined our customs clearance of routine shipments and immigration activities in Nigeria.

Our internal investigation has essentially been concluded. Meetings to review the results of the investigation and discuss associated matters were held with the authorities on February 24, 2009, September 14, 2009 and February 9, 2010. We expect to discuss a possible negotiated disposition with the authorities in the near-term.

Although we believe the U.S. authorities will take into account our voluntary disclosure, our cooperation with the agencies and the remediation and compliance enhancement activities that are underway, we are unable to predict the ultimate disposition of this matter, whether we will be charged with violation of the anti-bribery, recordkeeping or internal accounting control provisions of the FCPA or whether the scope of the investigation will be extended to other issues in Nigeria or to other countries. We also are unable to predict what potential corrective measures, fines, sanctions or other remedies, if any, the agencies may seek against us or any of our employees.

In November 2008, our Board of Directors approved enhanced FCPA compliance recommendations issued by the Audit Committee's external legal counsel, and the Company embarked upon an enhanced compliance initiative that included appointment of a Chief Compliance Officer and a Director - Corporate Compliance. We engaged consultants to assist us in implementing the compliance recommendations approved by our Board of Directors, which include an enhanced compliance policy, increased training and testing, prescribed contractual provisions for our service providers that interface with foreign government officials, due diligence for the selection of such service providers and an increased Company-wide awareness initiative that includes periodic issuance of FCPA Alerts.

Since ENSCO 100 completed its contract commitment and departed Nigeria in August 2007, this matter is not expected to have a material effect on or disrupt our current operations. As noted above, we are unable to predict the outcome of this matter or estimate the extent to which we may be exposed to any resulting potential liability, sanctions or significant additional expense.

ENSCO 74 Loss

In September 2008, ENSCO 74 was lost as a result of Hurricane Ike in the Gulf of Mexico. Portions of its legs remained underwater adjacent to the customer's platform, and we conducted extensive aerial and sonar reconnaissance but did not locate the rig hull. The rig was a total loss, as defined under the terms of our insurance policies.

In March 2009, the sunken rig hull of ENSCO 74 was located approximately 95 miles from the original drilling location when it was struck by an oil tanker. Following discovery of the sunken rig hull, we removed the accessible hydrocarbons onboard the rig and began planning for removal of the wreckage. As an interim measure, the wreckage has been appropriately marked, and the U.S. Coast Guard has issued a Notice to Mariners. We are currently communicating with various government agencies to address removal of the wreckage and related debris.

Physical damage to our rigs caused by a hurricane, the associated "sue and labor" costs to mitigate the insured loss and removal, salvage and recovery costs are all covered by our property insurance policies subject to a \$50.0 million per occurrence retention (deductible). The insured value of ENSCO 74 was \$100.0 million, and we have received the net \$50.0 million due under our policies for loss of the rig.

Coverage for ENSCO 74 sue and labor costs and wreckage and debris removal costs under our property insurance policies is limited to \$25.0 million and \$50.0 million, respectively. Supplemental wreckage and debris removal coverage is provided under our liability insurance policies, subject to an annual aggregate limit of \$500.0 million. We also have a customer contractual indemnification that provides for reimbursement of any ENSCO 74 wreckage and debris removal costs that are not recovered under our insurance policies.

We believe it is probable that we will be required to remove the leg sections of ENSCO 74 remaining adjacent to the customer's platform because they may interfere with the customer's future operations. We also believe it is probable that we will be required to remove the ENSCO 74 rig hull and related debris from the seabed due to the navigational risk it imposes. We estimate the leg removal costs to range from \$16.0 million to \$30.0 million and the hull and related debris removal costs to range from \$36.0 million to \$55.0 million. We expect the cost of removal of the legs and the hull and related debris to be fully covered by our insurance without any additional retention.

A \$16.0 million liability, representing the low end of the range of estimated leg removal costs, and a corresponding receivable for recovery of those costs, was recorded as of December 31, 2009. A \$36.0 million liability, representing the low end of the range of estimated hull and related debris removal costs, and a corresponding receivable for recovery of those costs, was recorded as of December 31, 2009. As of December 31, 2009, \$1.7 million of wreck and debris removal costs had been incurred, primarily related to the removal of hydrocarbons from the rig. The aggregate \$50.3 million liability for leg and hull and related debris removal costs and aggregate \$52.0 million receivable for recovery of those costs were included in accrued liabilities and other, and other assets, net, respectively, on our December 31, 2009 consolidated balance sheet.

On March 17, 2009, we received notice from legal counsel representing certain underwriters in a subrogation claim alleging that ENSCO 74 caused a pipeline to rupture during Hurricane Ike. On September 4, 2009, civil litigation was filed seeking damages for the cost of repairs and business interruption in an amount in excess of \$26.0 million. Based on information currently available, primarily the adequacy of available defenses, we have not concluded that it is probable that a liability exists with respect to this matter.

On March 18, 2009, the owner of the oil tanker that struck the hull of ENSCO 74 commenced civil litigation against us seeking monetary damages of \$10.0 million for losses incurred when the tanker struck the sunken hull of ENSCO 74. Based on information currently available, primarily the adequacy of available defenses, we have not concluded that it is probable a liability exists with respect to this matter.

On June 9, 2009, we received notice from legal counsel representing another pipeline owner which allegedly sustained damages to a subsea pipeline caused by ENSCO 74 in the aftermath of Hurricane Ike. On September 18, 2009, the owner of the pipeline commenced civil litigation against us seeking unspecified damages in relation to the cost of repairing damage to the pipeline, loss of revenues, survey and other damages. Based on information currently available, we have concluded that it is remote that a liability exists with respect to this matter.

On July 23, 2009, we received notice from legal counsel representing another tanker owner alleging that the sunken hull of the ENSCO 74 caused hull damage to a tanker in January 2009 resulting in unspecified damages and losses. We presently are unable to determine whether the alleged damage to this tanker was caused by ENSCO 74 or the extent of the cost and losses associated with the damage. Based on information currently available, we have not concluded that it is probable that a liability exists with respect to this matter.

We filed a petition for exoneration or limitation of liability under U.S. admiralty and maritime law on September 2, 2009. The petition seeks exoneration from or limitation of liability for any and all injury, loss or damage caused, occasioned or occurred in relation to the ENSCO 74 loss in September 2008. On November 2, 2009, the owners of two other subsea pipelines presented claims in the exoneration/limitation of liability proceedings seeking monetary damages incurred by reason of damage to pipelines allegedly caused by ENSCO 74 in the aftermath of Hurricane Ike. One claim is in the amount of \$14.0 million, while the other is for unspecified damages. Based on information currently available, we have concluded that it is remote that liabilities exist with respect to these matters.

We have liability insurance policies that provide coverage for third-party claims such as the tanker and pipeline claims, subject to a \$10.0 million per occurrence self-insured retention and an annual aggregate limit of \$500.0 million. We believe all liabilities associated with the ENSCO 74 loss during Hurricane Ike resulted from a single occurrence under the terms of the applicable insurance policies. However, legal counsel for certain liability underwriters have asserted that the liability claims arise from separate occurrences. In the event of multiple occurrences, the self-insured retention is \$15.0 million for two occurrences and \$1.0 million for each occurrence thereafter.

The exoneration/limitation proceedings currently include the claim of the owner of the tanker that struck ENSCO 74 in March 2009 and the four pipeline claims. Although we do not expect final disposition of the claims associated with the ENSCO 74 loss to have a material adverse effect upon our financial position, operating results or cash flows, there can be no assurances as to the ultimate outcome.

ENSCO 69

We have filed an insurance claim under our package policy, which includes coverage for certain political risks, and are evaluating legal remedies against Petrosucre for contractual and other ENSCO 69 related damages. ENSCO 69 has an insured value of \$65.0 million under our package policy, subject to a \$10.0 million deductible.

By letter dated September 30, 2009, legal counsel acting for the package policy underwriters denied coverage under the package policy and reserved rights. We have retained coverage counsel who are reviewing the letter from underwriters' counsel. We were unable to conclude that collection of insurance proceeds associated with the loss of ENSCO 69 was probable as of December 31, 2009. Accordingly, no ENSCO 69 related insurance recoveries were recognized in our consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2009.

ENSCO 29 Wreck Removal

A portion of the ENSCO 29 platform drilling rig was lost over the side of a customer's platform as a result of Hurricane Katrina during 2005. Although beneficial ownership of ENSCO 29 was transferred to our insurance underwriters when the rig was determined to be a total loss, management believes we may be legally required to remove ENSCO 29 wreckage and debris from the seabed and currently estimates the removal cost to range from \$5.0 million to \$15.0 million. Our property insurance policies include coverage for ENSCO 29 wreckage and debris removal costs up to \$3.8 million. We also have liability insurance policies that provide specified coverage for wreckage and debris removal costs in excess of the \$3.8 million coverage provided under our property insurance policies.

Our liability insurance underwriters have issued letters reserving rights and effectively denying coverage by questioning the applicability of coverage for the potential ENSCO 29 wreckage and debris removal costs. During 2007, we commenced litigation against certain underwriters alleging breach of contract, wrongful denial, bad faith and other claims which seek a declaration that removal of wreckage and debris is covered under our liability insurance, monetary damages, attorneys' fees and other remedies. The litigation is in an early stage.

While we anticipate that any ENSCO 29 wreckage and debris removal costs incurred will be largely or fully covered by insurance, a \$1.2 million provision, representing the portion of the \$5.0 million low end of the range of estimated removal cost we believe is subject to liability insurance coverage, was recognized during 2006.

Asbestos Litigation

During 2004, we and certain current and former subsidiaries were named as defendants, along with numerous other third-party companies as co-defendants, in three multi-party lawsuits filed in Mississippi. The lawsuits sought an unspecified amount of monetary damages on behalf of individuals alleging personal injury or death, primarily under the Jones Act, purportedly resulting from exposure to asbestos on drilling rigs and associated facilities during the period 1965 through 1986.

In compliance with the Mississippi Rules of Civil Procedure, the individual claimants in the original multi-party lawsuits whose claims were not dismissed were ordered to file either new or amended single plaintiff complaints naming the specific defendant(s) against whom they intended to pursue claims. As a result, out of more than 600 initial multi-party claims, we have been named as a defendant by 65 individual plaintiffs. Of these claims, 62 claims or lawsuits are pending in Mississippi state courts and three are pending in the U.S. District Court as a result of their removal from state court.

To date, written discovery and plaintiff depositions have taken place in eight cases involving us. While several cases have been selected for trial during 2010 and 2011, none of the cases pending against us in Mississippi state court are included within those selected cases.

We intend to vigorously defend against these claims and have filed responsive pleadings preserving all defenses and challenges to jurisdiction and venue. However, discovery is still ongoing and, therefore, available information regarding the nature of all pending claims is limited. At present, we cannot reasonably determine how many of the claimants may have valid claims under the Jones Act or estimate a range of potential liability exposure, if any.

In addition to the pending cases in Mississippi, we have eight other asbestos or lung injury claims pending against us in litigation in various other jurisdictions. Although we do not expect the final disposition of the Mississippi and other asbestos or lung injury lawsuits to have a material adverse effect upon our financial position, operating results or cash flows, there can be no assurances as to the ultimate outcome of the lawsuits.

Working Time Directive

Legislation known as the U.K. Working Time Directive ("WTD") was introduced during 2003 and may be applicable to our employees and employees of other drilling contractors that work offshore in U.K. territorial waters or in the U.K. sector of the North Sea. Certain trade unions representing offshore employees have claimed that drilling contractors are not in compliance with the WTD in respect of paid time off (vacation time) for employees working offshore on a rotational basis (generally equal time working and off).

A Labor Tribunal in Aberdeen, Scotland, rendered decisions in claims involving other offshore drilling contractors and offshore service companies in February 2008. The Tribunal decisions effectively held that employers of offshore workers in the U.K. sector employed on an equal time on/time off rotation are obligated to accord such rotating personnel two-weeks annual paid time off from their scheduled offshore work assignment period. Both sides of the matter, employee and employer groups, appealed the Tribunal decision. The appeals were heard by the Employment Appeal Tribunal ("EAT") in December 2008.

In an opinion rendered in March 2009, the EAT determined that the time off work enjoyed by U.K. offshore oil and gas workers, typically 26 weeks per year, meets the amount of annual leave employers must provide to employees under the WTD. The employer group was successful in all arguments on appeal, as the EAT determined that the statutory entitlement to annual leave under the WTD can be discharged through normal field break arrangements for offshore workers. As a consequence of the EAT decision, an equal on/off time offshore rotation has been deemed to be fully compliant with the WTD. The employee group (led by a trade union) appealed the EAT decision to the highest court in Scotland (the Court of Session). A hearing on the appeal is expected in late 2010 or early 2011.

During 2007, we received inquiries from and responded to the Danish and Dutch authorities regarding applicability of the WTD as adopted by Denmark and The Netherlands to employees on our rigs operating in the Danish and Dutch sectors of the North Sea.

Based on information currently available, we do not expect the ultimate resolution of these matters to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, operating results or cash flows.

Other Matters

In addition to the foregoing, we are named defendants or parties in certain other lawsuits, claims or proceedings incidental to our business and are involved from time to time as parties to governmental investigations or proceedings, including matters related to taxation, arising in the ordinary course of business. Although the outcome of such lawsuits or other proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty and the amount of any liability that could arise with respect to such lawsuits or other proceedings cannot be predicted accurately, we do not expect these matters to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, operating results or cash flows.

13. SEGMENT INFORMATION

We are in the process of developing a fleet of ultra-deepwater semisubmersible rigs and established a separate business unit to manage our deepwater operations during 2008. Our jackup rigs and barge rig are managed by major geographic region. Accordingly, our business consists of four operating segments: (1) Deepwater, (2) Asia Pacific, (3) Europe and Africa and (4) North and South America. Each of our four operating segments provides one service, contract drilling.

Segment information for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009 is presented below. General and administrative expense and depreciation expense incurred by our corporate office are not allocated to our operating segments for purposes of measuring segment operating income and were included in "Reconciling Items." Assets not allocated to our operating segments were also included in "Reconciling Items." As of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, total asset reconciling items consisted primarily of cash and cash equivalents and goodwill.

Year Ended December 31, 2009
(in millions)

| | <u>Deepwater</u> | <u>Asia Pacific</u> | <u>Europe and Africa</u> | <u>North and South America</u> | <u>Operating Segments Total</u> | <u>Reconciling Items</u> | <u>Consolidated Total</u> |
|---|------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Revenues | \$ 254.1 | \$ 645.0 | \$569.1 | \$398.7 | \$1,866.9 | \$ -- | \$1,866.9 |
| Operating expenses | | | | | | | |
| Contract drilling (exclusive of depreciation) | 108.1 | 219.3 | 208.8 | 159.6 | 695.8 | -- | 695.8 |
| Depreciation | 22.2 | 74.1 | 44.5 | 49.9 | 190.7 | 1.3 | 192.0 |
| General and administrative | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 64.0 | 64.0 |
| Operating income | \$ 123.8 | \$ 351.6 | \$315.8 | \$189.2 | \$ 980.4 | \$ (65.3) | \$ 915.1 |
| Total assets | \$2,444.6 | \$1,290.6 | \$779.9 | \$856.0 | \$5,371.1 | \$1,376.1 | \$6,747.2 |
| Capital expenditures | 644.4 | 42.1 | 66.2 | 102.3 | 855.0 | 2.7 | 857.7 |

Year Ended December 31, 2008
(in millions)

| | <u>Deepwater</u> | <u>Asia Pacific</u> | <u>Europe and Africa</u> | <u>North and South America</u> | <u>Operating Segments Total</u> | <u>Reconciling Items</u> | <u>Consolidated Total</u> |
|---|------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Revenues | \$ 84.4 | \$ 869.6 | \$804.1 | \$452.2 | \$2,210.3 | \$ -- | \$2,210.3 |
| Operating expenses | | | | | | | |
| Contract drilling (exclusive of depreciation) | 31.2 | 269.4 | 246.7 | 158.1 | 705.4 | -- | 705.4 |
| Depreciation | 9.1 | 72.0 | 43.0 | 47.3 | 171.4 | 1.9 | 173.3 |
| General and administrative | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 53.8 | 53.8 |
| Operating income | \$ 44.1 | \$ 528.2 | \$514.4 | \$246.8 | \$1,333.5 | \$ (55.7) | \$1,277.8 |
| Total assets | \$1,759.9 | \$1,327.7 | \$806.7 | \$773.1 | \$4,667.4 | \$1,162.7 | \$5,830.1 |
| Capital expenditures | 657.8 | 34.8 | 22.7 | 46.1 | 761.4 | 2.7 | 764.1 |

Year Ended December 31, 2007
(in millions)

| | <u>Deepwater</u> | <u>Asia Pacific</u> | <u>Europe and Africa</u> | <u>North and South America</u> | <u>Operating Segments Total</u> | <u>Reconciling Items</u> | <u>Consolidated Total</u> |
|---|------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Revenues | \$ 72.8 | \$ 748.5 | \$670.8 | \$401.9 | \$1,894.0 | \$ -- | \$1,894.0 |
| Operating expenses | | | | | | | |
| Contract drilling (exclusive of depreciation) | 28.8 | 226.3 | 208.4 | 141.9 | 605.4 | -- | 605.4 |
| Depreciation | 9.3 | 69.7 | 40.4 | 42.6 | 162.0 | 4.1 | 166.1 |
| General and administrative | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 59.5 | 59.5 |
| Operating income | \$ 34.7 | \$ 452.5 | \$422.0 | \$217.4 | \$1,126.6 | \$ (63.6) | \$1,063.0 |
| Total assets | \$973.8 | \$1,386.6 | \$773.6 | \$808.8 | \$3,942.8 | \$1,026.0 | \$4,968.8 |
| Capital expenditures | 352.4 | 48.5 | 22.0 | 93.0 | 515.9 | 1.4 | 517.3 |

Information about Geographic Areas

As of December 31, 2009, our Deepwater operating segment consisted of two ultra-deepwater semisubmersible rigs located in the Gulf of Mexico, one ultra-deepwater semisubmersible rig located in Australia and five ultra-deepwater semisubmersible rigs under construction in Singapore, including ENSCO 8502 which was delivered in January 2010. Our Asia Pacific operating segment consisted of 19 jackup rigs and one barge rig deployed in various locations throughout Asia, the Middle East, Australia and New Zealand. Our Europe and Africa operating segment consisted of eight jackup rigs deployed in various territorial waters of the North Sea, one jackup rig located offshore Tunisia and one jackup rig located offshore Greece. Our North and South America operating segment consisted of seven jackup rigs located in the Gulf of Mexico, five jackup rigs located offshore Mexico and one rig located offshore Venezuela.

For purposes of our geographic areas disclosures, we attribute revenues to the geographic location where such revenues are earned and assets to the geographic location of the drilling rig as of the end of the applicable year. For new construction projects, assets are attributed to the location of future operation if known or to the location of construction if the ultimate location of operation is undetermined. Information by country for those countries that account for more than 10% of total revenues or 10% of our long-lived assets was as follows (in millions):

| | Revenues | | | Long-lived Assets | | |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| United Kingdom | \$ 353.2 | \$ 478.3 | \$ 392.5 | \$ 457.4 | \$ 309.0 | \$ 425.5 |
| United States | 267.0 | 485.8 | 474.7 | 1,806.7 | 1,663.6 | 1,640.3 |
| Indonesia | 72.3 | 254.2 | 116.1 | 50.2 | 153.9 | 325.4 |
| Singapore | -- | -- | -- | 720.1 | 550.5 | 17.1 |
| Other countries | 1,174.4 | 992.0 | 910.7 | 1,442.9 | 1,194.3 | 950.6 |
| Total | \$1,866.9 | \$2,210.3 | \$1,894.0 | \$4,477.3 | \$3,871.3 | \$3,358.9 |

14. SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Consolidated Balance Sheet Information

Accounts receivable, net, as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 consisted of the following (in millions):

| | 2009 | 2008 |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Trade | \$310.1 | \$483.5 |
| Other | 17.9 | 19.7 |
| | 328.0 | 503.2 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (3.4) | (20.5) |
| | \$324.6 | \$482.7 |

Other current assets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 consisted of the following (in millions):

| | 2009 | 2008 |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Inventory | \$ 53.1 | \$ 47.0 |
| Prepaid taxes | 39.6 | 16.4 |
| Deferred tax assets | 30.0 | 20.3 |
| Deferred mobilization costs | 29.0 | 24.4 |
| Prepaid expenses | 13.6 | 9.4 |
| Other | 21.5 | 11.1 |
| | \$186.8 | \$128.6 |

Other assets, net, as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 consisted of the following (in millions):

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| Prepaid taxes on intercompany transfers of property | \$ 99.0 | \$ 91.3 |
| Wreckage and debris removal receivables | 55.8 | 18.8 |
| Deferred mobilization costs | 23.7 | 23.1 |
| Supplemental executive retirement plan assets | 18.7 | 13.9 |
| Other | 23.2 | 10.4 |
| | <u>\$220.4</u> | <u>\$157.5</u> |

Accrued liabilities and other as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 consisted of the following (in millions):

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Taxes | \$ 97.3 | \$ 48.2 |
| Deferred revenue | 89.0 | 67.7 |
| Wreckage and debris removal | 50.3 | 15.0 |
| Personnel costs | 48.6 | 50.5 |
| Derivative liabilities | 1.1 | 25.8 |
| Other | 22.3 | 7.7 |
| | <u>\$308.6</u> | <u>\$214.9</u> |

Other liabilities as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 consisted of the following (in millions):

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| Deferred revenue | \$ 51.2 | \$ 34.4 |
| Unrecognized tax benefits (inclusive of interest and penalties) | 33.4 | 39.7 |
| Supplemental executive retirement plan liabilities | 21.0 | 13.9 |
| Other | 15.1 | 15.8 |
| | <u>\$120.7</u> | <u>\$103.8</u> |

Consolidated Statement of Income Information

Repair and maintenance expense related to continuing operations for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009 was as follows (in millions):

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Repair and maintenance expense | \$119.9 | \$112.3 | \$91.6 |

Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows Information

Cash paid for interest and income taxes for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009 was as follows (in millions):

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Interest, net of amounts capitalized | \$.1 | \$.5 | \$ 4.6 |
| Income taxes | 152.9 | 331.6 | 202.9 |

Capitalized interest totaled \$20.9 million, \$21.6 million and \$30.4 million during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Capital expenditure accruals totaling \$83.8 million, \$105.1 million and \$96.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, were excluded from investing activities in our consolidated statement of cash flows.

Concentration of Credit Risk

We are exposed to credit risk relating to our receivables from customers, our cash and cash equivalents and investments and our use of derivatives in connection with the management of foreign currency exchange rate risk. We minimize our credit risk relating to receivables from customers, which consist primarily of major international, government-owned and independent oil and gas companies, by performing ongoing credit evaluations. We also maintain reserves for potential credit losses, which to date have been within management's expectations. We minimize our credit risk relating to cash and investments by focusing on diversification and quality of instruments. Cash balances are maintained in major, highly-capitalized commercial banks. Cash equivalents consist of a portfolio of high-grade instruments. Custody of cash and cash equivalents is maintained at several major financial institutions, and we monitor the financial condition of those financial institutions. Substantially all of our investments were issued by state agencies and are substantially guaranteed by the U.S. government under FFELP. We minimize our credit risk relating to the counterparties of our derivatives by transacting with multiple, high-quality counterparties, thereby limiting exposure to individual counterparties, and by monitoring the financial condition of our counterparties.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, one customer provided \$249.6 million, or 13%, of consolidated revenues which were attributable to our Europe and Africa and Asia Pacific operating segments. During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, no customer provided more than 10% of consolidated revenues.

15. UNAUDITED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA

The following table summarizes our unaudited quarterly consolidated income statement data for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 (in millions, except per share amounts):

| <u>2009</u> | <u>First Quarter</u> | <u>Second Quarter</u> | <u>Third Quarter</u> | <u>Fourth Quarter</u> | <u>Year</u> |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| Operating revenues | \$484.2 | \$497.3 | \$408.9 | \$476.5 | \$1,866.9 |
| Operating expenses | | | | | |
| Contract drilling (exclusive of depreciation) | 154.6 | 171.2 | 177.0 | 193.0 | 695.8 |
| Depreciation | 43.8 | 45.8 | 49.9 | 52.5 | 192.0 |
| General and administrative | 12.0 | 16.0 | 13.6 | 22.4 | 64.0 |
| Operating income | 273.8 | 264.3 | 168.4 | 208.6 | 915.1 |
| Other income (expense), net | (4.3) | 6.9 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 8.8 |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | 269.5 | 271.2 | 172.0 | 211.2 | 923.9 |
| Provision for income taxes | 54.2 | 49.5 | 28.6 | 44.3 | 176.6 |
| Income from continuing operations | 215.3 | 221.7 | 143.4 | 166.9 | 747.3 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net | 6.8 | (20.3) | 7.4 | 43.3 | 37.2 |
| Net income | 222.1 | 201.4 | 150.8 | 210.2 | 784.5 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (1.4) | (1.1) | (1.1) | (1.5) | (5.1) |
| Net income attributable to Ensco | \$220.7 | \$200.3 | \$149.7 | \$208.7 | \$ 779.4 |
| <hr/> | | | | | |
| Earnings (loss) per share - basic | | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 1.51 | \$ 1.55 | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.16 | \$ 5.22 |
| Discontinued operations | .05 | (.14) | .05 | .30 | .26 |
| | \$ 1.56 | \$ 1.41 | \$ 1.05 | \$ 1.46 | \$ 5.48 |
| <hr/> | | | | | |
| Earnings (loss) per share - diluted | | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 1.51 | \$ 1.55 | \$ 1.00 | \$ 1.16 | \$ 5.22 |
| Discontinued operations | .05 | (.14) | .05 | .30 | .26 |
| | \$ 1.56 | \$ 1.41 | \$ 1.05 | \$ 1.46 | \$ 5.48 |

| <u>2008</u> | <u>First Quarter</u> | <u>Second Quarter</u> | <u>Third Quarter</u> | <u>Fourth Quarter</u> | <u>Year</u> |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| Operating revenues | \$521.0 | \$565.1 | \$569.4 | \$554.8 | \$2,210.3 |
| Operating expenses | | | | | |
| Contract drilling (exclusive of depreciation) | 166.5 | 189.5 | 174.6 | 174.8 | 705.4 |
| Depreciation | 42.5 | 43.4 | 43.5 | 43.9 | 173.3 |
| General and administrative | 12.7 | 13.8 | 15.2 | 12.1 | 53.8 |
| Operating income | 299.3 | 318.4 | 336.1 | 324.0 | 1,277.8 |
| Other income (expense), net | 4.5 | 6.8 | (6.5) | (9.0) | (4.2) |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | 303.8 | 325.2 | 329.6 | 315.0 | 1,273.6 |
| Provision for income taxes | 57.0 | 59.8 | 63.4 | 40.2 | 220.4 |
| Income from continuing operations | 246.8 | 265.4 | 266.2 | 274.8 | 1,053.2 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net | 26.9 | 32.5 | 17.5 | 26.6 | 103.5 |
| Net income | 273.7 | 297.9 | 283.7 | 301.4 | 1,156.7 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (1.7) | (1.2) | (1.4) | (1.6) | (5.9) |
| Net income attributable to Ensco | \$272.0 | \$296.7 | \$282.3 | \$299.8 | \$1,150.8 |
| | | | | | |
| Earnings per share - basic | | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 1.70 | \$ 1.83 | \$ 1.86 | \$ 1.93 | \$ 7.32 |
| Discontinued operations | .19 | .23 | .12 | .19 | .72 |
| | \$ 1.89 | \$ 2.06 | \$ 1.98 | \$ 2.12 | \$ 8.04 |
| | | | | | |
| Earnings per share - diluted | | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 1.70 | \$ 1.83 | \$ 1.85 | \$ 1.93 | \$ 7.30 |
| Discontinued operations | .18 | .22 | .12 | .18 | .72 |
| | \$ 1.88 | \$ 2.05 | \$ 1.97 | \$ 2.11 | \$ 8.02 |

16. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

During 2009, we adopted FASB ASC 855 (previously SFAS No. 165, "Subsequent Events") which establishes general standards regarding the accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. Adoption of this standard did not result in significant changes in the subsequent events that we are required to recognize or disclosure in our financial statements.

We account for and disclose events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. We evaluated subsequent events through February 25, 2010, the date these consolidated financial statements were filed with the SEC.

The following "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements included in "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data." Any references to Notes in the following "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" refer to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" (attached as Exhibit 99.1 to this Report).

As further discussed in Note 11 to our consolidated financial statements, our consolidated financial statements for all periods presented herein have been updated to reclassify ENSCO 57 as discontinued operations. This filing includes updates only to the portions of Item 6, Item 7 and Item 8 of the 2009 Form 10-K that specifically relate to the reclassification of ENSCO 57 as discontinued operations and does not otherwise modify or update any other disclosures set forth in the 2009 Form 10-K.

Item 7. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*

INTRODUCTION

Our Business

We are a leading provider of offshore contract drilling services to the oil and gas industry. We own and operate a fleet of 44 drilling rigs, including 39 jackup rigs, four ultra-deepwater semisubmersible rigs and one barge rig. We are concentrated in premium jackup rigs, but are currently in the process of developing a fleet of ultra-deepwater semisubmersible rigs, capable of drilling at depths of 8,500 feet or greater. Our 44 drilling rigs are located throughout the world and concentrated in the major geographic regions of Asia Pacific (which includes Asia, the Middle East and Australia), Europe and Africa, and North and South America.

We provide our drilling services to major international, government-owned and independent oil and gas companies on a "day rate" contract basis. Under day rate contracts, we provide a drilling rig and rig crews and receive a fixed amount per day for drilling a well. Our customers bear substantially all of the ancillary costs of constructing the well and supporting drilling operations, as well as the economic risk relative to the success of the well. Drilling contracts are, for the most part, awarded on a competitive bid basis. We do not provide "turnkey" or other risk-based drilling services.

During 2008, our revenues, operating income and net income reached record levels as a result of strong rig demand, high utilization and increased day rates in all geographic regions. The decline in oil and natural gas prices from their record highs reached during 2008 and the deterioration of the global economy resulted in significantly reduced levels of jackup rig demand during 2009. Accordingly, our jackup rig operating results declined substantially from record-high levels generated during 2008 due to a decline in utilization of our jackup rigs in all geographic regions.

Operating results in our Deepwater segment improved during 2009. ENSCO 7500 operated in Australia at a day rate of approximately \$550,000 for the majority of the year. ENSCO 8500 and ENSCO 8501 commenced operations in the Gulf of Mexico in June and October 2009, respectively. Additionally, ENSCO 8502 was delivered in January 2010 and is expected to commence operations in the Gulf of Mexico under a two-year drilling contract during the third quarter of 2010.

We also continued construction of ENSCO 8503, ENSCO 8504, ENSCO 8505 and ENSCO 8506. These rigs are scheduled for delivery during the fourth quarter of 2010, the second half of 2011 and the first and second half of 2012, respectively. We have funded our ultra-deepwater semisubmersible fleet expansion initiative with cash flows generated from continuing operations. We believe our strong balance sheet, including \$1,141.4 million of cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2009, and over \$2,900.0 million of contract backlog will enable us to sustain an adequate level of liquidity during 2010 and beyond.

Redomestication

On December 23, 2009, we completed a reorganization of the corporate structure of the group of companies controlled by our predecessor, EnSCO Delaware, pursuant to which an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary merged with EnSCO Delaware, and EnSCO plc became our publicly-held parent company. We are now incorporated under English law as a public limited company.

The redomestication changed EnSCO's corporate structure, which included a change of our place of incorporation from Delaware to the U.K. and the relocation of our principal executive offices to London, England. The redomestication, among other things, established a corporate headquarters in the U.K. where we already have substantial operations and which is more centrally located within our area of worldwide operations. The U.K. has a stable and developed legal regime with established standards of corporate governance, including provisions addressing the rights of shareholders, and a favorable tax regime that should improve our ability to maintain a competitive worldwide effective income tax rate, among other anticipated benefits. We expect that the reorganization will also result in operational and administrative efficiencies over the long-term and enhance our ability to expand in the U.K., Europe and beyond.

The redomestication was accounted for as an internal reorganization of entities under common control and, therefore, EnSCO Delaware's assets and liabilities were accounted for at their historical cost basis and not revalued in the transaction. We remain subject to SEC reporting requirements, the mandates of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the applicable corporate governance rules of the NYSE, and we will continue to report our consolidated financial results in U.S. dollars and in accordance with GAAP. We also must comply with additional reporting requirements of English law.

Our Industry

Historically, operating results in the offshore contract drilling industry have been cyclical and directly related to the demand for drilling rigs and the available supply of drilling rigs.

Drilling Rig Demand

Demand for rigs is directly related to the regional and worldwide levels of offshore exploration and development spending by oil and gas companies, which is beyond our control. Offshore exploration and development spending may fluctuate substantially from year-to-year and from region-to-region. Such spending fluctuations result from many factors, including:

- demand for oil and natural gas,
- regional and global economic conditions and changes therein,
- political, social and legislative environments in major oil-producing countries,
- production and inventory levels and related activities of OPEC and other oil and natural gas producers,
- technological advancements that impact the methods or cost of oil and natural gas exploration and development,
- disruption to exploration and development activities due to hurricanes and other severe weather conditions and the risk thereof, and
- the impact that these and other events, whether caused by economic conditions, international or national climate change regulations or other factors, may have on the current and expected future prices of oil and natural gas.

The significant decline in oil and natural gas prices during the latter half of 2008 and the general deterioration in the global economy led to an abrupt reduction in demand for jackup rigs during 2009. Although oil prices gradually improved throughout 2009, incremental drilling activity was limited. Day rates softened as contractors attempted to lock-in drilling programs and maintain their existing contract backlog amid growing concerns over oil and natural gas prices and pressure from operators to reduce day rates. While we are encouraged by the number of recent rig inquiries in certain markets, it remains unclear whether they will result in incremental jackup rig demand.

Demand for ultra-deepwater semisubmersible rigs remained stable during 2009 despite the decline in oil and natural gas prices from record highs and global economic concerns. Deepwater projects are typically more expensive and longer in duration than shallow-water jackup projects. Accordingly, deepwater operators tend to adopt a longer-term view of commodity prices and the global economy.

Since factors that affect offshore exploration and development spending are beyond our control and, because rig demand can change quickly, it is difficult for us to predict future industry conditions, demand trends or future operating results. Periods of low rig demand often result in excess rig supply, which generally results in reductions in utilization levels and day rates; periods of high rig demand often result in a shortage of rigs, which generally results in increased utilization levels and day rates.

Drilling Rig Supply

During recent periods of high demand for drilling rigs, various industry participants ordered the construction of over 175 new jackup and semisubmersible rigs, over 80 of which were delivered during the last three years.

Jackup rig supply continues to increase as a result of newbuild construction programs which were initiated prior to the 2008 decline in oil and natural gas prices and global economic crisis. It has been reported that 58 newbuild jackup rigs are currently under construction, half of which are scheduled for delivery during 2010. The majority of jackup rigs scheduled for delivery are not contracted.

Newbuild jackup rigs will likely reduce utilization and day rates as rigs are absorbed into the fleet, especially in light of current levels of jackup rig demand. We expect the Asia Pacific region to be impacted most by the delivery of newbuild jackup rigs, as a significant portion of rig construction is occurring in the Asia Pacific region. It is time consuming and expensive to move drilling rigs between markets in response to changes in supply and demand and, accordingly, the supply of rigs in the Asia Pacific region, or other regions where newbuild rigs are delivered, may not adjust quickly and could lead to sudden changes in utilization and day rates. It is unclear whether, or to what extent, other markets will be adversely affected by newbuild rigs.

Semisubmersible rig supply also continues to increase as a result of newbuild construction programs. It has been reported that 37 newbuild semisubmersible rigs are currently under construction, over half of which are scheduled for delivery during 2010. The majority of semisubmersible rigs scheduled for delivery are contracted. Based on the current level of demand for semisubmersible rigs, especially ultra-deepwater semisubmersible rigs, we anticipate that newbuild semisubmersible rigs will be absorbed into the market without a significant effect on utilization and day rates.

The limited availability of insurance for certain perils in some geographic regions and rig loss or damage due to hurricanes, blowouts, craterings, punchthroughs and other operational events may impact the supply of jackup or semisubmersible rigs in a particular market and cause fluctuations in utilization and day rates.

BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT

Deepwater

Demand for ultra-deepwater semisubmersible rigs on a worldwide basis exceeded supply resulting in high utilization levels and day rates during 2007 and 2008. Although lower oil and natural gas prices resulted in a modest decline in demand for ultra-deepwater semisubmersible rigs during 2009, utilization and day rates were generally stable.

The deepwater market is becoming increasingly bifurcated between the high-specification, ultra-deepwater rig market and the market for other deepwater rigs. We anticipate continued high utilization of the worldwide ultra-deepwater semisubmersible rig fleet for the foreseeable future. We expect operators to continue to upgrade their fleets to ultra-deepwater semisubmersible rigs during periods of moderating day rates and as new discoveries occur at deeper water depths. Future ultra-deepwater semisubmersible rig day rates will depend in large part on projected oil and natural gas prices and the global economy.

In addition to ENSCO 8500, which commenced a four-year drilling contract in June 2009, ENSCO 8501, which commenced a three-and-a-half-year drilling contract in October 2009, and ENSCO 8502, which was delivered in January 2010 and is expect to commence drilling under a two-year contract during the third quarter of 2010, we have four ENSCO 8500 Series® rigs under construction with scheduled delivery dates during the fourth quarter of 2010, the second half of 2011 and the first and second half of 2012. ENSCO 8503 has secured a long-term drilling contract in the Gulf of Mexico. The remaining ENSCO 8500 Series® rigs under construction are without contracts. Our ENSCO 7500 ultra-deepwater semisubmersible rig is currently operating under contract in Australia.

Asia Pacific

During 2007, demand for Asia Pacific jackup rigs exceeded the supply of available rigs resulting in high utilization levels and increasing day rates. During the first half of 2008, Asia Pacific jackup rig utilization remained high and day rates stabilized as strong rig demand was offset by new rig deliveries. During the latter half of 2008, jackup rig demand was significantly impacted by the decline in oil and natural gas prices and global economic crisis, resulting in a significant reduction in utilization and day rates during 2009. With limited contract opportunities currently available and an expected increase in the supply of available jackup rigs from newbuild deliveries and expiring drilling contracts, we anticipate that Asia Pacific jackup rig utilization and day rates will remain under pressure in the near-term.

Europe and Africa

Our Europe and Africa offshore drilling operations are mainly conducted in northern Europe. During 2007, a strong backlog of firm commitments and contract extension options in northern Europe resulted in little or no jackup rig availability. This supply and demand imbalance resulted in near full utilization and a substantial increase in day rates. During 2008, shortfalls in rig availability in this region led to sustained high utilization levels and day rates. Although utilization and day rates remained high during early 2009, the decline in oil and natural gas prices during the latter half of 2008 resulted in several cancelled tenders and unexercised contract extension options. Tender activity in the region during 2009 was minimal, and we expect this trend to continue in the near-term. We anticipate this market will experience excess rig availability and utilization and day rates will remain under pressure as a significant portion of the North Sea jackup fleet is scheduled to roll-off existing contracts in the coming months.

North and South America

The majority of our North and South America offshore drilling operations are conducted in Mexico, where demand for rigs increased during 2007 and 2008 as Petróleos Mexicanos ("PEMEX"), the national oil company of Mexico, accelerated drilling activities in an attempt to offset continued depletion of its major oil and natural gas fields. During 2009, demand for jackup rigs in Mexico remained high despite global economic conditions. A significant number of PEMEX jackup rig contracts expire during 2010, and PEMEX may extend these contracts and/or tender for incremental rigs. We expect future day rates in Mexico to face pressure as drilling contractors with idle rigs in other geographic regions pursue the available contract opportunities.

We also conduct a portion of our North and South America jackup operations in the Gulf of Mexico. During 2007, oil and gas companies continued to shift their focus to more economically attractive prospects in the deeper waters of the Gulf of Mexico and elsewhere resulting in a decline in utilization and day rates. During 2008, damage caused by Hurricanes Gustav and Ike reduced the supply of available jackup rigs, however, the reduction was more than offset by a decrease in demand resulting from the decline in oil and natural gas prices and global economic crisis. The region's jackup market remained extremely weak during 2009 with drilling activity reaching historic lows during later portions of the year. Although it is likely that drilling activity in this region will increase during 2010, we do not expect meaningful improvement in day rates in the near-term.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table summarizes our consolidated operating results for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009 (in millions):

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Revenues | \$1,866.9 | \$2,210.3 | \$1,894.0 |
| Operating expenses | | | |
| Contract drilling (exclusive of depreciation) | 695.8 | 705.4 | 605.4 |
| Depreciation | 192.0 | 173.3 | 166.1 |
| General and administrative | 64.0 | 53.8 | 59.5 |
| Operating income | 915.1 | 1,277.8 | 1,063.0 |
| Other income (expense), net | 8.8 | (4.2) | 37.8 |
| Provision for income taxes | 176.6 | 220.4 | 234.6 |
| Income from continuing operations | 747.3 | 1,053.2 | 866.2 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net | 37.2 | 103.5 | 132.7 |
| Net income | 784.5 | 1,156.7 | 998.9 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (5.1) | (5.9) | (6.9) |
| Net income attributable to Ensco | \$ 779.4 | \$1,150.8 | \$ 992.0 |

During 2009, revenues declined by \$343.4 million, or 16%, and operating income declined by \$362.7 million, or 28%, as compared to the prior year. The revenue and operating income declines were primarily due to a decline in jackup rig utilization in all geographic regions, partially offset by the commencement of ENSCO 8500 and ENSCO 8501 drilling operations and an increase in average day rates earned by our jackup rigs contracted in Mexico and ENSCO 7500.

During 2008, revenues increased by \$316.3 million, or 17%, and operating income increased by \$214.8 million, or 20%, as compared to the prior year. The increases were primarily due to improved average day rates earned by our Asia Pacific and Europe and Africa jackup rigs and ENSCO 7500 and improved utilization of our Gulf of Mexico jackup rigs. The increase in operating income was partially offset by increased personnel costs and repair and maintenance expense across the majority of our fleet.

A significant number of our drilling contracts are of a long-term nature. Accordingly, the effects of a decline in demand for contract drilling services on our operating results and cash flows typically occurs gradually over several quarters as long-term contracts expire. The significant decline in oil and natural gas prices and the deterioration of the global economy resulted in a dramatic decline in demand for contract drilling services during the later portions of 2008 and 2009, which will negatively impact our operating results and cash flows during 2010. While we have contract backlog of over \$1,300.0 million for 2010, it is unlikely that revenue, operating income and cash flow levels achieved during 2009 will be sustained during 2010.

Rig Locations, Utilization and Average Day Rates

As discussed below, we manage our business through four operating segments. However, our rigs are mobile and our jackup rigs occasionally move between our geographic region segments. The following table summarizes our offshore drilling rigs by segment as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007:

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Deepwater ⁽¹⁾ | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Asia Pacific | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| Europe and Africa | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| North and South America | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| Under construction ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | 5 | 6 | 4 |
| Total ⁽³⁾ | 48 | 48 | 45 |

(1) In June 2009, we accepted delivery of ENSCO 8501, which commenced drilling operations in the Gulf of Mexico under a three-and-a-half year contract in October 2009. In September 2008, we accepted delivery of ENSCO 8500, which commenced drilling operations in the Gulf of Mexico under a four-year contract in June 2009.

(2) During 2008, we entered into agreements to construct ENSCO 8504, ENSCO 8505 and ENSCO 8506 with deliveries expected during the second half of 2011 and the first and second half of 2012, respectively.

(3) The total number of rigs for each period excludes rigs reclassified as discontinued operations. ENSCO 50, ENSCO 51 and ENSCO 57 have been reclassified as discontinued operations and, therefore, excluded from the table.

The following table summarizes our rig utilization and average day rates from continuing operations by operating segment for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009:

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| <u>Rig utilization</u> ⁽¹⁾ | | | |
| Deepwater | 85% | 95% | 97% |
| Asia Pacific ⁽³⁾ | 74% | 95% | 99% |
| Europe and Africa | 77% | 96% | 93% |
| North and South America | 67% | 97% | 77% |
| Total | 73% | 96% | 90% |
| <u>Average day rates</u> ⁽²⁾ | | | |
| Deepwater | \$425,190 | \$334,688 | \$199,432 |
| Asia Pacific ⁽³⁾ | 142,894 | 148,214 | 127,368 |
| Europe and Africa | 198,595 | 221,164 | 198,551 |
| North and South America | 119,951 | 98,166 | 107,147 |
| Total | \$163,043 | \$153,745 | \$141,915 |

(1) Rig utilization is derived by dividing the number of days under contract, including days associated with compensated mobilizations, by the number of days in the period.

(2) Average day rates are derived by dividing contract drilling revenues, adjusted to exclude certain types of non-recurring reimbursable revenues and lump sum revenues, by the aggregate number of contract days, adjusted to exclude contract days associated with certain mobilizations, demobilizations, shipyard contracts and standby contracts.

(3) Rig utilization and average day rates for the Asia Pacific operating segment include our jackup rigs only. The ENSCO I barge rig has been excluded.

Detailed explanations of our operating results, including discussions of revenues, contract drilling expense and depreciation expense by operating segment, are provided below.

Operating Income

We are in the process of developing a fleet of ultra-deepwater semisubmersible rigs and established a separate business unit to manage our deepwater operations during 2008. Our jackup rigs and barge rig are managed by major geographic region. Accordingly, our business consists of four operating segments: (1) Deepwater, (2) Asia Pacific, (3) Europe and Africa and (4) North and South America. Each of our four operating segments provides one service, contract drilling.

The following tables summarize our operating income for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009. General and administrative expense and depreciation expense incurred by our corporate office are not allocated to our operating segments for purposes of measuring segment operating income and were included in "Reconciling Items."

Year Ended December 31, 2009
(in millions)

| | <u>Deepwater</u> | <u>Asia Pacific</u> | <u>Europe and Africa</u> | <u>North and South America</u> | <u>Operating Segments Total</u> | <u>Reconciling Items</u> | <u>Consolidated Total</u> |
|---|------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Revenues | \$254.1 | \$645.0 | \$569.1 | \$398.7 | \$1,866.9 | \$ -- | \$1,866.9 |
| Operating expenses | | | | | | | |
| Contract drilling (exclusive of depreciation) | 108.1 | 219.3 | 208.8 | 159.6 | 695.8 | -- | 695.8 |
| Depreciation | 22.2 | 74.1 | 44.5 | 49.9 | 190.7 | 1.3 | 192.0 |
| General and administrative | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 64.0 | 64.0 |
| Operating income | \$123.8 | \$351.6 | \$315.8 | \$189.2 | \$ 980.4 | \$(65.3) | \$ 915.1 |

Year Ended December 31, 2008
(in millions)

| | <u>Deepwater</u> | <u>Asia Pacific</u> | <u>Europe and Africa</u> | <u>North and South America</u> | <u>Operating Segments Total</u> | <u>Reconciling Items</u> | <u>Consolidated Total</u> |
|---|------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Revenues | \$ 84.4 | \$869.6 | \$804.1 | \$452.2 | \$2,210.3 | \$ -- | \$2,210.3 |
| Operating expenses | | | | | | | |
| Contract drilling (exclusive of depreciation) | 31.2 | 269.4 | 246.7 | 158.1 | 705.4 | -- | 705.4 |
| Depreciation | 9.1 | 72.0 | 43.0 | 47.3 | 171.4 | 1.9 | 173.3 |
| General and administrative | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 53.8 | 53.8 |
| Operating income | \$ 44.1 | \$528.2 | \$514.4 | \$246.8 | \$1,333.5 | \$(55.7) | \$1,277.8 |

Year Ended December 31, 2007
(in millions)

| | <u>Deepwater</u> | <u>Asia Pacific</u> | <u>Europe and Africa</u> | <u>North and South America</u> | <u>Operating Segments Total</u> | <u>Reconciling Items</u> | <u>Consolidated Total</u> |
|---|------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Revenues | \$ 72.8 | \$748.5 | \$670.8 | \$401.9 | \$1,894.0 | \$ -- | \$1,894.0 |
| Operating expenses | | | | | | | |
| Contract drilling (exclusive of depreciation) | 28.8 | 226.3 | 208.4 | 141.9 | 605.4 | -- | 605.4 |
| Depreciation | 9.3 | 69.7 | 40.4 | 42.6 | 162.0 | 4.1 | 166.1 |
| General and administrative | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 59.5 | 59.5 |
| Operating income | \$ 34.7 | \$452.5 | \$422.0 | \$217.4 | \$1,126.6 | \$(63.6) | \$1,063.0 |

Deepwater

During 2009, Deepwater revenues increased by \$169.7 million as compared to the prior year. The increase in revenues was due to the commencement of ENSCO 8500 and ENSCO 8501 drilling operations, an increase in the day rate earned by ENSCO 7500 and the recognition of ENSCO 7500 mobilization revenues deferred during the rig's mobilization to Australia. In October 2008, we amended the existing ENSCO 7500 drilling contract and agreed to relocate the rig to Australia where we commenced drilling operations in April 2009 at a day rate of approximately \$550,000. Revenues earned during the mobilization period were deferred and are being recognized ratably over the firm commitment period of the contract. The aforementioned revenue increases were partially offset by the deferral of ENSCO 7500 revenues during the rig's mobilization to Australia during the first quarter of 2009. Contract drilling expense increased by \$76.9 million as compared to the prior year due to the commencement of ENSCO 8500 and ENSCO 8501 drilling operations, ENSCO 7500 mobilization expense and incremental expenses associated with operating ENSCO 7500 in Australia as compared to the Gulf of Mexico. Depreciation expense increased by \$13.1 million primarily due to ENSCO 8500 and ENSCO 8501 as noted above.

During 2008, Deepwater revenues increased by \$11.6 million, or 16%, as compared to the prior year. The increase in revenues was primarily due to a 68% increase in the ENSCO 7500 average day rate, partially offset by the deferral of ENSCO 7500 revenues during the fourth quarter of 2008 when the rig commenced mobilization to Australia as noted above. Contract drilling expense increased by \$2.4 million, or 8%, as compared to the prior year, primarily due to increased personnel costs and repair and maintenance expense, partially offset by the deferral of costs during the ENSCO 7500 mobilization. The increase in personnel costs was due to the staffing of an office in Houston, Texas during 2008 to support our newly-established Deepwater business unit and increased ENSCO 7500 staffing levels to facilitate training in preparation for delivery of our ENSCO 8500 Series® rigs.

Asia Pacific

During 2009, Asia Pacific revenues declined by \$224.6 million, or 26%, as compared to the prior year. The decline in revenues was primarily due to a decline in utilization to 74% from 95% during the prior year. The decline in utilization occurred due to lower levels of spending by oil and gas companies, coupled with excess rig availability in the region. Contract drilling expense declined by \$50.1 million, or 19%, as compared to the prior year, primarily due to the impact of lower utilization and a decline in repair and maintenance expense. Depreciation expense increased by 3% as compared to the prior year, primarily due to the ENSCO 53 capital enhancement project completed during the second quarter of 2009 and depreciation on minor upgrades and improvements to our Asia Pacific fleet completed during 2008 and 2009.

During 2008, Asia Pacific revenues increased by \$121.1 million, or 16%, as compared to the prior year. The increase in revenues was primarily due to a 16% increase in jackup rig average day rates and the increased size of our Asia Pacific fleet, partially offset by a decline in jackup rig utilization to 95% from 99% during the prior year. The increase in average day rates resulted from stronger demand due to higher levels of spending by oil and gas companies coupled with limited rig availability in the region. The addition of ENSCO 108 to the fleet during the first quarter of 2007 resulted in an additional \$28.1 million of revenues and \$4.8 million of contract drilling expense during 2008 as compared to the prior year. The decline in utilization was the result of scheduled maintenance projects on ENSCO 53, ENSCO 54, ENSCO 56 and ENSCO 96. Contract drilling expense increased by \$43.1 million, or 19%, as compared to the prior year, primarily due to increased personnel costs and increased repair and maintenance expense associated with the aforementioned maintenance projects and, to a lesser extent, the addition of ENSCO 108 to the fleet. Depreciation expense increased by 3% as compared to the prior year. The increase was primarily attributable to depreciation associated with ENSCO 108, depreciation associated with ENSCO 96 and ENSCO 104 capital enhancement projects completed during the fourth quarter of 2007 and depreciation on minor upgrades and improvements to our Asia Pacific fleet completed during 2007 and 2008.

Europe and Africa

During 2009, Europe and Africa revenues declined by \$235.0 million, or 29%, as compared to the prior year. The decline in revenues was primarily due to a decline in utilization to 77% from 96% during the prior year. The decline in utilization occurred due to lower levels of spending by oil and gas companies. Contract drilling expense declined by \$37.9 million, or 15%, as compared to the prior year, due to a decline in mobilization expense and the impact of lower utilization. Depreciation expense increased by 3% as compared to the prior year due to depreciation on minor upgrades and improvements to our Europe and Africa fleet completed during 2008 and 2009.

During 2008, Europe and Africa revenues increased by \$133.3 million, or 20%, as compared to the prior year. The increase in revenues was primarily attributable to an 11% increase in average day rates, an increase in utilization to 96% from 93% during the prior year and the relocation of ENSCO 105 to the region. The increase in average day rates was attributable to limited rig availability in the region coupled with improved demand resulting from increased spending by oil and gas companies. The increase in utilization was primarily due to the mobilization of ENSCO 100 from Nigeria to the North Sea during 2007. The relocation of ENSCO 105 to the Europe and Africa region during the second quarter of 2007 contributed an additional \$30.5 million of revenues and \$9.0 million of contract drilling expense as compared to the prior year. Contract drilling expense increased by \$38.3 million, or 18%, as compared to the prior year, primarily due to increased mobilization and repair and maintenance expense, the addition of ENSCO 105 to the fleet and increased personnel costs, partially offset by a reduction in reimbursable expense. Depreciation expense increased by 6% as compared to the prior year. The increase was primarily attributable to depreciation associated with the ENSCO 85 capital enhancement project completed during the first quarter of 2008, depreciation associated with ENSCO 105 and depreciation on minor upgrades and improvements to our Europe and Africa fleet completed during 2007 and 2008.

North and South America

During 2009, North and South America revenues declined by \$53.5 million, or 12%, as compared to the prior year. The decline in revenues was primarily due to a decline in utilization to 67% from 97% during the prior year, partially offset by a 22% increase in average day rates. The decline in utilization occurred due to lower levels of spending by oil and gas companies in the Gulf of Mexico. The increase in average day rates was largely due to the relocation of ENSCO 83, ENSCO 89, ENSCO 93 and ENSCO 98 to Mexico and ENSCO 68 to Venezuela, where day rates are generally higher than the Gulf of Mexico. Contract drilling expense increased by 1% as compared to the prior year, due to incremental expenses associated with operating in Mexico and Venezuela as compared to the Gulf of Mexico and an increase in mobilization and repair and maintenance expense, partially offset by the impact of lower utilization. Depreciation expense increased by 5% as compared to the prior year, primarily due to ENSCO 89 and ENSCO 93 capital enhancement projects completed during the second quarter of 2009, the ENSCO 98 capital enhancement project completed during the third quarter of 2009 and depreciation on minor upgrades and improvements to our North and South America fleet completed during 2008 and 2009.

During 2008, North and South America revenues increased by \$50.3 million, or 13%, as compared to the prior year. The increase in revenues was primarily due to an increase in utilization to 97% from 77% during the prior year, partially offset by an 8% decline in average day rates. The increase in utilization was attributable to reduced rig supply in the Gulf of Mexico, as drilling contractors mobilized rigs to other regions, and an increase in customer demand. Although we realized day rate increases on new contracts during the majority of 2008, day rates earned during 2008 were generally lower than day rates earned during early 2007. The increase in revenues was also partially offset by ENSCO 105, which generated \$7.1 million of revenues and \$2.1 million of contract drilling expense during the first quarter of 2007 prior to relocation from the region. Contract drilling expense increased by \$16.2 million, or 11%, as compared to the prior year, primarily due to increased personnel costs and the impact of increased utilization, partially offset by a decline in mobilization expense and the relocation of ENSCO 105 during 2007. Depreciation expense increased by 11% as compared to the prior year. The increase was primarily attributable to depreciation associated with the ENSCO 83 and ENSCO 93 capital enhancement projects completed during the second quarter of 2007 and first quarter of 2008, respectively, and depreciation on minor upgrades and improvements to our North and South America fleet completed during 2007 and 2008, partially offset by the reduced size of our North and South America fleet.

Other

During 2009, general and administrative expense increased by \$10.2 million, or 19%, as compared to the prior year. The increase was primarily attributable to \$7.6 million of professional fees incurred in connection with our redomestication during the fourth quarter of 2009 and a \$1.9 million expense incurred in connection with a separation agreement with our former Senior Vice President of Operations.

During 2008, general and administrative expense declined by \$5.7 million, or 10%, as compared to the prior year. The decline was primarily attributable to an \$11.3 million expense incurred during the prior year in connection with a retirement agreement with our former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, partially offset by increased professional fees and personnel costs and costs associated with our branding initiative.

Other Income (Expense), Net

The following table summarizes other income (expense), net, for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009 (in millions):

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|------------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Interest income | \$ 2.2 | \$ 14.0 | \$ 26.3 |
| Interest expense, net: | | | |
| Interest expense | (20.9) | (21.6) | (32.3) |
| Capitalized interest | 20.9 | 21.6 | 30.4 |
| | -- | -- | (1.9) |
| Other, net | 6.6 | (18.2) | 13.4 |
| | <u>\$ 8.8</u> | <u>\$ (4.2)</u> | <u>\$ 37.8</u> |

During 2009 and 2008, interest income declined as compared to their respective prior years due to lower average interest rates, partially offset by an increase in cash balances invested. Interest expense declined during 2009 and 2008 as compared to their respective prior years due to a decline in outstanding debt. All interest expense incurred during 2009 and 2008 was capitalized in connection with the construction of our ENSCO 8500 Series® rigs.

A portion of the revenues earned and expenses incurred by our non-U.S. subsidiaries are denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar ("foreign currencies"). These transactions are remeasured in U.S. dollars based on a combination of both current and historical exchange rates. Other, net, included \$2.6 million of net foreign currency exchange gains, \$10.4 million of net foreign currency exchange losses and \$9.2 million of net foreign currency exchange gains during 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Other, net, also included net unrealized gains of \$1.8 million and unrealized losses of \$8.1 million associated with the valuation of our auction rate securities during 2009 and 2008, respectively. The fair value measurement of our auction rate securities is discussed in Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements. During 2007, other, net, included a \$3.1 million net gain resulting from the settlement of litigation we initiated in relation to a non-operational dispute with a third party service provider.

Provision for Income Taxes

Income tax rates imposed in the tax jurisdictions in which our subsidiaries conduct operations vary, as does the tax base to which the rates are applied. In some cases, tax rates may be applicable to gross revenues, statutory or negotiated deemed profits or other bases utilized under local tax laws, rather than to net income. In addition, our drilling rigs frequently move from one tax jurisdiction to another. As a result, our consolidated effective income tax rate may vary substantially from one reporting period to another, depending on the relative components of our earnings generated in tax jurisdictions with higher tax rates or lower tax rates.

Income tax expense was \$176.6 million, \$220.4 million and \$234.6 million and our effective tax rate was 19.1%, 17.3% and 21.3% during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The increase in our 2009 effective tax rate as compared to the prior year was primarily related to an \$8.8 million non-recurring current income tax expense incurred in connection with certain restructuring activities undertaken immediately following our redomestication in December 2009. Excluding the impact from this non-recurring item, our 2009 effective tax rate was 18.2%, generally consistent with the prior year. The decline in our 2008 effective tax rate as compared to the prior year was primarily due to an increase in earnings generated by our non-U.S. subsidiaries whose earnings are being indefinitely reinvested and taxed at lower rates.

Discontinued Operations

Rig Sales

In recent years we have focused on the expansion of our ultra-deepwater semisubmersible rig fleet and high-grading our premium jackup fleet. Accordingly, we sold jackup rig ENSCO 57 in April 2010 for \$47.1 million, of which two deposits of \$4.7 million each were received in December 2009 and March 2010, respectively. In March 2010, we sold jackup rigs ENSCO 50 and ENSCO 51 for an aggregate \$94.7 million, of which a deposit of \$4.7 million was received in December 2009. ENSCO 50, ENSCO 51 and ENSCO 57 operating results were reclassified as discontinued operations in our consolidated statements of income for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009. See Note 11 to our consolidated financial statements for additional information on the sale of ENSCO 50, ENSCO 51 and ENSCO 57.

ENSCO 69

From May 2007 to June 2009, ENSCO 69 was contracted to Petrosucre, a subsidiary of Petróleos de Venezuela S.A., the national oil company of Venezuela ("PDVSA"). During portions of 2008 and 2009, PDVSA subsidiaries reportedly lacked funds and generally were not paying their contractors and service providers. In January 2009, we suspended drilling operations on ENSCO 69 after Petrosucre failed to satisfy its contractual obligations and meet commitments relative to the payment of past due invoices. Petrosucre then took over complete control of ENSCO 69 drilling operations utilizing Petrosucre employees and a portion of the Venezuelan rig crews we had utilized. Petrosucre initially advised us that it was temporarily taking over operations on the rig, and our supervisory rig personnel remained onboard to observe Petrosucre's operations.

On June 4, 2009, after Petrosucre's failure to satisfy its contractual payment obligations, failure to reach a mutually acceptable agreement with us and denial of our request to demobilize ENSCO 69 from Venezuela, Petrosucre advised that it would not return the rig and would continue to operate it without our consent. Petrosucre further advised that it would release ENSCO 69 after a six-month period, subject to a mutually agreed accord addressing the resolution of all remaining obligations under the ENSCO 69 drilling contract. On June 6, 2009, we terminated our contract with Petrosucre and removed all remaining EnSCO employees from the rig.

Due to Petrosucre's failure to satisfy its contractual obligations and meet payment commitments, and in consideration of the Venezuelan government's recent nationalization of assets owned by international oil and gas companies and oilfield service companies, we concluded it was remote that ENSCO 69 would be returned to us by Petrosucre and operated again by Ensco. Therefore, we recorded the disposal of ENSCO 69 during the second quarter of 2009 and recognized a pre-tax loss of \$18.1 million representing the rig's net book value of \$17.3 million and inventory and other assets totaling \$800,000. The disposal was classified as loss on disposal of discontinued operations, net, in our consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2009. ENSCO 69 operating results were reclassified as discontinued operations in our consolidated statements of income for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009.

In November 2009, we executed an agreement with Petrosucre to mitigate our losses and resolve issues relative to outstanding amounts owed by Petrosucre for drilling operations performed by Ensco through the date of termination of the drilling contract in June 2009 (the "agreement"). Although ENSCO 69 will continue to be fully controlled and operated by Petrosucre, the agreement also requires Petrosucre to compensate us for its ongoing use of the rig. We recognized \$33.1 million of pre-tax income from discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2009 associated with collections under the agreement, consisting of \$21.2 million of revenues from Petrosucre's use of the rig during 2009 and \$11.9 million from the release of bad debt provisions recorded during 2008.

Although the agreement obligates Petrosucre to make additional payments during 2010 for its use of the rig during 2009, the associated income was not recognized in our consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2009, as collectability was not reasonably assured. There can be no assurances relative to the recovery of outstanding contract entitlements, insurance recovery, the return of ENSCO 69 to us by Petrosucre or the imposition of customs duties in relation to the rig's ongoing presence in Venezuela. See Note 12 to our consolidated financial statements for additional information on insurance recovery and legal remedies related to ENSCO 69.

ENSCO 74

In September 2008, ENSCO 74 was lost as a result of Hurricane Ike. Portions of its legs remained underwater adjacent to the customer's platform, and we conducted extensive aerial and sonar reconnaissance but did not locate the rig hull. In March 2009, the sunken hull of ENSCO 74 was located approximately 95 miles from the original drilling location when it was struck by an oil tanker. The rig was a total loss, as defined under the terms of our insurance policies. The operating results of ENSCO 74 were reclassified as discontinued operations in our consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007. See Note 11 and Note 12 to our consolidated financial statements for additional information on the loss of ENSCO 74 and associated contingencies.

The following table summarizes income from discontinued operations for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009 (in millions):

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Revenues | \$105.0 | \$276.3 | \$249.8 |
| Operating expenses | 46.7 | 119.5 | 90.0 |
| Operating income before income taxes | 58.3 | 156.8 | 159.8 |
| Income tax expense | 9.3 | 29.8 | 27.1 |
| Loss on disposal of discontinued operations, net | (11.8) | (23.5) | -- |
| Income from discontinued operations | \$ 37.2 | \$103.5 | \$132.7 |

Fair Value Measurements

Our auction rate securities were measured at fair value as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 using significant Level 3 inputs.

As a result of continued auction failures, quoted prices for our auction rate securities did not exist as of December 31, 2009 and, accordingly, we concluded that Level 1 inputs were not available. We determined that use of a valuation model was the best available technique for measuring the fair value of our auction rate securities. We used an income approach valuation model to estimate the price that would be received in exchange for our auction rate securities in an orderly transaction between market participants ("exit price") as of December 31, 2009. The exit price was derived as the weighted-average present value of expected cash flows over various periods of illiquidity, using a risk-adjusted discount rate that was based on the credit risk and liquidity risk of our auction rate securities.

While our valuation model was based on both Level 2 (credit quality and interest rates) and Level 3 inputs, we determined that Level 3 inputs were significant to the overall fair value measurement, particularly the estimates of risk-adjusted discount rates and ranges of expected periods of illiquidity. We reviewed these inputs to our valuation model, evaluated the results and performed sensitivity analysis on key assumptions. Based on our review, we concluded that the fair value measurement of our auction rate securities as of December 31, 2009 was appropriate.

Based on the results of our fair value measurements, we recognized net unrealized gains of \$1.8 million and unrealized losses of \$8.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Unrealized gains and losses on our auction rate securities were included in other income (expense), net, in our consolidated statements of income. The carrying values of our auction rate securities, classified as long-term investments on our consolidated balance sheets, were \$60.5 million and \$64.2 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. We anticipate realizing the \$66.8 million (par value) of our auction rate securities on the basis that we intend to hold them until they are redeemed, repurchased or sold in a market that facilitates orderly transactions.

Auction rate securities measured at fair value using significant Level 3 inputs constituted 65% of our assets measured at fair value and less than 1% of our total assets as of December 31, 2009. See Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements for additional information on our fair value measurements.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Although our business has historically been very cyclical, we have relied on our cash flows from continuing operations to meet liquidity needs and fund the majority of our cash requirements. We have maintained a strong financial position through the disciplined and conservative use of debt. A substantial portion of our cash flow is invested in the expansion and enhancement of our fleet of drilling rigs in general and construction of our ENSCO 8500 Series® rigs in particular.

During the three-year period ended December 31, 2009, our primary source of cash was an aggregate \$3,267.4 million generated from continuing operations. Our primary uses of cash during the same period included an aggregate \$2,139.1 million for the construction, enhancement and other improvement of our drilling rigs, including \$1,614.6 million invested in the construction of our ENSCO 8500 Series® rigs, and \$793.8 million for the repurchase of our shares.

Detailed explanations of our liquidity and capital resources for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009 are set forth below.

Cash Flows and Capital Expenditures

Our cash flows from continuing operations and capital expenditures on continuing operations for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009 were as follows (in millions):

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Cash flows from continuing operations | \$1,165.1 | \$1,006.2 | \$1,096.1 |
| Capital expenditures on continuing operations: | | | |
| New rig construction | \$ 623.4 | \$ 651.5 | \$ 367.7 |
| Rig enhancements | 153.0 | 33.7 | 65.0 |
| Minor upgrades and improvements | 81.3 | 78.9 | 84.6 |
| | <u>\$ 857.7</u> | <u>\$ 764.1</u> | <u>\$ 517.3</u> |

During 2009, cash flows from continuing operations increased by \$158.9 million, or 16%, as compared to the prior year. The increase resulted primarily from a \$187.6 million decline in tax payments and a \$77.8 million decline in our investment in trading securities, offset by an \$84.0 million decline in cash receipts from contract drilling services and \$11.0 million decline in cash received from interest income.

During 2008, cash flows from continuing operations declined by \$89.9 million, or 8%, as compared to the prior year. The decline resulted primarily from a \$72.3 million net investment in trading securities, a \$148.4 million increase in cash payments related to contract drilling expenses and a \$135.4 million increase in cash payments related to income taxes, partially offset by a \$265.7 million increase in cash receipts from contract drilling services.

We continue to expand the size and quality of our drilling rig fleet. During the three-year period ended December 31, 2009, we invested \$1,642.6 million in the construction of new drilling rigs and an additional \$251.7 million upgrading the capability and extending the useful lives of our existing fleet. In addition to ENSCO 8500, which was delivered in September 2008 and commenced a four-year drilling contract in June 2009, and ENSCO 8501, which was delivered in June 2009 and commenced a three-and-a-half-year drilling contract in October 2009, ENSCO 8502 was delivered in January 2010 and is expect to commence drilling operations under a two-year contract during the third quarter of 2010. We also added ENSCO 108, a new high-specification jackup rig, to our fleet during 2007.

We have four ENSCO 8500 Series® ultra-deepwater semisubmersible rigs under construction with scheduled delivery dates during the fourth quarter of 2010, the second half of 2011 and the first and second half of 2012. ENSCO 8503 has secured a long-term drilling contract in the Gulf of Mexico, while the other three ENSCO 8500 Series® rigs under construction are currently without contracts.

Based on our current projections, we expect capital expenditures during 2010 to include approximately \$610.0 million for construction of our ENSCO 8500 Series® rigs, approximately \$30.0 million for rig enhancement projects and \$100.0 million for minor upgrades and improvements. Depending on market conditions and opportunities, we may make additional capital expenditures to upgrade rigs for customer requirements and construct or acquire additional rigs.

Financing and Capital Resources

Our long-term debt, total capital and long-term debt to total capital ratios as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 are summarized below (in millions, except percentages):

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Long-term debt | \$ 257.2 | \$ 274.3 | \$ 291.4 |
| Total capital * | 5,756.4 | 4,951.2 | 4,043.4 |
| Long-term debt to total capital | 4.5% | 5.5% | 7.2% |

Total capital includes long-term debt plus Ensco shareholders' equity.

*

We have a \$350.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility (the "Credit Facility") with a syndicate of banks. We had no amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007. Advances under the Credit Facility bear interest at LIBOR plus an applicable margin rate (currently .35% per annum), depending on our credit rating. We pay a facility fee (currently .10% per annum) on the total \$350.0 million commitment, which is also based on our credit rating, and pay an additional utilization fee on outstanding advances if such advances equal or exceed 50% of the total \$350.0 million commitment. We are currently in discussions with multiple banks regarding a new line of credit to replace the Credit Facility upon expiration in June 2010. We currently maintain an investment grade credit rating of Baa1 from Moody's Investor's Service and BBB+ from Standard & Poor's Ratings Service.

We filed a Form S-3 Registration Statement with the SEC on January 13, 2009, which provides us the ability to issue debt and/or equity securities. The registration statement was immediately effective and expires in January 2012.

As of December 31, 2009, we had an aggregate \$125.5 million outstanding under two separate bond issues guaranteed by the United States of America, acting by and through the United States Department of Transportation, Maritime Administration ("MARAD"), that require semiannual principal and interest payments. We also make semiannual interest payments on \$150.0 million of 7.20% debentures due in 2027. See Note 4 to our consolidated financial statements for more information on our long-term debt.

The Board of Directors previously authorized the repurchase of up to \$1,500.0 million of our shares. From inception of our share repurchase programs during 2006 through December 31, 2008, we repurchased an aggregate 16.5 million shares at a cost of \$937.6 million (an average cost of \$56.79 per share). No shares were repurchased under the share repurchase programs during 2009. In December 2009, in conjunction with the redomestication, the remaining repurchase authorization was extended authorizing management to repurchase up to \$562.4 million of ADSs from time to time pursuant to share repurchase agreements with two investment banks. Although such amount remained available for repurchase as of December 31, 2009, the Company will not repurchase any shares without further consultation with and approval by the Board of Directors of Ensco plc.

Contractual Obligations

We have various contractual commitments related to our new rig construction agreements, long-term debt and operating leases. We expect to fund these commitments from our existing cash and cash equivalents and future operating cash flows. The actual timing of our new rig construction payments may vary based on the completion of various construction milestones, which are beyond our control. The table below summarizes our significant contractual obligations as of December 31, 2009 and the periods in which such obligations are due (in millions):

| | <u>Payments due by period</u> | | | | <u>Total</u> |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| | <u>2010</u> | <u>2011 and 2012</u> | <u>2013 and 2014</u> | <u>After 2014</u> | |
| New rig construction agreements | \$482.4 | \$644.5 | \$ -- | \$ -- | \$1,126.9 |
| Principal payments on long-term debt | 17.2 | 34.4 | 34.4 | 189.5 | 275.5 |
| Interest payments on long-term debt | 17.7 | 32.3 | 28.3 | 144.6 | 222.9 |
| Operating leases | 7.5 | 5.6 | 3.5 | 5.7 | 22.3 |
| Total contractual obligations | \$524.8 | \$716.8 | \$66.2 | \$339.8 | \$1,647.6 |

Our contractual obligations table does not include \$17.6 million of unrecognized tax benefits included on our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2009. Substantially all of our unrecognized tax benefits relate to uncertain tax positions that were not under review by taxing authorities. Therefore, we are unable to specify the future periods in which we may be obligated to settle such amounts.

Additionally, our contractual obligations table does not include foreign currency forward contracts ("derivatives"). As of December 31, 2009, we had derivatives outstanding to exchange an aggregate \$350.0 million U.S. dollars for various other currencies, including \$216.6 million for Singapore dollars. As of December 31, 2009, our consolidated balance sheet included net derivative assets of \$13.2 million. All of our outstanding derivatives mature during the next two years.

Liquidity

Our liquidity position as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 is summarized below (in millions, except ratios):

| | <u>2009</u> | <u>2008</u> | <u>2007</u> |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$1,141.4 | \$789.6 | \$629.5 |
| Working capital | 1,167.9 | 973.0 | 625.8 |
| Current ratio | 3.4 | 3.3 | 2.2 |

We expect to fund our short-term liquidity needs, including approximately \$785.0 million of contractual obligations and anticipated capital expenditures, as well as any dividends, share repurchases or working capital requirements, from our cash and cash equivalents and operating cash flows. We expect to fund our long-term liquidity needs, including contractual obligations, anticipated capital expenditures and dividends, from our cash and cash equivalents, investments, operating cash flows and, if necessary, funds borrowed under our \$350.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility or other future financing arrangements.

Based on our \$1,141.4 million of cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2009 and our current contractual backlog of over \$2,900.0 million, we believe our remaining \$1,126.9 million of contractual obligations associated with the construction of our ENSCO 8500 Series® rigs will be funded from existing cash and cash equivalents and future operating cash flows. We may decide to access debt markets to raise additional capital or increase liquidity as necessary.

Effects of Climate Change and Climate Change Regulation

Greenhouse gas emissions have increasingly become the subject of international, national, regional, state and local attention. Cap and trade initiatives to limit greenhouse gas emissions have been introduced in the European Union. Similarly, numerous bills related to climate change have been introduced in the U.S. Congress, which could adversely impact all industries. In addition, future regulation of greenhouse gas could occur pursuant to future treaty obligations, statutory or regulatory changes or new climate change legislation in the jurisdictions in which we operate. It is uncertain whether any of these initiatives will be implemented, although, based on published media reports, we believe that it is not reasonably likely that the current proposed initiatives in the U.S. will be implemented without substantial modification. If such initiatives are implemented, because we typically operate offshore with relatively minimal greenhouse gas emissions, we do not believe that such initiatives would have a direct, material adverse effect on our operating costs.

Restrictions on greenhouse gas emissions could have an indirect effect in those industries that use significant amounts of petroleum products, which could potentially result in a reduction in demand for petroleum products and, consequently, our offshore contract drilling services. We are currently unable to predict the manner or extent of any such effect. Further, one of the long-term physical effects of climate change may be an increase in the severity and frequency of adverse weather conditions, such as hurricanes, which may increase our insurance costs or limit insurance availability or reduce the areas in which, or the number of days during which, our customers would contract for our drilling rigs. We are currently unable to predict the manner or extent of any such effect.

MARKET RISK

Derivative Instruments

We use derivatives to reduce our exposure to various market risks, primarily foreign currency exchange rate risk. Our functional currency is the U.S. dollar. As is customary in the oil and gas industry, a majority of our revenues are denominated in U.S. dollars, however, a portion of the expenses incurred by our non-U.S. subsidiaries are denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar ("foreign currencies"). We maintain a foreign currency exchange rate risk management strategy that utilizes derivatives to reduce our exposure to unanticipated fluctuations in earnings and cash flows caused by changes in foreign currency exchange rates. We occasionally employ an interest rate risk management strategy that utilizes derivative instruments to minimize or eliminate unanticipated fluctuations in earnings and cash flows arising from changes in, and volatility of, interest rates.

We utilize derivatives to hedge forecasted foreign currency denominated transactions, primarily to reduce our exposure to foreign currency exchange rate risk associated with the portion of our remaining ENSCO 8500 Series® construction obligations denominated in Singapore dollars and contract drilling expenses denominated in various other currencies. As of December 31, 2009, \$264.8 million of the aggregate remaining contractual obligations associated with our ENSCO 8500 Series® construction projects was denominated in Singapore dollars, of which \$212.5 million was hedged through derivatives.

We have net assets and liabilities denominated in numerous foreign currencies and use various methods to manage our exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. We predominantly structure our drilling contracts in U.S. dollars, which significantly reduces the portion of our cash flows and assets denominated in foreign currencies. We also employ various strategies, including the use of derivatives, to match foreign currency denominated assets with equal or near equal amounts of foreign currency denominated liabilities, thereby minimizing exposure to earnings fluctuations caused by changes in foreign currency exchange rates.

We utilize derivatives and undertake foreign currency exchange rate hedging activities in accordance with our established policies for the management of market risk. We minimize our credit risk relating to the counterparties of our derivatives by transacting with multiple, high-quality financial institutions, thereby limiting exposure to individual counterparties, and by monitoring the financial condition of our counterparties. We do not enter into derivatives for trading or other speculative purposes. We believe that our use of derivatives and related hedging activities reduces our exposure to foreign currency exchange rate risk and interest rate risk and does not expose us to material credit risk or any other material market risk.

As of December 31, 2009, we had derivatives outstanding to exchange an aggregate \$350.0 million for various other currencies, including \$216.6 million for Singapore dollars. If we were to incur a hypothetical 10% adverse change in foreign currency exchange rates, net unrealized losses associated with our foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities and related derivatives as of December 31, 2009 would approximate \$27.9 million, including \$20.9 million related to our Singapore dollar exposures. All of our derivatives mature during the next two years. See Note 5 to our consolidated financial statements for additional information on our derivative instruments.

Auction Rate Securities

We have generated a substantial cash balance, portions of which are invested in securities that meet our requirements for quality and return. Investment of our cash exposes us to market risk. We held \$66.8 million (par value) of auction rate securities with a carrying value of \$60.5 million as of December 31, 2009. We intend to hold these securities until they can be redeemed by issuers, repurchased by brokerage firms or sold in a market that facilitates orderly transactions. Due to significant uncertainties related to the auction rate securities market, we will be exposed to the risk of changes in the fair value of these securities in future periods.

To measure the fair value of our auction rate securities as of December 31, 2009, we used an income approach valuation model to estimate the price that would be received in exchange for our auction rate securities in an orderly transaction between market participants ("exit price"). The exit price was derived as the weighted-average present value of expected cash flows over various periods of illiquidity, using a risk-adjusted discount rate that was based on the credit risk and liquidity risk of our auction rate securities. If we were to incur a hypothetical 10% adverse change in the periods of illiquidity and a 10% adverse change in the risk-adjusted discount rate, the additional unrealized losses on our auction rate securities as of December 31, 2009 would approximate \$2.0 million. See Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements for additional information on our auction rate securities.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with GAAP requires our management to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Our significant accounting policies are included in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements. These policies, along with our underlying judgments and assumptions made in their application, have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements. We identify our critical accounting policies as those that are the most pervasive and important to the portrayal of our financial position and operating results, and that require the most difficult, subjective and/or complex judgments by management regarding estimates in matters that are inherently uncertain. Our critical accounting policies are those related to property and equipment, impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill and income taxes.

Property and Equipment

As of December 31, 2009, the carrying value of our property and equipment totaled \$4,477.3 million, which represented 66% of total assets. This carrying value reflects the application of our property and equipment accounting policies, which incorporate management's estimates, judgments and assumptions relative to the capitalized costs, useful lives and salvage values of our rigs.

We develop and apply property and equipment accounting policies that are designed to appropriately and consistently capitalize those costs incurred to enhance, improve and extend the useful lives of our assets and expense those costs incurred to repair or maintain the existing condition or useful lives of our assets. The development and application of such policies requires estimates, judgments and assumptions by management relative to the nature of, and benefits from, expenditures on our assets. We establish property and equipment accounting policies that are designed to depreciate our assets over their estimated useful lives. The judgments and assumptions used by management in determining the useful lives of our property and equipment reflect both historical experience and expectations regarding future operations, utilization and performance of our assets. The use of different estimates, judgments and assumptions in the establishment of our property and equipment accounting policies, especially those involving the useful lives of our rigs, would likely result in materially different asset carrying values and operating results.

The useful lives of our drilling rigs are difficult to estimate due to a variety of factors, including technological advances that impact the methods or cost of oil and natural gas exploration and development, changes in market or economic conditions and changes in laws or regulations affecting the drilling industry. We evaluate the remaining useful lives of our rigs on a periodic basis, considering operating condition, functional capability and market and economic factors. Our most recent change in estimated useful lives occurred during 1998, when we extended the useful lives of our drilling rigs by an average of five to six years.

Our fleet of 42 jackup rigs represented 74% of the gross cost and 67% of the net carrying amount of our depreciable property and equipment as of December 31, 2009. Our jackup rigs are depreciated over useful lives ranging from 15 to 30 years. Our fleet of three ultra-deepwater semisubmersible rigs, exclusive of the ENSCO 8500 Series® rigs under construction, represented 21% of the gross cost and 30% of the net carrying amount of our depreciable property and equipment as of December 31, 2009. Our ultra-deepwater semisubmersible rigs are depreciated over a 30-year useful life. The following table provides an analysis of estimated increases and decreases in depreciation expense that would have been recognized for the year ended December 31, 2009 for various assumed changes in the useful lives of our drilling rigs effective January 1, 2009:

| <u>Increase (decrease) in useful lives of our drilling rigs</u> | <u>Estimated increase (decrease) in depreciation expense that would have been recognized (in millions)</u> |
|---|--|
| 10% | \$(21.3) |
| 20% | (35.9) |
| (10%) | 15.4 |
| (20%) | 40.7 |

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and Goodwill

We evaluate the carrying value of our property and equipment, primarily our drilling rigs, when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of such rigs may not be recoverable. Generally, extended periods of idle time and/or inability to contract rigs at economical rates are an indication that a rig may be impaired. However, the offshore drilling industry has historically been highly cyclical, and it is not unusual for rigs to be unutilized or underutilized for significant periods of time and subsequently resume full or near full utilization when business cycles change. Likewise, during periods of supply and demand imbalance, rigs are frequently contracted at or near cash break-even rates for extended periods of time until day rates increase when demand comes back into balance with supply. Impairment situations may arise with respect to specific individual rigs, groups of rigs, such as a specific type of drilling rig, or rigs in a certain geographic location. Our rigs are mobile and may generally be moved from markets with excess supply, if economically feasible. Our jackup and ultra-deepwater semisubmersible rigs are suited for, and accessible to, broad and numerous markets throughout the world.

For property and equipment used in our operations, recoverability is generally determined by comparing the net carrying value of an asset to the expected undiscounted future cash flows of the asset. If the carrying value of an asset is not recoverable, the amount of impairment loss is measured as the difference between the net book value of the asset and its estimated fair value. The determination of expected undiscounted cash flow amounts requires significant estimates, judgments and assumptions, including utilization, day rates, expense levels and capital requirements, as well as cash flows generated upon disposition, for each of our drilling rigs. Due to the inherent uncertainties associated with these estimates, we perform sensitivity analysis on key assumptions as part of our recoverability test.

If the global economy deteriorates and/or other events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of one or more drilling rigs may not be recoverable, we will conclude that a triggering event has occurred and perform a recoverability test. If, at the time of the recoverability test, management's judgments and assumptions regarding future industry conditions and operations have diminished, it is reasonably possible that we could conclude that one or more of our drilling rigs are impaired.

We test goodwill for impairment on an annual basis or when events or changes in circumstances indicate that a potential impairment exists. The goodwill impairment test requires us to identify reporting units and estimate each unit's fair value as of the testing date. Our four operating segments represent our reporting units. In most instances, our calculation of the fair value of our reporting units is based on estimates of future discounted cash flows to be generated by our drilling rigs, which reflect management's judgments and assumptions regarding the appropriate risk-adjusted discount rate, as well as future industry conditions and operations, including expected utilization, day rates, expense levels, capital requirements and terminal values for each of our rigs. Due to the inherent uncertainties associated with these estimates, we perform sensitivity analysis on key assumptions as part of our goodwill impairment test.

If the aggregate fair value of our reporting units exceeds our market capitalization, we evaluate the reasonableness of the implied control premium which includes a comparison to implied control premiums from recent market transactions within our industry or other relevant benchmark data. To the extent that the implied control premium based on the aggregate fair value of our reporting units is not reasonable, we adjust the discount rate used in our discounted cash flow model and reduce the estimated fair values of our reporting units.

If the estimated fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, its goodwill is considered not impaired. If the estimated fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value, we estimate the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of the goodwill, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to such excess. In the event we dispose of drilling rig operations that constitute a business, goodwill would be allocated in the determination of gain or loss on disposal. Based on our annual goodwill impairment test performed as of December 31, 2009, there was no impairment of goodwill.

If the global economy deteriorates and/or our expectations relative to future offshore drilling industry conditions decline, we may conclude that the fair value of one or more of our reporting units has more-likely-than-not declined below its carrying amount and perform an interim period goodwill impairment test. If, at the time of the goodwill impairment test, management's judgments and assumptions regarding future industry conditions and operations have diminished, or if the market value of our shares has declined, we could conclude that the goodwill of one or more of our reporting units has been impaired. It is reasonably possible that the judgments and assumptions inherent in our goodwill impairment test may change in response to future market conditions.

Asset impairment evaluations are, by nature, highly subjective. In most instances, they involve expectations of future cash flows to be generated by our drilling rigs, which reflect management's judgments and assumptions regarding future industry conditions and operations, as well as management's estimates of expected utilization, day rates, expense levels and capital requirements. The estimates, judgments and assumptions used by management in the application of our asset impairment policies reflect both historical experience and an assessment of current operational, industry, market, economic and political environments. The use of different estimates, judgments, assumptions and expectations regarding future industry conditions and operations would likely result in materially different asset carrying values and operating results.

Income Taxes

We conduct operations and earn income in numerous countries and are subject to the laws of numerous tax jurisdictions. As of December 31, 2009, our consolidated balance sheet included a \$347.6 million net deferred income tax liability, a \$78.5 million liability for income taxes currently payable and a \$17.6 million liability for unrecognized tax benefits.

The carrying values of deferred income tax assets and liabilities reflect the application of our income tax accounting policies and are based on management's estimates, judgments and assumptions regarding future operating results and levels of taxable income. Carryforwards and tax credits are assessed for realization as a reduction of future taxable income by using a more-likely-than-not determination.

We do not provide U.S. deferred income taxes on the undistributed earnings of Enco Delaware's non-U.S. subsidiaries because it is our policy and intention to reinvest such earnings indefinitely.

The carrying values of liabilities for income taxes currently payable and unrecognized tax benefits are based on management's interpretation of applicable tax laws and incorporate management's estimates, judgments and assumptions regarding the use of tax planning strategies in various taxing jurisdictions. The use of different estimates, judgments and assumptions in connection with accounting for income taxes, especially those involving the deployment of tax planning strategies, may result in materially different carrying values of income tax assets and liabilities and operating results.

We operate in many jurisdictions where tax laws relating to the offshore drilling industry are not well developed. In jurisdictions where available statutory law and regulations are incomplete or underdeveloped, we obtain professional guidance and consider existing industry practices before utilizing tax planning strategies and meeting our tax obligations.

Tax returns are routinely subject to audit in most jurisdictions and tax liabilities are occasionally finalized through a negotiation process. While we have not historically experienced significant adjustments to previously recognized tax assets and liabilities as a result of finalizing tax returns, there can be no assurance that significant adjustments will not arise in the future. In addition, there are several factors that could cause the future level of uncertainty relating to our tax liabilities to increase, including the following:

- The IRS and HMRC may disagree with our interpretation of tax laws, treaties, or regulations with respect to the redomestication.
- During recent years, the portion of our overall operations conducted in non-U.S. tax jurisdictions has increased, and we currently anticipate that this trend will continue.
- In order to utilize tax planning strategies and conduct operations efficiently, our subsidiaries frequently enter into transactions with affiliates that are generally subject to complex tax regulations and are frequently reviewed by tax authorities.
- We may conduct future operations in certain tax jurisdictions where tax laws are not well developed, and it may be difficult to secure adequate professional guidance.
- Tax laws, regulations, agreements and treaties change frequently, requiring us to modify existing tax strategies to conform to such changes.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In January 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-06, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820): Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements" ("Update 2010-06"). Update 2010-06 provides amendments to Subtopic 820-10 that require new disclosures about recurring and non-recurring fair value measurements including significant transfers into and out of Level 1 and Level 2 and information on purchases, sales, issuances and settlements on a gross basis in the reconciliation of Level 3 fair value measurements. Furthermore, this update provides amendments to Subtopic 820-10 that clarify existing disclosures with respect to levels of disaggregation of assets and liabilities measured at fair value, in addition to disclosures of inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value. Update 2010-06 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for certain disclosures related to Level 3 inputs which become effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2010. We do not expect the adoption of Update 2010-06 to have a material effect on fair value measurement disclosures.

As further discussed in Note 11 to our consolidated financial statements included in "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" (attached as Exhibit 99.1 to this Report), our consolidated financial statements for all periods presented herein have been updated to reclassify ENSCO 57 as discontinued operations. This filing includes updates only to the portions of Item 6, Item 7 and Item 8 of the 2009 Form 10-K that specifically relate to the reclassification of ENSCO 57 as discontinued operations and does not otherwise modify or update any other disclosures set forth in the 2009 Form 10-K.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The financial data below should be read in conjunction with "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and our consolidated financial statements included in "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| | (in millions, except per share amounts) | | | | |
| Consolidated Statement of Income Data | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$1,866.9 | \$2,210.3 | \$1,894.0 | \$1,653.5 | \$920.2 |
| Operating expenses | | | | | |
| Contract drilling (exclusive of depreciation) | 695.8 | 705.4 | 605.4 | 513.8 | 407.9 |
| Depreciation | 192.0 | 173.3 | 166.1 | 155.7 | 135.0 |
| General and administrative | 64.0 | 53.8 | 59.5 | 44.6 | 32.0 |
| Operating income | 915.1 | 1,277.8 | 1,063.0 | 939.4 | 345.3 |
| Other income (expense), net | 8.8 | (4.2) | 37.8 | (5.9) | (24.0) |
| Provision for income taxes | 176.6 | 220.4 | 234.6 | 233.9 | 87.5 |
| Income from continuing operations | 747.3 | 1,053.2 | 866.2 | 699.6 | 233.8 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net ⁽¹⁾ | 37.2 | 103.5 | 132.7 | 75.6 | 51.6 |
| Cumulative effect of accounting change, net ⁽²⁾ | -- | -- | -- | .6 | -- |
| Net income | 784.5 | 1,156.7 | 998.9 | 775.8 | 285.4 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (5.1) | (5.9) | (6.9) | (6.1) | (5) |
| Net income attributable to EnSCO | \$ 779.4 | \$ 1,150.8 | \$ 992.0 | \$ 769.7 | \$ 284.9 |
| Earnings per share – basic | | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 5.22 | \$ 7.32 | \$ 5.82 | \$ 4.54 | \$ 1.53 |
| Discontinued operations | .26 | .72 | .89 | .49 | .34 |
| Cumulative effect of accounting change | -- | -- | -- | .00 | -- |
| | \$ 5.48 | \$ 8.04 | \$ 6.71 | \$ 5.03 | \$ 1.87 |
| Earnings per share – diluted | | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 5.22 | \$ 7.30 | \$ 5.80 | \$ 4.52 | \$ 1.53 |
| Discontinued operations | .26 | .72 | .89 | .49 | .33 |
| Cumulative effect of accounting change | -- | -- | -- | .00 | -- |
| | \$ 5.48 | \$ 8.02 | \$ 6.69 | \$ 5.01 | \$ 1.86 |
| Net income attributable to EnSCO shares | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 769.7 | \$ 1,138.2 | \$ 984.7 | \$ 765.4 | \$ 283.9 |
| Diluted | \$ 769.7 | \$ 1,138.2 | \$ 984.7 | \$ 765.4 | \$ 283.9 |
| Weighted-average shares outstanding | | | | | |
| Basic | 140.4 | 141.6 | 146.7 | 152.2 | 151.7 |
| Diluted | 140.5 | 141.9 | 147.2 | 152.8 | 152.3 |
| Cash dividends per share | \$.10 | \$.10 | \$.10 | \$.10 | \$.10 |

**Consolidated Balance Sheet and
Cash Flow Statement Data**

| | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Working capital | \$1,167.9 | \$ 973.0 | \$ 625.8 | \$ 602.3 | \$ 347.0 |
| Total assets | 6,747.2 | 5,830.1 | 4,968.8 | 4,334.4 | 3,617.9 |
| Long-term debt, net of current portion | 257.2 | 274.3 | 291.4 | 308.5 | 475.4 |
| EnSCO shareholders' equity | 5,499.2 | 4,676.9 | 3,752.0 | 3,216.0 | 2,540.0 |
| Cash flow from continuing operations | 1,165.1 | 1,006.2 | 1,096.1 | 866.0 | 301.4 |

-
- (1) See Note 11 to our consolidated financial statements included in "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" for information on discontinued operations.
- (2) On January 1, 2006, we recognized a cumulative adjustment related to the adoption of certain provisions of FASB ASC 718 (previously SFAS No. 123(R) (revised 2004) "Share-Based Payment").